

## Regular poles for the p-adic group $GS p_4$

Yusuf DANIŞMAN\*

Elementary Mathematics Education, Faculty of Education, Mevlana University Selçuklu Konya, Turkey

Received: 14.06.2013 • Accepted: 11.02.2014 • Published Online: 25.04.2014 • Printed: 23.05.2014

**Abstract:** We compute the regular poles of the  $L$ -factors of the admissible and irreducible representations of the group  $GS p_4$ , which admit a nonsplit Bessel functional and have a Jacquet module length of at most 2 with respect to the unipotent radical of the Siegel parabolic, over a non-Archimedean local field of odd characteristic. We also compute the  $L$ -factors of the generic representations of  $GS p_4$ .

**Key words:**  $L$ -function,  $L$ -factor,  $GS p(4)$ , regular pole

### 1. Introduction

Let  $k$  be a non-Archimedean local field. There are 2 main types of  $L$ -functions for  $GS p_4(k)$ , standard (degree 5) and spinor (degree 4). Spinor  $L$ -functions of the representations of  $GS p_4(k)$  can be defined by using 3 different constructions given by Novodvorsky [5], Shahidi [11], and Piatetski-Shapiro [6]. The techniques to determine the local  $L$ -factors for these constructions are different and in this paper we wish to investigate the  $L$ -factors for the Piatetski-Shapiro construction.

Let us first briefly explain what these constructions are. Novodvorsky integrals in [5], defined only for generic representations, are one of the integral representations for the spinor  $L$ -functions of  $GS p_4(k)$ . Local  $L$ -factors defined using the Novodvorsky integrals were computed in [12] by determining the germ expansions of the Whittaker functions and using the local coefficients.

In [11], Shahidi defined the  $L$ -functions by using the intertwining operators for generic representations of  $GS p_4(k)$ . In [3], this definition was extended to all nongeneric and nonsupercuspidal representations by the Langlands classification. Computation of the local  $L$ -factors of these  $L$ -functions was done in [3] by using the multiplicativity of the local  $L$ -factors.

Integral representations in [6] were defined by Piatetski-Shapiro for all infinite dimensional representations of  $GS p_4(k)$ , where the characteristic of  $k$  is odd. Piatetski-Shapiro's definition for the spinor  $L$ -functions is more general than Novodvorsky's in that it treats nongeneric representations. The local  $L$ -factors of these  $L$ -functions were computed in [6] and [7] for only special cases.

The main goal of this paper is to determine the regular poles of the local  $L$ -factors of the representations of  $GS p_4(k)$ , which admit a nonsplit Bessel functional and have a Jacquet module length of at most 2 with respect to the unipotent radical of the Siegel parabolic. Our results agree with the results of [12], [3], and the local Langlands conjecture. We also find the  $L$ -factors for generic representations as well, since, in this case, all poles of the  $L$ -factors are regular.

\*Correspondence: [ydanisman@mevlana.edu.tr](mailto:ydanisman@mevlana.edu.tr)

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11F70; 11F85.

We now describe Piatetski-Shapiro’s construction briefly. Details will be given in Section 2. Let  $S$  be the unipotent radical of the Siegel parabolic subgroup of  $GSp_4(k)$  and let  $\psi$  be any nondegenerate character of  $S$ . We can realize the group  $GL_2(k)$  in the Levi subgroup of the Siegel parabolic subgroup. Let  $T$  be the connected component of the stabilizer of  $\psi$  in  $GL_2(k)$ . Then  $T$  is isomorphic to the units of a semisimple algebra  $K$  over  $k$  of index  $(K : k) = 2$  and  $R = TS$  is a subgroup of  $GSp_4(k)$ . Let  $\Lambda$  be any character of  $T$ . Then define  $\alpha_{\Lambda, \psi}(r) =: \Lambda(t)\psi(s)$  for  $r \in R, s \in S, t \in T$  and  $r = st$ .

Let  $(\Pi, V_\Pi)$  be an infinite-dimensional, irreducible, and admissible representation of  $GSp_4(k)$ . The dimension of the space  $\text{Hom}_R(V_\Pi, \alpha_{\Lambda, \psi})$  is at most one, and if it is nonzero, a nonzero element of this space is called a Bessel functional for  $\Pi$ . Furthermore, by Frobenius reciprocity,  $\Pi$  can be embedded into the space  $\text{Ind}_R^{GSp_4(k)} \alpha_{\Lambda, \psi}$  and its image is called a Bessel model.

Piatetski-Shapiro defines the local factors by using the integrals

$$L(s; W_v, \Phi, \mu) = \int_{N \backslash G} W_v(g)\Phi[(0, 1)g]\mu(\det g)|\det g|_k^{s+\frac{1}{2}} dg,$$

where  $\Phi \in C_c^\infty(K^2)$ ,  $\mu$  is a character of  $k^*$ ,  $v \in V_\Pi$ , and  $W_v$  is an element of the Bessel model. The  $L$ -factor is defined to be the greatest common divisor of the family of these integrals. The poles of the  $L$ -factor, coming from an integral with a Schwartz function vanishing at zero, are called regular poles.

Let us now explain our method. In Proposition 2.5, we show that the regular poles of the  $L$ -factors are the poles of the meromorphic continuation of the integrals given by

$$\int_{k^*} \varphi_v(x)\mu(x)|x|^{s-3/2} d^*x,$$

where  $\varphi_v(x) := W_v \begin{pmatrix} xI_2 & \\ & I_2 \end{pmatrix}$ . Hence, to compute the regular poles, one needs to find the asymptotic behavior of  $\varphi_v(x)$ .

For generic representations, the integral above is very similar to Novodvorsky integrals, so one would expect to use methods given in [12] to find the regular poles. However, since local coefficients for Bessel model have not been completely understood, this is not possible.

The asymptotic behavior of  $\varphi_v(x)$  depends on the structure of the Jacquet module. In Proposition 3.1 we show that if the length of the Jacquet module is zero then  $\varphi_v$  has a compact support in  $k^*$  and there is no regular pole.

If the length of Jacquet module is one, then by Proposition 3.2 for  $|x|$  sufficiently small we have

$$\varphi_v(x) = C\chi(x)$$

for a constant  $C$  and character  $\chi$ . Hence, by Lemma 3.4, a regular pole is the pole of  $CL(s, \chi)$ .

As a corollary of Proposition 3.2 and by Proposition 3.5, if the length of the Jacquet module is 2, then we have

$$\varphi_v(x) = C_1\chi_1(x) + C_2\chi_2(x)$$

or

$$\varphi_v(x) = C_1\chi(x) + C_2\chi(x)v_k(x),$$

where  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are constants;  $\chi_1, \chi_2$ , and  $\chi$  are characters of  $k^*$ ; and  $v_k$  is the valuation of  $k$ . Hence, by Lemmas 3.4 and 3.7, regular poles are poles of  $C_1C_2L(s, \chi_1)L(s, \chi_2)$  or the least common multiple of  $C_1L(s, \chi)$  and  $C_2L(s, \chi)^2$ .

Next we determine whether the constants  $C, C_1$ , and  $C_2$  above are zero or not. In Proposition 5.8 and Proposition 5.11 we show that this depends on whether the space of homomorphisms from the constituents of the Jacquet module to the character  $\Lambda$  is zero or not and, in most cases, this depends on the Bessel existence conditions. By using these results in Theorem 5.9 and Theorem 5.16 we find the regular poles of each representation, which we consider separately.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give the subgroups of  $GSp_4(k)$ , definitions of Bessel model, local  $L$ -factors, and regular poles. In Section 3, we find the asymptotic behavior of  $\varphi_v$  by using Jacquet module structure. In Section 4, we determine the subspace  $\{v \in V_\Pi : \varphi_v \in C_c^\infty(k^*)\}$  by following the methods of Godement in [4] for  $GL_2(k)$ . In Section 5, we show that there is a relation between the asymptotic behavior of the  $\varphi_v$  and the homomorphisms from the constituents of the Jacquet module to the character  $\Lambda$ . Then we compute the regular poles of each representation separately. The results of Section 5 with exceptional (nonregular) poles as expected by the local Langlands conjecture and semisimplifications of the Jacquet modules are given in the Appendix.

## 2. Definitions and preliminaries

We fix some notations.

$k$  is a non-Archimedean local field of odd characteristic.

$v_k$  is the valuation of  $k$ .

$\nu$  is the absolute value on  $k$ .

$\mathcal{O}$  is the ring of integers of  $k$ .

$\mathcal{P}$  is the unique maximal prime ideal of  $\mathcal{O}$ .

$\varpi$  is a fixed generator of  $\mathcal{P}$ .

$q$  is the cardinality of the residue field of  $k$ .

$\psi$  is a nontrivial additive character of  $k$  with conductor  $\mathcal{O}$ .

$dx = d_\psi x$  is the self-dual Haar measure on  $k$ .

If  $\xi$  is a representation of a group, then its space and central character are denoted by  $V_\xi$  and  $\omega_\xi$ , respectively.

### 2.1. $GSp_4(k)$ and its subgroups

In this section, we give the definitions of the subgroups of  $GSp_4(k)$  as in [6], which will be needed in this paper.

Let  $w = \begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ 1 & \end{pmatrix}$  and  $J = \begin{pmatrix} & w \\ -w & \end{pmatrix}$ . Define

$$GSp_4(k) = \{g \in GL_4(k) : g^t J g = \lambda(g) J \text{ for some } \lambda(g) \in k^* \},$$

where  $g^t$  is the transpose of the  $g$ . Let

$$P = \left\{ g \in GSp_4(k) : g = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ & D \end{pmatrix}, A, B, D \in M_2(k) \right\}$$

be the Siegel parabolic subgroup of  $GS p_4(k)$ .  $P = MS$  is the Levi decomposition of  $P$  with the reductive part

$$M = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & \\ & \lambda(A')^{-1} \end{pmatrix} : A \in GL_2(k), \lambda \in k^* \right\}$$

and the unipotent radical

$$S = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & Y \\ & I_2 \end{pmatrix} : Y = Y' \right\},$$

where  $X' = w(X^t)w$  for  $X \in M_2(k)$ . Any character of  $S$  is of the form

$$\psi_\beta \left( \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & Y \\ & I_2 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \psi[\text{tr}(\beta Y)]$$

for some  $\beta = \beta'$ .  $\psi_\beta$  is called nondegenerate if  $\beta \in GL_2(k)$ .

Let  $\psi_\beta$  be a nondegenerate character of  $S$  and let  $T$  be the connected component of the stabilizer of  $\psi_\beta$  in  $M$ ; then there is a unique semisimple algebra  $K$  over  $k$  of index  $(K : k) = 2$  and  $T \cong K^*$ .  $K$  is either a quadratic extension of  $k$  and  $K = k(\sqrt{\rho})$  for some  $\rho \notin (k^*)^2$  or  $K = k \oplus k$ . If  $K$  is a field then  $T$  is called nonsplit. Otherwise it is called split.

In this paper, we consider the nonsplit case and choose  $\rho$  such that  $|\rho| \in \{1, \frac{1}{q}\}$ . Each orbit of  $M$  in the set of nondegenerate characters contains a character  $\psi_\beta$  with  $\beta = \begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ -\rho & \end{pmatrix}$ .

For  $x = (x_1, x_2)$  and  $y = (y_1, y_2)$  in  $K^2$  define an antisymmetric form on  $K^2$  by

$$B(x, y) = \text{Tr}_{K/k}(x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1).$$

By considering  $K^2$  as a 4-dimensional vector space over  $k$  define

$$GS p_B(k) = \{g \in GL_4 : B(xg, yg) = \lambda(g)B(x, y) \text{ for some } \lambda(g) \in k^* \}.$$

Let

$$G = \{g \in GL_2(K) : \det g \in k^*\},$$

where  $G$  acts on  $K^2$  from the right. Since  $g \in G$  preserves  $B$  up to  $\det(g)$ , we can realize  $G$  in  $GS p_B$ . There is also an isomorphism  $\varphi$  between  $GS p_B(k)$  and  $GS p_4(k)$  such that  $\varphi(G) \cap R = TN$  [6] and

$$N = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & Y \\ & I_2 \end{pmatrix} \in S : \text{tr}(\beta Y) = 0 \right\}.$$

If  $K = k(\sqrt{\rho})$ , then the image of  $G$  in  $GS p_4(k)$  consists of all elements of the form

$$\left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} a & b & c & d \\ b\rho & a & d\rho & c \\ \hline e & f & m & n \\ f\rho & e & n\rho & m \end{array} \right),$$

where  $a + b\sqrt{\rho}, c + d\sqrt{\rho}, e + f\sqrt{\rho}, m + n\sqrt{\rho} \in K^*$ ,  $an + bm = cf + de$ . The center of  $GSp_4(k)$  is

$$Z = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{c|c} a & \\ \hline & a \end{array} \right) : a \in k^* \right\},$$

$$T = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} a & b & & \\ b\rho & a & & \\ \hline & & a & -b \\ & & -b\rho & a \end{array} \right) : a + b\sqrt{\rho} \in K^* \right\},$$

$$N = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & & u & t \\ & 1 & t\rho & u \\ \hline & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{array} \right) : u, t \in k \right\}.$$

Let

$$S' := \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{c|c} 1 & 0 \\ \hline & c \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{array} \right) : c \in k \right\}.$$

and

$$H := \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cc} xI_2 & \\ & I_2 \end{array} \right) : x \in k^* \right\}.$$

Note that  $S = NS'$ .

### 2.2. Bessel Model, $L$ -factor and Regular Poles

By abuse of the notation, we shall omit  $\beta$  in  $\psi_\beta$ . For a character  $\Lambda$  of  $T$  and nondegenerate character  $\psi$  of  $S$ , define a character  $\alpha_{\Lambda, \psi}$  of  $R = TS$  by

$$\alpha_{\Lambda, \psi}(r) = \alpha_{\Lambda, \psi}(ts) = \Lambda(t)\psi(s),$$

where  $r = ts \in R$  for  $t \in T$  and  $s \in S$ . We now give the existence and uniqueness results in order to define the Bessel model.

**Theorem 2.1** [6] *Let  $(\Pi, V_\Pi)$  be an irreducible smooth, admissible, and preunitary representation of  $GSp_4(k)$ ; then*

$$\dim[\text{Hom}_R(\Pi, \alpha_{\Lambda, \psi})] \leq 1.$$

*If  $\Pi$  is infinite dimensional, then for appropriately chosen  $\Lambda$ ,  $\psi$ , and  $R$*

$$\dim[\text{Hom}_R(\Pi, \alpha_{\Lambda, \psi})] = 1.$$

Let  $\Pi$  be as in the theorem above. Choose  $\Lambda, \psi$  and  $R$  such that  $\text{Hom}_R(\Pi, \alpha_{\Lambda, \psi})$  is nonzero and let  $l$  be a nonzero element of this space. For  $v \in V_\Pi$  define Bessel function  $W_v$  on  $GSp_4(k)$  by

$$W_v(g) := l(\Pi(g)v).$$

The space of such functions is denoted by  $\mathbf{W}^{\Lambda, \psi}$  and is called the Bessel model. If a representation of  $GS p_4(k)$  is defined on this space of functions by right translation, then  $\Pi \cong \mathbf{W}^{\Lambda, \psi}$ . For  $r \in R$ ,  $g \in GS p_4(k)$  and  $v \in V_{\Pi}$  we have

$$W_v(rg) = \alpha_{\Lambda, \psi}(r)W_v(g).$$

Also define  $h_x := \begin{pmatrix} xI_2 & \\ & I_2 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\varphi_v(x) := W_v(h_x)$ . The next 2 theorems provide the definitions of  $L$ -functions and  $L$ -factors.

**Theorem 2.2** [6] *Let  $\Phi \in C_c^\infty(K^2)$  and  $\mu$  be a character of  $k^*$ . Then for  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ , the integral*

$$L(s; W_v, \Phi, \mu) = \int_{N \backslash G} W_v(g)\Phi[(0, 1)g]\mu(\det g)|\det g|_k^{s+\frac{1}{2}} dg$$

*converges absolutely for  $Re(s)$  large enough and has a meromorphic continuation to the whole plane.*

**Theorem 2.3** [6] *The integrals  $\{L(s; W_v, \Phi, \mu) : W_v \in \mathbf{W}^{\Lambda, \psi}, \Phi \in C_c^\infty(K^2)\}$  form a fractional ideal of the ring  $\mathbb{C}[q^s, q^{-s}]$  of the form  $L(s; \Pi, \mu)\mathbb{C}[q^s, q^{-s}]$ . The factor  $L(s; \Pi, \mu)$  is of the form  $P(q^{-s})^{-1}$ , where  $P(X) \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ ,  $P(0) = 1$  and is called the  $L$ -factor of  $\Pi$  twisted by  $\mu$ .*

**Definition 2.4** *A pole of  $L(s; \Pi, \mu)$  is called a regular pole if it is a pole of some  $L(s; W_v, \Phi, \mu)$  with  $\Phi(0, 0) = 0$ . Any other pole is called an exceptional pole.*

We compute the regular poles in terms of the Tate  $L$ -functions, which are defined for a character  $\chi$  of  $k^*$  as

$$L(s, \chi) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \chi \text{ is ramified} \\ (1 - \chi(\varpi)q^{-s})^{-1} & \text{if } \chi \text{ is unramified.} \end{cases}$$

Proposition 2.5 below gives the characterization of the regular poles.

**Proposition 2.5** *Regular poles of  $L(s; \Pi, \mu)$  are the poles of the integrals*

$$\int_{k^*} \varphi_v(x)\mu(x)|x|^{s-3/2}d^*x, \quad v \in V_{\Pi}.$$

*In particular, if  $\varphi_v$  has compact support in  $k^*$  for every  $v \in V_{\Pi}$ , then  $L(s; \Pi, \mu)$  does not have regular poles.*

**Proof**  $L(s; W_v, \Phi, \mu)$  is absolutely convergent for sufficiently large  $s$ , so by Iwasawa decomposition,

$$\begin{aligned} L(s; W_v, \Phi, \mu) &= \int_{K_G} \mu(\det k) \int_{k^*} W_v \left[ \begin{pmatrix} xI_2 & \\ & I_2 \end{pmatrix} k \right] \mu(x)|x|_k^{s-\frac{3}{2}} d^*x \\ &\quad \times \int_{K^*} \Phi[(0, t)k]\Lambda(t)\mu(t\bar{t})|t\bar{t}|_k^{s+\frac{1}{2}} d^*tdk, \end{aligned}$$

where  $K_G$  is the maximal compact subgroup of  $G$ . Take  $K_G^o \subset K_G$ , a compact open subgroup, which stabilizes  $W_v, \mu$  and  $\Phi$ . Write  $K_G = \cup_i^N k_i K_G^o$  for  $N = (K_G : K_G^o)$  and let  $\Phi_i = R_{k_i}\Phi, W_{v,i} = \pi(k_i)W_v$ , where  $R_{k_i}$  is the right translation by  $k_i$ . Then

$$L(s; W_v, \Phi, \mu) = \left[ \text{Vol}(K_G^o) \sum_{i=1}^N \mu(\det k_i) \right] \times \tag{1}$$

$$\int_{k^*} W_{v,i} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} xI_2 & \\ & I_2 \end{pmatrix} \right] \mu(x)|x|_k^{s-\frac{3}{2}} d^*x \int_{K^*} \Phi_i[(0,t)]\Lambda(t)\mu(t\bar{t})|t\bar{t}|_k^{s+\frac{1}{2}} d^*t.$$

If  $\Phi(0,0) = 0$ , then  $\Phi_i(0,0) = 0$  and  $\Phi_i(0,\cdot)$  has compact support in  $K^*$  for each  $i$ . Let  $U^o$  be the open compact subgroup of  $K^*$  such that  $\Phi_i(0,\cdot), \Lambda, \mu \circ N_{K/k}$ , and  $\nu$  are invariant under  $U^o$  for  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . Let  $\mathfrak{S} = \cup_{i=1}^N \text{Support}[\Phi_i(0,t)]$ . Since  $\mathfrak{S}$  is a finite union of compact sets, it is compact and we have  $\mathfrak{S} = \cup_{j=1}^M t_j U^o$ . Then (1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Vol}(K_G^o)\text{Vol}(U^o) \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^M \mu(\det k_i)\Phi_i[(0,t_j)]\Lambda(t_j)\mu(t_j\bar{t}_j)|t_j\bar{t}_j|_k^{s+\frac{1}{2}} \\ \times \int_{k^*} W_{v,i} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} xI_2 & \\ & I_2 \end{pmatrix} \right] \mu(x)|x|_k^{s-\frac{3}{2}} d^*x. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if  $s_o$  is a regular pole, then it is a pole of the integral above for some  $W_{v,i}$ . Conversely, for a suitable choice of  $\Phi$ , each of the integrals above individually contributes to  $L(s; \Pi, \mu)$ . □

The following theorem shows that for generic representations, finding the regular poles is enough to determine the  $L$ -factor.

**Theorem 2.6** [6] *If  $\Pi$  is generic, then its  $L$ -factor has only regular poles.*

**2.3. Parabolic induction and Jacquet module**

Let  $P_o$  be a maximal standard parabolic subgroup of  $GS_{p_4}(k)$  with Levi decomposition  $P_o = M_o U_o$ . Let  $(\tau, V_\tau)$  be a representation of  $M_o$  and let  $\delta_{P_o}$  be the modular character of  $P_o$ . The normalized parabolic induction from  $P_o$  to  $GS_{p_4}(k)$  is defined as  $\text{Ind}_{P_o}^{GS_{p_4}} \tau = \{f : GS_{p_4}(k) \rightarrow V_\tau : f(mug) = \delta_{P_o}(m)^{1/2} \tau(m) f(g), \text{ for } m \in M_o \text{ and } u \in U_o\}$ . The action of  $GS_{p_4}(k)$  on  $\text{Ind}_{P_o}^{GS_{p_4}} \tau$  is by right translation.

Let  $B$  denote the Borel subgroup  $GL_2(k)$ . For the characters  $\chi_1, \chi_2$  of  $k^*$ , similarly define  $B(\chi_1, \chi_2)$  to be the induction from  $B$  to  $GL_2(k)$ .

Let  $(\Pi, V_\Pi)$  be an admissible and irreducible representation of  $GS_{p_4}(k)$ . Define

$$V_S(\Pi) := \text{span}\{v - \Pi(s)v : s \in S, v \in V_\Pi\}.$$

The normalized Jacquet module with respect to  $S$  is the smooth representation of  $M$  defined by

$$R_S(\Pi) = \Pi_S \otimes \delta_P^{-1/2},$$

where  $(\Pi_S, V_\Pi/V_S(\Pi))$  is the regular Jacquet module.

If  $p = \begin{pmatrix} A & * \\ & \lambda(A')^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in P$  for  $A \in GL_2(k)$ , then  $\delta_P(p) = |\det(A)^3 \lambda^{-3}|$ .

**3. Asymptotic behavior of  $\varphi_v$**

In this section, we determine the behavior of  $\varphi_v(x)$  for small enough  $|x|$ . We also compute the poles of the integrals in Proposition 2.5. We begin by showing that the Jacquet module controls the asymptotic behavior of  $\varphi_v$ .

**Proposition 3.1** *Let  $(\Pi, V_\Pi)$  be a smooth representation of  $GS\mathcal{P}_4(k)$ .*

1) *If  $v \in V_\Pi$ , then there exists a constant  $C$ , depending on  $v$ , such that  $\varphi_v(x) = 0$  for  $|x| > C$ .*

2) *If  $v \in V_S(\Pi)$ , then there exists a constant  $\epsilon > 0$ , depending on  $v$ , such that  $\varphi_v(x) = 0$  for  $|x| < \epsilon$ . Therefore,  $\varphi_v$  has compact support in  $k^*$ .*

**Proof** 1) Since  $\Pi$  is a smooth representation,  $W_v$  is stabilized by the subgroup

$$\left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cc} I_2 & Y \\ & I_2 \end{array} \right) : Y = \left( \begin{array}{cc} & 0 \\ u & \end{array} \right), u \in \mathcal{P}^n \right\}$$

for sufficiently large  $n$ . Let  $\left( \begin{array}{cc} I_2 & Y \\ & I_2 \end{array} \right)$  be an element of the above subgroup with  $Y = \left( \begin{array}{cc} & 0 \\ u & \end{array} \right)$  and  $u \in \mathcal{P}^n$ .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_v(x) &= W_v \left( \begin{array}{cc} xI_2 & \\ & I_2 \end{array} \right) \\ &= W_v \left[ \left( \begin{array}{cc} xI_2 & \\ & I_2 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc} I_2 & Y \\ & I_2 \end{array} \right) \right] \\ &= W_v \left[ \left( \begin{array}{cc} I_2 & xY \\ & I_2 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc} xI_2 & \\ & I_2 \end{array} \right) \right] \\ &= \psi \left[ \text{tr} \left( \begin{array}{cc} & 1 \\ -\rho & \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc} xu & 0 \\ & \end{array} \right) \right] W_v \left( \begin{array}{cc} xI_2 & \\ & I_2 \end{array} \right) \\ &= \psi(xu) W_v \left( \begin{array}{cc} xI_2 & \\ & I_2 \end{array} \right) \\ &= \psi(xu) \varphi_v(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $[1 - \psi(xu)]\varphi_v(x) = 0$  and  $\varphi_v(x) = 0$  for  $x \notin \mathcal{P}^{-n}$ .

2) Fix  $u_1, u_2, u_3 \in k$  and let  $Y = \left( \begin{array}{cc} u_1 & u_2 \\ u_3 & u_1 \end{array} \right)$  and  $\mathcal{S} = \left( \begin{array}{cc} I_2 & Y \\ & I_2 \end{array} \right)$ . If  $|x|$  is small enough then  $x(u_3 - u_2\rho) \in \mathcal{O}$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\Pi(\mathcal{S})v-v} &= W_{\pi(\mathcal{S})v-v} \left( \begin{array}{cc} xI_2 & \\ & I_2 \end{array} \right) \\ &= W_v \left[ \left( \begin{array}{cc} xI_2 & \\ & I_2 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc} I_2 & Y \\ & I_2 \end{array} \right) \right] - W_v \left( \begin{array}{cc} xI_2 & \\ & I_2 \end{array} \right) \\ &= W_v \left[ \left( \begin{array}{cc} I_2 & xY \\ & I_2 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc} xI_2 & \\ & I_2 \end{array} \right) \right] - W_v \left( \begin{array}{cc} xI_2 & \\ & I_2 \end{array} \right) \\ &= \psi \left[ \text{tr} \left( \begin{array}{cc} & 1 \\ -\rho & \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc} u_1x & u_2x \\ u_3x & u_1x \end{array} \right) \right] \varphi_v(x) - \varphi_v(x) \\ &= [\psi(x(u_3 - u_2\rho)) - 1] \varphi_v(x) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

□



**Proposition 3.2** *Let  $(\Pi, V_\Pi)$  be an irreducible and admissible representation of  $GS_{p_4}(k)$  and let  $\chi$  be a character of  $k^*$ . If  $\Pi(h_x)u - \chi(x)u \in V_S(\Pi)$  for every  $x \in k^*$ , then there exists a constant  $C$  and positive integer  $j_o$  such that*

$$\varphi_u(x) = C\chi(x)$$

for  $|x| \leq q^{-j_o}$ .

**Proof** Let  $x_o \in \varpi\mathcal{O}^*$ , and then we have  $\Pi(h_{x_o})u - \chi(x_o)u \in V_S(\Pi)$ . By Proposition 3.1  $\varphi_{\Pi(h_{x_o})u - \chi(x_o)u}$  vanishes near zero, so there exists a constant  $\epsilon(x_o)$  such that

$$0 = \varphi_{\Pi(h_x)u - \chi(x)u}(t) = \varphi_u(xt) - \chi(x)\varphi_u(t) \tag{2}$$

for  $x = x_o$  and  $|t| \leq \epsilon(x_o)$ . Since  $\Pi$  and  $\chi$  are smooth, this is also valid when  $x$  is near  $x_o$  and  $|t| \leq \epsilon(x_o)$ . By compactness of  $\varpi\mathcal{O}^*$ , this is also true for  $x \in \varpi\mathcal{O}^*$  and  $|t| \leq \epsilon = q^{-(j_o-1)}$  for some constant  $j_o$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3**

$$\varphi_u(\varpi^i z) = \chi(\varpi^i z)\chi(\varpi^{-(j_o-1)})\varphi_u(\varpi^{j_o-1})$$

for  $i \geq j_o$  and  $z \in \mathcal{O}^*$ .

**Proof** Proof is by induction. By (2),

$$\varphi_u(\varpi^{j_o} z) = \varphi_u(\varpi z \varpi^{j_o-1}) = \chi(\varpi^{j_o} z)\chi(\varpi^{-(j_o-1)})\varphi_u(\varpi^{j_o-1}).$$

Now assume the result for some  $i \geq j_o$  and prove it for  $i + 1$  using (2):

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_u(\varpi^{i+1} z) &= \varphi_u(\varpi z \varpi^i) \\ &= \chi(\varpi z)\varphi_u(\varpi^i) \\ &= \chi(\varpi z)\chi(\varpi^i)\chi(\varpi^{-(j_o-1)})\varphi_u(\varpi^{j_o-1}) \\ &= \chi(\varpi^{i+1} z)\chi(\varpi^{-(j_o-1)})\varphi_u(\varpi^{j_o-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $C = \chi(\varpi^{-(j_o-1)})\varphi_u(\varpi^{j_o-1})$ ; then the proposition follows from the lemma above.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4** *If  $\varphi_u(x) = C|x|^{3/2}\chi(x)$  for some character  $\chi$  of  $k^*$  and  $|x| \leq q^{-j_o}$ , then the pole of*

$$\int_{k^*} \varphi_u(x)|x|^{s-3/2} d^*x$$

*is the pole of  $CL(s, \chi)$ .*

**Proof**

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{|x| \leq q^{-j_o}} \varphi_u(x) |x|^{s-3/2} d^*x &= C \int_{|x| \leq q^{-j_o}} |x|^{3/2} \chi(x) |x|^{s-3/2} d^*x \\
 &= C \int_{|x| \leq q^{-j_o}} \chi(x) |x|^s d^*x \\
 &= C \sum_{i=j_o}^{\infty} \int_{|x|=q^{-i}} \chi(x) |x|^s d^*x \\
 &= C \sum_{i=j_o}^{\infty} q^{-is} \chi(\varpi^i) \int_{\mathcal{O}^*} \chi(u) du \\
 &= \begin{cases} 0 & \chi \text{ is ramified} \\ \frac{C[q^{-s}\chi(\varpi)]^{j_o}}{1-q^{-s}\chi(\varpi)} (1 - \frac{1}{q}) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

□

**Proposition 3.5** *Let  $U$  be a subrepresentation of  $R_S(\Pi)$ ,  $W$  a subrepresentation of  $U$  and  $u, w$  elements of  $V_\Pi$  such that  $\bar{w} \in W$  and  $\bar{u} \in U$  are images of  $w$  and  $u$  in  $V_\Pi/V_S(\Pi)$ , respectively. If  $\Pi(h_x)u - \chi(x)u - \chi(x)v_k(x)w \in V_S(\Pi)$  and  $\Pi(h_x)w - \chi(x)w \in V_S(\Pi)$  for sufficiently small  $|x|$ , then we have*

$$\varphi_u(x) = C_1\chi(x) + C_2\chi(x)v_k(x).$$

**Proof** By Proposition 3.2,  $\varphi_w(x) = C_2\chi(x)$  for small  $|x|$ . Let  $x_o \in \varpi\mathcal{O}^*$ ; then we have  $\Pi(h_{x_o})u - \chi(x_o)u - \chi(x_o)v_k(x_o)w \in V_S(\Pi)$ . By Proposition 3.1,  $\varphi_{\Pi(h_{x_o})u - \chi(x_o)u - \chi(x_o)v_k(x_o)w}$  vanishes near zero. Thus, there exists a constant  $\epsilon(x_o)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= \varphi_{\Pi(h_x)u - \chi(x)u - \chi(x)v_k(x)w}(t) \\
 &= \varphi_u(xt) - \chi(x)\varphi_u(t) - \chi(x)v_k(x)\varphi_w(t) \\
 &= \varphi_u(xt) - \chi(x)\varphi_u(t) - C_2\chi(xt)v_k(x)
 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

for  $x = x_o$  and  $|t| \leq \epsilon(x_o)$ . Since  $\Pi$  and  $\chi$  are smooth, this is also valid when  $x$  is near  $x_o$  and  $|t| \leq \epsilon(x_o)$ , so by compactness of  $\varpi\mathcal{O}^*$ , this is also true for  $x \in \varpi\mathcal{O}^*$  and  $|t| \leq \epsilon = q^{-(j_o-1)}$  for some constant  $j_o$ . □

**Lemma 3.6**

$$\varphi_u(\varpi^i z) = \chi(\varpi^i z)\chi(\varpi^{-(j_o-1)})\varphi_u(\varpi^{j_o-1}) + C_2[i - (j_o - 1)]\chi(\varpi^i z)$$

for  $i \geq j_o$  and  $z \in \mathcal{O}^*$ .

**Proof** Proof is by induction. The base case follows from (3). Now assume the result for some  $i \geq j_o$  and prove it for  $i + 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi_u(\varpi^{i+1}z) &= \varphi_u(\varpi z \varpi^i) \\
 &= \chi(\varpi z)\varphi_u(\varpi^i) + C_2\chi(\varpi^{i+1}z)v_k(\varpi z) \\
 &= \chi(\varpi z)[\chi(\varpi^i)\chi(\varpi^{-(j_o-1)})\varphi_u(\varpi^{j_o-1}) + C_2[i - (j_o - 1)]\chi(\varpi^i)] \\
 &\hspace{20em} + C_2\chi(\varpi^{i+1}z) \\
 &= \chi(\varpi^{i+1}z)\chi(\varpi^{-(j_o-1)})\varphi_u(\varpi^{j_o-1}) + C_2[(i + 1) - (j_o - 1)]\chi(\varpi^{i+1}z).
 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $C_1 = \chi(\varpi^{-(j_o-1)})\varphi_u(\varpi^{j_o-1}) - C_2(j_o - 1)$  and  $|x| \leq q^{-j_o}$ , and then  $x = \varpi^i z$  for some  $i \geq j_o$  and  $z \in \mathcal{O}^*$ , so the proposition follows from the previous lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.7** *If  $\varphi_u(x) = C_1|x|^{3/2}\chi(x) + C_2|x|^{3/2}\chi(x)v_k(x)$  for some character  $\chi$  of  $k^*$  and  $|x| \leq q^{-j_o}$ , then the poles of  $\int_{k^*} \varphi_u(x)|x|^{s-3/2}d^*x$  are the poles of the least common multiple of  $C_1L(s, \chi)$  and  $C_2L(s, \chi)^2$ .*

**Proof**

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{|x| \leq q^{-j_o}} C_2|x|^{3/2}\chi(x)v_k(x)|x|^{s-3/2}d^*x \\
 &= C_2 \int_{|x| \leq q^{-j_o}} \chi(x)v_k(x)|x|^s d^*x \\
 &= C_2 \sum_{i=j_o}^{\infty} \int_{|x|=q^{-i}} \chi(x)v_k(x)|x|^s d^*x \\
 &= C_2 \sum_{i=j_o}^{\infty} iq^{-is}\chi(\varpi^i) \int_{\mathcal{O}^*} \chi(u)du \\
 &= \begin{cases} 0 & \chi \text{ is ramified} \\ C_2 \frac{j_o[q^{-s}\chi(\varpi)]^{j_o} (1-q^{-s}\chi(\varpi)) + [q^{-s}\chi(\varpi)]^{j_o+1}}{[1-q^{-s}\chi(\varpi)]^2} (1 - \frac{1}{q}) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now the result follows from Lemma 3.4.  $\square$

**4. Compactly supported  $\varphi_v$  in  $k^*$**

In this section, we find the  $v \in V_{\Pi}$  for which  $\varphi_v(x)$  has compact support in  $k^*$ . We use similar methods that are used for  $GL_2(k)$ . There are 2 steps. The first is showing that  $\{\varphi_v : v \in V_S(\Pi)\} = C_c^\infty(k^*)$  and the second is determining the space  $\{v \in V : \varphi_v = 0\}$ . We need 2 subgroups of  $GL_2(k)$ , which can be embedded into  $GSp_4(k)$ . Let  $B_1$  be the subgroup of  $B$  of the elements of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Define

$$B^G := \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{c|c} a & b \\ \hline & d \\ & & d \end{array} \right) \in GSp_4(k) \right\} \cong B$$

and

$$B_1^G := \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{c|c} a & \\ \hline a & b \\ \hline & 1 \\ & & 1 \end{array} \right) \in GSp_4(k) \right\} \cong B_1.$$

**Lemma 4.1**

$$\{\varphi_v : v \in V_S(\Pi)\} = C_c^\infty(k^*).$$

**Proof** First note that by Proposition 3.1,  $\{\varphi_v : v \in V_S(\Pi)\} \subset C_c^\infty(k^*)$ . Define an action of  $B_1^G$  on  $C_c^\infty(k^*)$  by

$$\left( \begin{array}{ccc} a & & \\ & a & b \\ & & 1 \\ & & & 1 \end{array} \right) \cdot f(x) = \psi(bx)f(ax).$$

Under this action,  $\{\varphi_v : v \in V_S(\Pi)\}$  is a nonzero invariant subspace of  $C_c^\infty(k^*)$  because, if it is zero, then for every  $v \in V_\Pi$  and  $s \in S$ , we have

$$0 = \varphi_{\Pi(s)v-v}(1) = l(\Pi(s)v) - l(v) = \psi(s)l(v) - l(v) = (\psi(s) - 1)l(v).$$

Thus, either  $l = 0$  or  $\psi = 1$ , contradicting our assumptions. By Proposition 4.7.3 of [1],  $C_c^\infty(k^*)$  is an irreducible representation of  $B_1^G$ , so the proposition follows.  $\square$

Now we will find the space  $\{v \in V_\Pi : \varphi_v = 0\}$ . Let

$$V_R(\alpha_{\psi,\Lambda}, \Pi) = \text{span}\{\Pi(r)v - \alpha_{\psi,\Lambda}(r)v : v \in V_\Pi, r \in R\}.$$

**Lemma 4.2** *If  $l \in \text{Hom}_R(\Pi, \alpha_{\Lambda,\psi})$  and is nonzero, then the kernel of  $l$  is  $V_R(\alpha_{\psi,\Lambda}, \Pi)$ .*

**Proof** First note that

$$\text{Hom}_R(\Pi, \alpha_{\Lambda,\psi}) \cong \text{Hom}_C(V_\Pi/V_R(\alpha_{\psi,\Lambda}, \Pi), 1).$$

By uniqueness of the Bessel model, dimensions of the above spaces are one. Hence,  $\dim_C(V_\Pi/V_R(\alpha_{\psi,\Lambda}, \Pi)) = 1$ . Since  $l$  is nonzero and its kernel contains  $V_R(\alpha_{\psi,\Lambda}, \Pi)$ , the kernel should be  $V_R(\alpha_{\psi,\Lambda}, \Pi)$ .  $\square$

Define  $S'_n := \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{c|c} 1 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & u \\ \hline & 1 \\ & & 1 \end{array} \right) : u \in \mathcal{P}^{-n} \right\},$

$$S_n := \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{c|cc} 1 & u_1 & u_2 \\ \hline 1 & u_3 & u_1 \\ \hline & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{array} \right) : u_1, u_2, u_3 \in \mathcal{P}^{-n} \right\} \text{ and } R_n^S := TS_n. \text{ Similarly define } N_n \text{ and } R_n^N := TN_n.$$

We now find a characterization of the elements of  $V_R(\alpha_{\psi,\Lambda}, \Pi)$ .

**Proposition 4.3** *There is an increasing sequence of  $R_n^S/Z$  of open compact subgroups of  $R/Z$ , which exhausts  $R/Z$ .*

**Proof** 
$$\left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} a & b & & \\ b\rho & a & & \\ \hline & & a & -b \\ & & -b\rho & a \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c|cc} 1 & & u_1 & u_2 \\ & 1 & u_3 & u_1 \\ \hline & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} a & b & & \\ b\rho & a & & \\ \hline & & a & -b \\ & & -b\rho & a \end{array} \right)^{-1} = \left( \begin{array}{c|cc} 1 & & u'_1 & u'_2 \\ & 1 & u'_3 & u'_1 \\ \hline & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{array} \right) \text{ where}$$

$$u'_1 = \frac{1}{a^2 - b^2\rho} [(a^2 + b^2\rho)u_1 + (ab\rho)u_2 + (ab)u_3]$$

$$u'_2 = \frac{1}{a^2 - b^2\rho} [(2ab)u_1 + (a^2)u_2 + (b^2)u_3]$$

$$u'_3 = \frac{1}{a^2 - b^2\rho} [(2ab\rho)u_1 + (b^2\rho^2)u_2 + (a^2)u_3].$$

Since by Lemma 5.1 of [2], the coefficients of  $u_1, u_2, u_3$  above are all in  $\mathcal{O}$ , we have  $u'_1, u'_2, u'_3 \in \mathcal{P}^{-n}$ . Hence,  $T$  normalizes  $S_n$  and  $R_n^S$  is a subgroup of  $R/Z$ .

Now consider the multiplication on the group  $R/Z$ . The image of  $(T/Z) \times (ZS_n/Z)$  in  $R/Z$  is  $R_n^S/Z$ . Since we are in the field case,  $T/Z$  is compact. Also,  $ZS_n/Z \cong S_n$ , and therefore  $(ZS_n/Z)$  is open and compact. Hence,  $R_n^S/Z$  is an open and compact subgroup of  $R/Z$ . □

**Proposition 4.4**  $v \in V_R(\alpha_{\psi,\Lambda}, \Pi)$  if and only if for sufficiently large  $n$

$$\int_{R_n^S/Z} \alpha_{\Lambda,\psi}^{-1}(r)\Pi(r)v \, dr = 0. \tag{4}$$

**Proof** Let  $v = \Pi(r_0)w - \alpha_{\psi,\Lambda}(r_0)w$  be a typical generator of  $V_R(\alpha_{\psi,\Lambda}, \Pi)$ . If the image of  $r_o$  in  $R/Z$  is in  $R_n^S$ , then

$$\int_{R_n^S/Z} \alpha_{\Lambda,\psi}^{-1}(r)\Pi(r)\Pi(r_0)w \, dr = \alpha_{\Lambda,\psi}(r_0) \int_{R_n^S/Z} \alpha_{\Lambda,\psi}^{-1}(r)\Pi(r)w \, dr.$$

Hence, the integral (4) vanishes and this shows that  $V_R(\alpha_{\psi,\Lambda}, \Pi)$  is contained in the space of all  $v$  that satisfy (4).

Conversely, suppose that  $v$  satisfies (4) for some  $n$ .  $\alpha_{\Lambda,\psi}^{-1}\Pi$  is a smooth representation of  $R/Z$  and therefore there exists  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $m < n$  and  $\alpha_{\Lambda,\psi}^{-1}(r)\Pi(r)v = v$  for every  $v \in R_m^S/Z$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{R_n^S/Z} \alpha_{\Lambda,\psi}^{-1}(r)\Pi(r)v \, dr \\ &= \text{Vol}(R_m^S/Z) \sum_{x \in (R_n^S/Z)/(R_m^S/Z)} \alpha_{\Lambda,\psi}^{-1}(x)\Pi(x) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} v &= v - \text{Vol}(R_n^S/R_m^S)^{-1} \sum_{x \in (R_n^S/Z)/(R_m^S/Z)} \alpha_{\Lambda,\psi}^{-1}(x)\Pi(x) \\ &= \text{Vol}(R_n^S/R_m^S)^{-1} \sum_{x \in (R_n^S/Z)/(R_m^S/Z)} [v - \alpha_{\Lambda,\psi}^{-1}(x)\Pi(x)v]. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $v \in V_R(\alpha_{\psi,\Lambda}, \Pi)$ . □

Let

$$V_{T,N}(\Lambda, \Pi) := \{\Pi(tn)v - \Lambda(t)v : v \in V_{\Pi}\}$$

and

$$V_{T,S}(\Lambda, \Pi) := \{\Pi(ts)v - \Lambda(t)v : v \in V_{\Pi}\}.$$

**Proposition 4.5**  $v \in V_{T,N}(\Lambda, \Psi)$  if and only if for sufficiently large  $n$

$$\int_{R_n^N/Z} \Lambda^{-1}(r)\Pi(r)v \, dr = 0.$$

**Proof** Similar to the proof of the previous proposition. □

**Proposition 4.6** If  $(\Pi, V_{\Pi})$  is an infinite dimensional, irreducible, and admissible representation of  $GSp_4(k)$ , then there is no nonzero  $v \in V_{\Pi}$  that is invariant under  $S'$ .

**Proof** Assume that  $v$  is invariant under  $S'$ . The stabilizer of  $v$  is open and so there exists  $g \in SL_2 - B$  such

that  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & g & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is in the stabilizer of  $v$ . Since  $SL_2$  is generated by its unipotent part and an element in  $SL_2 - B$ ,

$$\Pi \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & SL_2 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} v = v. \tag{5}$$

Let  $x \in k$  and choose  $n$  large enough so that  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \varpi^n x & \\ & 1 & & \varpi^n x \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is in the stabilizer of  $v$ . Define

$$\alpha := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \varpi^n & & \\ & & \varpi^{-n} & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & SL_2 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & x & \\ & 1 & & x \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} v &= \Pi \left( \alpha^{-1} \alpha \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & x & \\ & 1 & & x \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \alpha^{-1} \right) v = \Pi \left( \alpha^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \varpi^n x & \\ & 1 & & \varpi^n x \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) v \\ &= v \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Pi \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & -x \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} v = \Pi \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & -1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & x & \\ & 1 & & x \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & -1 \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) v = v.$$

Since  $\Pi$  is smooth, there exists  $g \in SL_2 - B$  such that  $v$  is fixed by  $\Pi \begin{pmatrix} g & \\ & (g')^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ . Since  $SL_2(k)$  is generated by its unipotent part and an element in  $SL_2 - B$ , we have

$$\Pi \begin{pmatrix} g & \\ & (g')^{-1} \end{pmatrix} v = v \tag{6}$$

for every  $g \in SL_2(k)$ . Additionally, by (5),

$$\Pi \left( \begin{array}{c|c} 1 & x \\ \hline & x^{-1} \\ \hline & & 1 \end{array} \right) v = v.$$

Therefore, (6) is also valid for every  $g \in GL_2(k)$ . Now for  $x \in k$  choose  $m$  large enough so that

$$\Pi \left( \begin{array}{c|c} 1 & \varpi^{2m}x \\ \hline & 0 \\ \hline & & 1 \\ \hline & & & 1 \end{array} \right) v = v.$$

Hence,  $v$  is fixed by

$$\Pi \left( \begin{array}{c|c} 1 & x \\ \hline & 0 \\ \hline & & 1 \\ \hline & & & 1 \end{array} \right) = \Pi \left( \left( \begin{array}{c|c} \varpi^{-m} & \\ \hline & 1 \\ \hline & & \varpi^m \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c|c} 1 & \varpi^{2m}x \\ \hline & 0 \\ \hline & & 1 \\ \hline & & & 1 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c|c} \varpi^m & \\ \hline & 1 \\ \hline & & \varpi^{-m} \end{array} \right) \right).$$

Additionally, by (5) and (6),  $v$  is fixed by

$$\Pi \left( \begin{array}{c|c} & 1 \\ \hline & 1 \\ \hline -1 & \\ \hline -1 & \end{array} \right) = \Pi \left( \left( \begin{array}{c|c} 1 & \\ \hline & 1 \\ \hline & & 1 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c|c} 1 & \\ \hline & 1 \\ \hline -1 & \\ \hline & 1 \end{array} \right) \right)^2.$$

Hence,  $v$  is invariant under all generators of  $Sp_4(k)$  and thus invariant under  $Sp_4(k)$ . Since the center  $Z$  of  $GSp_4(k)$  acts on  $v$  by a character, the one-dimensional subspace spanned by  $v$  is fixed by  $\langle Sp_4(k), Z \rangle$ . The characteristic of  $k$  is not 2, so the coset of  $g \in GSp_4(k)$  in  $GSp_4(k)/Sp_4(k)Z$  is determined by the class of  $\lambda(g)$  in  $k^*/(k^*)^2$ . Hence, there are only finitely many classes, and so the space spanned by  $\Pi(g)v$  is finite-dimensional, which contradicts the infinite dimensionality and irreducibility of  $\Pi$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.7**  $\varphi_v(x) = 0 \iff v \in V_{T,N}(\Lambda, \Pi)$ .

**Proof** If  $\varphi_v(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in k^*$ , then  $\Pi \begin{pmatrix} xI_2 & \\ & I_2 \end{pmatrix} v \in V_R(\alpha_{\Lambda, \psi}, \Pi)$  for all  $x \in k^*$ . Hence, by Proposition 4.4 there exists  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= \int_{R_n^S/Z} \alpha_{\Lambda, \psi}^{-1}(r) \Pi(r) \Pi \begin{pmatrix} xI_2 & \\ & I_2 \end{pmatrix} v \, dr \\
 &= \int_{S'_n} \int_{N_n} \int_{T/Z} \Lambda^{-1}(t) \psi^{-1}(s') \Pi(s'nt) \Pi \begin{pmatrix} xI_2 & \\ & I_2 \end{pmatrix} v \, dt \, dn \, ds' \\
 &= \int_{S'_n} \int_{N_n} \int_{T/Z} \Lambda^{-1}(t) \psi^{-1}(xs') \Pi(s'nt) v \, dt \, dn \, ds' \\
 &= \int_{S'_n} \psi^{-1}(xs') \Pi(s') \left( \int_{R_n^N/Z} \Lambda^{-1}(t) \Pi(nt) v \, dr' \right) ds'.
 \end{aligned}$$

By similar computations to that of Lemma 3 of [4],

$$\int_{R_n^N/Z} \Lambda^{-1}(t) \Pi(nt) v \, dr' \tag{7}$$

is fixed by  $S'$ . Therefore, by Proposition 4.6 integral (7) vanishes and  $v$  is in  $V_{T,N}(\Lambda, \Pi)$  by Proposition 4.5.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.8**  $V_{T,N}(\Lambda, \Pi) + V_S(\Pi) = V_{T,S}(\Lambda, \Pi)$ .

**Proof**  $V_{T,N}(\Lambda, \Pi)$  and  $V_S(\Pi)$  are obviously subspaces of  $V_{T,S}(\Lambda, \Pi)$ . Conversely, for a typical generator  $\Pi(ts)v - \Lambda(t)v$  of  $V_{T,S}(\Lambda, \Pi)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Pi(ts)v - \Lambda(t)v &= \Pi(tst^{-1})\Pi(t)v - \Lambda(t)v \\
 &= \Pi(s')\Pi(t)v - \Lambda(t)v \\
 &= \{\Pi(s')[\Pi(t)v] - [\Pi(t)v]\} + [\Pi(t)v - \Lambda(t)v]
 \end{aligned}$$

and this is an element of  $V_S(\Pi) + V_{T,N}(\Lambda, \Pi)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.9**

$$\varphi_v \in C_c^\infty(k^*) \iff v \in V_{T,S}(\Lambda, \Pi).$$

**Proof**

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi_v \in C_c^\infty(k^*) &\iff \exists v' \in V_S(\Pi) \text{ such that } \varphi_v = \varphi_{v'} \\
 &\iff \exists v' \in V_S(\Pi) \text{ such that } \varphi_{v-v'} = 0 \\
 &\iff \exists v' \in V_S(\Pi) \text{ such that } v - v' \in V_{T,N}(\Lambda, \Pi) \\
 &\iff v \in V_S(\Pi) + V_{T,N}(\Lambda, \Pi) \\
 &\iff v \in V_{T,S}(\Lambda, \Pi).
 \end{aligned}$$

The first equality follows from Lemma 4.1, the third from Proposition 4.7, and the last from Lemma 4.8.  $\square$



**5. Computation of regular poles**

The following lemmas are required to determine whether constants in Proposition 3.2 and Proposition 3.5 are nonzero or not.

**Lemma 5.1** *Let  $K$  be a quadratic extension of  $k$  and  $T \cong K^*$ ; then  $\text{Hom}_T(\sigma\text{St}_{GL_2(k)}, \Lambda)$  is nonzero for a character of  $K^*$ , which satisfies  $\sigma^2 = \Lambda|_{k^*}$  if and only if  $\Lambda \neq \sigma \circ N_{K/k}$ . If  $\text{Hom}_T(\sigma\text{St}_{GL_2(k)}, \Lambda)$  is nonzero then it is one-dimensional.*

**Proof** Follows from Proposition 1.7 in [13]. □

**Lemma 5.2** *Let  $K$  be a quadratic extension of  $k$  and  $T \cong K^*$ . If  $\pi$  is an irreducible representation of  $GL_2(k)$ , which is induced from a character of the torus of  $GL_2(k)$ , then  $\text{Hom}_T(\pi, \Lambda)$  is nonzero for every character  $\Lambda$  of  $K^*$  such that  $\omega_\pi = \Lambda|_{k^*}$  and  $\text{Hom}_T(\pi, \Lambda)$  is one-dimensional.*

**Proof** Follows from Proposition 1.6 in [13]. □

**Lemma 5.3** *Let*

$$0 \longrightarrow W \longrightarrow U \longrightarrow U/W \longrightarrow 0$$

*be an exact sequence of  $T$  modules. If  $\text{Hom}_T(U/W, \Lambda)$  is nonzero, then there exists a nonzero  $f \in \text{Hom}(U, \Lambda)$  such that  $f|_W = 0$ .*

**Proof** Take  $f' \in \text{Hom}_T(U/W, \Lambda)$  and  $f' \neq 0$ , and then define  $f(u) = f'(\bar{u})$ . □

From now on, we assume that  $(\Pi, V_\Pi)$  has a Bessel model with respect to  $\psi$  and  $\Lambda$ . Also, for simplicity, we take  $\mu = 1$ . Irreducible and admissible representations of  $GSp_4(k)$ , which has Jacquet module length of less than or equal to 2, are given in Table 1 due to the Sally–Tadic classification in [10]. In this table, nonsupercuspidal representations are named as IIIa, IIIb, IVa, IVb, IVc, IVd, Va, Vb, Vc, Vd, VIb, VIc, VII, VIIa, VIIb, IXa, IXb, X, XIa, and XIb. Additionally, semisimplifications of these representations are given in Table 2.

**5.1. Representations with Jacquet module length 0**

**Proposition 5.4** *If  $(\Pi, V_\Pi)$  is an irreducible and admissible representation of  $GSp_4(k)$  and  $R_S(\Pi)$  is a zero space, then the  $L$ -factor of  $\Pi$  does not have regular poles.*

**Proof** If  $R_S(\Pi)$  is a zero space, then the spaces  $V_\Pi$  and  $V_S(\Pi)$  are equal. Therefore, by Proposition 2.5 and Proposition 3.1, there is no regular pole. □

**Theorem 5.5** *Nongeneric supercuspidal representations of  $GSp_4(k)$  and representations VIIIb and IXb do not have regular poles.*

**Proof** Follows from Proposition 5.4. □

**Theorem 5.6** *The  $L$ -factors of generic supercuspidal representations of  $GSp_4(k)$  and representations VII, VIIa, and IXa are equal to one.*

**Proof** Follows from Proposition 5.4 and Theorem 2.6. □

**Table 1.** Regular poles and expected exceptional poles.

		Representation	Regular	Exceptional
III	a	$\chi \rtimes \sigma St_{GL(2)}$	$L(s, \nu^{1/2} \chi \sigma)$ $L(s, \nu^{1/2} \sigma)$	-
III	b	$\chi \rtimes \sigma 1_{GL(2)}$	$L(s, \nu^{-1/2} \chi \sigma)$ $L(s, \nu^{-1/2} \sigma)$	$L(s, \nu^{1/2} \chi \sigma)$ $L(s, \nu^{1/2} \sigma)$
IV	a	$\sigma St_{GSp(4)}$	$L(s, \nu^{3/2} \sigma)$	-
IV	b	$L(\nu^2, \nu^{-1} \sigma St_{GL(2)})$	$L(s, \nu^{3/2} \sigma)$ $L(s, \nu^{-1/2} \sigma)$	-
IV	c	$L(\nu^{3/2} St_{GL(2)}, \nu^{-3/2} \sigma)$	$L(s, \nu^{-3/2} \sigma)$ $L(s, \nu^{1/2} \sigma)$	$L(s, \nu^{3/2} \sigma)$
IV	d	$\sigma 1_{GSp(4)}$	-	-
V	a	$\delta([\xi, \nu \xi], \nu^{-1/2} \sigma)$	$L(s, \nu^{1/2} \sigma)$ $L(s, \nu^{1/2} \xi \sigma)$	-
V	b	$L(\nu^{1/2} \xi St_{GL(2)}, \nu^{-1/2} \sigma)$	$L(s, \nu^{-1/2} \sigma)$ $L(s, \nu^{1/2} \xi \sigma)$	$L(s, \nu^{1/2} \sigma)$
V	c	$L(\nu^{1/2} \xi St_{GL(2)}, \xi \nu^{-1/2} \sigma)$	$L(s, \nu^{-1/2} \xi \sigma)$ $L(s, \nu^{1/2} \sigma)$	$L(s, \nu^{1/2} \xi \sigma)$
V	d	$L(\nu \xi, \xi \rtimes \nu^{-1/2} \sigma)$	$L(s, \nu^{-1/2} \xi \sigma)$ $L(s, \nu^{-1/2} \sigma)$	$L(s, \nu^{1/2} \xi \sigma)$ $L(s, \nu^{1/2} \sigma)$
VI	b	$\tau(T, \nu^{-1/2} \sigma)$	$L(s, \nu^{1/2} \sigma)$	-
VI	c	$L(\nu^{1/2} St_{GL(2)}, \nu^{-1/2} \sigma)$	$L(s, \nu^{-1/2} \sigma)$	$L(s, \nu^{1/2} \sigma)^2$
VII		$\chi \rtimes \pi$	-	-
VIII	a	$\tau(S, \pi)$	-	-
VIII	b	$\tau(T, \pi)$	-	-
IX	a	$\delta(\nu \xi, \nu^{-1/2} \pi)$	-	-
IX	b	$L(\nu \xi, \nu^{-1/2} \pi)$	-	-
X		$\pi \rtimes \sigma$	$L(s, \sigma) L(s, \omega_\pi \sigma)$	-
XI	a	$\delta(\nu^{1/2} \pi, \nu^{-1/2} \sigma)$	$L(s, \nu^{1/2} \sigma)$	-
XI	b	$L(\nu^{1/2} \pi, \nu^{-1/2} \sigma)$	$L(s, \nu^{-1/2} \sigma)$	$L(s, \nu^{1/2} \sigma)$

### 5.2. Representations with Jacquet module length 1

First we determine the asymptotic behavior of  $\varphi_v$ .

**Lemma 5.7** *Let  $\eta \cong \tau \otimes \rho$  be a subrepresentation of  $R_S(\Pi)$  and  $v$  an element of  $V_\Pi$  such that the image of  $v$  in  $R_S(\Pi)$  is  $\bar{v}$  and  $\bar{v}$  is in  $V_\eta$ . Then for small enough  $|x|$  we have*

$$\varphi_v(x) = C|x|^{3/2} \omega_\tau(x) \rho(x).$$

**Proof** Let  $\phi$  be the isomorphism between  $\eta$  and  $\tau \otimes \rho$ ; then

$$\phi(\delta_P^{-1/2} \Pi_S(m) \bar{v}) = \tau \otimes \rho(m) \phi(\bar{v})$$

for every  $\bar{v} \in V_\eta$ . In particular, if

$$h_x = \begin{pmatrix} xI_2 & \\ & I_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} xI_2 & \\ & x((xI_2)^t)^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

**Table 2.** Jacquet modules: the Siegel parabolic.

		Representation	Semisimplification	#	g
III	a	$\chi \rtimes \sigma St_{GL(2)}$	$B(\chi, \nu) \otimes \sigma \nu^{-1/2} + B(\nu, \chi^{-1}) \otimes \chi \sigma \nu^{-1/2}$	2	•
III	b	$\chi \rtimes \sigma 1_{GL(2)}$	$B(\chi, \nu^{-1}) \otimes \sigma \nu^{1/2} + B(\nu^{-1}, \chi^{-1}) \otimes \chi \sigma \nu^{1/2}$	2	
IV	a	$\sigma St_{GSp(4)}$	$\nu^{3/2} St_{GL(2)} \otimes \nu^{-3/2} \sigma$	1	•
IV	b	$L(\nu^2, \nu^{-1} \sigma St_{GL(2)})$	$\nu^{3/2} 1_{GL(2)} \otimes \sigma \nu^{-3/2} + B(\nu, \nu^{-2}) \otimes \sigma \nu^{1/2}$	2	
IV	c	$L(\nu^{3/2} St_{GL(2)}, \nu^{-3/2} \sigma)$	$\nu^{-3/2} St_{GL(2)} \otimes \sigma \nu^{3/2} + B(\nu^2, \nu^{-1}) \otimes \sigma \nu^{-1/2}$	2	
IV	d	$\sigma 1_{GSp(4)}$	$\nu^{3/2} St_{GL(2)} \otimes \nu^{-3/2} \sigma$	1	
V	a	$\delta([\xi, \nu \xi], \nu^{-1/2} \sigma)$	$\nu^{1/2} \xi St_{GL(2)} \otimes \sigma \nu^{-1/2} + \nu^{1/2} \xi St_{GL(2)} \otimes \sigma \xi \nu^{-1/2}$	2	•
V	b	$L(\nu^{1/2} \xi St_{GL(2)}, \nu^{-1/2} \sigma)$	$\nu^{-1/2} \xi St_{GL(2)} \otimes \sigma \nu^{1/2} + \nu^{1/2} \xi 1_{GL(2)} \otimes \sigma \xi \nu^{-1/2}$	2	
V	c	$L(\nu^{1/2} \xi St_{GL(2)}, \xi \nu^{-1/2} \sigma)$	$\nu^{-1/2} \xi St_{GL(2)} \otimes \xi \sigma \nu^{1/2} + \nu^{1/2} \xi 1_{GL(2)} \otimes \sigma \nu^{-1/2}$	2	
V	d	$L(\nu \xi, \xi \rtimes \nu^{-1/2} \sigma)$	$\nu^{-1/2} \xi 1_{GL(2)} \otimes \xi \sigma \nu^{1/2} + \nu^{-1/2} \xi 1_{GL(2)} \otimes \sigma \nu^{1/2}$	2	
VI	b	$\tau(T, \nu^{-1/2} \sigma)$	$\nu^{1/2} 1_{GL(2)} \otimes \nu^{-1/2} \sigma$	1	•
VI	c	$L(\nu^{1/2} St_{GL(2)}, \nu^{-1/2} \sigma)$	$\nu^{-1/2} St_{GL(2)} \otimes \nu^{1/2} \sigma$	1	
VII		$\chi \rtimes \pi$	0	0	•
VIII	a	$\tau(S, \pi)$	0	0	•
VIII	b	$\tau(T, \pi)$	0	0	
IX	a	$\delta(\nu \xi, \nu^{-1/2} \pi)$	0	0	•
IX	b	$L(\nu \xi, \nu^{-1/2} \pi)$	0	0	
X		$\pi \rtimes \sigma$	$\pi \otimes \sigma + \tilde{\pi} \otimes \omega_\pi \sigma$	2	•
XI	a	$\delta(\nu^{1/2} \pi, \nu^{-1/2} \sigma)$	$\nu^{1/2} \pi \otimes \nu^{-1/2} \sigma$	1	•
XI	b	$L(\nu^{1/2} \pi, \nu^{-1/2} \sigma)$	$\nu^{-1/2} \pi \otimes \nu^{1/2} \sigma$	1	

then  $\lambda(h_x) = x$  and  $\delta_P(h_x) = |x^2 x^{-1}|^3 = |x|^3$ . Therefore,  $\tau \otimes \rho(h_x) \phi(\bar{v}) = \omega_\tau(x) \rho(x) \phi(\bar{v})$  and  $|x|^{-3/2} \Pi_S(h_x) \bar{v} - \omega_\tau(x) \rho(x) \bar{v}$  is in the kernel of the  $\phi$ , which is zero. Hence

$$\Pi(h_x)v - |x|^{3/2} \omega_\tau(x) \rho(x)v \in V_S(\Pi).$$

Now use Proposition 3.2. □

Next, we find a condition that guarantees that the constant in Lemma 5.7 is nonzero.

**Proposition 5.8** *If  $R_S(\Pi) \cong \tau \otimes \rho$  and  $\text{Hom}_T(R_S(\Pi), \Lambda)$  is nonzero, then the regular pole of  $L$ -factor of  $\Pi$  is the pole of  $L(s, \omega_\tau \rho)$ .*

**Proof** By Lemma 5.7,  $\varphi_v(x) = C|x|^{3/2} \omega_\tau(x) \rho(x)$  for small enough  $|x|$ . If  $C$  is zero for every  $v$  in  $V_\Pi$ , then  $\varphi_v$  has compact support in  $k^*$ . Therefore, by Theorem 4.9,  $v \in V_{T,S}(\Lambda, \Pi)$  and  $\bar{v} \in \text{span}\{\Pi_S(t)\bar{w} - \Lambda(t)\bar{w} : \bar{w} \in V_\Pi/V_S(\Pi), t \in T\}$ . Since  $\delta_P(t) = 1$  for every  $t \in T$  we have  $\Pi_S = R_S(\Pi)$ , which implies that  $\text{Hom}_T(R_S(\Pi), \Lambda)$  is zero and contradicts our assumption. Hence,  $C$  is nonzero for some  $v$  in  $V_\Pi$  and the result follows from Lemma 3.4. □

Now we determine the regular poles of the representations in Table 2, which has Jacquet module length one. The condition of Proposition 5.8 will be satisfied by the Bessel model existence condition. For more details about Bessel model existence conditions, one can look at the unpublished notes, “Bessel models for  $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$  over a  $p$ -adic field”, of R Schmidt).

**Theorem 5.9** *For the representations of the group  $\mathrm{GSp}_4(k)$ , which have a Jacquet module length of one, we have:*

- i) *L-factor of IV-a is  $L(s, \nu^{3/2}\sigma)$ .*
- ii) *Regular pole of L-factor of VI-b is pole of  $L(s, \nu^{1/2}\sigma)$ .*
- iii) *Regular pole of L-factor of VI-c is pole of  $L(s, \nu^{-1/2}\sigma)$ .*
- iv) *L-factor of XI-a is  $L(s, \nu^{1/2}\sigma)$ .*
- v) *Regular pole of L-factor of XI-b is pole of  $L(s, \nu^{-1/2}\sigma)$ .*

**Proof** i) By Proposition 5.1 of [8] if IV-a has a Bessel model then  $\Lambda \neq \sigma \circ N_{K/k}$ . Hence, by Lemma 5.1,  $\mathrm{Hom}_T(\sigma \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(k)}, \Lambda)$  is nonzero. Since we have  $R_S(\Pi) \cong \nu^{3/2} \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(k)} \otimes \nu^{-3/2}\sigma$ , as a representation of  $T$ ,  $R_S(\Pi) \cong \sigma \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(k)}$ . Hence the condition of Proposition 5.8 is satisfied. Also,  $\omega_{\nu^{3/2} \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(k)}} = \nu^3$ , and so by Proposition 5.8 the regular pole of the  $L$ -factor of IV-a is the pole of  $L(s, \nu^{3/2}\sigma)$ . Since we are in the generic case, the result follows from Theorem 2.6.

ii) By Sally–Tadic classification and exactness of the twisted Jacquet module, we have

$$0 \longrightarrow (VI - b)_\psi \longrightarrow (\nu^{1/2} 1_{\mathrm{GL}_2(k)} \rtimes \nu^{-1/2}\sigma)_\psi \longrightarrow (VI - d)_\psi \longrightarrow 0,$$

where the subscript  $\psi$  denotes the largest quotient on which  $S$  operates by  $\psi$ . By Proposition 2.1 of [8],  $(\nu^{1/2} 1_{\mathrm{GL}_2(k)} \rtimes \nu^{-1/2}\sigma)_\psi = \sigma \circ N_{K/k}$ . Hence, if VI-b has a Bessel model, then  $\Lambda = \sigma \circ N_{K/k}$ . Since  $R_S(\Pi) \cong \nu^{1/2} 1_{\mathrm{GL}_2(k)} \otimes \nu^{-1/2}\sigma$ , as a representation of  $T$  we have  $R_S(\Pi) \cong \sigma 1_{\mathrm{GL}_2}$ . Hence, the condition of Proposition 5.8 is satisfied. Also,  $\omega_{\nu^{1/2} 1_{\mathrm{GL}_2(k)}} = \nu$ , and so by Proposition 5.8 the regular pole of the  $L$ -factor of VI-b is the pole of  $L(s, \nu^{1/2}\sigma)$ .

iii) By Sally–Tadic classification and exactness of the twisted Jacquet module, we have

$$0 \longrightarrow (VI - a)_\psi \longrightarrow (\nu^{1/2} \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(k)} \rtimes \nu^{-1/2}\sigma)_\psi \longrightarrow (VI - c)_\psi \longrightarrow 0.$$

By Proposition 2.1 of [8],  $(\nu^{1/2} \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(k)} \rtimes \nu^{-1/2}\sigma)_\psi = \sigma \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(k)}$ . Hence, if VI-c has a Bessel model, then  $\mathrm{Hom}_T(\sigma \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(k)}, \Lambda)$  is nonzero. Since  $R_S(\Pi) \cong \nu^{-1/2} \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(k)} \otimes \nu^{1/2}\sigma$ , as a representation of  $T$  we have  $R_S(\Pi) \cong \sigma \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(k)}$ . Hence, the condition of Proposition 5.8 is satisfied. Also,  $\omega_{\nu^{-1/2} \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2(k)}} = \nu^{-1}$ , and so by Proposition 5.8 the regular pole of the  $L$ -factor of VI-c is the pole of  $L(s, \nu^{-1/2}\sigma)$ .

iv) Since  $K$  is a field, the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow (XI - a)_\psi \longrightarrow (\nu^{1/2} \pi \rtimes \nu^{-1/2}\sigma)_\psi \longrightarrow (XI - b)_\psi \longrightarrow 0$$

splits, and by Proposition 2.1 of [8] we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_T(\sigma \pi, \Lambda) = \mathrm{Hom}_T((XI - a)_\psi, \Lambda) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}_T((XI - b)_\psi, \Lambda).$$

Hence, if XI-a or XI-b has a Bessel model, then  $\text{Hom}_T(\sigma\pi, \Lambda)$  is nonzero. Since  $R_S(\Pi) \cong \nu^{1/2}\pi \otimes \nu^{-1/2}\sigma$ , as a representation of  $T$  we have  $R_S(\Pi) \cong \sigma\pi$ . Hence, the condition of Proposition 5.8 is satisfied. Also,  $\omega_{\nu^{1/2}\pi} \otimes \nu^{-1/2}\sigma = \nu^{1/2}\sigma$ , and so by Proposition 5.8, the regular pole of the  $L$ -factor of XI-a is the pole of  $L(s, \nu^{1/2}\sigma)$ . Therefore, the result follows from Theorem 2.6.

v) Follows from the proof of (iv). □

### 5.3. Representations with Jacquet module length 2

For these representations, we show that regular poles are either 2 simple poles or a double pole depending on the structure of the Jacquet module. First, we find the asymptotic behavior of  $\varphi_v$  up to 2 constants.

**Lemma 5.10** *Let  $U$  be a subrepresentation of  $R_S(\Pi)$  and*

$$0 \longrightarrow W \longrightarrow U \longrightarrow U/W \longrightarrow 0$$

*an exact sequence of representations of  $M$ , where  $W \cong \tau_1 \otimes \rho_1$  and  $U/W \cong \tau_2 \otimes \rho_2$  as a representation of  $GL_2(k) \times k^*$ . Let  $\chi_i$  be  $\omega_{\tau_i\rho_i}$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $\bar{u} \in U$  the image of  $u \in V_\Pi$  in  $R_S(\Pi)$ . Then we have:*

1) *If  $\chi_1 \neq \chi_2$  then  $U = \bigoplus \nu^{3/2}\chi_1 \oplus \bigoplus \nu^{3/2}\chi_2$  as a representation of  $H$ . For small enough  $|x|$  and constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ , we have*

$$\varphi_u(x) = C_1|x|^{3/2}\chi_1(x) + C_2|x|^{3/2}\chi_2(x).$$

2) *If  $\chi_1 = \chi_2 = \chi$ , then for some  $\bar{w}_u \in W$  we have*

$$\Pi_S(h_x)\bar{u} = |x|^{3/2}\chi(x)\bar{u} + |x|^{3/2}\chi(x)v_k(x)\bar{w}_u.$$

**Proof** It is similar to the proof of Proposition 4.5.8 of [1]. Since  $U/W \cong \chi_2$  as an  $H$  module, for every  $\bar{u} \in U$  we have

$$R_S(\Pi)(h_x)\bar{u} = \chi_2(x)\bar{u} + \bar{w}_u(x) \tag{8}$$

for some  $\bar{w}_u(x) \in W$ . Also, by the proof of Lemma 5.7,

$$R_S(\Pi)(h_x)\bar{w} = \chi_1(x)\bar{w} \tag{9}$$

for every  $\bar{w} \in W$ . From (8),

$$R_S(\Pi)(h_{xy})\bar{u} = \chi_2(xy)\bar{u} + \bar{w}_u(xy). \tag{10}$$

By (8) and (9),

$$\begin{aligned} R_S(\Pi)(h_{xy})\bar{u} &= R_S(\Pi)(h_y)R_S(\Pi)(h_x)\bar{u} \\ &= R_S(\Pi)(h_y)[\chi_2(x)\bar{u} + \bar{w}_u(x)] \\ &= \chi_2(x)R_S(\Pi)(h_y)\bar{u} + R_S(\Pi)(h_y)\bar{w}_u(x) \\ &= \chi_2(x)[\chi_2(y)\bar{u} + \bar{w}_u(y)] + R_S(\Pi)(h_y)\bar{w}_u(x) \\ &= \chi_2(x)[\chi_2(y)\bar{u} + \bar{w}_u(y)] + \chi_1(y)\bar{w}_u(x) \\ &= \chi_2(xy)\bar{u} + \chi_2(x)\bar{w}_u(y) + \chi_1(y)\bar{w}_u(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (10), we have

$$\bar{w}_u(xy) = \chi_2(x)\bar{w}_u(y) + \chi_1(y)\bar{w}_u(x). \tag{11}$$

**CASE 1:**  $\chi_1 \neq \chi_2$ . There exists  $y_o \in k^*$  such that  $\chi_1(y_o) \neq \chi_2(y_o)$  and also by symmetry in (11)

$$\chi_2(x)\bar{w}_u(y_o) + \chi_1(y_o)\bar{w}_u(x) = \chi_2(y_o)\bar{w}_u(x) + \chi_1(x)\bar{w}_u(y_o).$$

Hence

$$\bar{w}_u(x) = \frac{\bar{w}_u(y_o)}{\chi_1(y_o) - \chi_2(y_o)} [\chi_1(x) - \chi_2(x)].$$

Let  $\bar{w}_u = \frac{\bar{w}_u(y_o)}{\chi_1(y_o) - \chi_2(y_o)}$ ; then by (8),

$$R_S(\Pi)(h_x)\bar{u} = \chi_2(x)\bar{u} + \bar{w}_u[\chi_1(x) - \chi_2(x)]$$

and by (9),

$$R_S(\Pi)(h_x)[\bar{u} - \bar{w}_u] = \chi_2(x)[\bar{u} - \bar{w}_u].$$

Hence, the exact sequence in the statement of the lemma splits as an  $H$  module. Since  $\delta_P(h_x)^{-1/2} = |x|^{-3/2}$ , the result follows from Proposition 3.2.

**CASE 2:**  $\chi_1 = \chi_2 = \chi$ .

By (11)

$$\bar{w}_u(xy) = \chi(x)\bar{w}_u(y) + \chi(y)\bar{w}_u(x).$$

Hence

$$\frac{\bar{w}_u(xy)}{\chi(xy)} = \frac{\bar{w}_u(y)}{\chi(y)} + \frac{\bar{w}_u(x)}{\chi(x)},$$

and so there is a homomorphism from  $k^*$  to  $W$  given by

$$x \longrightarrow \frac{\bar{w}_u(x)}{\chi(x)}.$$

As an additive group only the compact subgroup of  $V_S(\Pi)$  is zero, and so the kernel of this homomorphism contains  $\mathcal{O}^*$ . Hence, if  $x = x'\varpi^i$  for  $x' \in \mathcal{O}^*$ , then

$$\frac{\bar{w}_u(x)}{\chi(x)} = \frac{\bar{w}_u(x')}{\chi(x')} + \frac{\bar{w}_u(\varpi^i)}{\chi(\varpi^i)} = 0 + \frac{\bar{w}_u(\varpi^i)}{\chi(\varpi^i)} = i \frac{\bar{w}_u(\varpi)}{\chi(\varpi)}.$$

Let  $\bar{w}_u = \frac{\bar{w}_u(\varpi)}{\chi(\varpi)}$ , and then  $\bar{w}_u(x) = \chi(x)v_k(x)\bar{w}_u$ . Hence, by (8),

$$R_S(\Pi)(h_x)\bar{u} = \chi(x)\bar{u} + \chi(x)v_k(x)\bar{w}_u.$$

Since  $\delta_P(h_x)^{-1/2} = |x|^{-3/2}$ , the result follows. □

Next, we find 2 conditions that guarantee that the constants in the first part of the previous lemma are nonzero.

**Proposition 5.11** *Let*

$$0 \longrightarrow W \longrightarrow R_S(\Pi) \longrightarrow R_S(\Pi)/W \longrightarrow 0$$

*be an exact sequence of representations of  $M$ , where  $W \cong \tau_1 \otimes \rho_1$  and  $R_S(\Pi)/W \cong \tau_2 \otimes \rho_2$ . Also let  $\chi_i = \omega_{\tau_i} \rho_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $\chi_1 \neq \chi_2$ . If the spaces  $\text{Hom}_T(W, \Lambda)$  and  $\text{Hom}_T(R_S(\Pi)/W, \Lambda)$  are nonzero, then the regular poles of  $L$ -factor of  $\Pi$  are poles of  $L(s, \chi_1)L(s, \chi_2)$ .*

**Proof** By the previous proposition, we have

$$\varphi_u(x) = C_1|x|^{3/2}\chi_1(x) + C_2|x|^{3/2}\chi_2(x)$$

for some constants  $C_1, C_2$  and sufficiently small  $|x|$ .

Assume that  $C_1 = 0$  for every  $u \in V_\Pi$ . If  $\bar{u} \in W$ , then by Theorem 4.9,  $u \in V_{T,S}(\Lambda, \Pi)$ . Therefore,  $\bar{u} \in \text{span}\{\Pi_S(t)\bar{v} - \Lambda(t)\bar{v} : \bar{v} \in V_\Pi/V_S(\Pi), t \in T\}$  and there exist  $\bar{u}_i \in W$  and  $\bar{w}_j \notin W$  such that

$$\bar{u} = \sum_i a_i[\Pi_S(t_i)\bar{u}_i - \Lambda(t_i)\bar{u}_i] + \sum_j b_j[\Pi_S(t_j)\bar{w}_j - \Lambda(t_j)\bar{w}_j]$$

for some  $a_i, b_j \in k$ . Hence,

$$\bar{u} - \sum_i a_i[\Pi_S(t_i)\bar{u}_i - \Lambda(t_i)\bar{u}_i] = \sum_j b_j[\Pi_S(t_j)\bar{w}_j - \Lambda(t_j)\bar{w}_j].$$

Now apply  $\Pi_S(h_x)$  to both sides to get

$$\begin{aligned} |x|^{3/2}\chi_1(x)(\bar{u} - \sum_i a_i[\Pi_S(t_i)\bar{u}_i - \Lambda(t_i)\bar{u}_i]) \\ = |x|^{3/2}\chi_2(x) \sum_j b_j[\Pi_S(t_j)\bar{w}_j - \Lambda(t_j)\bar{w}_j]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it follows that

$$\chi_1(x) \sum_j b_j[\Pi_S(t_j)\bar{w}_j - \Lambda(t_j)\bar{w}_j] = \chi_2(x) \sum_j b_j[\Pi_S(t_j)\bar{w}_j - \Lambda(t_j)\bar{w}_j].$$

Therefore,  $\sum_j b_j[\Pi_S(t_j)\bar{w}_j - \Lambda(t_j)\bar{w}_j]$  is zero and  $\bar{u} = \sum_i a_i[\Pi_S(t_i)\bar{u}_i - \Lambda(t_i)\bar{u}_i]$ , which implies that  $\text{Hom}_T(W, \Lambda) = 0$  and gives a contradiction.

Now assume that  $C_2 = 0$  for every  $u \in V_\Pi$ . For every  $u$  such that  $\bar{u} \notin W$ , Theorem 4.9 implies that  $u \in V_{T,S}(\Lambda, \Pi)$ , in which case  $\bar{u} \in \text{span}\{\Pi_S(t)\bar{v} - \Lambda(t)\bar{v} : \bar{v} \in V_\Pi/V_S(\Pi), t \in T\}$  and  $\text{Hom}_T(R_S(\Pi)/W, \Lambda)$  is zero, which is a contradiction.  $\square$

Next, we compute the regular poles of the representations in Table 2, which have a Jacquet module length of 2. In most of the cases the conditions of Proposition 5.11 are provided by the Bessel existence conditions.

We need the following remarks to determine the Bessel existence conditions.

**Remark 5.12** *By Sally–Tadic classification and exactness of the twisted Jacquet module, we have*

$$0 \longrightarrow (V - b)_\psi \longrightarrow (\nu^{1/2}\xi_{1_{GL_2(k)}} \rtimes \xi\nu^{-1/2}\sigma)_\psi \longrightarrow (V - d)_\psi \longrightarrow 0.$$

*Since  $T$  is nonsplit, this sequence splits, and by Proposition 2.1 of [8],  $(\nu^{1/2}\xi_{1_{GL_2(k)}} \rtimes \xi\nu^{-1/2}\sigma)_\psi = \sigma \circ N_{K/k}$ . Thus, if  $V-b$  or  $V-d$  has a Bessel model with respect to  $\psi$  and  $\Lambda$  then  $\Lambda = \sigma \circ N_{K/k}$ .*

**Remark 5.13** *By Sally–Tadic classification and exactness of the twisted Jacquet module, we have*

$$0 \longrightarrow (V - c)_\psi \longrightarrow (\nu^{1/2}\xi 1_{GL_2(k)} \rtimes \nu^{-1/2}\sigma)_\psi \longrightarrow (V - d)_\psi \longrightarrow 0.$$

*Similar to the previous remark, if  $V-c$  or  $V-d$  has a Bessel model with respect to  $\psi$  and  $\Lambda$ , then  $\Lambda = \xi\sigma \circ N_{K/k}$ .*

**Remark 5.14** *By Sally–Tadic classification and exactness of the twisted Jacquet module, we have*

$$0 \longrightarrow (V - a)_\psi \longrightarrow (\nu^{1/2}\xi St_{GL_2(k)} \rtimes \nu^{-1/2}\sigma)_\psi \longrightarrow (V - b)_\psi \longrightarrow 0.$$

*Since  $T$  is nonsplit, this sequence splits, and by Proposition 2.1 of [8]  $(\nu^{1/2}\xi St_{GL_2(k)} \rtimes \nu^{-1/2}\sigma)_\psi = \xi\sigma St_{GL_2(k)}$ . Hence, if  $V-a$  or  $V-b$  has a Bessel model with respect to  $\psi$  and  $\Lambda$ , then  $Hom_T(\xi\sigma St_{GL_2(k)}, \Lambda)$  is nonzero.*

**Remark 5.15** *By Sally–Tadic classification and exactness of the twisted Jacquet module, we have*

$$0 \longrightarrow (V - a)_\psi \longrightarrow (\nu^{1/2}\xi St_{GL_2(k)} \rtimes \xi\nu^{-1/2}\sigma)_\psi \longrightarrow (V - c)_\psi \longrightarrow 0.$$

*Similar to the previous remark, if  $V-a$  or  $V-c$  has a Bessel model with respect to  $\psi$  and  $\Lambda$ , then  $Hom_T(\sigma St_{GL_2(k)}, \Lambda)$  is nonzero.*

**Theorem 5.16** *For the representations of the group  $GSp_4(k)$ , which have a Jacquet module length of 2, we have:*

- i) L-factor of III-a is  $L(s, \nu^{1/2}\chi\sigma)L(s, \nu^{1/2}\sigma)$ .*
- ii) Regular poles of L-factor of III-b are poles of  $L(s, \nu^{-1/2}\chi\sigma)L(s, \nu^{-1/2}\sigma)$ .*
- iii) Regular poles of L-factor of IV-b are poles of  $L(s, \nu^{3/2}\sigma)L(s, \nu^{-1/2}\sigma)$ .*
- iv) IV-c has no Bessel function for nonsplit cases.*
- v) L-factor of V-a is  $L(s, \nu^{1/2}\sigma)L(s, \nu^{1/2}\xi\sigma)$ .*
- vi) Regular poles of L-factor of V-b are poles of  $L(s, \nu^{-1/2}\sigma)L(s, \nu^{1/2}\xi\sigma)$ .*
- vii) Regular poles of L-factor of V-c are poles of  $L(s, \nu^{-1/2}\xi\sigma)L(s, \nu^{1/2}\sigma)$ .*
- viii) Regular poles of L-factor of V-d are poles of  $L(s, \nu^{-1/2}\xi\sigma)L(s, \nu^{-1/2}\sigma)$ .*
- ix) L-factor of X is  $L(s, \sigma)L(s, \omega_\pi\sigma)$ .*

**Proof** i) In this case, the constituents of  $R_S(\Pi)$  are  $B(\chi, \nu) \otimes \sigma\nu^{-1/2}$  and  $B(\nu, \chi^{-1}) \otimes \chi\sigma\nu^{-1/2}$ , where  $\chi \neq \{1, \nu^{-2}, \nu^2\}$ . As a representation of  $T$ ,  $B(\chi, \nu) \otimes \sigma\nu^{-1/2} \cong \sigma\nu^{-1/2}B(\chi, \nu)$ , which is irreducible and infinite-dimensional. Therefore, by Lemma 5.2 we have

$$Hom_T(B(\chi, \nu) \otimes \sigma\nu^{-1/2}, \Lambda) \cong Hom_T(\sigma\nu^{-1/2}B(\chi, \nu), \Lambda) \neq 0.$$

Similarly,

$$Hom_T(B(\nu, \chi^{-1}) \otimes \chi\sigma\nu^{-1/2}, \Lambda) \neq 0.$$

Also,

$$\{\chi_1, \chi_2\} = \{\omega_{B(\chi, \nu)}\sigma\nu^{-1/2}, \omega_{B(\nu, \chi^{-1})}\chi\sigma\nu^{-1/2}\} = \{\chi\sigma\nu^{1/2}, \sigma\nu^{1/2}\}.$$



Now apply Proposition 5.11 and Theorem 2.6.

ii) Similar to the proof of (i).

iii) By Sally–Tadic classification and exactness of the twisted Jacquet module,

$$0 \longrightarrow (IV - b)_\psi \longrightarrow (\nu^{3/2}1_{GL_2(k)} \rtimes \nu^{-3/2}\sigma)_\psi \longrightarrow (\sigma 1_{GSp_4(k)})_\psi \longrightarrow 0$$

, and since  $(\sigma 1_{GSp_4(k)})_\psi$  is one-dimensional, we have  $(\sigma 1_{GSp_4(k)})_\psi = 0$ . Hence, by Proposition 2.1 of [8],  $(IV - b)_\psi = \sigma 1_{GL_2(k)}$ , and if IV-b has a Bessel model, then  $\text{Hom}_T(\sigma 1_{GL_2(k)}, \Lambda)$  is nonzero. Since the constituent  $\nu^{3/2}1_{GL_2(k)} \otimes \sigma\nu^{-3/2}$  of  $R_S(\Pi)$  is isomorphic to  $\sigma 1_{GL_2(k)}$  as a  $T$  module, we have

$$\text{Hom}_T(\nu^{3/2}1_{GL_2(k)} \otimes \sigma\nu^{-3/2}, \Lambda) \cong \text{Hom}_T(\sigma 1_{GL_2(k)}, \Lambda) \neq 0.$$

The other constituent  $\sigma\nu^{1/2}B(\nu, \nu^{-2})$  is irreducible and infinite-dimensional, so by Lemma 5.2,

$$\text{Hom}_T(B(\nu, \nu^{-2}) \otimes \sigma\nu^{1/2}, \Lambda) \cong \text{Hom}_T(\sigma\nu^{1/2}B(\nu, \nu^{-2}), \Lambda) \neq 0.$$

Also,

$$\{\chi_1, \chi_2\} = \{\omega_{\nu^{3/2}1_{GL_2(k)}}\sigma\nu^{-3/2}, \omega_{B(\nu, \nu^{-2})}\sigma\nu^{1/2}\} = \{\sigma\nu^{3/2}, \sigma\nu^{-1/2}\}.$$

Now apply Proposition 5.11.

iv) By Sally–Tadic classification and exactness of the twisted Jacquet module,

$$0 \longrightarrow (IV - c)_\psi \longrightarrow (\nu^2 \rtimes \nu^{-1}\sigma 1_{GL_2(k)})_\psi \longrightarrow (\sigma 1_{GSp_4(k)})_\psi \longrightarrow 0$$

, and by Proposition 2.1 of [8]  $(IV - c)_\psi = (\nu^2 \rtimes \nu^{-1}\sigma 1_{GL_2(k)})_\psi$ , which is zero by the remarks before Proposition 2.4 of [8]. Hence, there is no Bessel function for nonsplit cases.

v) Since  $T$  is nonsplit, if V-a has a Bessel model with respect to  $\Lambda$  and  $\psi$ , then by Remark 5.14 and Remark 5.15  $\text{Hom}_T(\xi\sigma St_{GL_2(k)}, \Lambda)$  and  $\text{Hom}_T(\sigma St_{GL_2(k)}, \Lambda)$  are nonzero. Since the constituents  $\nu^{1/2}\xi St_{GL_2(k)} \otimes \sigma\nu^{-1/2}$  and  $\nu^{1/2}\xi St_{GL_2(k)} \otimes \sigma\xi\nu^{-1/2}$  of  $R_S(\Pi)$  are isomorphic to  $\xi\sigma St_{GL_2(k)}$  and  $\sigma St_{GL_2(k)}$ , respectively, as a  $T$  module we have

$$\text{Hom}_T(\nu^{1/2}\xi St_{GL_2(k)} \otimes \sigma\nu^{-1/2}, \Lambda) \cong \text{Hom}_T(\xi\sigma St_{GL_2(k)}, \Lambda) \neq 0$$

and

$$\text{Hom}_T(\nu^{1/2}\xi St_{GL_2(k)} \otimes \sigma\xi\nu^{-1/2}, \Lambda) \cong \text{Hom}_T(\sigma St_{GL_2(k)}, \Lambda) \neq 0.$$

Also,

$$\{\chi_1, \chi_2\} = \{\omega_{\nu^{1/2}\xi St_{GL_2(k)}}\sigma\nu^{-1/2}, \omega_{\nu^{1/2}\xi St_{GL_2(k)}}\sigma\xi\nu^{-1/2}\} = \{\sigma\nu^{1/2}, \sigma\xi\nu^{1/2}\}.$$

Now apply Proposition 5.11 and Theorem 2.6.

vi) Similar to the proof of (v) but use Remark 5.12 and Remark 5.14.

vii) Similar to the proof of (v) but use Remark 5.13 and Remark 5.15.

viii) Similar to the proof of (v) but use Remark 5.12 and Remark 5.13.

ix) In this case, the constituents of  $R_S(\Pi)$  are  $\pi \otimes \sigma$  and  $\tilde{\pi} \otimes \omega_\pi \sigma$ , where  $\pi$  is a supercuspidal representation of  $GL_2(k)$ . Also note that by Proposition 2.1 of [8],  $X$  has a Bessel model with respect to  $\psi$  and  $\Lambda$  if and only if  $\text{Hom}_T(\sigma\pi, \Lambda)$  is nonzero.

**Case 1:**  $\omega_\pi \neq 1$  ( $\pi \not\cong \tilde{\pi}$ )

By Theorem 4.2.2 of [1],  $\tilde{\pi}\omega_\pi \cong \pi$ , and so by the Bessel model existing condition,

$$\text{Hom}_T(\tilde{\pi} \otimes \omega_\pi \sigma, \Lambda) \cong \text{Hom}_T(\tilde{\pi}\omega_\pi \sigma, \Lambda) \cong \text{Hom}_T(\pi\sigma, \Lambda) \neq 0.$$

Also,

$$\{\chi_1, \chi_2\} = \{\omega_\pi \sigma, \omega_{\tilde{\pi}} \omega_\pi \sigma\} = \{\omega_\pi \sigma, \sigma\}.$$

Now apply Proposition 5.11 and Theorem 2.6.

**Case 2:**  $\omega_\pi = 1$  ( $\pi \cong \tilde{\pi}$ ) In this case we have

$$0 \longrightarrow \pi \otimes \sigma \longrightarrow R_S(\Pi) \longrightarrow \pi \otimes \sigma \longrightarrow 0$$

and  $\chi = \chi_1 = \chi_2 = \sigma$ . By Lemma 5.10, for every  $\bar{u} \in V_\Pi/V_S(\Pi)$  there exists  $\bar{w}_u \in V_{\pi \otimes \sigma}$  such that

$$R_S(\Pi)(h_x)\bar{u} = \chi(x)\bar{u} + \chi(x)v_k(x)\bar{w}_u. \tag{12}$$

Assume that  $\bar{w}_u = 0$  for every  $\bar{u} \in V_\Pi/V_S(\Pi)$ , and then  $R_S(\Pi)(h_x)\bar{u} = \chi(x)\bar{u}$ . Hence we have

$$0 \longrightarrow \pi \longrightarrow R_S(\Pi) \longrightarrow \pi \longrightarrow 0$$

as a  $GL_2(k)$  module. The center of  $GL_2(k)$  acts trivially on  $R_S(\Pi)$  and  $\pi$ , and so by theorem 5.4.1 of “Introduction to the theory of admissible representations of p-adic reductive groups (unpublished notes of W Casselman)”, this exact sequence splits and we have  $R_S(\Pi) = \pi \oplus \pi$  as a  $GL_2(k)$  module. Since  $R_S(\Pi)(h_x)\bar{u} = \sigma(x)\bar{u}$  for every  $\bar{u}$ , we also have  $R_S(\Pi) = \pi \otimes \sigma \oplus \pi \otimes \sigma$  as an  $M$  module. Hence, by Frobenius reciprocity,

$$\begin{aligned} 2 &= \dim[\text{Hom}_M(R_S(\Pi), \pi \otimes \sigma)] = \dim[\text{Hom}_P(\Pi, \pi \otimes \sigma)] \\ &= \dim[\text{Hom}_{GSp_4(k)}(\Pi, \pi \times \sigma)], \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, there exists  $\bar{u}$  such that  $\bar{w}_u \neq 0$ , and by (12)

$$\Pi(S)(h_x)\bar{u} = |x|^{3/2}\chi(x)\bar{u} + |x|^{3/2}\chi(x)v_k(x)\bar{w}_u.$$

Now apply Lemma 3.5, Lemma 3.7 and Theorem 2.6. □

**A. Tables**

Table 1 displays the regular poles of the nonsupercuspidal representations [10], which have Jacquet module length of at most 2, in terms of the poles of Tate  $L$ -functions. The last column shows the expected exceptional

poles from the local Langlands conjecture. Table 2 lists all irreducible, admissible, and nonsupercuspidal representations of  $GS_{p_4}(k)$ , which have Jacquet module length of at most 2. The fourth column shows the semisimplifications of the Jacquet modules with respect to the Siegel parabolic given in the appendix of [9]. The ' $\#$ ' and ' $g$ ' columns indicate the number of constituents of the Jacquet module and generic representations, respectively.

### References

- [1] Bump D. Automorphic Forms and Representations. New York, NY, USA: Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 1998.
- [2] Danisman Y.  $L$ -factors of supercuspidal representations of  $p$ -adic  $GS_{p_4}$ . PhD, Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA, 2010.
- [3] Gan W, Takeda S. The local Langlands conjecture for  $GSp(4)$ . *Ann Math* 2011; 173: 1841–1882.
- [4] Godement R. Notes on Jacquet-Langlands' Theory. Princeton, NJ, USA: The Institute for Advanced Study, 1970.
- [5] Novodvorsky M. Automorphic L-functions for the symplectic group  $GSp(4)$ . *Proc Sympos Pure Math* 1977; 33: 87–95.
- [6] Piatetski-Shapiro I. L-functions for  $GS_{p_4}$ . *Pacific J Math Olga Taussky Todd Memorial Issue* 1997; 259–275.
- [7] Piatetski-Shapiro I, Kim J. Quadratic base change of  $\theta_{10}$ . *Isr J Math* 2001; 123: 317–340.
- [8] Prasad D, Takloo-Bighash R. Bessel models for  $GSp(4)$ . *J Reine Angew Math* 2011; 655: 189–243.
- [9] Roberts B, Schmidt R. *New Forms for  $GSp(4)$* . Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1918. Heidelberg, Germany: Springer-Verlag, 2007.
- [10] Sally PJ Jr, Tadic M. Induced representations and classifications for  $GSp(2, F)$  and  $Sp(2, F)$ . *Memoires Societe Mathematique de France* 1993; 52: 75–133.
- [11] Shahidi F. A proof of Langlands conjecture on plancherel measures; complementary series for  $p$ -adic groups. *Ann Math* 1990; 132: 273–330.
- [12] Takloo-Bighash R. L-functions for the  $p$ -adic group  $GSp(4)$ . *Amer J Math* 2000; 122: 1085–1120.
- [13] Tunnell J. Local L-factors and characters of  $GL(2)$ . *Amer J Math* 1983; 105: 1277–1307.