

Half inverse problems for the impulsive quadratic pencil with the discontinuity coefficient

Rauf AMİROV , Sevim DURAK* 

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Cumhuriyet University, Sivas, Turkey

Received: 09.04.2021

Accepted/Published Online: 09.06.2021

Final Version: 27.07.2021

Abstract: In this paper, we study the inverse spectral problem for the quadratic differential pencils with discontinuity coefficient on $[0, \pi]$ with separable boundary conditions and the impulsive conditions at the point $x = \frac{\pi}{2}$. We prove that two potential functions on the interval $[0, \pi]$, and the parameters in the boundary and impulsive conditions can be determined from a sequence of eigenvalues for two cases: (i) The potentials are given on $\left(0, \frac{\pi}{4}(1 + \alpha)\right)$, (ii) The potentials are given on $\left(\frac{\pi}{4}(1 + \alpha), \pi\right)$, where $0 < \alpha < 1$, respectively.

Key words: Inverse spectral problems, Sturm–Liouville operator, spectrum, uniqueness

1. Introduction

We consider the quadratic pencils of Sturm–Liouville operator $L(p, q, h, H, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ of the form

$$\ell y := -y'' + [2\lambda p(x) + q(x)]y = \lambda^2 \rho(x)y, \quad x \in \left[0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \cup \left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi\right] \quad (1.1)$$

with the boundary conditions

$$U(y) := y'(0) - hy(0) = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

$$V(y) := y'(\pi) + Hy(\pi) = 0 \quad (1.3)$$

and with the impulsive conditions

$$y\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + 0\right) = \beta y\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - 0\right), \quad (1.4)$$

$$y'\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + 0\right) = \beta^{-1}y'\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - 0\right) + \gamma y\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - 0\right),$$

where λ is the spectral parameter, $p(x) \in W_2^1[0, \pi]$, $q(x) \in L_2[0, \pi]$ are real-valued functions, $h, H, \in \mathbb{R}$, α, β, γ are real numbers, $\beta > 0$, $|\beta - 1|^2 + \gamma^2 \neq 0$ and

*Correspondence: sdurak@cumhuriyet.edu.tr

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 34A55, 34B24, 34L05

$$\rho(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 < x < \frac{\pi}{2} \\ \alpha^2, & \frac{\pi}{2} < x < \pi, \end{cases}, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1.$$

Here we denote by $W_2^m [0, \pi]$ the space of functions $f(x), x \in [0, \pi]$, such that the derivatives $f^{(m)}(x)$ ($m = \overline{0, n-1}$) are absolute continuous and $f^{(n)}(x) \in L_2 [0, \pi]$.

We can get $p(0) = 0$ without general exposure; otherwise, if $c_0 = p(0) \neq 0$ by direct calculation, we note that equation (1.1) is equivalent to

$$L_\lambda y := -y'' + [q(x) + 2p(x)c_0 - c_0^2 + 2(\lambda - c_0)(p(x) - c_0)] y = (\lambda - c_0)^2 \rho(x) y. \tag{1.5}$$

Let

$$\hat{q}(x) = q(x) + 2p(x)c_0 - c_0^2 \rho(x), \hat{p}(x) = p(x) - c_0 \rho(x), \hat{\lambda} = \lambda - c_0$$

then for the problem with the form (1.1)–(1.4), we have $\hat{p}(0) = 0$.

Quadratic pencils of Sturm–Liouville operator with discontinuous coefficient appear frequently in various models of classical mechanics and quantum (see [12–14, 20, 31] and the references therein). For instance, the evolution equations which are used to model interactions between colliding relativistic spineless particles can be reduced to the form (1.1), here the parameter λ^2 can be regarded as the energy of this system (see [12, 20, 31]).

Boundary value problems with discontinuous coefficients and discontinuous conditions at the inner point of the finite part have an important place, especially in applied mathematics, quantum physics, and engineering (applied sciences) sciences. An important application area of such problems is earth sciences. Determining the refraction coefficients and the refraction coefficients of the waves passing through the medium consisting of different layers is an important problem of geophysics, and learning the diffraction coefficients of the wave propagating under different effects in a wire made of different materials is also an important problem of classical mechanics. It is necessary to examine most of the natural events that occur in this way and to create their mathematical models to be able to intervene in these events. Information about the event can be obtained by examining the mathematical models created. For example, when the wavelengths and refraction coefficients of the wave passing through the crystal are known, information about the formation of the crystal and its shape (form) can be obtained. These types of problems are called inverse problems for singular differential operators in mathematics. The problems in [23] and [19] appear in all areas of applied sciences. In general, these types of problems are reduced to the inverse problems posed for the operators produced by the one-dimensional or multidimensional Shrödinger equation. Similar problems are encountered in earth sciences [17].

There are many studies on the determination of singular operators (coefficients of differential expression and other parameters) according to spectral characteristics (data) of the given problem recently [5, 22]. These types of problems create different classes according to the location [1, 9, 28]. The inverse spectral problem for the boundary value problem given in (1.1)–(1.4) were previously studied in [24] in general.

One of the most important problems recently introduced and studied regarding the determination of singular Shrödinger and diffusion operators are the semiinverse problems ([25, 26, 36, 37]). This problem, which was first examined by Hochstandt and Liberman ([10],[37]) for the Sturm–Liouville operator, has also been studied for different operators ([11, 32, 33]) and continues to be studied.

In this paper, unlike other similar studies, we consider the problem $L(p, q, h, H, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ and prove that if the potentials $q(x)$ and $p(x)$ on $(0, c)$ and h are given, then only a single spectrum is sufficient to determine

$q(x)$ and $p(x)$ on $(0, \pi)$, $\rho(x), H, \beta$, and α . We also consider the case that the $q(x)$ and $p(x)$ are given on the (c, π) , and prove a uniqueness theorem. Moreover, it is shown that potentials $q(x)$ and $p(x)$ on $(0, \pi)$, $\rho(x)$, β , α , h , and H can be uniquely determined by one spectrum and some information on eigenfunctions at the internal point $c = \frac{1 + \alpha}{4}\pi$. In Section 4, new results about the interior inverse problem are given. In Section 5, a reconstruction method is given for half inverse problems. Unlike the methods in similar articles, this method is given by using the main equation. Finally, some examples are given in Section 6.

2. Preliminaries

Let $\varphi(x, \lambda)$ function be the solution of the equation (1.1) that satisfies the initial conditions

$$\varphi(0, \lambda) = 1, \quad \varphi'(0, \lambda) = h \tag{2.1}$$

and the impulse condition (1.4). It is shown in [2] if $q(x) \in L_2[0, \pi]$ and $p(x) \in W_2^1[0, \pi]$ that there exist functions $A(x, t)$, $B(x, t)$ and $A_x, A_t, B_x, B_t \in L_1[0, \pi]$ for each $x \in [0, \pi]$ such that

$$\varphi(x, \lambda) = \varphi_0(x, \lambda) + \int_0^{\mu^+(x)} A(x, t) \cos \lambda t dt + \int_0^{\mu^+(x)} B(x, t) \sin \lambda t dt, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\beta^+ \omega^+(x) = \beta^+ x p(0) + 2 \int_0^x [A(\xi, \xi) \sin \omega^+(\xi) - B(\xi, \xi) \cos \omega^+(\xi)] d\xi, \tag{2.3}$$

$$2 \frac{d}{dx} [A(x, x) \cos \omega^+(x) + B(x, x) \sin \omega^+(x)] = \beta^+ [q(x) + p^2(x)], \tag{2.4}$$

$$2 \frac{d}{dx} [A(x, t) \cos \omega^-(x) - B(x, t) \sin \omega^-(x)] \Big|_{t=\mu^+(x)-0}^{t=\mu^+(x)+0} = \beta^- [q(x) + p^2(x)], \tag{2.5}$$

$$A_t(x, t) |_{t=0} = B(x, 0) = 0 \tag{2.6}$$

are held.

Next, suppose that $q(x) \in W_2^1[0, \pi]$, $p(x) \in W_2^2[0, \pi]$, then the functions $A(x, t)$ and $B(x, t)$ satisfy the system of partial differential equations

$$A_{xx}(x, t) - q(x)A(x, t) - 2p(x)B_t(x, t) = A_{tt}(x, t), \tag{2.7}$$

$$B_{xx}(x, t) - q(x)B(x, t) + 2p(x)A_t(x, t) = B_{tt}(x, t).$$

Conversely, if the second order derivatives of functions $A(x, t), B(x, t)$ are summable on $[0, \pi]$ for each $x \in [0, \pi]$ and $A(x, t), B(x, t)$ satisfy equalities (2.7) and conditions (2.3)–(2.6), then the function $\varphi(x, \lambda)$ which is defined by (2.2) is a solution of (1.1) satisfying initial conditions (2.1) and impulsive conditions (1.4) where

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_0(x, \lambda) = & \beta^+ \cos \left[\lambda \mu^+(x) - \frac{\omega^+(x)}{\sqrt{\rho(x)}} \right] + \beta^- \cos \left[\lambda \mu^-(x) + \frac{\omega^-(x)}{\sqrt{\rho(x)}} \right] \\ & + \frac{h}{\lambda} \left\{ \beta^+ \sin \left[\lambda \mu^+(x) - \frac{\omega^+(x)}{\sqrt{\rho(x)}} \right] + \beta^- \sin \left[\lambda \mu^-(x) + \frac{\omega^-(x)}{\sqrt{\rho(x)}} \right] \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \beta^\pm = & \frac{1}{2} \left(\beta \pm \frac{1}{\alpha\beta} \right), \mu^\pm(x) = \pm \sqrt{\rho(x)}x + \frac{\pi}{2} (1 \mp \sqrt{\rho(x)}), \\ \omega^+(x) = & \int_0^x p(t)dt, \omega^-(x) = \int_{\frac{\pi}{2}}^x p(t)dt \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

It is clear that the characteristic function of the problem $L(p, q, h, H, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ can be

$$\Delta(\lambda) = \varphi'(\pi, \lambda) + H\varphi(\pi, \lambda) \tag{2.10}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_0(\lambda) = & \varphi'_0(\pi, \lambda) + H\varphi_0(\pi, \lambda) \\ = & -\beta^+ \left(\lambda\alpha - \frac{p(\pi)}{\alpha} \right) \sin \left(\lambda\mu^+(\pi) - \frac{\omega^+(\pi)}{\alpha} \right) \\ & + \beta^- \left(\lambda\alpha - \frac{p(\pi)}{\alpha} \right) \sin \left(\lambda\mu^-(\pi) + \frac{\omega^-(\pi)}{\alpha} \right) \\ & + \frac{h}{\lambda} \left\{ \beta^+ \left(\lambda\alpha - \frac{p(\pi)}{\alpha} \right) \cos \left(\lambda\mu^+(\pi) - \frac{\omega^+(\pi)}{\alpha} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \beta^- \left(\lambda\alpha - \frac{p(\pi)}{\alpha} \right) \cos \left(\lambda\mu^-(\pi) + \frac{\omega^-(\pi)}{\alpha} \right) \right\} \\ & + H \left\{ \beta^+ \cos \left(\lambda\mu^+(\pi) - \frac{\omega^+(\pi)}{\alpha} \right) + \beta^- \cos \left(\lambda\mu^-(\pi) + \frac{\omega^-(\pi)}{\alpha} \right) + \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{h}{\lambda} \left[\beta^+ \sin \left(\lambda\mu^+(x) - \frac{\omega^+(x)}{\sqrt{\rho(x)}} \right) + \beta^- \sin \left(\lambda\mu^-(x) + \frac{\omega^-(x)}{\sqrt{\rho(x)}} \right) \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

or $\Delta(\lambda) = \langle \psi(x, \lambda), \varphi(x, \lambda) \rangle = V(\varphi) = -U(\psi)$ where $\langle y, z \rangle := y'z - yz'$ and $\psi(x, \lambda)$ be a solution of (1.1) with the initial conditions $\psi(\pi, \lambda) = 1, \psi'(\pi, \lambda) = -H$ and the impulsive conditions (1.4).

Next, suppose that the function $q(x)$ satisfies the additional condition

$$h|y(0)|^2 + H|y(\pi)|^2 + \int_0^\pi \left\{ |y'(x)|^2 + q(x)|y(x)|^2 \right\} dx > 0 \tag{2.11}$$

for all $y(x) \in W_2^2 \left(\left[0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \cup \left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi\right] \right)$ such that $y(x) \neq 0$ and

$$y'(0)\overline{y(0)} - y'(\pi)\overline{y(\pi)} = 0. \tag{2.12}$$

Then it is shown in [2] that the eigenvalues of the boundary value problem $L(p, q, h, H, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ are real nonzero, simple and does not have associated functions. Additionally, eigenfunctions corresponding to different eigenvalues of the problem $L(p, q, h, H, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ are orthogonal in the sense of the equality

$$(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) (\rho(x) y_1, y_2) - 2(p y_1, y_2) = 0, \tag{2.13}$$

where $(., .)$ denotes the inner product in $L_2 [0, \pi]$.

The function $\Delta(\lambda)$ is entire in λ . Zeros $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of $\Delta(\lambda)$ coincide with the eigenvalues of the problem $L(p, q, h, H, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. We note that for $\lambda \in G_\delta = \{\lambda : |\lambda - \lambda_n| > \delta, \delta > 0\}$, where δ is sufficiently small positive number $\left(\delta \ll \frac{\beta}{2}\right)$, then [4] there exists a constant $C_\delta > 0$ such that

$$|\Delta(\lambda)| \geq \beta^+ (|\lambda| \alpha - C_\delta) \exp(\tau \mu^+(\pi)), \text{ for all } \lambda \in \overline{G}_\delta, (\tau = \text{Im } \lambda). \tag{2.14}$$

Lemma 2.1 *The following asymptotic relations hold as $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$. For $\frac{\pi}{2} < x < \pi$*

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x, \lambda) &= \beta^+ \cos \left[\lambda \mu^+(x) - \frac{\omega^+(x)}{\sqrt{\rho(x)}} \right] + \beta^- \cos \left[\lambda \mu^-(x) + \frac{\omega^-(x)}{\sqrt{\rho(x)}} \right] \\ &+ O\left(|\lambda|^{-1} e^{|\tau| \mu^+(x)}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'(x, \lambda) &= -\beta^+ \left[\lambda \sqrt{\rho(x)} - \frac{p(x)}{\sqrt{\rho(x)}} \right] \sin \left[\lambda \mu^+(x) - \frac{\omega^+(x)}{\sqrt{\rho(x)}} \right] - \\ &- \beta^- \left[\lambda \sqrt{\rho(x)} - \frac{p(x)}{\sqrt{\rho(x)}} \right] \sin \left[\lambda \mu^-(x) + \frac{\omega^-(x)}{\sqrt{\rho(x)}} \right] \\ &+ O\left(e^{|\tau| \mu^+(x)}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

For $0 < x < \frac{\pi}{2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x, \lambda) &= A^+ \cos \left[\lambda(\pi - \mu^+(x)) - \frac{1}{\alpha} \omega^+(x) + \alpha^+ \omega^-(x) \right] + \\ &+ A^- \cos \left[\lambda(\pi - \mu^-(x)) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \omega^+(x) - \alpha^+ \omega^-(x) \right] + O\left(|\lambda|^{-1} e^{|\tau|(\pi - \mu^+(x))}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi'(x, \lambda) &= A^+ (\lambda - \alpha p(x)) \sin \left[\lambda(\pi - \mu^+(x)) - \frac{1}{\alpha} \omega^+(x) + \alpha^+ \omega^-(x) \right] - \\ &- A^- \left(\lambda - \left(\alpha - \frac{2}{\alpha}\right) p(x) \right) \sin \left[\lambda(\pi - \mu^-(x)) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \omega^+(x) - \alpha^+ \omega^-(x) \right] \\ &+ O\left(e^{|\tau|(\pi - \mu^+(x))}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

where $A^\pm = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\beta} \pm \alpha\beta \right)$.

Proof The accuracy of the equations (2.15) and (2.16) were obtained in the study of [24], Similarly, the accuracy of the (2.17) and (2.18) behavior is easily obtained.

It is easy to verify that if $\varphi(x, \lambda)$ and $\psi(x, \lambda)$ are solutions of equation (1.1) and satisfy the impulsive conditions (1.4), then $\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle$ is independent x , and

$$W(\varphi, \psi) \Big|_{x=\frac{\pi}{2}-0} = W(\varphi, \psi) \Big|_{x=\frac{\pi}{2}+0}.$$

It is clear from (2.10) that

$$\Delta(\lambda) = W(\varphi, \psi) = V(\varphi) = -U(\psi). \tag{2.19}$$

□

Lemma 2.2 *The zeros $\{\lambda_n\}$ of the characteristic function $\Delta(\lambda)$ coincide with the eigenvalues of the boundary value problem $L(p, q, h, H, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ and there exists a sequence $\{\beta_n\}$ such that $\psi(x, \lambda_n) = \beta_n \varphi(x, \lambda_n)$, $\beta_n \neq 0, n \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

The proof of Lemma is made similar to the proof of Lemma 8 in [2].

Next, we denote by $L_2((0, \pi); \rho(x))$ a space which has the inner product

$$(\varphi, \psi) = \int_0^\pi \rho(x) \varphi(x) \psi(x) dx.$$

Let $\alpha_n (n \in \mathbb{Z})$ be the sequence of normalized constants, which are defined as

$$\alpha_n := \int_0^\pi \rho(x) \varphi^2(x, \lambda_n) dx - \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \int_0^\pi p(x) \varphi^2(x, \lambda_n) dx.$$

Lemma 2.3 *The equality $\dot{\Delta}(\lambda_n) = -2\lambda_n \alpha_n \beta_n$ holds. Here $\dot{\Delta}(\lambda) = (d/d\lambda) \Delta(\lambda)$.*

Lemma 2.4 *The problem $L(p, q, h, H, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ has countable set of eigenvalues. If one denotes by $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots$ the positive eigenvalues arranged in increasing order and by $\lambda_{-1}, \lambda_{-2}, \dots$ the negative eigenvalues arranged in decreasing order, then eigenvalues and the normalized constants α_n of the problem $L(p, q, h, H, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ have the asymptotic behavior respectively*

$$\lambda_n = \lambda_n^o + \frac{d_n}{\lambda_n^o} + \frac{\delta_n}{\lambda_n^o}, \quad n \rightarrow \pm\infty,$$

$$\alpha_n = \frac{\pi}{2} \left[(\beta^+)^2 + (\beta^-)^2 \right] + \frac{\beta_{11}}{\lambda_n^o} + \frac{\beta_{1n}}{n},$$

where $\{\delta_n\}, \{\beta_{1n}\} \in l_2$, and $\{d_n\}$ is a bounded sequence, $\lambda_n^o = \frac{n}{\mu^+(\pi)} + \frac{\omega^+(\pi)}{\mu^+(\pi)} + h_n$, $\sup_n |h_n| < \infty$,
 $\beta_{11} = -\frac{\beta\pi}{2}p(0)$.

The following theorem is shown in [3]:

Theorem 2.5 *The functions $A(x, t)$ and $B(x, t)$ satisfy the following system of linear integral equations:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\beta^+(F_{11}(x, t) \cos \omega^+(x) + F_{12}(x, t) \sin \omega^+(x)) + \beta^-(F_{11}(2a - x, t) \cos \omega^-(x) + F_{12}(2a - x, t) \sin \omega^-(x)) \\ &+ A(x, t) + \int_0^x A(x, \xi)F_{11}(\xi, t)d\xi + \int_0^x B(x, \xi)F_{12}(\xi, t)d\xi = 0, \quad 0 \leq t < x, \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\beta^+(F_{21}(x, t) \cos \omega^+(x) + F_{22}(x, t) \sin \omega^+(x)) + \beta^-(F_{21}(2a - x, t) \cos \omega^-(x) + F_{22}(2a - x, t) \sin \omega^-(x)) \\ &+ B(x, t) + \int_0^x A(x, \xi)F_{21}(\xi, t)d\xi + \int_0^x B(x, \xi)F_{22}(\xi, t)d\xi = 0, \quad 0 \leq t < x, \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

where

$$F_{11}(x, t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \cos c_0 x \cos c_0 t + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[\frac{1}{2\alpha_n} \cos \lambda_n x \cos \lambda_n t - \frac{1}{\pi} \cos(n + c_0)x \cos(n + c_0)t \right], \tag{2.22}$$

$$F_{12}(x, t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sin c_0 x \cos c_0 t + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[\frac{1}{2\alpha_n} \sin \lambda_n x \cos \lambda_n t - \frac{1}{\pi} \sin(n + c_0)x \cos(n + c_0)t \right], \tag{2.23}$$

$$F_{21}(x, t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \cos c_0 x \sin c_0 t + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[\frac{1}{2\alpha_n} \cos \lambda_n x \sin \lambda_n t - \frac{1}{\pi} \cos(n + c_0)x \sin(n + c_0)t \right], \tag{2.24}$$

$$F_{22}(x, t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sin c_0 x \sin c_0 t + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[\frac{1}{2\alpha_n} \sin \lambda_n x \sin \lambda_n t - \frac{1}{\pi} \sin(n + c_0)x \sin(n + c_0)t \right], \tag{2.25}$$

$$c_0 = \omega^+(\pi).$$

The equations (2.20), (2.21) are called the fundamental equations of the inverse problem.

3. Main results

Now we state the main result of this work. It is assumed in what follows that if a certain symbol s denotes an object related to $L(p, q, h, H, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, then the corresponding symbol \tilde{s} with tilde denotes the analogous object related to $\tilde{L}(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{H}, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma})$. Let us denote by $\varphi(x, \lambda_n)$, the eigenfunction which corresponds to λ_n .

Lemma 3.1 *If $\lambda_n = \tilde{\lambda}_n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\omega^+(\pi) = \tilde{\omega}^+(\pi)$, $\omega^-(\pi) = \tilde{\omega}^-(\pi)$ and $\alpha = \tilde{\alpha}$, that is the sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ uniquely determines $\omega^\pm(\pi)$ and α .*

Proof of Lemma is easily obtained from the asymptotic expression of λ_n .

Lemma 3.2 *If $\lambda_n = \tilde{\lambda}_n$, $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ then $\beta = \tilde{\beta}$ and $H = \tilde{H}$.*

Proof Since $\lambda_n = \tilde{\lambda}_n$ and $\Delta(\lambda), \tilde{\Delta}(\lambda)$ are entire functions in λ of order one by Hadamard factorization theorem, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\Delta(\lambda) \equiv C\tilde{\Delta}(\lambda). \tag{3.1}$$

On the other hand, (3.1) can be written as

$$\Delta_0(\lambda) - C\tilde{\Delta}_0(\lambda) = C[\tilde{\Delta}(\lambda) - \tilde{\Delta}_0(\lambda)] - [\Delta(\lambda) - \Delta_0(\lambda)]. \tag{3.2}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & C[\tilde{\Delta}(\lambda) - \tilde{\Delta}_0(\lambda)] - [\Delta(\lambda) - \Delta_0(\lambda)] \tag{3.3} \\ &= -\beta^+ \left(\lambda\alpha - \frac{p(\pi)}{\alpha} \right) \sin \left(\lambda\mu^+(\pi) - \frac{\omega^+(\pi)}{\alpha} \right) \\ &+ \beta^- \left(\lambda\alpha - \frac{p(\pi)}{\alpha} \right) \sin \left(\lambda\mu^-(\pi) + \frac{\omega^-(\pi)}{\alpha} \right) \\ &+ \frac{h}{\lambda} \left\{ \beta^+ \left(\lambda\alpha - \frac{p(\pi)}{\alpha} \right) \cos \left(\lambda\mu^+(\pi) - \frac{\omega^+(\pi)}{\alpha} \right) \right. \\ &- \left. \beta^- \left(\lambda\alpha - \frac{p(\pi)}{\alpha} \right) \cos \left(\lambda\mu^-(\pi) + \frac{\omega^-(\pi)}{\alpha} \right) \right\} \\ &+ H \left\{ \beta^+ \cos \left(\lambda\mu^+(\pi) - \frac{\omega^+(\pi)}{\alpha} \right) + \beta^- \cos \left(\lambda\mu^-(\pi) + \frac{\omega^-(\pi)}{\alpha} \right) \right\} \\ &+ H\frac{h}{\lambda} \left\{ \beta^+ \sin \left(\lambda\mu^+(\pi) - \frac{\omega^+(\pi)}{\alpha} \right) + \beta^- \sin \left(\lambda\mu^-(\pi) + \frac{\omega^-(\pi)}{\alpha} \right) \right\} \\ &- C \left[-\tilde{\beta}^+ \left(\lambda\tilde{\alpha} - \frac{\tilde{p}(\pi)}{\tilde{\alpha}} \right) \sin \left(\lambda\tilde{\mu}^+(\pi) - \frac{\tilde{\omega}^+(\pi)}{\tilde{\alpha}} \right) \right. \\ &+ \left. \tilde{\beta}^- \left(\lambda\tilde{\alpha} - \frac{\tilde{p}(\pi)}{\tilde{\alpha}} \right) \sin \left(\lambda\tilde{\mu}^-(\pi) + \frac{\tilde{\omega}^-(\pi)}{\tilde{\alpha}} \right) \right] \\ &- C\frac{\tilde{h}}{\lambda} \left\{ \tilde{\beta}^+ \left(\lambda\tilde{\alpha} - \frac{\tilde{p}(\pi)}{\tilde{\alpha}} \right) \cos \left(\lambda\tilde{\mu}^+(\pi) - \frac{\tilde{\omega}^+(\pi)}{\tilde{\alpha}} \right) \right. \\ &- \left. \tilde{\beta}^- \left(\lambda\tilde{\alpha} - \frac{\tilde{p}(\pi)}{\tilde{\alpha}} \right) \cos \left(\lambda\tilde{\mu}^-(\pi) + \frac{\tilde{\omega}^-(\pi)}{\tilde{\alpha}} \right) \right\} \\ &- C \left[\tilde{H}\tilde{\beta}^+ \cos \left(\lambda\tilde{\mu}^+(\pi) - \frac{\tilde{\omega}^+(\pi)}{\tilde{\alpha}} \right) + \tilde{H}\tilde{\beta}^- \cos \left(\lambda\tilde{\mu}^-(\pi) + \frac{\tilde{\omega}^-(\pi)}{\tilde{\alpha}} \right) \right] \\ &- C\tilde{H}\frac{\tilde{h}}{\lambda} \left\{ \tilde{\beta}^+ \sin \left(\lambda\tilde{\mu}^+(\pi) - \frac{\tilde{\omega}^+(\pi)}{\tilde{\alpha}} \right) + \tilde{\beta}^- \sin \left(\lambda\tilde{\mu}^-(\pi) + \frac{\tilde{\omega}^-(\pi)}{\tilde{\alpha}} \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

if we multiply both sides of (3.3) with $\sin\left(\lambda\mu^+(\pi) - \frac{\omega^+(\pi)}{\alpha}\right)$ and integrate with respect to λ in (ε, T) (ε is sufficiently small positive number) for any positive real number T , then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\varepsilon}^T \left(C \left[\tilde{\Delta}(\lambda) - \tilde{\Delta}_0(\lambda) \right] - [\Delta(\lambda) - \Delta_0(\lambda)] \right) \sin\left(\lambda\mu^+(\pi) - \frac{\omega^+(\pi)}{\alpha}\right) d\lambda = \\ & = \int_{\varepsilon}^T \left\{ -\beta^+ \left(\lambda\alpha - \frac{p(\pi)}{\alpha} \right) \sin\left(\lambda\mu^+(\pi) - \frac{\omega^+(\pi)}{\alpha}\right) \right. \\ & + \beta^- \left(\lambda\alpha - \frac{p(\pi)}{\alpha} \right) \sin\left(\lambda\mu^-(\pi) + \frac{\omega^-(\pi)}{\alpha}\right) \\ & + \frac{h}{\lambda} \left[\beta^+ \left(\lambda\alpha - \frac{p(\pi)}{\alpha} \right) \cos\left(\lambda\mu^+(\pi) - \frac{\omega^+(\pi)}{\alpha}\right) \right. \\ & \left. - \beta^- \left(\lambda\alpha - \frac{p(\pi)}{\alpha} \right) \cos\left(\lambda\mu^-(\pi) + \frac{\omega^-(\pi)}{\alpha}\right) \right] \\ & + H\beta^+ \cos\left(\lambda\mu^+(\pi) - \frac{\omega^+(\pi)}{\alpha}\right) + H\beta^- \cos\left(\lambda\mu^-(\pi) + \frac{\omega^-(\pi)}{\alpha}\right) \\ & \left. + H\frac{h}{\lambda} \left[\beta^+ \sin\left(\lambda\mu^+(\pi) - \frac{\omega^+(\pi)}{\alpha}\right) + \beta^- \sin\left(\lambda\mu^-(\pi) + \frac{\omega^-(\pi)}{\alpha}\right) \right] \right\} \\ & - C \left[-\tilde{\beta}^+ \left(\lambda\tilde{\alpha} - \frac{\tilde{p}(\pi)}{\tilde{\alpha}} \right) \sin\left(\lambda\tilde{\mu}^+(\pi) - \frac{\tilde{\omega}^+(\pi)}{\tilde{\alpha}}\right) \right. \\ & \left. + \tilde{\beta}^- \left(\lambda\tilde{\alpha} - \frac{\tilde{p}(\pi)}{\tilde{\alpha}} \right) \sin\left(\lambda\tilde{\mu}^-(\pi) + \frac{\tilde{\omega}^-(\pi)}{\tilde{\alpha}}\right) \right] \\ & - C\frac{\tilde{h}}{\lambda} \left\{ \tilde{\beta}^+ \left(\lambda\tilde{\alpha} - \frac{\tilde{p}(\pi)}{\tilde{\alpha}} \right) \cos\left(\lambda\tilde{\mu}^+(\pi) - \frac{\tilde{\omega}^+(\pi)}{\tilde{\alpha}}\right) \right. \\ & \left. - \tilde{\beta}^- \left(\lambda\tilde{\alpha} - \frac{\tilde{p}(\pi)}{\tilde{\alpha}} \right) \cos\left(\lambda\tilde{\mu}^-(\pi) + \frac{\tilde{\omega}^-(\pi)}{\tilde{\alpha}}\right) \right\} \\ & - C \left[\tilde{H}\tilde{\beta}^+ \cos\left(\lambda\tilde{\mu}^+(\pi) - \frac{\tilde{\omega}^+(\pi)}{\tilde{\alpha}}\right) + \tilde{H}\tilde{\beta}^- \cos\left(\lambda\tilde{\mu}^-(\pi) + \frac{\tilde{\omega}^-(\pi)}{\tilde{\alpha}}\right) \right] \\ & \left. - C\tilde{H}\frac{\tilde{h}}{\lambda} \left[\tilde{\beta}^+ \sin\left(\lambda\tilde{\mu}^+(\pi) - \frac{\tilde{\omega}^+(\pi)}{\tilde{\alpha}}\right) + \tilde{\beta}^- \sin\left(\lambda\tilde{\mu}^-(\pi) + \frac{\tilde{\omega}^-(\pi)}{\tilde{\alpha}}\right) \right] \right\} \\ & \cdot \sin\left(\lambda\mu^+(\pi) - \frac{\omega^+(\pi)}{\alpha}\right) d\lambda \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\varepsilon}^T \left(C \left[\tilde{\Delta}(\lambda) - \tilde{\Delta}_0(\lambda) \right] - [\Delta(\lambda) - \Delta_0(\lambda)] \right) \sin \left(\lambda \mu^+(\pi) - \frac{\omega^+(\pi)}{\alpha} \right) d\lambda = \\ & \int_{\varepsilon}^T \left[-\beta^+(\lambda\alpha - p(\pi)) \sin^2 \left(\lambda \mu^+(\pi) - \frac{\omega^+(\pi)}{\alpha} \right) \right] d\lambda - \\ & - C \int_{\varepsilon}^T \left[\tilde{\beta}^+(\lambda\alpha - \tilde{p}(\pi)) \sin \left(\lambda \mu^+(\pi) - \frac{\tilde{\omega}^+(\pi)}{\alpha} \right) \sin \left(\lambda \mu^+(\pi) - \frac{\omega^+(\pi)}{\alpha} \right) \right] d\lambda \\ & = \int_{\varepsilon}^T \left[-\frac{1}{2} \beta^+(\lambda\alpha - p(\pi)) + \frac{1}{2} \beta^+(\lambda\alpha - p(\pi)) \cos \left(2\lambda \mu^+(\pi) - \frac{2\omega^+(\pi)}{\alpha} \right) \right] d\lambda - \\ & - C \int_{\varepsilon}^T \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\beta}^+(\lambda\alpha - \tilde{p}(\pi)) - \cos \left(\frac{\omega^+(\pi) - \tilde{\omega}^+(\pi)}{\alpha} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \cos \left(2\lambda \mu^+(\pi) - \frac{\omega^+(\pi) - \tilde{\omega}^+(\pi)}{\alpha} \right) \right\} d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\Delta(\lambda) - \Delta_0(\lambda) = O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda} e^{|\operatorname{Im} \lambda| \mu^+(\pi)}\right), \tilde{\Delta}(\lambda) - \tilde{\Delta}_0(\lambda) = O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda} e^{|\operatorname{Im} \lambda| \mu^+(\pi)}\right)$$

for all λ in (ε, T)

$$\frac{C\alpha}{4} \tilde{\beta}^+ - \frac{\alpha}{4} \beta^+ = O\left(\frac{1}{T}\right).$$

By letting T tend to infinity, we see that

$$C = \frac{\beta^+}{\tilde{\beta}^+}. \tag{3.4}$$

Similarly, if we multiply both sides of (3.3) with $\sin \left(\lambda \mu^-(\pi) - \frac{\omega^-(\pi)}{\alpha} \right)$ and integrate again with respect to λ in (ε, T) , and by letting T tend to infinity, then we get

$$C = \frac{\beta^-}{\tilde{\beta}^-}. \tag{3.5}$$

However, since α, β and $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}$ are positive, since $\omega^+(\pi) - \tilde{\omega}^+(\pi) = \omega^-(\pi) - \tilde{\omega}^-(\pi)$, we conclude that $C = 1$.

Here $\frac{\beta^+}{\tilde{\beta}^+} = \frac{\beta^-}{\tilde{\beta}^-}$ is obtained. We have therefore proved, since $\alpha = \tilde{\alpha}$, that $\beta = \tilde{\beta}$.

Considering Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 and that $\beta = \tilde{\beta}$, if both sides of the last expression are multiplied

by the $\cos(\lambda\mu^+(\pi) - \frac{\omega^+(\pi)}{\alpha})$ and integrate with respect to λ in (ε, T) , then we get

$$\frac{\beta^+(H - \tilde{H})}{2} + O(\frac{1}{T}) = O(\frac{1}{T}).$$

Finally, by letting T tend to infinity, $H = \tilde{H}$ is obtained. Similarly, it is shown when $h = \tilde{h}$. □

Let $\Phi(x, \lambda)$ and $S(x, \lambda)$ be the solutions of (1.1) under the conditions $U(\Phi) = 1, V(\Phi) = 0, S(0, \lambda) = 0, S'(0, \lambda) = 1$ and under the impulse conditions (1.4). One set $M(\lambda) := \Phi(0, \lambda)$. The functions $\Phi(x, \lambda)$ and $M(\lambda)$ are called the Weyl solution and Weyl function for the boundary value problem $L(p, q, h, H, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, respectively. Using the solutions $\varphi(x, \lambda)$ and $\psi(x, \lambda)$, we defined

$$\Phi(x, \lambda) = -\frac{\psi(x, \lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)} = S(x, \lambda) + M(\lambda)\varphi(x, \lambda), \tag{3.6}$$

$$M(\lambda) = -\frac{\psi(0, \lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)}, \quad \left(-\frac{\varphi(\pi, \lambda)}{\varphi'(\pi, \lambda)} = -\frac{\varphi(\pi, \lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)}\right),$$

and $S(x, \lambda)$ is defined from the equality

$$\psi(x, \lambda) = \psi(0, \lambda)\varphi(x, \lambda) - \Delta(\lambda)S(x, \lambda). \tag{3.7}$$

By virtue of equalities $\langle \varphi(x, \lambda), S(x, \lambda) \rangle \equiv 1$ and (3.3), one has

$$\langle \Phi(x, \lambda), \varphi(x, \lambda) \rangle \equiv 1, \tag{3.8}$$

$$\langle \varphi(x, \lambda), \psi(x, \lambda) \rangle \equiv -\Delta(\lambda) \text{ for } x \neq \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

The theorem we proved above shows that the Weyl function uniquely determines the potentials and the coefficients of the problem $L(p, q, h, H, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.

Theorem 3.3 *If $M(\lambda) = \tilde{M}(\lambda)$, then $L(p, q, h, H, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \tilde{L}(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{H}, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma})$.*

Proof Since

$$\psi^{(\nu)}(x, \lambda) = O(|\lambda|^{\nu-1} \exp(|\text{Im } \lambda|(\pi - x))), \quad \lambda \in \tilde{G}_\delta, \tag{3.9}$$

$$|\Delta(\lambda)| \geq C_\delta \exp(|\text{Im } \lambda| \pi), \quad \lambda \in \tilde{G}_\delta, \quad C_\delta > 0, \quad \nu = 0, 1, \tag{3.10}$$

it is easy to observe that

$$\left| \Phi^{(\nu)}(x, \lambda) \right| \leq C_\delta |\lambda|^{\nu-1} \exp(-|\text{Im } \lambda| x), \quad \lambda \in G_\delta. \tag{3.11}$$

Let us define the matrix $P(x, \lambda) = [P_{jk}(x, \lambda)]_{j,k=1,2}$, where

$$P_{j1}(x, \lambda) = \varphi^{(j-1)}(x, \lambda)\tilde{\Phi}'(x, \lambda) - \Phi^{(j-1)}(x, \lambda)\tilde{\varphi}'(x, \lambda), \tag{3.12}$$

$$P_{j2}(x, \lambda) = \Phi^{(j-1)}(x, \lambda)\tilde{\varphi}'(x, \lambda) - \varphi^{(j-1)}(x, \lambda)\tilde{\Phi}'(x, \lambda).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x, \lambda) &= P_{11}(x, \lambda)\tilde{\varphi}(x, \lambda) + P_{12}(x, \lambda)\tilde{\varphi}'(x, \lambda), \\ \Phi(x, \lambda) &= P_{11}(x, \lambda)\tilde{\Phi}(x, \lambda) + P_{12}(x, \lambda)\tilde{\Phi}'(x, \lambda). \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

According to (3.6) and (3.11), for each fixed x , the functions $P_{jk}(x, \lambda)$ are meromorphic in λ with poles and points λ_n and $\tilde{\lambda}_n$. Denote $G_\delta^0 = G_\delta \cap \tilde{G}_\delta$. By virtue of (3.11), (3.13), and

$$\varphi^{(\nu)}(x, \lambda) = O(|\lambda|^\nu \exp(|\operatorname{Im} \lambda| \mu^+(x))), \quad \lambda \in G_\delta^0, \quad \nu = 0, 1, \tag{3.14}$$

we get

$$|P_{12}(x, \lambda)| \leq C_\delta |\lambda|^{-1}, \quad |P_{11}(x, \lambda)| \leq C_\delta, \quad \lambda \in G_\delta^0. \tag{3.15}$$

It follows from (3.6) and (3.12) that if $M(\lambda) \equiv \tilde{M}(\lambda)$, then for each fixed x , the functions P_{1k} are entire in x . Together with (3.15), this yields $P_{12}(x, \lambda) \equiv 0$, $P_{11}(x, \lambda) \equiv A(x)$. Now using (3.13), we obtain

$$\varphi(x, \lambda) \equiv A(x)\tilde{\varphi}(x, \lambda), \quad \Phi(x, \lambda) \equiv A(x)\tilde{\Phi}(x, \lambda). \tag{3.16}$$

Therefore, for $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$, $\arg \lambda \in [\varepsilon, \pi - \varepsilon]$ ($\varepsilon > 0$), we have

$$\varphi(x, \lambda) = \frac{B}{2} \exp(-i(\lambda\mu^+(x) - \omega^+(x))) \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{|\lambda|}\right)\right), \tag{3.17}$$

where $B = 1$, for $x < \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $B = \beta^+$ for $x > \frac{\pi}{2}$. Similarly, one can calculate

$$\Phi(x, \lambda) = (i\lambda B)^{-1} \exp(i(\lambda\mu^+(x) - \omega^+(x))) \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{|\lambda|}\right)\right) \tag{3.18}$$

$|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty, \arg \lambda \in [\varepsilon, \pi - \varepsilon]$.

Finally, taking into account the relations $\langle \Phi(x, \lambda), \varphi(x, \lambda) \rangle \equiv 1$ and (3.11), we have $\beta^+ = \tilde{\beta}^+$, $A(x) \equiv 1$, that is, $\varphi(x, \lambda) \equiv \tilde{\varphi}(x, \lambda)$, $\Phi(x, \lambda) \equiv \tilde{\Phi}(x, \lambda)$ for all x and λ . Consequently, $L(p, q, h, H, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \equiv \tilde{L}(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}, \tilde{h}, \tilde{H}, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma})$. The theorem is proved. \square

Theorem 3.4 *Let $\{\lambda_n\}$ be the spectrum of both L and \tilde{L} . If $p(x) = \tilde{p}(x)$ and $q(x) = \tilde{q}(x)$ on $\left(0, \frac{1+\alpha}{4}\pi\right)$, then $p(x) = \tilde{p}(x)$ and $q(x) = \tilde{q}(x)$ almost every where on $(0, \pi)$ and $H = \tilde{H}$, $h = \tilde{h}$, $\rho(x) = \tilde{\rho}(x)$, $\beta = \tilde{\beta}, \gamma = \tilde{\gamma}$.*

Proof Let $\psi(x, \lambda), \tilde{\psi}(x, \lambda)$ be the solutions of the equations

$$-\psi''(x, \lambda) + [2\lambda p(x) + q(x)]\psi(x, \lambda) = \lambda^2 \rho(x)\psi(x, \lambda), \tag{3.19}$$

$$-\tilde{\psi}''(x, \lambda) + [2\lambda \tilde{p}(x) + \tilde{q}(x)]\tilde{\psi}(x, \lambda) = \lambda^2 \tilde{\rho}(x)\tilde{\psi}(x, \lambda) \tag{3.20}$$

with the initial valued conditions, respectively

$$\psi(0, \lambda) = 1, \psi'(0, \lambda) = -H \tag{3.21}$$

$$\tilde{\psi}(0, \lambda) = 1, \tilde{\psi}'(0, \lambda) = -\tilde{H} \tag{3.22}$$

and the impulsive conditions (1.4). Multiplying (3.19) by $\tilde{\psi}(x, \lambda)$ and (3.20) by $\psi(x, \lambda)$, respectively, and subtracting, we get

$$\tilde{\psi}''(x, \lambda)\psi(x, \lambda) - \psi''(x, \lambda)\tilde{\psi}(x, \lambda) = [2\lambda(p(x) - \tilde{p}(x)) + (q(x) - \tilde{q}(x))] \psi(x, \lambda)\tilde{\psi}(x, \lambda). \tag{3.23}$$

Integrating the above equality from 0 to π with respect to x , using the initial conditions at $x = \pi$ and impulse conditions (1.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_c^\pi [2\lambda(\tilde{p}(x) - p(x)) + (\tilde{q}(x) - q(x))] \psi(x, \lambda)\tilde{\psi}(x, \lambda) dx \\ &= \psi'(c, \lambda)\tilde{\psi}(c, \lambda) - \tilde{\psi}'(c, \lambda)\psi(c, \lambda) \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

from the hypothesis $\tilde{p}(x) = p(x)$, $\tilde{q}(x) = q(x)$ on $(0, c)$, where $c = \frac{1 + \alpha}{4}\pi$.

Denote

$$P(x) = \tilde{p}(x) - p(x), \quad Q(x) = \tilde{q}(x) - q(x)$$

and

$$F_0(\lambda) = 2\lambda \int_c^\pi P(x)\psi(x, \lambda)\tilde{\psi}(x, \lambda) dx + \int_c^\pi Q(x)\psi(x, \lambda)\tilde{\psi}(x, \lambda) dx. \tag{3.25}$$

It follows from (2.1), (2.2), and (2.14) that $F_0(\lambda)$ is an entire function of exponential type, and there are some positive constants C_1 and C_2 such that

$$|F_0(\lambda)| \leq (C_1 + C_2 |\lambda|) \exp(|\text{Im } \lambda| \alpha \pi) \text{ for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{3.26}$$

It is clear from the properties of $\psi(x, \lambda)$, $\psi'(x, \lambda)$, and the boundary conditions (1.2) that

$$F_0(\lambda_n) = 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{3.27}$$

for each eigenvalue λ_n .

Define

$$F(\lambda) := \frac{F_0(\lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)}.$$

It is clear that $F(\lambda)$ is an entire function, and it follows from (2.13) and (3.26) that

$$F(\lambda) = O(1)$$

for sufficiently large $|\lambda|$, $\lambda \in G_\delta$. Using Liouville's theorem [18], we obtain for all λ that

$$F(\lambda) = C,$$

where C is a constant.

Let us show that the $C = 0$. Now, we can rewrite the equation $F_0(\lambda) = C\Delta(\lambda)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\lambda \int_c^\pi P(x)\psi(x, \lambda)\tilde{\psi}(x, \lambda)dx + \int_c^\pi Q(x)\psi(x, \lambda)\tilde{\psi}(x, \lambda)dx \\ &= C \left(-\beta^+ \left(\lambda\alpha - \frac{p(\pi)}{\alpha} \right) \sin \left(\lambda\mu^+(\pi) - \frac{\omega^+(\pi)}{\alpha} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \beta^- \left(\lambda\alpha - \frac{p(\pi)}{\alpha} \right) \sin \left(\lambda\mu^-(\pi) + \frac{\omega^-(\pi)}{\alpha} \right) \right) \\ & \quad + C \left(+H\beta^+ \cos \left(\lambda\mu^+(\pi) - \frac{\omega^+(\pi)}{\alpha} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + H\beta^- \cos \left(\lambda\mu^-(\pi) + \frac{\omega^-(\pi)}{\alpha} \right) \right) \\ & \quad + O\left(e^{|\operatorname{Im} \lambda|\pi}\right). \end{aligned}$$

By use of Riemann–Lebesgue Lemma [18], we see that the limit of the left-hand side of the above equality exists as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, we get that $C = 0$. Thus, we have

$$F_0(\lambda) = 0, \text{ for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Then, from the equality (3.25), we obtain

$$\tilde{\psi}'(c, \lambda)\psi(c, \lambda) - \psi'(c, \lambda)\tilde{\psi}(c, \lambda) = 0$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Since

$$-\frac{\psi(c, \lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)} = -\frac{\tilde{\psi}(c, \lambda)}{\tilde{\Delta}(\lambda)}$$

we obtain

$$M(\lambda) = \tilde{M}(\lambda) \tag{3.28}$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

The function $M(\lambda) = -\frac{\psi(c, \lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)}$ is the Weyl function for equation (1.1) on the $\left(\frac{\pi(1+\alpha)}{4}, \pi\right)$, with jump conditions (1.4) and boundary conditions $y'(c) = 0, V(y) = 0$. Consequently, relation (3.28) implies $p(x) = \tilde{p}(x)$, $\rho(x) = \tilde{\rho}(x)$ and $q(x) = \tilde{q}(x)$ i.e. on (c, π) , $\beta = \tilde{\beta}, \gamma = \tilde{\gamma}, H = \tilde{H}$, so assertion of the theorem is proved. \square

Theorem 3.5 *If $\lambda_n = \tilde{\lambda}_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $p(x) = \tilde{p}(x)$, $q(x) = \tilde{q}(x)$ on (c, π) , then $p(x) = \tilde{p}(x)$ and $q(x) = \tilde{q}(x)$ almost every where on $(0, \pi)$.*

Proof If the operations in the proof of Theorem 3.3 are also performed for the function $\varphi(x, \lambda)$ which provides the initial conditions $\varphi(0, \lambda) = 1, \varphi'(0, \lambda) = h$ and (1.4) impulsive conditions of equation (1.1)

$$\int_0^c [2\lambda(\tilde{p}(x) - p(x)) + (\tilde{q}(x) - q(x))] \varphi(x, \lambda) \tilde{\varphi}(x, \lambda) dx = -\tilde{\varphi}'(c, \lambda) \varphi(c, \lambda) + \varphi'(c, \lambda) \tilde{\varphi}(c, \lambda) \tag{3.29}$$

equality is obtained. Denote

$$H(\lambda) = \int_0^c [2\lambda(\tilde{p}(x) - p(x)) + (\tilde{q}(x) - q(x))] \varphi(x, \lambda) \tilde{\varphi}(x, \lambda) dx.$$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.3, we have that $H(\lambda) = 0$, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Then, from the equality (3.29), we obtain

$$\tilde{\varphi}'(c, \lambda) \varphi(c, \lambda) - \varphi'(c, \lambda) \tilde{\varphi}(c, \lambda) = 0, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$$

or

$$\tilde{\Delta}(\lambda) \varphi(c, \lambda) - \Delta(\lambda) \tilde{\varphi}(c, \lambda) = 0,$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. From here for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\frac{\varphi(c, \lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)} = \frac{\tilde{\varphi}(c, \lambda)}{\tilde{\Delta}(\lambda)}$$

equality is obtained. The function $M(\lambda) = -\frac{\varphi(c, \lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)}$ is the Weyl function for equation (1.1) on the $(0, c)$ with

boundary conditions $U(y) = 0, y'(c) = 0$ and without impulsive conditions (1.4). The Weyl function uniquely determined $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ on $(0, \pi)$ and the coefficient h , so Theorem 3.4 is proved. \square

4. An interior inverse problems

We consider the interior inverse problem for the same problem L and obtain the corresponding results. For brevity, denote $c_1 = \frac{\pi(1+\alpha)}{4}, c_2 = \frac{\pi(1+\alpha)}{2}$.

Theorem 4.1 *If $\lambda_n = \tilde{\lambda}_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and*

$$\frac{y(c_1, \lambda_n)}{y'(c_1, \lambda_n)} = \frac{\tilde{y}(c_1, \lambda_n)}{\tilde{y}'(c_1, \lambda_n)} \tag{4.1}$$

then $p(x) = \tilde{p}(x)$ on $[0, \pi], q(x) = \tilde{q}(x)$ a.e. on $[0, \pi]$ and $\rho(x) = \tilde{\rho}(x), a = \tilde{a}, h = \tilde{h}, H = \tilde{H}$.

Proof Let $\varphi(x, \lambda)$ be the solution of the problem $L(p, q, h, H, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ satisfying the initial conditions $\varphi(0, \lambda) = 1, \varphi'(0, \lambda) = h$ and impulsive conditions (1.4). Firstly, the assumption that $\lambda_n = \tilde{\lambda}_n$ can determine $\rho(x) = \tilde{\rho}(x), a = \tilde{a}, h = \tilde{h}, H = \tilde{H}$ by Lemma 3.2. The other hand, from (3.29) we see that

$$\frac{\varphi(c_1, \lambda_n)}{\varphi'(c_1, \lambda_n)} = \frac{\tilde{\varphi}(c_1, \lambda_n)}{\tilde{\varphi}'(c_1, \lambda_n)}.$$

Then from (3.29), the entire function $H(\lambda)$ has zeros $\{\lambda_n\}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, i.e. $H(\lambda_n) = 0$. Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.4, we have that $p(x) = \tilde{p}(x)$ and $q(x) = \tilde{q}(x)$ on $(0, c_1)$. Once we get that $p(x) = \tilde{p}(x)$ and $q(x) = \tilde{q}(x)$, by corollary of Theorem 3.3 we have that $p(x) = \tilde{p}(x)$ on $(0, \pi)$ and $q(x) = \tilde{q}(x)$ a.e. on $(0, \pi)$. Theorem is proved. \square

Theorem 4.2 *Let $m(n)$ be a sequence of integers such that $\inf_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{m(n)}{\lambda_n} \geq 1$.*

i) If for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\lambda_{m(n)} = \tilde{\lambda}_{m(n)}, \frac{y(c_1, \lambda_{m(n)})}{y'(c_1, \lambda_{m(n)})} = \frac{\tilde{y}(c_1, \lambda_{m(n)})}{\tilde{y}'(c_1, \lambda_{m(n)})}. \tag{4.2}$$

Then $p(x) = \tilde{p}(x)$ on $(0, c_1)$, $q(x) = \tilde{q}(x)$ a.e. on $(0, c_1)$, and $\rho(x) = \tilde{\rho}(x)$, $h = \tilde{h}, H = \tilde{H}, a = \tilde{a}$.

ii) If for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\lambda_{m(n)} = \tilde{\lambda}_{m(n)}, \frac{y(c_2, \lambda_{m(n)})}{y'(c_2, \lambda_{m(n)})} = \frac{\tilde{y}(c_2, \lambda_{m(n)})}{\tilde{y}'(c_2, \lambda_{m(n)})}. \tag{4.3}$$

Then $p(x) = \tilde{p}(x)$ on (c_2, π) , $q(x) = \tilde{q}(x)$ a.e. on (c_2, π) , and $\rho(x) = \tilde{\rho}(x), a = \tilde{a}, h = \tilde{h}, H = \tilde{H}$.

Proof **i)** From the assumption (4.2) and (3.29), we have

$$\varphi'(c_1, \lambda_{m(n)}) \tilde{\varphi}(c_1, \lambda_{m(n)}) - \tilde{\varphi}'(c_1, \lambda_{m(n)}) \varphi(c_1, \lambda_{m(n)}) = 0$$

which means

$$H(\lambda_{m(n)}) = 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{4.4}$$

Next, we shall show that $H(\lambda) \equiv 0$ on the whole λ plane. From (2.2) and (2.1), one has

$$|H(\lambda)| \leq (A + Br) e^{2c_1 r |\sin \theta|} \tag{4.5}$$

for some positive constants A and B , where $\lambda = r e^{i\theta}$. Furthermore, it is clear from the inequality of (4.5) that the entire function $H(\lambda)$ is an exponential type less than $2c_1$. Define the indicator of function $H(\lambda)$ by

$$h(\theta) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln |H(r e^{i\theta})|}{r}. \tag{4.6}$$

One can obtain the following estimate from (4.5) and (4.6) that $h(\theta) \leq 2c_1 |\sin \theta|$. Let us denote by $n(r)$ the number of zeros of $H(\lambda)$ in the disk $|\lambda| \leq r$. From the equations (4.4), the assumption of (4.2) and known asymptotic expression of the eigenvalues λ_n , we have the following estimate for the number of zeros of $H(\lambda)$ in the disk $|\lambda| \leq r$.

$$n(r) = 1 + 2[\sigma r(1 + \varepsilon(r))] = 2\sigma r(1 + \varepsilon(r)).$$

Here $\varepsilon(r) \rightarrow 0$ for $r \rightarrow \infty$, σ is number such that $\sigma > \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} = \frac{2c_1}{\pi}$ and $[x]$ is the integer part of x . It follows that in the case under consideration

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(r)}{r} = 2\sigma > \frac{4c_1}{\pi} = \frac{c_1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\sin \theta| d\theta \geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} h(\theta) d\theta. \tag{4.7}$$

To complete the proof we have to recall the following Theorem 3.5 the set of zeros of every entire function of the exponential type, not identically zero, satisfy the inequality

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(r)}{r} \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} h(\theta) d\theta. \tag{4.8}$$

Inequalities (4.7) and (4.8) imply that $H(\lambda) \equiv 0$ on the whole λ - plane. As already mentioned, if $H(\lambda) \equiv 0$, then from (4.2) and (3.29), we have

$$\tilde{\varphi}(c_1, \lambda) \varphi'(c_1, \lambda) - \tilde{\varphi}'(c_1, \lambda) \varphi(c_1, \lambda) = 0,$$

so

$$\frac{\varphi(c_1, \lambda)}{\varphi'(c_1, \lambda)} = \frac{\tilde{\varphi}(c_1, \lambda)}{\tilde{\varphi}'(c_1, \lambda)}$$

on the whole λ - plane.

The function $M(\lambda) := \frac{\varphi(c_1, \lambda)}{\varphi'(c_1, \lambda)}$ is the Weyl function of the boundary value problem for the equation (1.1) on $(0, c_1)$ with boundary conditions $U(y) = 0, y'(c_1) = 0$ and without impulsive conditions (1.4) (see [24]). By ([24]), the Weyl function uniquely species $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ a.e. on $(0, c_1)$ and coefficient h .

ii) To prove that $p(x) = \tilde{p}(x)$ on $[c_2, \pi]$, $q(x) = \tilde{q}(x)$ a.e. on $[c_2, \pi]$, $\rho(x) = \tilde{\rho}(x), h = \tilde{h}, H = \tilde{H}, a = \tilde{a}$. We will consider the supplementary problem L

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} -y'' + [q_1(x) + 2\lambda p_1(x)] y = \lambda^2 \rho(x) y, \quad x \in \left[0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \cup \left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi\right] \\ y(0) - Hy(0) = 0, \\ y'(\pi) + hy(\pi) = 0, \\ y\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + 0\right) = a^{-1} y\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - 0\right), \\ y'\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + 0\right) = ay'\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - 0\right) + \gamma y\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - 0\right) \end{array} \right.$$

where $q_1(x) = q(\pi - x)$ and $p_1(x) = p(\pi - x)$. A direct calculation implies that $\hat{y}_n(x) := y_n(\pi - x)$ is the solution to the supplementary problem \hat{L} and $\hat{y}_n(\pi - c_2) = y_n(c_2)$. Note that $\pi - c_2 \in \left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$. Thus, the assumption conditions for \hat{L} in the case (i) are still satisfied. Repeating the above arguments, we can obtain the proof of Theorem 3.4.

Corollary: If for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}, \lambda_n = \tilde{\lambda}_n, p(x) = \tilde{p}(x)$ and $q(x) = \tilde{q}(x)$ on $(0, c_1)$, then $p(x) = \tilde{p}(x)$ on $(0, \pi)$, $q(x) = \tilde{q}(x)$ a.e. on $(0, \pi)$ and $\rho(x) = \tilde{\rho}(x), a = \tilde{a}, h = \tilde{h}, H = \tilde{H}$. □

5. Reconstruction

Let the functions $C(x, \lambda), C_1(x, \lambda)$, and $S_1(x, \lambda)$ be solutions of equation (1.1) under the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} C(0, \lambda) &= C_1(\pi, \lambda) = -S_1'(\pi, \lambda) = 1, \\ C'(0, \lambda) &= C_1'(\pi, \lambda) = S_1(\pi, \lambda) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

For each fixed $x \in [0, \pi]$, the functions $C(x, \lambda), C_1(x, \lambda)$, and $S_1(x, \lambda)$ together with their derivatives with respect to x are entire in λ . The function $\Delta^o(\lambda) := \varphi(\pi, \lambda)$ is a characteristic function of the boundary value problem for equation (1.1) with the boundary conditions

$$U(y) = 0, y(\pi) = 0, \tag{5.1}$$

we have

$$\Phi(x, \lambda) = \frac{\varphi(x, \lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)} = S_1(x, \lambda) + M(\lambda)\psi(x, \lambda), M(\lambda) = -\frac{\Delta^o(\lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)}. \tag{5.2}$$

Let $\{\lambda_n^o\}$ be the spectrum of (1.1), (5.1). Clearly, $\{\lambda_n\} \cap \{\lambda_n^o\} = \emptyset$. Thus, $M(\lambda)$ is a meromorphic function with the poles λ_n and the zeros λ_n^o .

Denote

$$\Delta_1^o(\lambda) := \varphi(c_1, \lambda), \Delta_1(\lambda) := \varphi'(c_1, \lambda) \tag{5.3}$$

$$\Delta_2^o(\lambda) := \psi(c_1, \lambda), \Delta_2(\lambda) := -\psi'(c_1, \lambda) \tag{5.4}$$

We note that $\Delta_1^o(\lambda)$ and $\Delta_1(\lambda)$ are characteristic functions of the boundary value problems

$$\ell y(x) = 0, 0 < x < c_1, U(y) = y(c_1) = 0, \tag{5.5}$$

$$\ell y(x) = 0, 0 < x < c_1, U(y) = y'(c_1) = 0, \tag{5.6}$$

respectively.

The function

$$M_1(\lambda) := -\frac{\Delta_1^o(\lambda)}{\Delta_1(\lambda)} \tag{5.7}$$

is the Weyl function for (5.6). The functions $\Delta_2^o(\lambda)$ and $\Delta_2(\lambda)$ are, in turn, characteristic functions of the boundary value problems

$$\ell y(x) = 0, c_1 < x < \pi, y(c_1) = V(y) = 0, \tag{5.8}$$

$$\ell y(x) = 0, c_1 < x < \pi, y'(c_1) = V(y) = 0, \tag{5.9}$$

respectively.

Let $\{\xi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\{\eta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be the spectra of the boundary value problems (5.8) and (5.9), i.e. they are the sequences of zeros of the functions $\Delta_2(\lambda)$ and $\Delta_2^o(\lambda)$, respectively. Thus, we have

$$\xi_n = \frac{\pi n}{\pi - c_1} + \frac{\omega^+(\pi) - \omega^+(c_1)}{\pi - c_1} + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right),$$

$$\eta_n = \frac{\pi}{\pi - c_1} \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{\omega^+(\pi) - \omega^+(c_1)}{\pi - c_1} + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right).$$

According to (5.2)–(5.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1^o(\lambda) &= \Delta(\lambda) S_1(c_1, \lambda) - \Delta^o(\lambda) \Delta_2^o(\lambda), \\ \Delta_1(\lambda) &= \Delta(\lambda) S_1'(c_1, \lambda) - \Delta^o(\lambda) \Delta_2(\lambda). \end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

If we use Lemma 4 in [6], we get the following for the $\Delta_1(\lambda)$ and $\Delta_1^o(\lambda)$:

$$\Delta_1(\lambda) = -(\lambda - p(c_1)) \sin(\lambda c_1 - \omega^+(c_1)) + d(\lambda),$$

$$\Delta_1^o(\lambda) = \cos(\lambda c_1 - \omega^+(c_1)) + d_o(\lambda),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} d(\lambda) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} d(\xi_n) \frac{\Delta_2(\lambda)}{(\lambda - \xi_n) \dot{\Delta}_2(\xi_n)}, \\ d_o(\lambda) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} d_o(\eta_n) \frac{\Delta_2^o(\lambda)}{(\lambda - \eta_n) \dot{\Delta}_2^o(\eta_n)}. \end{aligned}$$

From the asymptotic expression of ξ_n , the value of $\omega^+(c_1)$ is determined as

$$\omega^+(c_1) = -(\pi - c_1) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\xi_n - \frac{\pi n + \omega^+(\pi)}{\pi - c_1} \right).$$

In this case, if we take $\Delta_{1o}(\lambda) = -(\lambda - p(c_1)) \sin(\lambda c_1 - \omega^+(c_1))$,

$$\lambda_{n1}^o = \frac{\pi n}{c_1} + \omega_1, \omega_1 = \frac{1}{c_1} \int_0^{c_1} p(t) dt = \frac{1}{c_1} \omega^+(c_1)$$

follows.

From here,

$$\lambda_{n1} = \lambda_{n1}^o + \frac{(-1)^n \sin[\lambda_{n1}^o(\pi - c_1) - \omega^+(\pi) + \omega^+(c_1)]}{c_1 \lambda_{n1}^o \dot{\Delta}_2^o(\lambda_{n1}^o)} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{d(\xi_m)}{\dot{\Delta}_2(\xi_m)} + \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\lambda_{n1}^o} \tag{5.11}$$

asymptotic expression is obtained for the roots of the characteristic equation $\Delta_1(\lambda) = 0$. Here $\varepsilon_n \in \ell_2$.

Similarly,

$$\lambda_{no} = \lambda_{no}^o + \frac{(-1)^n \cos[\lambda_{no}^o(\pi - c_1) - \omega^+(\pi) + \omega^+(c_1)]}{c_1 \lambda_{no}^o \dot{\Delta}_2^o(\lambda_{no}^o)} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{d(\eta_m)}{\dot{\Delta}_2^o(\eta_m)} + \frac{\varepsilon_n^o}{\lambda_{no}^o} \tag{5.12}$$

behavior is found for the roots of the equation $\Delta_1^o(\lambda) = 0$. Here $\varepsilon_n^o \in \ell_2$.

If we use the proof of Lemma 9 in [2],

$$-2\lambda_{n1} \alpha_{n1} = \dot{\Delta}_1(\lambda_{n1}) \Delta_1^o(\lambda_{n1})$$

or

$$\alpha_{n1} = -\frac{1}{2\lambda_{n1}} \dot{\Delta}_1(\lambda_{n1}) \Delta_1^o(\lambda_{n1}) \tag{5.13}$$

is obtained. The sequences $\{\alpha_{n1}, \lambda_{n1}\}$ are called spectral data of the boundary value problem (5.6).

By using the expressions (5.11) and (5.12),

$$\alpha_{n1} = \frac{c_1}{2} + \frac{\delta_{1n}}{\lambda_{n1}^o} \tag{5.14}$$

is obtained from the equation (5.13), where $\delta_{1n} \in \ell_2$.

If the solution of the system of integral equations (2.20) and (2.21) is searched as

$$A(x, t) = A_o(x, t) \cos \omega^+(x) + A_1(x, t) \sin \omega^+(x) \tag{5.15}$$

$$B(x, t) = B_o(x, t) \cos \omega^+(x) + B_1(x, t) \sin \omega^+(x) \tag{5.16}$$

the functions of $A_o(x, t)$ and $B_o(x, t)$

$$\begin{aligned} &\beta^+ F_{11}(x, t) + A_o(x, t) + \beta^- F_{11}(2a - x, t) \\ &+ \int_0^x A_o(x, \xi) F_{11}(\xi, t) d\xi + \int_0^x B_o(x, \xi) F_{12}(\xi, t) d\xi = 0, 0 \leq t < x \end{aligned} \tag{5.17}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\beta^+ F_{21}(x, t) + B_o(x, t) + \beta^- F_{21}(2a - x, t) \\ &+ \int_0^x A_o(x, \xi) F_{21}(\xi, t) d\xi + \int_0^x B_o(x, \xi) F_{22}(\xi, t) d\xi = 0, 0 \leq t < x \end{aligned} \tag{5.18}$$

system, $A_1(x, t)$ and $B_1(x, t)$ functions are found as the solution of the system of

$$\begin{aligned} &\beta^+ F_{12}(x, t) + A_1(x, t) + \beta^- F_{12}(2a - x, t) \\ &+ \int_0^x A_1(x, \xi) F_{11}(\xi, t) d\xi + \int_0^x B_1(x, \xi) F_{12}(\xi, t) d\xi = 0, 0 \leq t < x \end{aligned} \tag{5.19}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\beta^+ F_{22}(x, t) + B_1(x, t) + \beta^- F_{22}(2a - x, t) \\ &+ \int_0^x A_1(x, \xi) F_{21}(\xi, t) d\xi + \int_0^x B_1(x, \xi) F_{22}(\xi, t) d\xi = 0, 0 \leq t < x \end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

equations.

On the other hand, if we use the equations (5.15) and (5.16), we get the nonlinear Volterra type integral equation in the following form

$$\beta^+ \omega^+(x) = \beta^+ xp(0) + \int_0^x \Phi(\xi, \omega^+(\xi)) d\xi \tag{5.21}$$

for the function $\omega^+(x)$ from equation (2.3), where

$$R(\xi, z) = 2A_1(\xi, \xi) \sin^2 z - 2B_o(\xi, \xi) \cos^2 z + [A_o(\xi, \xi) - B_1(\xi, \xi)] \sin 2z \tag{5.22}$$

is.

Thus, we get the following algorithm for solution of the inverse problem:

Given a collection of numbers $\{\lambda_{n1}, \alpha_{n1}\}$ satisfying the conditions (5.12) and (5.13), we construct the functions $F_{ij}(x, t)$ ($i, j = 1, 2$) by (2.22)–(2.25) and consider the two systems of equations (5.17), (5.18) and (5.19), (5.20) with respect to $A_o(x, t), B_o(x, t)$ and $A_1(x, t), B_1(x, t)$, respectively. Solving these systems, we find $A_o(x, t), B_o(x, t)$ and $A_1(x, t), B_1(x, t)$. Then we form the function $R(\xi, z)$ by (5.22) and consider equation (5.21) for $\omega^+(x)$. Solving this equation we find $\omega^+(x)$ and then $p(x)$ by $p(x) = (\omega^+(x))'$ according to (2.8). Next, define $A(x, t), B(x, t)$ by (5.15), (5.16) and then $q(x)$ by (2.4).

6. Examples

Example 6.1 Define $\rho(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } x < \frac{\pi}{2} \\ \alpha^2, & \text{for } x > \frac{\pi}{2} \end{cases}$ and then consider the boundary value problem L

$$-y'' + [q(x) + 2\lambda p(x)]y = \lambda^2 \rho(x)y, \quad x \in (0, \pi)$$

$$U(y) := y'(0) - hy(0) = 0$$

$$V(y) := y'(\pi) + Hy(\pi) = 0$$

with the impulsive conditions

$$y\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + 0\right) = \beta y\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - 0\right),$$

$$y'\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + 0\right) = \beta^{-1} y'\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - 0\right) + \gamma y\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - 0\right).$$

Also consider the boundary value problem L_0 ,

$$-y'' = \lambda^2 \rho(x)y, \quad x \in (0, \pi)$$

$$U(y) := y'(0) = 0$$

$$V(y) := y'(\pi) = 0$$

with the some impulsive conditions. Let λ_n and λ_n^0 be the eigenvalues and $M(\lambda), M_0(\lambda)$ be the Weyl functions of boundary value problems L and L_0 , respectively.

Using Theorem 3.3, if $\lambda_n = \lambda_n^0$ and $p(x) = 0, q(x) = 0$ on $\left(0, \frac{1+\alpha}{4}\pi\right)$, and $h = 0$, then $M(\lambda) = M_0(\lambda)$ i.e. $p(x) = 0$ and $q(x) = 0$ a.e. on $(0, \pi)$ and $H = 0, \alpha = \tilde{\alpha}, \beta = \tilde{\beta}, \gamma = \tilde{\gamma}$.

Example 6.2 Let $q(x) = \begin{cases} q_1(x), & 0 \leq x < c_1 \\ 0, & c_1 < x \leq \pi \end{cases}$ and $p(x) = \begin{cases} p_1(x), & 0 < x < c_1 \\ 0, & c_1 < x \leq \pi \end{cases}$ be the differential equation (1.1), (1.2)-(1.3) boundary conditions and (1.4) discontinuity conditions. Since in this case $\xi_n = \frac{\pi n}{\pi - c_1}, \eta_n = \frac{\pi}{\pi - c_1}(n + \frac{1}{2})$ will be, $d(\lambda_{n1}^o) = 0, d_o(\lambda_{no}^o) = 0$ and $\alpha_{n1} = \frac{c_1}{2}$. From this, it is obtained that $F_{ij}(x, t) = 0, (i, j = 1, 2), 0 \leq t \leq x$. Thus, since $A_1(x, t) = 0, B_1(x, t) = 0, A_o(x, t) = 0, B_o(x, t) = 0$ are $R(\xi, z) = 0$. Thus, for $\forall x \in [0, \pi] \omega^+(x) = 0$ or $\int_0^x p_1(t)dt = 0$ is obtained. From here, it becomes $p_1(x) = 0$. Since $q_1(x) = -p_1^2(x), q_1(x) = 0$ is obtained.

Example 6.3 Let $q(x) = \begin{cases} q_1(x), & 0 \leq x \leq c_1 \\ \frac{2a^2}{(1+ax)^2}, & c_1 \leq x \leq \pi \end{cases}$ and $p(x) = 0$ be the differential equation (1.1), (1.2)-(1.3) boundary conditions $\beta = 1, \gamma = 0$. In this case, the function

$$\psi(x, \lambda) = \cos \lambda(\pi - x) - \frac{a}{1+ax} \cdot \frac{\sin \lambda(\pi - x)}{\lambda}$$

is the solution of the differential equation

$$-y'' + \frac{2a^2}{(1+ax)^2}y = \lambda^2 y$$

that satisfies the initial conditions $\psi(\pi, \lambda) = 1, \psi'(\pi, \lambda) = \frac{a}{1+a\pi}$. From here, if we take $c_1 = \frac{\pi}{2}$, it becomes $\lambda_{n1}^2 = (2n)^2, \lambda_{no}^2 = (2n+1)^2, \alpha_n = \frac{\pi}{2}$. If we take $a := \frac{1}{\alpha_0} - \frac{1}{\pi}$, it becomes

$$F(x, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\cos \lambda_{n1}x \cos \lambda_{n1}t}{\alpha_n} - \frac{\cos (2n+1)x \cos (2n+1)t}{\alpha_n^o} \right)$$

from equation (1.5.10) given in [7]. Therefore, $K(x, t) = -\frac{a}{1+ax}$ is obtained from the basic integral equation

$$K(x, t) + F(x, t) + \int_0^x K(x, s)F(s, t)ds = 0, 0 < t < x < \frac{\pi}{2}$$

given in [7]. Since $q_1(x) = 2 \frac{dK(x, x)}{dx}$, it turns out that

$$q_1(x) = 2 \frac{d}{dx} \left(-\frac{a}{1+ax} \right) = \frac{2a^2}{(1+ax)^2}, 0 < x < \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

References

[1] Amirov RKh. On Sturm–Liouville operators with discontinuity conditions inside an interval. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 2006; 317 (1): 163-176.

- [2] Amirov RKh, Nabiev AA. Inverse problems for the quadratic pencil of the Sturm–Liouville equations with impulse. *Abstract and Applied Analysis* 2013; 2013: 1-10.
- [3] Amirov RKh. On construction of a quadratic Sturm–Liouville operator pencil with impulse from spectral data. *Eastern Anatolian Journal of Science* 2020; 6 (2): 1-8.
- [4] Bellman R, Cooke KL. *Differential-Difference Equations*. New York, NY, USA: Academic Press, 1963.
- [5] Borg G. Eine umkehrung der Sturm–Liouvilleschen eigenwertaufgabe. *Acta Mathematica* 1946; 78: 1-96 (in German).
- [6] Buterin SA. On half inverse problem for differential pencils with the spectral parameter in boundary conditions. *Tamkang Journal of Mathematics* 2011; 42 (3): 355-364.
- [7] Freiling G, Yurko VA. *Inverse Sturm–Liouville Problems and Their Applications*. New York, NY, USA: Nova Science, 2001.
- [8] Freiling G, Yurko VA. Inverse spectral problems for singular non-selfadjoint differential operators with discontinuities in an interior point. *Inverse Problems* 2002; 18 (3): 757-773.
- [9] Hald OH. Discontinuous inverse eigenvalue problems. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics* 1984; 37 (5): 539-577.
- [10] Hochstadt H, Lieberman B. An inverse Sturm–Liouville problem with mixed given data. *Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics* 1978; 34 (4): 676-680.
- [11] Hryniv RO, Mykytyuk YV. Half-inverse spectral problems for Sturm–Liouville operators with singular potentials. *Inverse Problems* 2004; 20 (5): 1423-1444.
- [12] Jonas P. On the spectral theory of operators associated with perturbed Klein-Gordon and wave type equations. *Journal of Operator Theory* 1993; 29: 207-224.
- [13] Keldysh MV. On the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of some classes of nonselfadjoint equations. *Doklady Akademiy. Nauk Armian SSSR* 1951; 77: 11-14.
- [14] Kostyuchenko AG, Shkalikov AA. Selfadjoint quadratic operator pencils and elliptic problems. *Functional Analysis and its Applications* 1983; 17 (2): 38-61.
- [15] Koyunbakan H. Inverse problem for a quadratic pencil of Sturm–Liouville operator. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 2011; 378: 549-554.
- [16] Krueger RJ. Inverse problems for nonabsorbing media with discontinuous material properties. *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 1982; 23 (3): 396-404.
- [17] Lapwood FR, Usami T. *Free Oscillation of The Earth*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 1981.
- [18] Levin BYA. *Lectures on Entire Functions*. Translations of Mathematical Monographs Providence, RI, USA: American Mathematical Society, 1996.
- [19] Litvinenko ON, Soshnikov VI. *The Theory of Heterogeneous Lines and Their Applications in Radio Engineering*. Moscow, Russia: Radio, 1964.
- [20] Marchenko VA. *Sturm–Liouville Operators and Their Applications*. Kiev, Russia: Naukova Dumka, 1977.
- [21] Martinyuk O, Pivovarchik V. On the Hochstadt-Lieberman theorem. *Inverse Problems* 2010; 26 (3).
- [22] McLaughlin JR. Analytical methods for recovering coefficients in differential equations from spectral data. *Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics Review* 1986; 28 (1): 53-72.
- [23] Meschonav VP, Feldstein AI. *Automatic Design of Directional Couplers*. Moscow, Russian: Sviaz, 1980.
- [24] Nabiev AA, Amirov RKh. Integral representations for the solutions of the generalized Schroedinger equation in a finite interval. *Advances in Pure Mathematics* 2015; 5 (13): 777-795.

- [25] Rundell W, Sacks PE. Reconstruction techniques for classical inverse Sturm–Liouville problems. *Mathematics of Computation* 1992; 58 (197): 161-183.
- [26] Rundell W, Sacks PE. Reconstruction of a radially symmetric potential from two spectral sequences. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 2001; 264 (2): 354-381.
- [27] Sakhnovich L. Half-inverse problems on the finite interval. *Inverse Problems* 2001; 17 (3): 527-532.
- [28] Shepelsky DG. The inverse problem of reconstruction of the medium’s conductivity in a class of discontinuous and increasing functions. *Advances in Soviet Mathematics* 1997; 19: 303-309.
- [29] Willis C. Inverse Sturm–Liouville problems with two discontinuities. *Inverse Problems* 1985; 1 (3): 263-289.
- [30] Xu XC, Yang CF. Reconstruction of the Sturm–Liouville operator with discontinuities from a particular set of eigenvalues. *Applied Mathematics A Journal of Chinese Universities Series B* 2018; 33 (2): 225-233.
- [31] Yamamoto M. Inverse eigenvalue problem for a vibration of a string with viscous drag. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 1990; 152: 20-34.
- [32] Yang CF, Yang XP. An interior inverse problem for the Sturm–Liouville operator with discontinuous conditions. *Applied Mathematics Letters* 2009; 22 (9): 1315-1319.
- [33] Yang CF. Hochstadt-Lieberman theorem for Dirac operator with eigenparameter dependent boundary conditions. *Nonlinear Analysis* 2011; 74 (7): 2475-2484.
- [34] Yang CF, GuoYX. Determination of a differential pencil from interior spectral data. *Journal. Mathematical. Analysis Applications* 2011; 375: 284-293.
- [35] Yang CF, Zettl A. Half inverse problems for quadratic pencils of Sturm–Liouville operators. *Taiwanese Journal Of Mathematics* 2012; 16 (5): 1829-1846.
- [36] Yurko VA. Integral transforms connected with discontinuous boundary value problems. *Integral Transforms and Special Functions* 2000; 10 (2): 141-164.
- [37] Zhang R, Xu XC, Yang CF, Bondarenko NP. Determination of the impulsive Sturm–Liouville operator from a set of eigenvalues. *Journal of Inverse and Ill-Posed Problems* 2019.