

Free weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroups

ANATOLII V. ZHUCHOK



JORG KOPPITZ

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Free weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroups

Anatolii V. ZHUCHOK^{1,*}, Jörg KOPPITZ²

¹Institute of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Potsdam, Potsdam, Germany

²Institute of Mathematics and Informatics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria

Received: 12.04.2024

Accepted/Published Online: 08.07.2024

Final Version: 14.11.2024

Abstract: In this paper, we construct a free object in the variety of weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroups and characterize the least weakly k -nilpotent congruence on a free n -tuple semigroup. Moreover, we present separately free weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroups of rank 1, calculate the cardinality of the free weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroup for the finite case, emphasize that the semigroups of the free weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroup are isomorphic and the automorphism group of the free weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroup is isomorphic to the symmetric group. We also describe all maximal n -tuple subsemigroups of the free weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroup and all regular elements of the endomorphism semigroup of the free weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroup, and provide a criterion for an isomorphism of endomorphism semigroups of free weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroups.

Key words: n -Tuple semigroup, free weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroup, free n -tuple semigroup, semigroup, congruence

1. Introduction and preliminaries

The notion of an n -tuple semigroup was considered by Koreshkov [7] in the context of the theory of n -tuple algebras of associative type. There are sufficiently convincing motivations for studying these algebraic structures since n -tuple semigroups are closely related to interassociative semigroups [1, 4, 5], dimonoids [8, 13, 19], trioids [6, 9, 28], doppelsemigroups [21], duplexes [14], g -dimonoids [10, 29], restrictive bisemigroups [12], and bisemigroups [11]. If $n = 1$, n -tuple semigroups are semigroups. For $n = 2$, n -tuple semigroups become doppelsemigroups. The theory of doppelsemigroups has been developed in [2, 3, 15, 17, 20–23, 26, 30]. The theory of free systems in n -tuple semigroup varieties is still in progress [16, 18, 24, 25, 27]. In particular, in the latter five papers, the problem of the construction of free objects for the varieties of n -tuple semigroups, commutative n -tuple semigroups, rectangular n -tuple semigroups, left (right) k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroups and k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroups was solved; furthermore, certain least congruences on a free n -tuple semigroup were characterized. The present paper continues this trend of research by considering so-called weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroups, i.e. n -tuple semigroups with n k -nilpotent semigroups. The class of such algebras forms a subvariety of the variety of n -tuple semigroups for which the problem of describing a free object remained open.

In this paper, we construct a free object in the variety of weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroups and characterize the least weakly k -nilpotent congruence on a free n -tuple semigroup. We also focus on the

*Correspondence: zhuchok.av@gmail.com

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 08B20, 20M10, 20M50, 17A30

description of free weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroups of rank 1, calculate the cardinality of the free weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroup for the finite case, emphasize that the semigroups of the free weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroup are isomorphic and the automorphism group of the free weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroup is isomorphic to the symmetric group. In addition, we characterize all maximal n -tuple subsemigroups of the free weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroup and all regular elements of the endomorphism semigroup of the free weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroup, and provide a criterion for an isomorphism of endomorphism semigroups of free weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroups.

As usual, we denote the set of all positive integers by \mathbb{N} , and for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, denote the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ by \bar{n} . Let us recall that a nonempty set G equipped with n binary operations $\boxed{1}, \boxed{2}, \dots, \boxed{n}$, satisfying the axioms $(x \boxed{r} y) \boxed{s} z = x \boxed{r} (y \boxed{s} z)$ for all $x, y, z \in G$ and $r, s \in \bar{n}$, is called an n -tuple semigroup [7]. In this section and Section 4, for an n -tuple semigroup, we will write G instead of $(G, \boxed{1}, \boxed{2}, \dots, \boxed{n})$.

We need the following lemma.

Lemma 1.1 ([16], Lemma 1) *In an n -tuple semigroup G , for any $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ and any $x_i \in G$, $1 \leq i \leq m+1$, and any $*_j \in \{\boxed{1}, \boxed{2}, \dots, \boxed{n}\}$, $1 \leq j \leq m$, any parenthesization of*

$$x_1 *_1 x_2 *_2 \dots *_m x_{m+1}$$

yields the same element from G .

Recall the definition of a k -nilpotent semigroup (see also [21, 22]). A semigroup S is called nilpotent if $S^{n+1} = 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The least such n is called the nilpotency index of S . For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, a nilpotent semigroup of nilpotency index $\leq k$ is called k -nilpotent. An element 0 of an n -tuple semigroup G is called zero [25] if $x \boxed{i} 0 = 0 = 0 \boxed{i} x$ for all $x \in G$ and $i \in \bar{n}$. An n -tuple semigroup G with zero will be called weakly nilpotent if $(G, \boxed{1}), (G, \boxed{2}), \dots, (G, \boxed{n})$ are nilpotent semigroups. A weakly nilpotent n -tuple semigroup G will be called weakly k -nilpotent if $(G, \boxed{1}), (G, \boxed{2}), \dots, (G, \boxed{n})$ are k -nilpotent semigroups. If ρ is a congruence on an n -tuple semigroup G such that G/ρ is a weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroup, we say that ρ is a weakly k -nilpotent congruence.

Note that the operations of any weakly 1-nilpotent n -tuple semigroup coincide and each operation results in a zero semigroup. It is not difficult to verify that the variety of k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroups introduced in [25] is a subvariety of the variety of weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroups. An n -tuple semigroup which is free in the variety of weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroups will be called a free weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroup.

Denote the symmetric group on a set X by $\mathfrak{S}[X]$ and the automorphism group (the endomorphism semigroup) of an n -tuple semigroup G by $Aut G$ ($End G$). If $\nu : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ is a homomorphism of n -tuple semigroups, the kernel of ν is denoted by Δ_ν , that is, $\Delta_\nu = \{(x, y) \in G_1 \times G_1 \mid x\nu = y\nu\}$.

2. Constructions

In this section, we construct a free weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroup of an arbitrary rank and consider separately free weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroups of rank 1. Note that the free weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroup over a set X is an algebra in the variety of weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroups generated by X and such that every map of X into any other weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroup K can be extended to a

homomorphism of the free weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroup over a set X into K . We also calculate the cardinality of the free weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroup for the finite case and establish that the semigroups of the free weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroup are isomorphic.

Let X be an arbitrary nonempty set and let ω be an arbitrary word in the alphabet X . The length of ω is denoted by l_ω . Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $Y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ be an arbitrary set consisting of n elements. Let further $F[X]$ be the free semigroup on X and $F^\theta[Y]$ the free monoid on Y with the empty word θ . By definition, the length l_θ of θ is equal to 0. For $x \in Y$ and all $u \in F^\theta[Y]$, the number of occurrences of the element x in u is denoted by $d_x(u)$. Obviously, $d_x(\theta) = 0$ for any $x \in Y$. Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and define n binary operations $\boxed{1}, \boxed{2}, \dots, \boxed{n}$ on

$$\Omega_k = \{(w, u) \in F[X] \times F^\theta[Y] \mid l_w - l_u = 1, \\ d_x(u) + 1 \leq k \text{ for all } x \in Y\} \cup \{0\}$$

by

$$(w_1, u_1) \boxed{i} (w_2, u_2) \\ = \begin{cases} (w_1 w_2, u_1 y_i u_2), & \text{if } d_x(u_1 y_i u_2) + 1 \leq k \text{ for all } x \in Y, \\ 0, & \text{in all other cases,} \end{cases} \\ (w_1, u_1) \boxed{i} 0 = 0 \boxed{i} (w_1, u_1) = 0 \boxed{i} 0 = 0$$

for all $(w_1, u_1), (w_2, u_2) \in \Omega_k \setminus \{0\}$ and all $i \in \bar{n}$. The algebra obtained in this manner will be denoted by $FNS_n^k(X)$. The main result of this paper is the following theorem:

Theorem 2.1 $FNS_n^k(X)$ is a free weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroup.

Proof We first show that $FNS_n^k(X)$ is an n -tuple semigroup. Let

$$(w_1, u_1), (w_2, u_2), (w_3, u_3) \in \Omega_k \setminus \{0\} \text{ and } r, s \in \bar{n}.$$

Suppose that

$$d_x(u_1 y_r u_2 y_s u_3) + 1 \leq k \tag{1}$$

for all $x \in Y$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} ((w_1, u_1) \boxed{r} (w_2, u_2)) \boxed{s} (w_3, u_3) &= (w_1 w_2, u_1 y_r u_2) \boxed{s} (w_3, u_3) \\ &= (w_1 w_2 w_3, u_1 y_r u_2 y_s u_3) \\ &= (w_1, u_1) \boxed{r} (w_2 w_3, u_2 y_s u_3) \\ &= (w_1, u_1) \boxed{r} ((w_2, u_2) \boxed{s} (w_3, u_3)) \end{aligned}$$

since (1) implies $d_x(u_1 y_r u_2) + 1 \leq k$ and $d_x(u_2 y_s u_3) + 1 \leq k$ for all $x \in Y$. If

$$d_x(u_1 y_r u_2 y_s u_3) + 1 > k$$

for some $x \in Y$, we have

$$((w_1, u_1) \boxed{r} (w_2, u_2)) \boxed{s} (w_3, u_3) = 0 = (w_1, u_1) \boxed{r} ((w_2, u_2) \boxed{s} (w_3, u_3)).$$

The proof of the case when the element 0 appears on both sides of the axioms of an n -tuple semigroup at least once is obvious.

Therefore, $FNS_n^k(X)$ is an n -tuple semigroup.

Take arbitrary elements $(w_i, u_i) \in \Omega_k \setminus \{0\}$, $1 \leq i \leq k + 1$. Since

$$d_{y_r}(u_1 y_r u_2 y_r \dots y_r u_{k+1}) + 1 > k$$

for any $r \in \bar{n}$, we conclude that

$$(w_1, u_1) \boxed{r} (w_2, u_2) \boxed{r} \dots \boxed{r} (w_{k+1}, u_{k+1}) = 0.$$

At this point, assuming $x^0 = \theta$ for all $x \in Y$, for any $(x_i, \theta) \in \Omega_k \setminus \{0\}$, where $x_i \in X$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, we get

$$(x_1, \theta) \boxed{r} (x_2, \theta) \boxed{r} \dots \boxed{r} (x_k, \theta) = (x_1 x_2 \dots x_k, y_r^{k-1}) \neq 0.$$

The last arguments guarantee that (Ω_k, \boxed{r}) , $r \in \bar{n}$, is a nilpotent semigroup of nilpotency index k . Therefore, by definition, $FNS_n^k(X)$ is a weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroup.

Let us show that $FNS_n^k(X)$ is free in the variety of weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroups.

Obviously, $FNS_n^k(X)$ is generated by the set $X \times \{\theta\}$. Assume that $(K, \boxed{1}, \boxed{2}, \dots, \boxed{n})$ is an arbitrary weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroup. Let $\varphi' : X \times \{\theta\} \rightarrow K$ be an arbitrary map. Consider a map $\varphi : X \rightarrow K$ such that $x\varphi = (x, \theta)\varphi'$ for all $x \in X$ and define a map

$$\phi : FNS_n^k(X) \rightarrow (K, \boxed{1}, \boxed{2}, \dots, \boxed{n}) : \omega \mapsto \omega\phi$$

as

$$\omega\phi = \begin{cases} x_1\varphi \boxed{i_1} x_2\varphi \boxed{i_2} \dots \boxed{i_{s-1}} x_s\varphi, & \text{if } \omega = (x_1 x_2 \dots x_s, y_{i_1} y_{i_2} \dots y_{i_{s-1}}), \\ & x_j \in X, 1 \leq j \leq s, \\ x_1\varphi, & \text{if } \omega = (x_1, \theta), x_1 \in X, \\ 0, & \text{if } \omega = 0. \end{cases}$$

According to Lemma 1.1, ϕ is well-defined. We aim to demonstrate that ϕ is a homomorphism. For this, we will use the axioms of an n -tuple semigroup and the identities of a weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroup. If $s = 1$, we will regard a sequence $y_{i_1} y_{i_2} \dots y_{i_{s-1}} \in F^\theta[Y]$ as θ . For arbitrary elements

$$r \in \bar{n}, \quad (w_1, u_1) = (x_1 x_2 \dots x_s, y_{i_1} y_{i_2} \dots y_{i_{s-1}}),$$

$$(w_2, u_2) = (z_1 z_2 \dots z_m, y_{c_1} y_{c_2} \dots y_{c_{m-1}}) \in \Omega_k \setminus \{0\},$$

where $x_d, z_t \in X$, $1 \leq d \leq s \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq t \leq m \in \mathbb{N}$, $y_{i_p}, y_{c_b} \in Y$, $1 \leq p \leq s - 1$, $1 \leq b \leq m - 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & ((x_1 x_2 \dots x_s, y_{i_1} y_{i_2} \dots y_{i_{s-1}}) \boxed{r} (z_1 z_2 \dots z_m, y_{c_1} y_{c_2} \dots y_{c_{m-1}}))\phi \\ &= \begin{cases} (x_1 x_2 \dots x_s z_1 z_2 \dots z_m, y_{i_1} y_{i_2} \dots y_{i_{s-1}} y_r y_{c_1} y_{c_2} \dots y_{c_{m-1}})\phi, & \text{if} \\ & d_x(u_1 y_r u_2) + 1 \leq k \text{ for all } x \in Y, \\ 0\phi, & \text{in all other cases.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

In the case $d_x(u_1 y_r u_2) + 1 \leq k$ for all $x \in Y$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (x_1 x_2 \dots x_s z_1 z_2 \dots z_m, y_{i_1} y_{i_2} \dots y_{i_{s-1}} y_r y_{c_1} y_{c_2} \dots y_{c_{m-1}}) \phi \\ &= x_1 \varphi \boxed{i_1} \dots \boxed{i_{s-1}} x_s \varphi \boxed{r} z_1 \varphi \boxed{c_1} \dots \boxed{c_{m-1}} z_m \varphi \\ &= (x_1 \varphi \boxed{i_1} \dots \boxed{i_{s-1}} x_s \varphi) \boxed{r} (z_1 \varphi \boxed{c_1} \dots \boxed{c_{m-1}} z_m \varphi) \\ &= (x_1 x_2 \dots x_s, y_{i_1} y_{i_2} \dots y_{i_{s-1}}) \phi \boxed{r} (z_1 z_2 \dots z_m, y_{c_1} y_{c_2} \dots y_{c_{m-1}}) \phi. \end{aligned}$$

If $d_x(u_1 y_r u_2) + 1 > k$ for some $x \in Y$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0\phi &= 0 = x_1 \varphi \boxed{i_1} \dots \boxed{i_{s-1}} x_s \varphi \boxed{r} z_1 \varphi \boxed{c_1} \dots \boxed{c_{m-1}} z_m \varphi \\ &= (x_1 \varphi \boxed{i_1} \dots \boxed{i_{s-1}} x_s \varphi) \boxed{r} (z_1 \varphi \boxed{c_1} \dots \boxed{c_{m-1}} z_m \varphi) \\ &= (x_1 x_2 \dots x_s, y_{i_1} y_{i_2} \dots y_{i_{s-1}}) \phi \boxed{r} (z_1 z_2 \dots z_m, y_{c_1} y_{c_2} \dots y_{c_{m-1}}) \phi. \end{aligned}$$

The proofs of the remaining cases are obvious. Therefore, $(a \boxed{r} b) \phi = a \phi \boxed{r} b \phi$ for all $a, b \in FNS_n^k(X)$, all $r \in \bar{n}$, and hence, ϕ is a homomorphism. Clearly, $(x, \theta) \phi = (x, \theta) \varphi'$ for all $(x, \theta) \in X \times \{\theta\}$. Since $X \times \{\theta\}$ generates $FNS_n^k(X)$, the uniqueness of such homomorphism ϕ is obvious. Thus, $FNS_n^k(X)$ is free in the variety of weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroups. \square

In order to calculate the cardinality of Ω_k , let $a_0 = \alpha_0 = \alpha_{-1} = 0$ and let $\alpha_p = \sum_{i=1}^p a_i$ for $p \in \bar{n}$ and $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}^n$.

Corollary 2.2 *The free weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroup $FNS_n^k(X)$ generated by a finite set $X \times \{\theta\}$ is finite. Specifically, if $|X| = m$, then*

$$|\Omega_k| = 1 + \sum_{\substack{\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \\ \{0, \dots, k-1\}^n}} \left(\prod_{l=0}^{n-1} \binom{\alpha_n - \alpha_{l-1}}{a_l} m^{(1+\alpha_n)} \right).$$

Proof Let $(w, u) \in \Omega_k \setminus \{0\}$. Then we denote $d_{y_i}(u)$ by a_i for all $i \in \bar{n}$. Clearly, $a_i \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$ for all $i \in \bar{n}$, and let $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$. Hence, there are $\prod_{l=0}^{n-1} \binom{\alpha_n - \alpha_{l-1}}{a_l}$ possibilities for the word u . Since $l_u = \alpha_n$ and $l_w = l_u + 1$, there are $m^{(1+\alpha_n)}$ possibilities for the word w . Thus, we have

$$\prod_{l=0}^{n-1} \binom{\alpha_n - \alpha_{l-1}}{a_l} m^{(1+\alpha_n)}$$

possibilities for an element $(w, u) \in \Omega_k \setminus \{0\}$ with $d_{y_i}(u) = a_i$ for all $i \in \bar{n}$, where $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}^n$. Let

$$W_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)} = \{(w, u) \in \Omega_k \setminus \{0\} \mid d_{y_i}(u) = a_i \text{ for all } i \in \bar{n}\}.$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\{W_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)} \mid (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}^n\}$$

is a partition of $\Omega_k \setminus \{0\}$. Hence,

$$|\Omega_k| = 1 + \sum_{\substack{\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \\ \{0, \dots, k-1\}^n}} \left(\prod_{l=0}^{n-1} \binom{\alpha_n - \alpha_{l-1}}{a_l} m^{(1+\alpha_n)} \right).$$

□

Now we construct an n -tuple semigroup which is isomorphic to the free weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroup of rank 1.

We put

$$Y(k) = \{u \in F^\theta[Y] \mid d_x(u) + 1 \leq k \text{ for all } x \in Y\} \cup \{0\}.$$

Define n binary operations $\boxed{1}, \boxed{2}, \dots, \boxed{n}$ on $Y(k)$ by

$$u_1 \boxed{i} u_2 = \begin{cases} u_1 y_i u_2, & \text{if } d_x(u_1 y_i u_2) + 1 \leq k \text{ for all } x \in Y, \\ 0, & \text{in all other cases,} \end{cases}$$

$$u_1 \boxed{i} 0 = 0 \boxed{i} u_1 = 0 \boxed{i} 0 = 0$$

for all $u_1, u_2 \in Y(k) \setminus \{0\}$ and all $i \in \bar{n}$. The obtained algebra will be denoted by $Y(n, k)$.

Corollary 2.3 *If $|X| = 1$, then $Y(n, k) \cong FNS_n^k(X)$.*

Proof Let $X = \{c\}$. One can show that the map

$$\alpha : Y(n, k) \rightarrow FNS_n^k(X) : u \mapsto u\alpha,$$

defined by the rule

$$u\alpha = \begin{cases} (c^{l_u+1}, u), & \text{if } u \in Y(k) \setminus \{0\}, \\ 0, & \text{if } u = 0, \end{cases}$$

is an isomorphism. □

The following statement establishes a relationship between the semigroups of the free weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroup.

Corollary 2.4 *For any $r, s \in \bar{n}$, the semigroups (Ω_k, \boxed{r}) and (Ω_k, \boxed{s}) of $FNS_n^k(X)$ are isomorphic.*

Proof For any $x \in Y$, let

$$\hat{x} = \begin{cases} s, & \text{if } x = r, \\ r, & \text{if } x = s, \\ x, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and define a map $\tau : (\Omega_k, \boxed{r}) \rightarrow (\Omega_k, \boxed{s}) : q \mapsto q\tau$ by putting

$$q\tau = \begin{cases} (w, \widehat{y_1 y_2 \dots y_m}), & \text{if } q = (w, y_1 y_2 \dots y_m) \in \Omega_k \setminus \{0\}, \\ q, & \text{in all other cases.} \end{cases}$$

An immediate verification shows that τ is an isomorphism. □

3. The least weakly k -nilpotent congruence on a free n -tuple semigroup

In this section, we present the least weakly k -nilpotent congruence on a free n -tuple semigroup. We will use the notation established in Sections 1 and 2. An n -tuple semigroup which is free in the variety of n -tuple semigroups is called a free n -tuple semigroup. Recall the construction of a free n -tuple semigroup [16].

We define n binary operations $\boxed{1}, \boxed{2}, \dots, \boxed{n}$ on

$$XY_n = \{(w, u) \in F[X] \times F^\theta[Y] \mid l_w - l_u = 1\}$$

by

$$(w_1, u_1) \boxed{i} (w_2, u_2) = (w_1 w_2, u_1 y_i u_2)$$

for all $(w_1, u_1), (w_2, u_2) \in XY_n$ and all $i \in \bar{n}$. The algebra

$$(XY_n, \boxed{1}, \boxed{2}, \dots, \boxed{n})$$

is denoted by $F_n TS(X)$. By Theorem 2 from [16], $F_n TS(X)$ is a free n -tuple semigroup. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\beta_{(n,k)}$ be the binary relation on $F_n TS(X)$ defined by

$$(w_1, u_1) \beta_{(n,k)} (w_2, u_2) \text{ if and only if } (w_1, u_1) = (w_2, u_2) \text{ or } \begin{cases} d_x(u_1) + 1 > k \text{ for some } x \in Y, \\ d_y(u_2) + 1 > k \text{ for some } y \in Y. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 3.1 *The relation $\beta_{(n,k)}$ on the free n -tuple semigroup $F_n TS(X)$ is the least weakly k -nilpotent congruence.*

Proof We define a map $\delta : F_n TS(X) \rightarrow FNS_n^k(X)$ by

$$(w, u) \delta = \begin{cases} (w, u), & \text{if } d_x(u) + 1 \leq k \text{ for all } x \in Y, \\ 0, & \text{in all other cases.} \end{cases}$$

We need to show that δ is a homomorphism. Let $(w_1, u_1), (w_2, u_2) \in F_n TS(X)$ and $r \in \bar{n}$. Suppose that $d_x(u_1 y_r u_2) + 1 \leq k$ for all $x \in Y$. The latter inequality implies $d_x(u_1) + 1 \leq k$ and $d_x(u_2) + 1 \leq k$ for all $x \in Y$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} ((w_1, u_1) \boxed{r} (w_2, u_2)) \delta &= (w_1 w_2, u_1 y_r u_2) \delta = (w_1 w_2, u_1 y_r u_2) \\ &= (w_1, u_1) \boxed{r} (w_2, u_2) = (w_1, u_1) \delta \boxed{r} (w_2, u_2) \delta. \end{aligned}$$

In the case $d_x(u_1y_ru_2) + 1 > k$ for some $x \in Y$, we conclude that

$$((w_1, u_1)\overline{r}(w_2, u_2))\delta = (w_1w_2, u_1y_ru_2)\delta = 0 = (w_1, u_1)\delta\overline{r}(w_2, u_2)\delta.$$

Thus, δ is a homomorphism which is surjective since

$$\Omega_k = \{(w, u) \in XY_n \mid d_x(u) + 1 \leq k \text{ for all } x \in Y\} \cup \{0\}.$$

By Theorem 2.1, $FNS_n^k(X)$ is a free weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroup. Therefore, Δ_δ is the least weakly k -nilpotent congruence on $F_nTS(X)$. It follows from the definition of δ that $\Delta_\delta = \beta_{(n,k)}$. □

4. Some properties

In this section, we describe some properties of free weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroups. More precisely, we characterize all maximal n -tuple subsemigroups of the free weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroup and all regular elements of the endomorphism semigroup of the free weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroup. We also demonstrate that the automorphism group of the free weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroup is isomorphic to the symmetric group, and that $End(FNS_n^k(X))$ is isomorphic to $End(FNS_n^k(Z))$ if and only if $|X| = |Z|$.

Now, we describe all maximal n -tuple subsemigroups of the free weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroup $FNS_n^k(X)$.

An n -tuple subsemigroup of an n -tuple semigroup G is called proper if it is not equal to G . An n -tuple subsemigroup T of an n -tuple semigroup G is called maximal provided that $T \neq G$ and, for any n -tuple subsemigroup $M \leq G$, the inclusion $T \leq M$ implies $M = T$ or $M = G$. Equivalently, an n -tuple subsemigroup of an n -tuple semigroup G is maximal if it is a proper n -tuple subsemigroup of G which is not contained in any other proper n -tuple subsemigroup of G .

Proposition 4.1 *Let S be an n -tuple subsemigroup of $FNS_n^k(X)$. Then S is maximal if and only if there is an $x \in X$ such that $S = \Omega_k \setminus \{(x, \theta)\}$.*

Proof Let $x \in X$. Further, let $i \in \bar{n}$ and $(w_1, u_1), (w_2, u_2) \in \Omega_k \setminus \{(x, \theta), 0\}$. Then $(w_1, u_1)\overline{i}(w_2, u_2) \in \{(w_1w_2, u_1y_iu_2), 0\}$ with $u_1y_iu_2 \neq \theta$. Moreover, $(w_1, u_1)\overline{i}0 = 0\overline{i}(w_1, u_1) = 0\overline{i}0 = 0$. This shows that $\Omega_k \setminus \{(x, \theta)\}$ forms an n -tuple subsemigroup of $FNS_n^k(X)$, which is clearly maximal.

Conversely, let S be a maximal n -tuple subsemigroup of $FNS_n^k(X)$. Since $X \times \{\theta\}$ is the least generating set of $FNS_n^k(X)$, we can conclude that $\{(x, \theta) \mid x \in X\} \not\subseteq S$, i.e. there is an $x \in X$ with $(x, \theta) \notin S$. Therefore, $S \subseteq \Omega_k \setminus \{(x, \theta)\}$. Since S is a maximal n -tuple subsemigroup of $FNS_n^k(X)$, we obtain $S = \Omega_k \setminus \{(x, \theta)\}$. □

Due to the fact that the set $X' = X \times \{\theta\}$ is the least generating for $FNS_n^k(X)$, we obtain the following description of the automorphism group of the free weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroup: $Aut FNS_n^k(X) \cong \mathfrak{S}[X]$. It is natural to consider endomorphisms of the free weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroup $FNS_n^k(X)$.

Since X' is the least generating set of $FNS_n^k(X)$, each map $\varphi : X' \rightarrow \Omega_k$ induces an endomorphism of $FNS_n^k(X)$, and conversely, every endomorphism of $FNS_n^k(X)$ is uniquely determined by a map from X' into $FNS_n^k(X)$. This yields the formula

$$|End(FNS_n^k(X))| = |\Omega_k|^{|X'|},$$

whenever X is finite, with $|\Omega_k|$ calculated by Corollary 2.2.

Let $a \in \Omega_k$. An endomorphism $f_a \in \text{End}(FNS_n^k(X))$ we call constant if $(x, \theta)f_a = a$ for all $x \in X$.

Proposition 4.2 *The only constant idempotent endomorphisms of $FNS_n^k(X)$ are f_a for all $a \in X' \cup \{0\}$.*

Proof Let $CI(X) = \{f_a \mid a \in X' \cup \{0\}\}$. Obviously, every element of $CI(X)$ is a constant idempotent endomorphism of $FNS_n^k(X)$. Conversely, let $f \in \text{End}(FNS_n^k(X))$ be constant and idempotent. Suppose that $(x, \theta)f = a \in \Omega_k$ for all $x \in X$. Then $(x, \theta)f^2 = af = a = (x, \theta)f$. The equality $af = a$ implies that $a \in X' \cup \{0\}$. Thus, $f = f_a \in CI(X)$. □

The following statement provides the criterion for an isomorphism of the endomorphism semigroups of free weakly k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroups.

Proposition 4.3 *Let X and Z be arbitrary nonempty sets. Then*

$$\text{End}(FNS_n^k(X)) \cong \text{End}(FNS_n^k(Z)) \text{ if and only if } |X| = |Z|.$$

Proof Suppose that $\psi : \text{End}(FNS_n^k(X)) \rightarrow \text{End}(FNS_n^k(Z))$ is an isomorphism. By Proposition 4.2, $CI(X)$ and $CI(Z)$ are the sets of all constant idempotent endomorphisms of $FNS_n^k(X)$ and $FNS_n^k(Z)$, respectively. Then we can conclude that $CI(X)\psi = CI(Z)$. This yields $|CI(X)| = |CI(Z)|$, and thus, $|X| = |Z|$.

Let $f \in \text{End}(FNS_n^k(X))$ and $(y, \theta)f = (e_1, e_2)$ for some $y \in X$. We will denote e_1 and e_2 by $[y]_f$ and $(y)_f$, respectively. Suppose now that $|X| = |Z|$. Then there is a bijection $\sigma : X \rightarrow Z$. We define $\psi : \text{End}(FNS_n^k(X)) \rightarrow \text{End}(FNS_n^k(Z))$ in the following way: let $f\psi$ be the endomorphism of $FNS_n^k(Z)$ defined by $(x, \theta)f\psi = ([x\sigma^{-1}]_f\bar{\sigma}, (x\sigma^{-1})_f)$ for all $(x, \theta) \in Z'$, where $\bar{\sigma}$ is the extension of σ to $F[X]$. Since σ is a bijection, we can conclude that ψ is a bijection from $\text{End}(FNS_n^k(X))$ in $\text{End}(FNS_n^k(Z))$ and let $a \in Z$. Then we have $(a, \theta)(f \circ g)\psi = ([a\sigma^{-1}]_{f \circ g}\bar{\sigma}, (a\sigma^{-1})_{f \circ g})$. On the other hand, we get $(a, \theta)f\psi \circ g\psi = ([a\sigma^{-1}]_f\bar{\sigma}, (a\sigma^{-1})_f)g\psi = ([a\sigma^{-1}]_f\bar{\sigma}, (a\sigma^{-1})_f)g\psi = ([a\sigma^{-1}]_{f \circ g}\bar{\sigma}, (a\sigma^{-1})_{f \circ g})$. Hence, $(a, \theta)(f \circ g)\psi = (a, \theta)f\psi \circ g\psi$. Consequently, ψ is an isomorphism, i.e.

$$\text{End}(FNS_n^k(X)) \cong \text{End}(FNS_n^k(Z)).$$

□

Recall that an element a of a semigroup S is called regular provided that there exists $b \in S$ such that $aba = a$. A semigroup S is called regular provided that every element of S is regular. At the end of this section, we consider the question of regularity in $\text{End}(FNS_n^k(X))$.

Let ω be an arbitrary word in the alphabet X . The set of all elements $x \in X$ occurring in ω is denoted by $c(\omega)$. Let further Reg be the set of all $f \in \text{End}(FNS_n^k(X))$ with $(x, \theta) \in X'f = \{yf \mid y \in X'\}$, for all $x \in c(w)$, whenever $(w, u) \in X'f$. Denote the constant transformation of Ω_k with value 0 by f_0^X .

Proposition 4.4 *$Reg \cup \{f_0^X\}$ is the set of all regular elements in $\text{End}(FNS_n^k(X))$. $Reg \cup \{f_0^X\}$ is not closed under composition, whenever $|X| \geq 2$.*

Proof Clearly, f_0^X is idempotent and thus regular in $\text{End}(FNS_n^k(X))$. Let $f \in Reg$. Then we put

$$X_f = \{(x, \theta) \in X' \mid x \in c(w) \text{ and } (w, u) \in X'f\}.$$

Since $f \in Reg$, for each $(x, \theta) \in X_f$, there is $(x, \theta)^* \in X'$ such that $(x, \theta)^* f = (x, \theta)$. Then let $g \in End(FNS_n^k(X))$ such that $(x, \theta)g = (x, \theta)^*$ for all $(x, \theta) \in X_f$ and $(x, \theta)g = 0$ for all $(x, \theta) \in X' \setminus X_f$. Let $(x, \theta) \in X'$ such that $(x, \theta)f \neq 0$. Then it is easy to verify that $(x, \theta)fgf = (x, \theta)f$. If $(x, \theta)f = 0$ then $(x, \theta)fgf = 0gf = 0$ since an endomorphism maps 0 to 0. This shows that f is regular.

Let now $f \in End(FNS_n^k(X)) \setminus \{Reg \cup \{f_0^X\}\}$. Then there is a $(w, u) \in X'f$ and $x \in c(w)$ with $(x, \theta) \notin X'f$. Assume that there is a $g \in End(FNS_n^k(X))$ with $fgf = f$. Let $(a, \theta) \in X'$ such that $(a, \theta)f = (w, u) \in \Omega_k \setminus \{0\}$. Then $(a, \theta)fg = (w, u)g$, and thus, $(w, u)g \neq 0$ since otherwise $(a, \theta)fgf = 0$, a contradiction to $(a, \theta)fgf = (a, \theta)f = (w, u)$. Hence, there is $(w_1, u_1) \in \Omega_k \setminus \{\theta\}$ with $(w, u)g = (w_1, u_1)$. It is clear that $l_w \leq l_{w_1}$. Since $(x, \theta) \notin X'f$ and $(w_1, u_1)f = (w, u)$, we can conclude that $l_{w_1} < l_w$, i.e. $l_w < l_w$, a contradiction. This shows that f is not regular.

Finally, we demonstrate that $Reg \cup \{f_0^X\}$ is not closed under composition, whenever $|X| \geq 2$. For this let $x_1, x_2 \in X$. We put

$$(x_1, \theta)f_1 = (x_2x_2, y_1) \text{ and } (x, \theta)f_1 = (x_2, \theta)$$

for all $(x, \theta) \in X' \setminus \{(x_1, \theta)\}$,

$$(x_2, \theta)f_2 = (x_1x_1, y_1) \text{ and } (x, \theta)f_2 = (x_1, \theta)$$

for all $(x, \theta) \in X' \setminus \{(x_2, \theta)\}$. It is easy to verify that f_1 and f_2 define endomorphisms in Reg . We consider

$$(x_1, \theta)(f_1 \circ f_2) = (x_2x_2, y_1)f_2 = (x_1x_1x_1x_1, y_1y_1y_1) \text{ and}$$

$$(x, \theta)(f_1 \circ f_2) = (x_2, \theta)f_2 = (x_1x_1, y_1)$$

for all $(x, \theta) \in X' \setminus \{(x_1, \theta)\}$. Since $x_1 \in c(x_1x_1x_1x_1)$, where $(x_1x_1x_1x_1, y_1y_1y_1) \in X(f_1 \circ f_2)$, but $(x_1, \theta) \notin X(f_1 \circ f_2)$ the endomorphism $f_1 \circ f_2$ does not belong to Reg .

□

Corollary 4.5 *Let $|X| = 1$. The regular elements in $End(FNS_n^k(X))$ are exactly the zero f_0^X and the identity map on Ω_k which form a two-element subsemigroup of $End(FNS_n^k(X))$, in particular, a band.*

Acknowledgment

The first named author was supported by a Philipp Schwartz Fellowship from the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

References

[1] Boyd SJ, Gould M. Interassociativity and isomorphism. *Pure Mathematics and Applications* 1999; 10 (1): 23-30.
 [2] Gavrylkiv V. Note on cyclic doppelsemigroups. *Algebra and Discrete Mathematics* 2022; 34 (1): 15-21.
<https://doi.org/10.12958/adm1991>
 [3] Gavrylkiv V, Rendziak D. Interassociativity and three-element doppelsemigroups. *Algebra and Discrete Mathematics* 2019; 28 (2): 224-247.

- [4] Givens BN, Linton K, Rosin A, Dishman L. Interassociates of the free commutative semigroup on n generators. *Semigroup Forum* 2007; 74: 370-378. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00233-006-0655-9>
- [5] Givens BN, Rosin A, Linton K. Interassociates of the bicyclic semigroup. *Semigroup Forum* 2017; 94: 104-122. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00233-016-9794-9>
- [6] Huang J, Bai Y, Chen Y, Zhang Z. Constructions of free dibands and tribands. *Semigroup Forum* 2022; 104: 647-666. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00233-022-10270-w>
- [7] Koreshkov NA. n -tuple algebras of associative type. *Russian Mathematics (Izvestiya VUZ. Matematika)* 2008; 52 (12): 28-35. <https://doi.org/10.3103/S1066369X08120050>
- [8] Loday J-L. Dialgebras. In: Loday J-L, Frabetti A, Chapoton F, Goichot F (authors). *Dialgebras and Related Operads (Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1763)*. Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag, 2001, pp. 1763: 7-66.
- [9] Loday J-L, Ronco MO. Trialgebras and families of polytopes. *Contemporary Mathematics* 2004; 346: 369-398.
- [10] Movsisyan Y, Davidov S, Safaryan M. Construction of free g -dimonoids. *Algebra and Discrete Mathematics* 2014; 18 (1): 138-148.
- [11] Schein BM. Restrictive semigroups and bisemigroups. Technical Report. Fayetteville, AR, USA: University of Arkansas, 1989.
- [12] Schein BM. Restrictive bisemigroups. *Izvestiya Vysshikh Uchebnykh Zavedenii. Matematika* 1965; 1 (44): 168-179.
- [13] Smith JDH. Directional algebras. *Houston Journal of Mathematics* 2016; 42 (1): 1-22.
- [14] Pirashvili T. Sets with two associative operations. *Central European Journal of Mathematics* 2003; 2: 169-183. <https://doi.org/10.2478/BF02476006>
- [15] Zhuchok AV. Free left n -diniipotent doppelsemigroups. *Communications in Algebra* 2017; 45 (11): 4960-4970. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00927872.2017.1287274>
- [16] Zhuchok AV. Free n -tuple semigroups. *Mathematical Notes* 2018; 103 (5): 737-744. <https://doi.org/10.1134/S0001434618050061>
- [17] Zhuchok AV. Free products of doppelsemigroups. *Algebra Universalis* 2017; 77 (3): 361-374. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00012-017-0431-6>
- [18] Zhuchok AV. Free rectangular n -tuple semigroups. *Chebyshevskii Sbornik* 2019; 20 (3): 261-271. <https://doi.org/10.22405/2226-8383-2019-20-3-261-271>
- [19] Zhuchok AV. Semilattices of subdimonoids. *Asian-European Journal of Mathematics* 2011; 4 (2): 359-371. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S1793557111000290>
- [20] Zhuchok AV. Structure of free strong doppelsemigroups. *Communications in Algebra* 2018; 46 (8): 3262-3279. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00927872.2017.1407422>
- [21] Zhuchok AV. *Relatively Free Doppelsemigroups. Lectures in Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Potsdam, Germany: Potsdam University Press, 2018.
- [22] Zhuchok AV, Demko M. Free n -diniipotent doppelsemigroups. *Algebra and Discrete Mathematics* 2016; 22 (2): 304-316.
- [23] Zhuchok AV, Knauer K. Abelian doppelsemigroups. *Algebra and Discrete Mathematics* 2018; 26 (2): 290-304.
- [24] Zhuchok AV, Koppitz J. Free products of n -tuple semigroups. *Ukrainian Mathematical Journal* 2019; 70 (11): 1710-1726. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11253-019-01601-2>
- [25] Zhuchok AV, Zhuchok YV. Free k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroups. *Communications in Algebra* 2023; 51 (9): 3972-3980. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00927872.2023.2195000>
- [26] Zhuchok AV, Zhuchok YV, Koppitz J. Free rectangular doppelsemigroups. *Journal of Algebra and Its Applications* 2020; 19 (11): 2050205. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219498820502059>

- [27] Zhuchok AV, Zhuchok YV, Odintsova OO. Free left k -nilpotent n -tuple semigroups. Buletinul Academiei de Ştiinţe a Republicii Moldova. *Matematica* 2020; 94 (3): 29-38.
- [28] Zhuchok AV, Zhuchok YV, Zhuchok YV. Certain congruences on free trioids. *Communications in Algebra* 2019; 47 (12): 5471-5481. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00927872.2019.1631322>
- [29] Zhuchok YV. On one class of algebras. *Algebra and Discrete Mathematics* 2014; 18 (2): 306-320.
- [30] Zhuchok YV, Koppitz J. Representations of ordered doppelsemigroups by binary relations. *Algebra and Discrete Mathematics* 2019; 27 (1): 144-154.