On quasi-affinity and reducing subspaces of multiplication operator on a certain closed subspace
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Abstract: Let $H$ denote a certain closed subspace of the Bergman space $A^2_\alpha(\mathbb{B}_n) (\alpha > -1)$ of the unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^n$. In this paper, we prove that the operator $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} M_{s_1, \ldots, s_n}$ is quasi-affine to the multiplication operator $M_{s_1, \ldots, s_n}$ on $H$. Furthermore, the reducing subspaces of $M_{s_1, \ldots, s_n}$ are characterized on $H$.
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1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{C}$ denote the set of complex numbers and $\mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}$ denote the Euclidean space of complex dimension $n$. The open unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^n$ is the set $\mathbb{B}_n = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z| < 1\}$. The boundary of $\mathbb{B}_n$ is the set $\mathbb{S}_n = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z| = 1\}$. For $\alpha > -1$, the weighted Bergman space $A^2_\alpha(\mathbb{B}_n)$ consists of holomorphic functions $f$ in $L^2(\mathbb{B}_n, dv)$. The weighted Lebesgue measure $dv$ is defined by $dv(z) = \frac{(n+\alpha+1)}{\alpha+1} (1-|z|^2)^\alpha dv(z)$. The Bergman space $A^2_\alpha(\mathbb{B}_n)$ is a Hilbert space with the reproducing kernel $K(z, w) = \frac{1}{(1-\langle z, w \rangle)^{(n+\alpha+1)/2}}$, $z, w \in \mathbb{B}_n$.

If $f, g \in A^2_\alpha(\mathbb{B}_n)$, the inner product of $f$ and $g$ is defined by $\langle f, g \rangle_\alpha = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} f(z)\overline{g(z)}dv(z)$.

For a bounded linear operator $S$ on a Hilbert space $H$, let $\mathcal{A}'(S)$ denote the commutant of $S$, i.e. $\mathcal{A}'(S) = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(H) | TS = ST\}$. The characterization of the commutant of $S$ should help in understanding the structure of $S$. From the information of the commutant of a given operator, people research the similar or unitary equivalence and the reducing subspaces of the operator. These problems on function spaces such as the Hardy space and the Bergman space have been studied extensively in the literature. We mention here that the papers [1–3, 5, 6, 8–11, 13–15] and the books [4, 17] include a lot of analysis of the operator theory associated with the Hardy and the Bergman spaces. J. A. Ball (see [2]) and E. Nordgren (see [13]) studied the problem of determining the reducing subspaces for an analytic Toeplitz operator on the Hardy space. In [14], M. Stessin and K. H. Zhu described the properties of the commutant of analytic Toeplitz operators with inner function symbols on the Hardy space and the Bergman space. In [7], Gu characterized reducing subspaces of nonanalytic Toeplitz operators on weighted Hardy and Dirichlet spaces of the bidisk. In 2010, Lu and Zhou researched the invariant subspaces and reducing subspaces of weighted Bergman space over bidisk (see [12]). In 2011, Douglas and Kim in [5] studied the reducing subspaces of an analytic multiplication operator $M_z^n$ on
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the Bergman space $A^2_\alpha(A_r)$ of the annulus $A_r$.

Based on the above works, in this paper, we prove that the operator $\bigoplus M_z(s_1,\ldots,s_n)$ is quasi-affine to the multiplication operator $M_z(m_1,\ldots,m_n)$ on a certain closed subspace $H$ which depends on $s = (s_1,\ldots,s_n)$ of the Bergman space $A^2_\alpha(B_n)$. Then we characterize the reducing subspaces of $M_z(m_1,\ldots,m_n)$ on $H$.

2. The quasi-affinity of $M_z(m_1,\ldots,m_n)$ ($m \geq 2$)

Recall that the functions $e_l(z) = \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(n+|l|+1)}{\Gamma(n+|l|)}} z^l$ forms an orthonormal basis of the Bergman space $A^2_\alpha(B_n)$, where $l = (l_1,l_2,\ldots,l_n)$ runs over all multiindexes of nonnegative integers. And $|l| = l_1 + l_2 + \cdots + l_n$, $l! = (l_1)!\cdots(l_n)!$, $z^l = z_1^{l_1} \cdots z_n^{l_n}$. It is well known that the multiplication operator $M_w$ is similar to $\bigoplus M_z$ on the Bergman space $A^2_\alpha(D)$ (see [11]). Now, we will investigate the situation on the Bergman space $A^2_\alpha(B_n)$. Because of the complication of multiindexes map, we consider a certain closed subspace $H$ of the Bergman space $A^2_\alpha(B_n)$. That is, $H = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \{e_{(k_1,\ldots,k_n)}\}$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $s_i \geq 1$ ($i = 1,2,\ldots,n$).

In the following lemma we give a decomposition of the space $H$.

**Lemma 2.1** If $H_j = \text{span}\{e_{((k+j)s_1,\ldots,(k+j)s_n)} | k \geq 0\}$ ($j = 0,1,\ldots,m-1$), then we have

(i) $\{e_{((k+j)s_1,\ldots,(k+j)s_n)}\}_{k=0}^\infty$ forms an orthonormal basis of $H_j$.

(ii) $H = H_0 \oplus H_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus H_m$.

(iii) $H_j$ is a reducing subspace of $M_z(m_1,\ldots,m_n)$.

**Proof** (i) Simple computation shows that

$$\langle e_{((k_1+j)s_1,\ldots,(k_1+j)s_n)}, e_{((k_2+j)s_1,\ldots,(k_2+j)s_n)} \rangle = \begin{cases} 1, & k_1 = k_2, \\ 0, & k_1 \neq k_2. \end{cases}$$

(ii) It is easy to prove that $H_j \perp H_t$, $0 \leq j \neq t \leq m-1$.

Next, for $f \in H$, we have that $f$ has the form

$$f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k e_{(ks_1,\ldots,ks_n)} + \cdots + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} a_{m-1,k} e_{((mk+m-1)s_1,\ldots,(mk+m-1)s_n)}.$$

Suppose that $f = 0$. Then from

$$\langle \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} a_{jk} e_{((mk+j)s_1,\ldots,(mk+j)s_n)}, e_{(ls_1,\ldots,ls_n)} \rangle = 0 \ (l = 0,1,\ldots),$$

we obtain that $a_{jk} = 0$ ($j = 0,\ldots,m-1, k = 0,1,\ldots$). That is, $0 = 0 \oplus 0 \oplus \cdots \oplus 0$. Therefore, $H = H_0 \oplus H_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus H_{m-1}$.

(iii) It is easy to see that both $H_j$ and $H_j^\perp$ are invariant subspaces of $M_z(m_1,\ldots,m_n)$. $\square$
Let $H$ and $K$ be complex Hilbert spaces. An operator $X$ in $\mathcal{L}(H,K)$ is said to be quasi-invertible if $X$ has zero kernel and dense range. Recall that for $A \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ and $B \in \mathcal{L}(K)$, $A$ is quasi-affine to $B$ if there exists a quasi-invertible operator $S$ in $\mathcal{L}(H,K)$ such that $SA = BS$ (see [16]).

Now we state our main theorem of this section.

**Theorem 2.2** The operator $\frac{m}{m} \bigoplus_{l=1}^{m} M_z(s_1, \ldots, s_n)$ is quasi-affine to the multiplication operator $M_z(m,s_1, \ldots, m,s_n)$ on $H$.

**Proof** In the following, for simplicity, we set $\gamma_k = \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(n+k|s|+\alpha+1)}{\prod_{i=1}^{k} (k_s)_! \Gamma(n+\alpha+1)}}$.

Note that

$$M_z(s_1, \ldots, s_n) e_{(k_1, \ldots, k_n)} = z^{(s_1, \ldots, s_n)} \gamma_k z^{(k_1, \ldots, k_n)} = \frac{\gamma_k}{\gamma} e_{((k+1)s_1, \ldots, (k+1)s_n)}.$$ (2.3)

Set $M_j = M_z(m,s_1, \ldots, m,s_n) |_{H_j}$ $(j = 0, 1, \ldots, m - 1)$. Then

$$M_j e_{((mk+j)s_1, \ldots, (mk+j)s_n)} = \frac{M_j z^{((mk+j)s_1, \ldots, (mk+j)s_n)}}{\gamma_{mk+j}} = \frac{\gamma_{mk+j}}{\gamma_{mk+m+j}} e_{((mk+m+j)s_1, \ldots, (mk+m+j)s_n)}.$$ (2.4)

Define $X_j: H \to H_j$ such that $X_j e_{(k_1, \ldots, k_n)} = c_{kj} e_{((mk+j)s_1, \ldots, (mk+j)s_n)}$. The coefficients $c_{kj}$ are to be determined later. Then we have

$$X_j M_z(s_1, \ldots, s_n) e_{(k_1, \ldots, k_n)} = M_j X_j e_{(k_1, \ldots, k_n)}.$$

In fact,

$$X_j \frac{\gamma_k}{\gamma} e_{((k+1)s_1, \ldots, (k+1)s_n)} = M_j c_{kj} e_{((mk+j)s_1, \ldots, (mk+j)s_n)},$$

and

$$\frac{\gamma_{mk+j}}{\gamma_{mk+m+j}} c_{kj} e_{((mk+m+j)s_1, \ldots, (mk+m+j)s_n)} = \frac{\gamma_{mk+m+j}}{\gamma_{mk+m+j}} \gamma_{mk+m+j} c_{kj} e_{((mk+m+j)s_1, \ldots, (mk+m+j)s_n)}.$$ (2.5)

From

$$\frac{c_{kj}}{c_{kj}} = \frac{\gamma_{mk+m+j}}{\gamma_{mk+m+j}}$$

we obtain

$$c_{kj} = \frac{\gamma_{mk+j}}{\gamma_{mk+m+j}} (k \geq 0).$$ (2.6)

Next, we will compute the limit of sequence $\{c_{kj}\}$ as $k \to +\infty$.

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} (c_{kj})^2 = \lim_{k \to +\infty} \left( \frac{\gamma_{mk+j}}{\gamma_{mk+m+j}} \right)^2 \frac{\Gamma(n+k|s|+\alpha+1)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} ((mk+j)_s)!} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} (k_s)_!}{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1) \prod_{i=1}^{n} (k_s)_!}.$$ (2.7)
Hence, we only need to compute the limit of
\[
d_{kj} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} [(km + j)s_i]!\Gamma(n + k|s| + \alpha + 1)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} (ks_i)!\Gamma(n + (km + j)|s| + \alpha + 1)}.
\] (2.8)

Applying the Stirling’s formula \( n! \sim n^{n+\frac{1}{2}}e^{-n}\sqrt{2\pi} \), we have
\[
d_{kj} = \frac{n}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} (ks_i)!\Gamma(n + (km + j)|s| + \alpha + 1)} \times \frac{(k|s| + n + \alpha)^{k|s|+n+\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}}{[(km + j)|s| + n + \alpha]^{(km + j)|s| + n + \alpha + \frac{1}{2}}}
\]
\[
= \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{(km + j)s_i}{ks_i} \right]^{k|s|+\frac{1}{2}} \times \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{[(km + j)|s| + n + \alpha]^{(km + j)|s| + n + \alpha + \frac{1}{2}}}
\]
\[
= \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{(km + j)s_i}{ks_i} \right]^{k|s|+\frac{1}{2}} \times \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{[(km + j)|s| + n + \alpha]^{(km + j)|s| + n + \alpha + \frac{1}{2}}}
\]
\[
= m^{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{[(km + j)|s| + n + \alpha]^{(km + j)|s| + n + \alpha + \frac{1}{2}}}
\]
\[
= \left( \frac{1}{m} \right)^{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{[(km + j)|s| + n + \alpha]^{(km + j)|s| + n + \alpha + \frac{1}{2}}}
\]

Note that
\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \left[ \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} s_i^{(km + j)s_i - ks_i}} \right]^{\left( \frac{1}{km} \right)^{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} s_i^{(km + j)s_i - ks_i}} = 1.
\]
Therefore

$$a = \max\{s_1, \cdots, s_n\}, \ b = \min\{s_1, \cdots, s_n\}.$$ 

Then

$$\frac{b^{(km+j)|s| - k|s|}}{|s|^{(km+j)|s| - k|s|}} \leq \frac{s_1^{(km+j)s_1 - ks_1} \cdots s_n^{(km+j)s_n - ks_n}}{|s|^{(km+j)|s| - k|s|}} \leq \frac{a^{(km+j)|s| - k|s|}}{|s|^{(km+j)|s| - k|s|}}.$$ 

From

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{b^{(km+j)|s| - k|s|}}{|s|^{(km+j)|s| - k|s|}} = 0, \ \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{a^{(km+j)|s| - k|s|}}{|s|^{(km+j)|s| - k|s|}} = 0,$$

we obtain

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{s_1^{(km+j)s_1 - ks_1} \cdots s_n^{(km+j)s_n - ks_n}}{|s|^{(km+j)|s| - k|s|}} = 0.$$ 

Clearly, when $j = 0$,

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \left[ 1 + \frac{j}{km} \right]^{k|s|} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\frac{j|s| + n + \alpha}{km|s|}} \right)^{\frac{k|s|}{|s|}} \left( 1 + \frac{n + \alpha}{(km+j)|s|} \right)^{k|s|} = 1.$$ 

When $j = 1, \cdots, m - 1$, we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \left[ 1 + \frac{j}{km} \right]^{k|s|} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\frac{j|s| + n + \alpha}{km|s|}} \right)^{\frac{k|s|}{|s|}} \left( 1 + \frac{n + \alpha}{(km+j)|s|} \right)^{k|s|} = 1.$$ 

And

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \left( 1 + \frac{n + \alpha}{k|s|} \right)^{k|s|} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{\frac{n + \alpha}{(km+j)|s|}} \right]^{(km+j)|s|} = 1.$$ 

Moreover

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} d_{kj} = 0.$$ 

Therefore

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} (c_{kj})^2 = \lim_{k \to \infty} \gamma_j^2 d_{kj} = 0.$$ 

Suppose that $f \in \ker X_j$, and $f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \xi_k e^{(ks_1, \cdots, ks_n)}$, $\xi_k \in \mathbb{C}$. Then, from

$$0 = \langle X_j f, e^{((mk+j)s_1, \cdots, (mk+j)s_n)} \rangle = \langle \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \xi_k e^{((mk+j)s_1, \cdots, (mk+j)s_n)}, e^{((mk+j)s_1, \cdots, (mk+j)s_n)} \rangle,$$

we deduce that $\xi_k = 0 \ (k = 0, 1, \cdots)$. So $\ker X_j = \{0\}$.
Next, for \( g \in \ker X^*_j \), and \( g = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \eta_k e_{((mk+j)s_1, \ldots, (mk+j)s_n)} \), \( \eta_k \in \mathbb{C} \), from

\[
0 = \langle X^*_j g, e_{(k_1, \ldots, k_m)} \rangle = \langle g, X_j e_{(k_1, \ldots, k_m)} \rangle = \langle \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \eta_k e_{((mk+j)s_1, \ldots, (mk+j)s_n)}, c_{k} e_{((mk+j)s_1, \ldots, (mk+j)s_n)} \rangle;
\]

we have \( \eta_k = 0 \) (\( k = 0, 1, \ldots \)). So \( \ker X^*_j = (\text{ran } X^*_j)^\perp = \{0\} \). That is, \( \text{ran } X^*_j = H^*_j \). This implies that \( X^*_j \) is quasi-invertible. Therefore, \( M_{z^{(s_1, \ldots, s_n)}} \) is quasi-affine to \( M_j \). Since

\[
M_{z^{(s_1, \ldots, s_n)}}|_H = M_0 \oplus M_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{m-1},
\]

we conclude that \( \bigoplus_{1}^{m} M_{z^{(s_1, \ldots, s_n)}} \) is quasi-affine to \( M_{z^{(s_1, \ldots, s_n)}} \).

3. The reducing subspaces of \( M_{z^{(s_1, \ldots, s_n)}} \) on \( H \)

From Lemma 2.1, we know that for any \( f \in H \), there exists a unique orthogonal decomposition \( f = f_0 + f_1 + \cdots + f_{m-1} \), where \( f_j \in H_j \) (\( j = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1 \)). In this section, we will characterize the reducing subspaces of \( M_{z^{(s_1, \ldots, s_n)}} \) on \( H \).

**Lemma 3.1** If \( M_g : H_j \rightarrow H \) is a bounded multiplication operator by a function \( g \) on \( \mathbb{B}_n \), then \( g \in H^\infty(\mathbb{B}_n) \), and \( \|g\|_{\infty} \leq \|M_g\| \).

**Proof** Since \( g = \frac{M_z z^{ks}}{z^{ks}} \), clearly \( M_z z^{ks} \) and \( z^{ks} \) are 2 holomorphic functions. Set \( \Omega = \{ (z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n) : z_1 z_2 \cdots z_n = 0 \} \), then \( g \in H(\mathbb{B}_n \setminus \Omega) \). By the Riemann’s theorem about removable singular point in the several variable functions, there exists a unique holomorphic function \( G \in H(\mathbb{B}_n) \) such that \( G|_{\mathbb{B}_n \setminus \Omega} = g \). Let \( \eta_{z^s} \) denote the point evaluation functional on \( H \) defined by \( \eta_{z^s}(h) = h(z^s) \) for \( h \in H \). It is obvious that \( \eta_{z^s} \) is bounded, and for \( h_k \in H_k \),

\[
|g(z^s)\eta_{z^s}(h_k)| = |g(z^s)h_k(z^s)| = |\eta_{z^s}(M_g(h_k))| \leq \|\eta_{z^s}\| \|M_g\| \|h_k\|.
\]

It follows that

\[
|g(z^s)| \|\eta_{z^s}\| \leq \|\eta_{z^s}\| \|M_g\|.
\]

Therefore, \( |g(z^s)| \leq \|M_g\| \), and \( g \) is holomorphic on \( \mathbb{B}_n \).

**Lemma 3.2** Let \( S \in \mathcal{L}(H) \). Then \( S \in \{ M_{z^{(s_1, \ldots, s_n)}} \} \)' if and only if there exist functions \( g_j \) (\( j = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1 \)) in \( H^\infty(\mathbb{B}_n) \) such that \( Sf = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} g_j f_j \), where \( f_j \in H_j \).

**Proof** Let \( Sf = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} g_j f_j \), and \( M_{g_j} : H \rightarrow H \) is the multiplication operator defined by \( M_{g_j}(h) = g_j h \) for \( h \in H \). Then for any \( f \in H \), we have

\[
SM_{z^{(s_1, \ldots, s_n)}}f = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} g_j f_j = z^{(s_1, \ldots, s_n)}Sf = z^{(s_1, \ldots, s_n)}M_{z^{(s_1, \ldots, s_n)}}f.
\]

\[1539\]
That is, \( SM_{z(m_1, \ldots, m_n)} = M_{z(m_1, \ldots, m_n)}S \), as desired.

Next, if we suppose that \( SM_{z(m_1, \ldots, m_n)} = M_{z(m_1, \ldots, m_n)}S \), then
\[
M'_{f(m_1, \ldots, m_n)} - z(m_1, \ldots, m_n)S^\ast = S^\ast M'_{f(m_1, \ldots, m_n)} - z(m_1, \ldots, m_n),
\]
for any \( t = (t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \). From [17], we know that the reproducing kernel of \( H \) is defined by
\[
K^\alpha(z^s, w^t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+k|s|+\alpha)}{\prod (ks)!\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)} z^k w^k,
\]
such that for each \( f \) in \( H \), \( f(w^s) = \langle f(z^s), K^\alpha(z^s, w^t) \rangle \), where we use \( z^k \) to denote \( z_1^{k_1}z_2^{k_2} \cdots z_n^{k_n} \). We know that \( \ker M'_{f(m_1, \ldots, m_n)} - z(m_1, \ldots, m_n) \) is generated by the set
\[
\{ K^\alpha(z^s, (t_1 w_j, \cdots, t_n w_j)^t) \}
\]
\[
= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+k|s|+\alpha)}{\prod (ks)!\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)} z^k w_j^k |w_j = (e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m_1}}, e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m_2}}, \cdots, e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m_n}}), 0 \leq j \leq m - 1 \}.
\]

Note that \( S^\ast K^\alpha(z^s, t^t) \in \ker M'_{f(m_1, \ldots, m_n)} - z(m_1, \ldots, m_n) \), and we obtain
\[
S^\ast K^\alpha(z^s, t^t) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} a_j(t^t)K^\alpha(z^s, (t w_j)^t), a_j(t^t) \in \mathbb{C}.
\] (3.2)

Thus,
\[
Sf(z^s) = \langle Sf, K^\alpha(w^s, z^s) \rangle
\]
\[
= \langle f, S^\ast K^\alpha(w^s, z^s) \rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} a_j(z^s)f((tw_j)^s)
\]
\[
= \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} a_j(z^s)(f_0((tw_j)^s) + f_1((tw_j)^s) + \cdots + f_{m-1}((tw_j)^s))
\] (3.3)
\[
= \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} a_j(z^s)(f_0(z^s) + w_j^s f_1(z^s) + \cdots + w_j^{(m-1)s} f_{m-1}(z^s)),
\]
where the last equality holds because \( w_j^{ms} = 1 \) \( (0 \leq j \leq m - 1) \). Let
\[
g_j = \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} a_l(z^s)w_j^l \ (0 \leq j \leq m - 1).
\] (3.4)

Since \( g_j(z^s) = \frac{S(z^s)^j}{z^s}, 0 \leq j \leq m - 1 \), by Lemma 3.1, we know that \( \|g_j\|_\infty \leq \|M_{g_j}\| \), and \( g_j \in H^\infty(\mathbb{B}_n) \). Thus, we obtain \( Sf = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} g_j f_j \). \( \Box \)

Now we will determine the reducing subspaces of the multiplication operator \( M_{z(m_1, \ldots, m_n)} \) on the space \( H \).

**Theorem 3.3** Suppose that \( A = (A_{jk})_{m \times m} \) is a projection such that \( MA = AM \),
\[
M = \begin{pmatrix}
M_0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & M_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & M_{m-1}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
Then there exist functions \( \varphi_{jk} \in H \ (0 \leq j, k \leq m - 1) \), such that \( A_{jk} = M_{\varphi_{jk}} \), and \( \varphi_{jk} = \begin{cases} c_j, & j = k, \\
0, & j \neq k, \end{cases} \) where \( c_j \) is a real number.
**Proof** By Lemma 3.2, we have $Af = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \varphi_j f_j$, and (3.4) yields that $\varphi_j = \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} a_l(z^*) w_l^j$, $\varphi_j \in H^\infty(B_n)$. Set $a_l(z^*) = \sum_{\lambda=0}^{m-1} a_{l\lambda}(z^*)$, and $a_{l\lambda}(z^*) \in H_\lambda$ ($0 \leq \lambda \leq m - 1$). Then, the operator $A$ has the following matrix representation

$$A = \begin{pmatrix}
\sum_{l=0}^{m-1} a_{l0}(z^*) & \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} a_{l0}(z^*) w_l^1 & \ldots & \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} a_{l0}(z^*) w_l^{(m-1)s} \\
\sum_{l=0}^{m-1} a_{l1}(z^*) & \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} a_{l1}(z^*) w_l^2 & \ldots & \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} a_{l1}(z^*) w_l^{(m-1)s} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\sum_{l=0}^{m-1} a_{lm-1}(z^*) & \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} a_{lm-1}(z^*) w_l^s & \ldots & \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} a_{lm-1}(z^*) w_l^{(m-1)s}
\end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.5}$$

It follows that $A = (M_{\varphi_{jk}})_{m \times m}$ ($0 \leq j, k \leq m - 1$), and $M_{\varphi_{jk}} : H_k \to H_j$. Now, we will analyze the multiplication operators $M_{\varphi_{jk}}$. For $j = k$, $M_{\varphi_{jj}} : H_j \to H_j$, suppose that $\varphi_{jj} = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} b_{ij}^t e_{(ts_1, \ldots, ts_n)}$, $b_{ij}^t \in \mathbb{C}$.

Note that

$$H_j = \text{span}\{e_{((mt+j)s_1, \ldots, (mt+j)s_n)} \mid t \geq 0\},$$

so $b_{ij}^t = 0$ ($t \neq lm$). Thus, $\varphi_{jj}(z) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} b_{lm}^t e_{(tm s_1, \ldots, tms_n)}$. Since $A$ is a projection, we know that $M_{\varphi_{jj}} = M_{\varphi_{jj}}^*$.

From

$$\langle M_{\varphi_{jj}} e_{(js_1, \ldots, js_n)}, e_{((mt+j)s_1, \ldots, (mt+j)s_n)} \rangle = \langle e_{(js_1, \ldots, js_n)}, M_{\varphi_{jj}}^* e_{((mt+j)s_1, \ldots, (mt+j)s_n)} \rangle = \langle e_{(js_1, \ldots, js_n)}, M_{\varphi_{jj}} e_{((mt+j)s_1, \ldots, (mt+j)s_n)} \rangle, \tag{3.6}$$

we deduce that $b_{lm}^t = 0$ ($l = 1, 2, \ldots$). Observe that $M_{\varphi_{jj}}$ is a self-adjoint operator, hence $b_{0j}^t$ is a real number. Set $c_j = b_{0j}^t$, we then have $\varphi_{jj} = c_j$. If $j \neq k$, $M_{\varphi_{jk}} : H_k \to H_j$, without loss of generality, assume that $k < j$, and set $\varphi_{jk} = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} b_{jk}^t e_{(ts_1, \ldots, ts_n)}$. Similar to the preceding discussion, we know that only the coefficient $b_{jk}^{l+k+ml}$ ($l = 0, 1, \ldots$) in the Taylor expansion of $\varphi_{jk}(z)$ is nonzero. Thus,

$$\langle M_{\varphi_{jk}} e_{(ks_1, \ldots, ks_n)}, e_{((j-k)+ml)s_1, \ldots, ((j-k)+ml)s_n} \rangle = b_{jk}^{l+k+ml} \prod_{i=1}^{l} \Gamma_{l=k}(j+ml)s_{i} \prod_{i=1}^{l} \Gamma_{l=k}(n+j+ml)s_{i} + 1 \langle e_{(ks_1, \ldots, ks_n)}, M_{\varphi_{jk}}^* e_{((j-k)+ml)s_1, \ldots, ((j-k)+ml)s_n} \rangle = b_{jk}^{l+k+ml} \prod_{i=1}^{l} \Gamma_{l=k}(j+ml)s_{i} \prod_{i=1}^{l} \Gamma_{l=k}(n+j+ml)s_{i} + 1 \rangle, \tag{3.7}$$

On the other hand, since $A$ is a projection, we have

$$\langle M_{\varphi_{jk}} e_{(ks_1, \ldots, ks_n)}, e_{((j-k)+ml)s_1, \ldots, ((j-k)+ml)s_n} \rangle = \langle e_{(ks_1, \ldots, ks_n)}, M_{\varphi_{jk}}^* e_{((j-k)+ml)s_1, \ldots, ((j-k)+ml)s_n} \rangle = \langle e_{(ks_1, \ldots, ks_n)}, M_{\varphi_{jk}} e_{((j-k)+ml)s_1, \ldots, ((j-k)+ml)s_n} \rangle = 0. \tag{3.8}$$

Hence $b_{jk}^{l+k+ml} = 0$ ($l = 0, 1, \ldots$) and $\varphi_{jk} = 0 (j \neq k)$. The proof is complete. \qed
**Theorem 3.4** If \( M_{z(m_1, \ldots, m_n)} \in \mathcal{L}(H) \). Then \( M_{z(m_1, \ldots, m_n)} \) has \( 2^m \) reducing subspaces.

**Proof** From Theorem 3.3, we know that \( A \) has the following form

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix}
c_0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & c_1 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & c_{m-1}
\end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.9)
\]

\( A^2 = A \) yields that \( c_j = 0 \) (0 \( \leq j \leq m-1 \)) or 1. Hence,

\[
M_{z(m_1, \ldots, m_n)} \big|_H = M_0 \bigoplus M_1 \bigoplus \cdots \bigoplus M_{m-1}
\]

has \( 2^m \) reducing subspaces

\[
c_0H_0 \oplus c_1H_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus c_{m-1}H_{m-1}, \quad c_j = 0 \ (0 \leq j \leq m-1) \ or \ 1. \quad (3.10)
\]

The minimal reducing subspaces are \( H_0, H_1, \ldots, H_{m-1} \), as required.
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