

# [Turkish Journal of Mathematics](https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math)

[Volume 47](https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol47) | [Number 6](https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol47/iss6) [Article 8](https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol47/iss6/8) Article 8 Article 8

9-25-2023

## Fractional semilinear Neumann problem with critical nonlinearity

ZHENFENG JIN

HONGRUI SUN

Follow this and additional works at: [https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math](https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math?utm_source=journals.tubitak.gov.tr%2Fmath%2Fvol47%2Fiss6%2F8&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) 

**Part of the [Mathematics Commons](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/174?utm_source=journals.tubitak.gov.tr%2Fmath%2Fvol47%2Fiss6%2F8&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)** 

## Recommended Citation

JIN, ZHENFENG and SUN, HONGRUI (2023) "Fractional semilinear Neumann problem with critical nonlinearity," Turkish Journal of Mathematics: Vol. 47: No. 6, Article 8. [https://doi.org/10.55730/](https://doi.org/10.55730/1300-0098.3458) [1300-0098.3458](https://doi.org/10.55730/1300-0098.3458) 

Available at: [https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol47/iss6/8](https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol47/iss6/8?utm_source=journals.tubitak.gov.tr%2Fmath%2Fvol47%2Fiss6%2F8&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact [academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr](mailto:academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr).



Turkish Journal of Mathematics

http://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/

Research Article

Turk J Math (2023) 47: 1715 – 1732 © TÜBİTAK doi:10.55730/1300-0098.3458

### **Fractional semilinear Neumann problem with critical nonlinearity**

**Zhen-Feng JIN**<sup>1</sup>*,*<sup>2</sup>**, Hong-Rui SUN**<sup>1</sup>*,*<sup>∗</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Mathematics and Statistics, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, Gansu, People's Republic of China <sup>2</sup>School of Mathematics and Computer Science, Shanxi Normal University, Thaiyuan, Shanxi, People's Republic of China



**Abstract:** In this paper, we consider the following critical fractional semilinear Neumann problem

$$
\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{1/2}u + \lambda u = u^{\frac{n+1}{n-1}}, \ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \partial_{\nu}u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}
$$

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$   $(n \geq 5)$  is a smooth bounded domain,  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\nu$  is the outward unit normal to  $\partial \Omega$ . We prove that there exists a constant  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that the above problem admits a minimal energy solution for  $\lambda < \lambda_0$ . Moreover, if  $\Omega$  is convex, we show that this solution is constant for sufficiently small  $\lambda$ .

**Key words:** Fractional Laplacian operator, Neumann boundary condition, critical exponent

#### **1. Introduction**

The classical semilinear problem

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
\begin{cases}\n-\Delta u + \lambda u = u^p, \ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\
\partial_\nu u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega,\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(1)

has been extensively studied in recent years by many authors, where  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $p > 1$ ,  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a smooth bounded domain and *ν* is the outward unit normal to *∂*Ω. Problem [\(1](#page-1-0)) arises from considering steady states of the Keller-Segel system in chemotaxis [\[22](#page-17-0)]. When  $p < \frac{n+2}{n-2}$  with  $n \ge 3$  or  $p > 1$  with  $n = 1, 2$ , Lin et al. [\[24](#page-17-1)] obtained the existence of nonconstant solutions for  $(1)$  $(1)$ , provided  $\lambda$  is sufficiently large, and the only constant solution  $u \equiv \lambda^{1/(p-1)}$  $u \equiv \lambda^{1/(p-1)}$  $u \equiv \lambda^{1/(p-1)}$  for sufficiently small  $\lambda$ . When  $p = \frac{n+2}{n-2}$  with  $n \geq 3$ , Wang [[33\]](#page-17-2) showed that problem (1) admits a nonconstant solution for  $\lambda$  suitably large; Adimurthi and Mancini [\[1](#page-16-0)] showed that problem ([1\)](#page-1-0) admits a minimal-energy solution for  $\lambda > 0$ , and they also proved that the solution is nonconstant for  $\lambda$  suitably large. For more results in the critical case, we refer to [[5,](#page-16-1) [14,](#page-17-3) [20](#page-17-4), [23](#page-17-5), [28\]](#page-17-6) and references therein. In particular, Adimurthi and Yadava [\[5](#page-16-1)] testified that the solution given in [\[1](#page-16-0)] is constant for  $\lambda$  sufficiently small. For  $\lambda > 0$ small, Lin and Ni  $[23]$  made the following conjecture:

**Lin-Ni's conjecture.** For  $\lambda$  small and  $p = \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ , problem [\(1](#page-1-0)) admits only the constant solution.

<sup>∗</sup>Correspondence: hrsun@lzu.edu.cn

<sup>2010</sup> *AMS Mathematics Subject Classification:* 39A12; 39A70

We will recall the main results towards proving or disproving Lin-Ni's conjecture as follows. When  $\Omega$ is a unit ball and  $\lambda$  is sufficiently small, Adimurthi and Yadava [\[4](#page-16-2)[–6](#page-16-3)] and Budd et al. [\[11](#page-16-4)] proved that any radial solution of ([1\)](#page-1-0) must be constant in dimensions  $n = 3$  or  $n \ge 7$ , the conjecture is false for  $n = 4, 5, 6$ , which reveal that the dimension *n* has an effect on Lin-Ni's conjecture. When  $n = 3$ , Zhu [\[39](#page-18-0)] and Wei and Xu [[37\]](#page-18-1) testified that the conjecture is true for convex domain by using different techniques, and del Pino et al. [[15\]](#page-17-7) dealt with the existence of a nontrivial solution with interior bubbling as *λ* approaches a special positive value. When  $n = 3$  or  $n \ge 7$ , Druet et al. [[16\]](#page-17-8) proved that the conjecture is true for the mean convex domains. However, when  $n = 5$ , Rey and Wei [[29\]](#page-17-9) showed that problem ([1\)](#page-1-0) has arbitrarily many solutions for any bounded smooth domain, provided that  $\lambda$  is small enough. For any fixed  $\lambda > 0$ , Wang et al. [\[34](#page-18-2)] obtained that there exist infinitely many solutions for some nonconvex domains if  $n \geq 3$ , and they [[35\]](#page-18-3) also proved the existence of infinitely many solutions in some convex domain if  $n \geq 4$ . Furthermore, when  $\Omega$  is a ball, they showed that there exist infinitely many nonradially symmetric solutions. When  $n = 4$  or 6, Wei et al. [\[36](#page-18-4)] proved that problem ([1\)](#page-1-0) has a nonconstant solution for any bounded smooth domain, if  $\lambda$  is small enough.

Comparing with problem  $(1)$  $(1)$ , the following semilinear Dirichlet problem

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
\begin{cases}\n(-\Delta)^s u = u^p + \lambda u & \text{in } \Omega, \\
u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega,\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(2)

has been also studied quite extensively, where  $s \in (0,1]$ ,  $p > 1$  and  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a smooth bounded domain.

When  $s = 1$ ,  $p \in (1, \frac{n+2}{n-2})$  with  $n \geq 3$  or  $p \in (1, \infty)$  with  $n = 1, 2$ , Lions [\[25](#page-17-10)] proved the existence of positive solutions for ([2\)](#page-2-0), provided  $\lambda < \lambda_*$ , where  $\lambda_* > 0$  denotes the first eigenvalue of  $-\Delta$  in  $\Omega$  with zero Dirichlet boundary values on  $\partial\Omega$ . When  $s = 1$ ,  $p = \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ , Brezis and Nirenberg [\[10](#page-16-5)] obtained that problem ([2\)](#page-2-0) admits a positive solution for  $n \geq 4$  and  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_*)$ , and there is no positive solution of [\(2](#page-2-0)) when  $\lambda \geq \lambda_*$  or  $\lambda \leq 0$  and  $\Omega$  is a star-shaped domain. Especially, when  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  is a ball, they showed that problem ([2\)](#page-2-0) has a positive solution if and only if  $\lambda \in (\frac{\lambda_*}{4}, \lambda_*)$ . When  $s \in (0,1)$ ,  $p = \frac{n+2s}{n-2s}$  with  $n \ge 4s$ , Barrios et al. [[7\]](#page-16-6) (see also Tan [[32\]](#page-17-11) for  $s = \frac{1}{2}$ ) obtained that problem ([2\)](#page-2-0) has at least one positive solution for  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_*^s)$ , and there is no positive solution of ([2\)](#page-2-0) with  $\lambda \geq \lambda_*^s$ . For the study of the Brezis-Nirenberg problem, the readers can refer to [[2,](#page-16-7) [8,](#page-16-8) [13,](#page-17-12) [18](#page-17-13), [26](#page-17-14), [30](#page-17-15), [38](#page-18-5)] and the references therein.

Consider the following fractional semilinear Neumann problem

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
\begin{cases}\n(-\Delta)^s u + \lambda u = u^p, \ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\
\partial_\nu u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega,\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(3)

where  $s \in (0,1)$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $p > 1$ ,  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a smooth bounded domain and  $\nu$  is the outward unit normal to  $∂Ω$ . When  $s = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $1 < p < \frac{n+1}{n-1}$  with  $n ≥ 2$ , Stinga and Volzone [[31\]](#page-17-16) transformed the nonlocal problem ([3\)](#page-2-1) to the local problem on a half-cylinder  $C := \Omega \times (0, \infty)$ . They proved that [\(3](#page-2-1)) has at least one nonconstant solution for  $\lambda$  suitably large, and it has only constant solution for  $\lambda$  sufficiently small. When  $s \in (0,1)$  and  $1 < p < \frac{n+2s}{n-2s}$  with *n* > 2*s*, Ni et al. [\[27](#page-17-17)] proved that ([3\)](#page-2-1) has at least one nonconstant solution for *λ* suitably large. When  $s \in (0,1)$  and  $p = \frac{n+2s}{n-2s}$ , problem ([3\)](#page-2-1) involves the fractional critical Sobolev exponent, and it is well known that the Sobolev embedding  $H^s(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{2n}{n-2s}}(\Omega)$  is not compact even if  $\Omega$  is bounded. Thus, the associated energy functional of the local problem does not verify the Palais-Smale condition globally. To the best of our knowledge, we have not found any research on the fractional semilinear Neumann problem [\(3](#page-2-1)) with critical Sobolev exponent.

Motivated by the above work, in this paper, we study the following critical fractional semilinear Neumann problem

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
\begin{cases}\n(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}u + \lambda u = u^{\frac{n+1}{n-1}}, \ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\
\partial_{\nu}u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega,\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(4)

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n \geq 5$ ) is a smooth bounded domain,  $\lambda > 0$ , and  $\nu$  is the outward unit normal to  $\partial \Omega$ . Our method to overcome the lack of compactness is inspired by the work [\[1](#page-16-0), [3](#page-16-9)] of Adimurthi, Mancini, and Yadava. That is, using the semigroup language for the extension method as introduced in [[12,](#page-17-18) [31\]](#page-17-16) and variational techniques, we will prove that there exists a constant  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that the minimizing problem

$$
\inf\Big\{\iint_{\mathcal{C}}|\nabla v|^2dxdy+\lambda\int_{\Omega\times\{0\}}|v|^2dx: v\in\mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{C}),\,\,\|v\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-1}}(\Omega\times\{0\})}=1\Big\}
$$

is achieved if  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$ . By the Lagrange multiplier rule, we get that problem ([4\)](#page-3-0) admits a minimal energy solution. Moreover, inspired by the idea of [\[5](#page-16-1)], we will show that this solution is constant, provided  $\lambda > 0$  is sufficiently small. Since the half-cylinder  $C$  is unbounded and is not a smooth domain, which will cause some difficulties in the proof of Lemma [4.1](#page-12-0) below, we use Pohozaev-type identity [[21,](#page-17-19) Lemma 4.1] and even reflection technique to overcome these difficulties. The main result in this paper can be stated as follows.

<span id="page-3-2"></span>**Theorem 1.1** *There exists a constant*  $\lambda_0 > 0$  *such that* 

(**i**) *problem* ([4\)](#page-3-0) *admits a minimal energy solution for*  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$ ;

(**ii**) *if*  $\Omega$  *is convex, then the solution obtained in* (**i**) *is constant for sufficiently small*  $\lambda > 0$ *.* 

**Remark 1** When  $s \in (0,1)$ ,  $s \neq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $p = \frac{n+2s}{n-2s}$ , the existence of solutions for problem [\(3](#page-2-1)) remains open.

Indeed, let  $X^s(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)$  denote the completion of  $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)$  with respect to the norm

$$
||U||_{X^s}^2 = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+} y^{1-2s} |\nabla U(x, y)|^2 dx dy.
$$

By [\[9](#page-16-10), Theorem 2.1], for every  $U \in X^s(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)$ , it holds that

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
S(s,n)\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |tr(U)|^{\frac{2n}{n-2s}} dx\Big)^{\frac{n-2s}{n}} \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+} y^{1-2s} |\nabla U|^2 dx dy,\tag{5}
$$

where  $tr(U)$  denotes the trace of *U* on  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \{y = 0\}$ . When  $s = \frac{1}{2}$ , we denote

$$
S_0 = \inf \Big\{ \frac{\int \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} |\nabla w(x,y)|^2 dx dy}{(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |w(x,0)|^{\frac{2n}{n-1}} dx)^{(n-1)/n}} : w \in X^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)\Big\}.
$$

From [[17,](#page-17-20) Theorem 1], we know that  $S_0$  is achieved by

<span id="page-3-3"></span>
$$
U_{\epsilon}(x,y) = \frac{\epsilon^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}{\left(|x|^2 + (y+\epsilon)^2\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}, \quad \forall \epsilon > 0.
$$
\n
$$
(6)
$$

However, from [[7\]](#page-16-6), the extremal function *U* for the best constant  $S(s, n)$  of the trace inequality [\(5](#page-3-1)) does not possess an explicit expression if  $s \in (0,1)$  and  $s \neq \frac{1}{2}$ , which may cause Lemma [3.4](#page-6-0) below that is needed in the proof of Theorem [1.1](#page-3-2) to break down.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall the definition of the spectral Neumann fractional Laplacian (*−*∆)1*/*<sup>2</sup> in a bounded domain and some preliminary results. The proof of Theorem [1.1](#page-3-2) (**i**) is given in Section 3. The proof of Theorem [1.1](#page-3-2) (**ii**) is in Section 4.

#### **2. Preliminaries**

In this section, we are devoted to some notations and preliminary results. As in [[31\]](#page-17-16), the fractional Neumann Laplacian  $(-\Delta)^{1/2}$  in  $\mathcal{H}^{1/2}(\Omega)$  is defined as follows. Let  $\{\varphi_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  denote the orthonormal basis in  $L^2(\Omega)$ formed by the eigenfunctions associated to the eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  of the Laplacian operator  $-\Delta$  in  $\Omega$  with zero Neumann boundary values on *∂*Ω. The Hilbert space *H*1*/*<sup>2</sup> (Ω) is defined as follows

$$
\mathcal{H}^{1/2}(\Omega) \equiv \text{Dom}((-\Delta)^{1/2}) := \Big\{ u \in L^2(\Omega) : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{\frac{1}{2}} \big| \langle u, \varphi_k \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \big|^2 < \infty \Big\},\
$$

endowed with the norm

$$
||u||_{\mathcal{H}^{1/2}(\Omega)}^2 := ||u||_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{\frac{1}{2}} ||u, \varphi_k\rangle_{L^2(\Omega)}||^2.
$$

For  $u \in \mathcal{H}^{1/2}(\Omega)$ , the fractional Neumann Laplacian  $(-\Delta)^{1/2}$  is defined by

$$
(-\Delta)^{1/2}u(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle u, \varphi_k \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \varphi_k(x) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{H}^{1/2}(\Omega)',
$$

where  $\mathcal{H}^{1/2}(\Omega)'$  is the dual space of  $\mathcal{H}^{1/2}(\Omega)$ .

The space  $H^{1/2}(\Omega)$  is defined as

$$
H^{1/2}(\Omega) := \left\{ u \in L^2(\Omega) : \|u\|_{H^{1/2}(\Omega)}^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + [u]_{H^{1/2}(\Omega)}^2 < \infty \right\},
$$

where

<span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
[u]_{H^{1/2}(\Omega)}^2 := \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+1}} dx dy.
$$

The Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{C})$  is defined as the completion of  $H^1(\mathcal{C})$  under the scalar product

$$
(v, w) = \iint_{\mathcal{C}} (\nabla_x v \cdot \nabla_x w + v_y w_y) dx dy + \lambda \int_{\Omega} (tr_{\Omega} v)(tr_{\Omega} w) dx,
$$

with the associated norm  $||v||^2 = (v, v)$ .

Referring to [[31,](#page-17-16) Lemma 2.4, Theorem 2.5, and Corollary 2.7], we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1** We have  $\mathcal{H}^{1/2}(\Omega) = H^{1/2}(\Omega)$ , and there exists a unique bounded linear operator  $T: \mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{C}) \to$  $\mathcal{H}^{1/2}(\Omega)$  such that  $Tv(x,y) = v(x,0)$  if  $v \in H^1(\mathcal{C})$  and, in particular,  $||Tv||_{\mathcal{H}^{1/2}(\Omega)} \leq ||v||$ . Furthermore,  $T(\mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{C})) \subset\subset L^q(\Omega)$ , for  $1 \leq q < 2^* := \frac{2n}{n-1}$ , where  $2^*$  denotes the critical fractional Sobolev exponent.

#### JIN and SUN/Turk J Math

#### **3. Proof of Theorem [1](#page-3-2)***.***1** (**i**)

In this section we study the existence of minimal energy solution for problem ([4\)](#page-3-0). Equivalently, we consider the following problem:

<span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
\begin{cases}\n\Delta v = 0, \ v > 0 & \text{in } C, \\
\partial_{\nu} v = 0 & \text{on } \partial_{L} C := \partial \Omega \times [0, \infty), \\
-v_{y} + \lambda v = v^{2^{*}-1} & \text{on } \Omega \times \{0\}.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(7)

We say that a function  $v \in H^1(\mathcal{C})$  is a weak solution for problem [\(7](#page-5-0)) if

$$
(v, w) = \int_{\Omega \times \{0\}} v^{2^*-1} w dx, \quad \forall w \in \mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{C}).
$$

The associated energy functional  $J_{\lambda} : \mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{C}) \to \mathbb{R}$  for [\(7](#page-5-0)) is defined as

$$
J_{\lambda}(v) = \frac{1}{2}||v||^{2} - \frac{1}{2^{*}}\int_{\Omega\times\{0\}}|v|^{2^{*}}dx, \quad v \in \mathcal{H}^{1}(\mathcal{C}).
$$

**Definition 3.1** We say that  $u = v(\cdot, 0)$  is a minimal energy solution of ([4\)](#page-3-0) if v is a solution of ([7\)](#page-5-0) and satisfies

<span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
J_{\lambda}(v) = \inf \{ J_{\lambda}(w) : w \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda} \},
$$

*where*

$$
\mathcal{N}_{\lambda} = \Big\{ w \in \mathcal{H}^{1}(\mathcal{C}) \setminus \{0\}: \ \int \int_{\mathcal{C}} |\nabla w|^{2} dx dy + \lambda \int_{\Omega \times \{0\}} |w|^{2} dx = \int_{\Omega \times \{0\}} |w|^{2^{*}} dx \Big\}.
$$

Now we are ready to demonstrate the following result.

**Theorem 3.2** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$   $(n \geq 5)$  be a smooth bounded domain. Then there exists a constant  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such *that, for*  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$ *, problem* ([7\)](#page-5-0) *admits a solution*  $v_0$  *which satisfies*  $J_\lambda(v_0) < \frac{S_0^n}{4n}$ .

**Proof** Motivated by [\[1](#page-16-0), [3](#page-16-9), [32](#page-17-11)], we can prove this theorem directly from the following two lemmas. □ In order to prove Theorem [3.2,](#page-5-1) we introduce the following functional

$$
Q_{\lambda}(v) = \frac{\iint_{\mathcal{C}} |\nabla v|^2 dx dy + \lambda \int_{\Omega \times \{0\}} |v|^2 dx}{\left(\int_{\Omega \times \{0\}} |v|^{2^*} dx\right)^{2/2^*}}, \quad v \in \mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{C}),
$$

and define

<span id="page-5-2"></span>
$$
S_{\lambda} := \inf_{v \in \mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{C})} Q_{\lambda}(v).
$$

Then the following lemma holds.

**Lemma 3.3** For  $\lambda > 0$ , we have  $S_{\lambda} > 0$ . Assume that  $S_{\lambda} < \frac{S_0}{2^{1/n}}$ , then there exists a  $w \in H^1(\mathcal{C})$  with  $w \ge 0$ such that  $S_{\lambda} = Q_{\lambda}(w)$ . Furthermore, if we define  $v_0 = S_{\lambda}^{\frac{n-1}{2}}w$ , then  $v_0$  satisfies [\(7](#page-5-0)) with  $J_{\lambda}(v_0) < \frac{S_0^n}{4n}$ .

**Proof** By Lemma [2.1](#page-4-0), there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$
\left(\int_{\Omega\times\{0\}}|v|^{2^*}dx\right)^{1/2^*}\leq C\|v\|,\ \ \forall v\in\mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{C}).
$$

By the definition of  $S_\lambda$ , we get that  $S_\lambda > 0$ .

We choose  $\{v_k\} \subset \mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{C})$  as a minimizing sequence of  $S_\lambda$  with  $||v_k||_{L^{2^*}(\Omega \times \{0\})} = 1$  (without loss of generality, we may assume  $v_k \geq 0$ , if not replacing it with  $|v_k|$ , that is,

$$
||v_k||^2 = Q_\lambda(v_k) = S_\lambda + o(1) \text{ as } k \to \infty;
$$

thus,  $\{v_k\}$  is bounded in  $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{C})$ . Then, up to a subsequence, we have  $v_k \rightharpoonup w$  in  $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{C})$ , and  $||w|| \le$  $\liminf_{k \to \infty} ||v_k|| = S_\lambda$ . Combining  $S_\lambda < \frac{S_0}{2^{1/n}}$  with [[33,](#page-17-2) Lemma 2.1, Theorem 2.1], by a similar discussion as in [[32,](#page-17-11) Proposition 4.4], we obtain that  $v_k \to w$  in  $L^{2^*}(\Omega \times \{0\})$ . Therefore,  $||w||_{L^{2^*}(\Omega \times \{0\})} = 1$ , and  $w \ge 0$  is a minimizer of  $Q_\lambda(v)$ . Thus, there exists  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  (in fact,  $\mu = S_\lambda$ ) by the Lagrange multiplier rule such that

$$
\begin{cases} \Delta w = 0 & \text{in } C, \\ \partial_{\nu} w = 0 & \text{on } \partial_{L} C, \\ -w_y + \lambda w = \mu w^{2^{*}-1} & \text{on } \Omega \times \{0\}. \end{cases}
$$

Choosing  $v_0 = S_{\lambda}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} w$ , then  $v_0$  solves ([7\)](#page-5-0). Since  $v_0 \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$ , combining  $||w||^2 = S_{\lambda}$  with  $S_{\lambda} < \frac{S_0}{2^{1/n}}$ , we have

$$
J_{\lambda}(v_0) = \frac{1}{2} ||v_0||^2 - \frac{1}{2^*} \int_{\Omega \times \{0\}} |v_0|^{2^*} dx = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*}\right) ||v_0||^2
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{1}{2n} ||v_0||^2 = \frac{1}{2n} S_{\lambda}^{n-1} ||w||^2 = \frac{1}{2n} S_{\lambda}^n < \frac{S_0^n}{4n}.
$$

Now the proof is complete.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Lemma 3.4** *There exists*  $\lambda_0 > 0$  *such that*  $S_{\lambda} < \frac{S_0}{2^{1/n}}$   $(n \ge 5)$ *, for*  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$ *.* 

**Proof** Let us now introduce a nonincreasing cut-off function  $\phi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)$ , verifying

$$
\phi(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1, & (x,y) \in B^+(0, R/4), \\ 0, & (x,y) \notin \overline{B^+(0, R/2)}, \end{cases}
$$

where  $B^+(0, R) := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ : |(x, y)| < R\}$ . Taking R small enough so that  $\overline{B^+(0, R/2)} \subset \mathcal{C} \cup (\Omega \times \{0\}),$ we will use the function  $\phi U_{\epsilon}$  as test function *v* in the expression for  $Q_{\lambda}$  above.

Since the boundary  $\partial\Omega$  is smooth, then there exists at least one point  $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$  such that  $\Omega$  lies on one side of the tangent plane at  $x_0$  and the mean curvature with respect to the outward unit normal at  $x_0$  is positive. Without loss of generality, we may suppose  $x_0 = 0$ . Hence, the boundary  $\partial\Omega$  near the origin can be represented by

$$
\rho(x') := \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \beta_i x_i^2 + O(|x'|^3), \quad x' = (x_1, ..., x_{n-1}),
$$



where  $\beta_1, ..., \beta_{n-1}$  are the principal curvatures of  $\partial\Omega$  at  $x_0$ . Thus,  $\rho(x') \geq 0$  and the mean curvature  $\sum_{n=1}^{n-1}$  $\sum_{i=1} \beta_i > 0$  (for more details see [[1,](#page-16-0) Lemma 2.2]).

Assume *a* is a suitably small positive constant, and define

$$
\Sigma = \left\{ (x', x_n, y) \in B(0, R) : 0 < x_n < \rho(x'), y > 0 \right\},
$$
\n
$$
\Sigma' = \left\{ (x', x_n) \in B(0, R) \cap \{y = 0\} : 0 < x_n < \rho(x') \right\};
$$
\n
$$
L_a = \left\{ (x, y) : |x_i| < a, 0 < y < a \right\} \subset B^+(0, R/4), \ i = 1, 2, \dots, n,
$$
\n
$$
L'_a = \left\{ x : |x_i| < a \right\} \subset \overline{B^+(0, R/4)} \cap \{y = 0\};
$$
\n
$$
\Delta_a = \left\{ (x', y) : x' \in \Delta'_a, 0 < y < a \right\},
$$
\n
$$
\Delta'_a = \left\{ x' = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) : |x_i| < a \right\}.
$$

Direct calculations show that, for any  $\varepsilon \geq 0$ ,

<span id="page-7-3"></span><span id="page-7-1"></span><span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
\int_0^s \frac{1}{(1+t^2)^{\varepsilon}} dt = s + O(s^3),
$$
\n(8)

which will be needed in the following proof.

Claim 1. As  $\epsilon \to 0$ , we have

$$
\iint_{\mathcal{C}} |\nabla(\phi U_{\epsilon})|^2 dx dy = \frac{n-1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{(|x|^2 + 1)^n} dx - \epsilon \omega_{n-1} \frac{n-1}{n-2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \beta_i \right) \int_0^\infty \frac{r^n}{(1+r^2)^n} dr + O(\epsilon^2),\tag{9}
$$

where  $U_{\epsilon}$  is defined in [\(6](#page-3-3)) and  $\omega_n$  denotes the surface area of the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

In fact, by the definition of  $\phi$ , we obtain

$$
\iint_{\mathcal{C}} |\nabla(\phi U_{\epsilon})|^2 dx dy = \frac{1}{4} \int_{B(0,R)} |\nabla(\phi U_{\epsilon})|^2 dx dy - \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla(\phi U_{\epsilon})|^2 dx dy, \tag{10}
$$

and

<span id="page-7-2"></span>
$$
\int_{B(0,R)} |\nabla(\phi U_{\epsilon})|^2 dx dy = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+} |\nabla(\phi U_{\epsilon})|^2 dx dy
$$
\n
$$
= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+} \phi^2 |\nabla U_{\epsilon}|^2 dx dy + O(\epsilon^{n-1})
$$
\n
$$
= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+} |\nabla U_{\epsilon}|^2 dx dy + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+} (\phi^2 - 1) |\nabla U_{\epsilon}|^2 dx dy + O(\epsilon^{n-1})
$$
\n
$$
= 2K_1 + O(\epsilon^{n-1}),
$$
\n(11)

where

$$
K_1 := \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} |\nabla U_{\epsilon}|^2 dx dy = (n-1)^2 \epsilon^{n-1} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{(|x|^2 + (y+\epsilon)^2)^n} dx dy
$$
  
=  $(n-1)^2 \epsilon^{n-1} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(y+\epsilon)^n} dy \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{(|x|^2+1)^n} dx$   
=  $(n-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{(|x|^2+1)^n} dx.$ 

As for the third integral in  $(10)$  $(10)$ , by  $(8)$  $(8)$ , we get

$$
C_{1}(\epsilon) := \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla(\phi U_{\epsilon})|^{2} dx dy = \int_{\Sigma \cap L_{a}} |\nabla(\phi U_{\epsilon})|^{2} dx dy + \int_{\Sigma \setminus L_{a}} |\nabla(\phi U_{\epsilon})|^{2} dx dy
$$
  
\n
$$
= \int_{\Sigma \cap L_{a}} \phi^{2} |\nabla U_{\epsilon}|^{2} dx dy + O(\epsilon^{n-1}) = \int_{\Sigma \cap L_{a}} |\nabla U_{\epsilon}|^{2} dx dy + O(\epsilon^{n-1})
$$
  
\n
$$
= (n-1)^{2} \epsilon^{n-1} \int_{\Sigma \cap L_{a}} \frac{1}{(|x|^{2} + (y + \epsilon)^{2})^{n}} dx dy + O(\epsilon^{n-1})
$$
  
\n
$$
= (n-1)^{2} \epsilon^{n-1} \int_{\Delta_{a}} dx' dy \int_{0}^{\rho(x')} \frac{1}{(|x'|^{2} + (y + \epsilon)^{2} + x_{n}^{2})^{n}} dx_{n} + O(\epsilon^{n-1})
$$
  
\n
$$
= (n-1) \epsilon^{n-1} \Big( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \beta_{i} \Big) \int_{\Delta_{a}} \frac{|x'|^{2}}{(|x'|^{2} + (y + \epsilon)^{2})^{n}} dx' dy
$$
  
\n
$$
+ O\Big(\epsilon^{n-1} \int_{\Delta_{a}} \frac{|x'|^{3}}{(|x'|^{2} + (y + \epsilon)^{2})^{n}} dx' dy \Big)
$$
  
\n
$$
= (n-1) \epsilon^{n-1} \Big( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \beta_{i} \Big) \int_{0}^{a} \int_{\Delta'_{a}} \frac{|x'|^{2}}{(|x'|^{2} + (y + \epsilon)^{2})^{n}} dx' dy
$$
  
\n
$$
+ O\Big(\epsilon^{n-1} \int_{0}^{a} \int_{\Delta'_{a}} \frac{|x'|^{3}}{(|x'|^{2} + (y + \epsilon)^{2})^{n}} dx' dy \Big)
$$
  
\n
$$
= (n-1) \epsilon^{n-1} \Big( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \beta_{i} \Big) \int_{0}^{a} \frac{1}{(y + \epsilon)^{n-1}} dy \int_{\Delta'_{a/(y + \epsilon)}} \frac{|x'|^{2
$$

where

$$
\int_{\Delta'_{a/(y+\epsilon)}} \frac{|x'|^2}{\left(|x'|^2+1\right)^n} dx' = \int_{|x'|<\frac{a}{\epsilon}} \frac{|x'|^2}{\left(|x'|^2+1\right)^n} dx' - \int_{\{|x'|<\frac{a}{\epsilon}\}\setminus\Delta'_{a/(y+\epsilon)}} \frac{|x'|^2}{\left(|x'|^2+1\right)^n} dx' \n= \omega_{n-1} \int_0^\infty \frac{r^n}{(1+r^2)^n} dr + O(1).
$$

Thus,

<span id="page-9-4"></span><span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
C_1(\epsilon) = \epsilon \omega_{n-1} \frac{n-1}{n-2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \beta_i \right) \int_0^\infty \frac{r^n}{(1+r^2)^n} dr + O(\epsilon^2). \tag{12}
$$

Combining  $(11)$  $(11)$  with  $(12)$  $(12)$ , Claim 1 holds.

Claim 2. As  $\epsilon \to 0$ , we get

$$
\int_{\Omega \times \{0\}} |\phi U_{\epsilon}|^{2^{*}} dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{1}{(|x|^{2} + 1)^{n}} dx - \epsilon \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{n-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \beta_{i} \right) \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{r^{n}}{(1+r^{2})^{n}} dr + O(\epsilon^{2}). \tag{13}
$$

In fact, by the definition of  $\phi$ , we obtain

<span id="page-9-1"></span>
$$
\int_{\Omega \times \{0\}} |\phi U_{\epsilon}|^{2^{*}} dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{B(0,R) \cap \{y=0\}} |\phi U_{\epsilon}|^{2^{*}} dx - \int_{\Sigma'} |\phi U_{\epsilon}|^{2^{*}} dx, \tag{14}
$$

and

<span id="page-9-2"></span>
$$
\int_{B(0,R)\cap\{y=0\}} |\phi U_{\epsilon}|^{2^{*}} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |\phi(x,0)U_{\epsilon}(x,0)|^{2^{*}} dx
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |U_{\epsilon}(x,0)|^{2^{*}} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} (\phi^{2^{*}}(x,0) - 1)|U_{\epsilon}(x,0)|^{2^{*}} dx
$$
\n
$$
= K_{2} + O(\epsilon^{n}),
$$
\n(15)

where

$$
K_2 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |U_{\epsilon}(x,0)|^{2^*} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\epsilon^n}{(|x|^2 + \epsilon^2)^n} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{(|x|^2 + 1)^n} dx.
$$

As for the third integral in  $(14)$  $(14)$ , by  $(8)$  $(8)$ , we get

$$
C_2(\epsilon) := \int_{\Sigma'} |\phi U_{\epsilon}|^{2^*} dx = \int_{\Sigma' \cap L'_a} |\phi U_{\epsilon}|^{2^*} dx + \int_{\Sigma' \backslash L'_a} |\phi U_{\epsilon}|^{2^*} dx
$$
  
\n
$$
= \int_{\Delta'_a} dx' \int_0^{\rho(x')} |U_{\epsilon}(x,0)|^{2^*} dx_n + O(\epsilon^n)
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{\epsilon^n}{n-1} \Big(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \beta_i\Big) \int_{\Delta'_a} \frac{|x'|^2}{(|x'|^2 + \epsilon^2)^n} dx' + O\Big(\epsilon^n \int_{\Delta'_a} \frac{|x'|^3}{(|x'|^2 + \epsilon^2)^n} dx'\Big)
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{\epsilon}{n-1} \Big(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \beta_i\Big) \int_{\Delta'_{a/\epsilon}} \frac{|x'|^2}{(|x'|^2 + 1)^n} dx' + O\Big(\epsilon^2 \int_{\Delta'_{a/\epsilon}} \frac{|x'|^3}{(|x'|^2 + 1)^n} dx'\Big).
$$

Thus,

<span id="page-9-3"></span>
$$
C_2(\epsilon) = \epsilon \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{n-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \beta_i \right) \int_0^\infty \frac{r^n}{(1+r^2)^n} dr + O(\epsilon^2). \tag{16}
$$

Combining [\(15](#page-9-2)) with [\(16](#page-9-3)), Claim 2 holds.

Claim 3. As  $\epsilon \to 0$ , we have

<span id="page-10-3"></span>
$$
\int_{\Omega\times\{0\}} |\phi U_{\epsilon}|^2 dx = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{(|x|^2 + 1)^{n-1}} dx + O(\epsilon^2) \quad \text{for } n \ge 5.
$$
 (17)

In fact, by the definition of  $\phi$ , we obtain

<span id="page-10-0"></span>
$$
\int_{\Omega\times\{0\}} |\phi U_{\epsilon}|^2 dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{B(0,R)\cap\{y=0\}} |\phi U_{\epsilon}|^2 dx - \int_{\Sigma'} |\phi U_{\epsilon}|^2 dx,\tag{18}
$$

and

<span id="page-10-1"></span>
$$
\int_{B(0,R)\cap\{y=0\}} |\phi U_{\epsilon}|^{2} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |\phi(x,0)U_{\epsilon}(x,0)|^{2} dx
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |U_{\epsilon}(x,0)|^{2} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} (\phi^{2}(x,0) - 1)|U_{\epsilon}(x,0)|^{2} dx
$$
\n
$$
= K_{3}(\epsilon) + O(\epsilon^{n-1}),
$$
\n(19)

where

<span id="page-10-4"></span>
$$
K_3(\epsilon) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |U_{\epsilon}(x,0)|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\epsilon^{n-1}}{(|x|^2 + \epsilon^2)^{n-1}} dx = \epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{(|x|^2 + 1)^{n-1}} dx.
$$
 (20)

As for the third integral in ([18\)](#page-10-0), by [\(8](#page-7-1)), we get

<span id="page-10-2"></span>
$$
\int_{\Sigma'} |\phi U_{\epsilon}|^{2} dx = \int_{\Sigma' \cap L'_{a}} |\phi U_{\epsilon}|^{2} dx + \int_{\Sigma' \backslash L'_{a}} |\phi U_{\epsilon}|^{2} dx
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{\Delta'_{a}} dx' \int_{0}^{\rho(x')} |U_{\epsilon}(x,0)|^{2} dx_{n} + O(\epsilon^{n-1})
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{\epsilon^{n-1}}{n-1} \Big(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \beta_{i}\Big) \int_{\Delta'_{a}} \frac{|x'|^{2}}{(|x'|^{2} + \epsilon^{2})^{n-1}} dx' + O\Big(\epsilon^{n-1} \int_{\Delta'_{a}} \frac{|x'|^{3}}{(|x'|^{2} + \epsilon^{2})^{n-1}} dx'\Big) \qquad (21)
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{\epsilon^{2}}{n-1} \Big(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \beta_{i}\Big) \int_{\Delta'_{a/\epsilon}} \frac{|x'|^{2}}{(|x'|^{2} + 1)^{n-1}} dx' + O\Big(\epsilon^{3} \int_{\Delta'_{a/\epsilon}} \frac{|x'|^{3}}{(|x'|^{2} + 1)^{n-1}} dx'\Big)
$$
\n
$$
= O(\epsilon^{2}) \quad \text{for } n \geq 5.
$$

Combining [\(19](#page-10-1)) with [\(21](#page-10-2)), Claim 3 holds.

From [\(9](#page-7-3)), [\(13](#page-9-4)), ([17\)](#page-10-3), and  $S_0 = K_1/K_2^{2/2^*}$ , we obtain that

$$
Q_{\lambda}(\phi U_{\epsilon}) = \frac{\iint_{\mathcal{C}} |\nabla(\phi U_{\epsilon})|^2 dx dy + \lambda \int_{\Omega \times \{0\}} |\phi U_{\epsilon}|^2 dx}{\left(\int_{\Omega \times \{0\}} |\phi U_{\epsilon}|^{2^*} dx\right)^{2/2^*}} = \frac{\frac{1}{2} K_1 - C_1(\epsilon) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda K_3(\epsilon) + O(\epsilon^2)}{\left(\frac{1}{2} K_2 - C_2(\epsilon) + O(\epsilon^n)\right)^{2/2^*}} = \frac{\frac{1}{2} K_1 - C_1(\epsilon) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda K_3(\epsilon) + O(\epsilon^2)}{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2/2^*} K_2^{2/2^*} \left(1 - \frac{2}{K_2} C_2(\epsilon) + O(\epsilon^n)\right)^{2/2^*}} = \frac{S_0}{2^{1/n}} + 2^{\frac{n-1}{n}} S_0 \left(\frac{n-1}{n} \frac{C_2(\epsilon)}{K_2} - \frac{C_1(\epsilon)}{K_1} + \frac{\lambda K_3(\epsilon)}{2K_1}\right) + O(\epsilon^2) = \frac{S_0}{2^{1/n}} + 2^{\frac{n-1}{n}} S_0 \frac{C_2(\epsilon)}{K_1} \left(\frac{(n-1)^2}{n} - \frac{C_1(\epsilon)}{C_2(\epsilon)} + \frac{\lambda K_3(\epsilon)}{2 C_2(\epsilon)}\right) + O(\epsilon^2).
$$

By  $(12)$  $(12)$ ,  $(16)$  $(16)$ , and  $(20)$  $(20)$ , we get that

$$
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{C_1(\epsilon)}{C_2(\epsilon)} = \frac{(n-1)^2}{n-2},
$$

and

$$
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{K_3(\epsilon)}{C_2(\epsilon)} = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{K'_3(\epsilon)}{C'_2(\epsilon)} = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{(|x|^2 + 1)^{n-1}} dx}{\frac{\omega_{n-1}}{n-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \beta_i\right) \int_0^\infty \frac{r^n}{(1+r^2)^n} dr} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \widetilde{C} = \widetilde{C}(n, \beta_i) > 0.
$$

Let

$$
A_{\lambda} := \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \left( \frac{(n-1)^2}{n} - \frac{C_1(\epsilon)}{C_2(\epsilon)} + \frac{\lambda}{2} \frac{K_3(\epsilon)}{C_2(\epsilon)} \right) = -\frac{2(n-1)^2}{n(n-2)} + \frac{\widetilde{C}}{2} \lambda.
$$

It is clear that there exists  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that  $A_\lambda < 0$  for  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$ . Thus, we obtain that

$$
S_{\lambda} \le Q_{\lambda}(\phi U_{\epsilon}) < \frac{S_0}{2^{1/n}},
$$

provided  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  is small enough. Now the proof is complete.  $\Box$ 

**Proof of Theorem [1](#page-3-2).1** (**i**). Taking  $u_0 = v_0(\cdot, 0)$ , where  $v_0$  is the positive solution of problem [\(7](#page-5-0)) given in Theorem [3.2](#page-5-1), then  $u_0$  is a positive solution of problem ([4\)](#page-3-0). It remains to prove that  $v_0$  is a minimal energy solution of problem ([4\)](#page-3-0). Indeed, by  $v_0 \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$ , we have

<span id="page-11-0"></span>
$$
J_{\lambda}(v_0) \ge \inf\{J_{\lambda}(\widetilde{w}) : \ \widetilde{w} \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}\}.
$$
\n<sup>(22)</sup>

Meanwhile, if  $\widetilde{w} \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$ , by the definition of  $S_{\lambda}$ , we obtain  $\|\widetilde{w}\|^2 \geq S_{\lambda} \|\widetilde{w}\|_{L^{2^*}(\Omega \times \{0\})}^2 = S_{\lambda} \|\widetilde{w}\|_{\mathcal{F}}^{\frac{4}{2^*}}$ . Thus,

$$
\frac{1}{2n}S_{\lambda}^{n} \le \frac{1}{2n} \|\tilde{w}\|^{2} = J_{\lambda}(\tilde{w}), \quad \tilde{w} \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}.
$$

Lemma [3.3](#page-5-2) implies that  $v_0 = S_{\lambda}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} w \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}$  and  $||w||^2 = S_{\lambda}$ . Hence,

<span id="page-12-1"></span>
$$
J_{\lambda}(v_0) = \frac{1}{2n} ||v_0||^2 = \frac{1}{2n} S_{\lambda}^{n-1} ||w||^2 = \frac{1}{2n} S_{\lambda}^n \le J_{\lambda}(\widetilde{w}), \quad \forall \widetilde{w} \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}.
$$
 (23)

By  $(22)$  $(22)$  and  $(23)$  $(23)$ , we get

$$
J_{\lambda}(v_0) = \inf \{ J_{\lambda}(\widetilde{w}) : \ \widetilde{w} \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda} \}.
$$

Therefore, *u*<sup>0</sup> is a minimal energy solution of ([4\)](#page-3-0). Now the proof is complete.

#### **4. Proof of Theorem [1](#page-3-2)***.***1** (**ii**)

In this section, we will prove that the solution obtained in Theorem [1.1](#page-3-2) (**i**) is constant for sufficiently small  $\lambda$ , if  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$   $(n \geq 5)$  is a bounded smooth convex domain. Let  $\epsilon$ ,  $\mu > 0$ , and define

$$
A_{\mu,\epsilon} = \Big\{(u,\lambda): \ u = v(\cdot,0), \ J_{\lambda}(v) < (1-\epsilon)\frac{S_0^n}{4n}, \text{ where } v \text{ satisfies (7) for some } \lambda \leq \mu \Big\}.
$$

<span id="page-12-0"></span>**Lemma 4.1** Assume  $\{(u_k, \lambda_k)\}\subset A_{\mu,\epsilon}$  with  $\lambda_k\to 0$   $(k\to\infty)$ , then  $\lim_{k\to\infty}|u_k|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}=0$ , provided that  $\Omega$  is a *bounded smooth convex domain.*

**Proof** Let  $v_k(x, y)$  be the solution of ([7\)](#page-5-0) corresponding to each  $u_k(x)$  with  $v_k(x, 0) = u_k(x)$  and  $\lambda = \lambda_k$ . That is,  $v_k(x, y)$  verifies

$$
\begin{cases} \Delta v_k = 0, \ v_k > 0 & \text{in } C, \\ \partial_\nu v_k = 0 & \text{on } \partial_L C, \\ -(v_k)_y + \lambda_k v_k = v_k^{2^*-1} & \text{on } \Omega \times \{0\}, \end{cases}
$$

where  $\lambda_k > 0$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\Omega$  is a bounded smooth convex domain. We break the proof into the following Steps.

Step 1. We claim that  $\{u_k\}$  is bounded in  $\mathcal{H}^{1/2}(\Omega)$  and up to a subsequence, we obtain that

<span id="page-12-2"></span>
$$
v_k \rightharpoonup \tilde{v} \qquad \text{in } \mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{C}),
$$
  
\n
$$
v_k(x,0) \to \tilde{v}(x,0) \qquad \text{in } L^q(\Omega), \ 1 \le q < 2^*,
$$
  
\n
$$
v_k(x,0) \to \tilde{v}(x,0) \qquad \text{a.e. in } \Omega.
$$
\n(24)

Indeed, we have that

$$
J_{\lambda_k}(v_k) = \frac{1}{2} \Big( \iint_C |\nabla v_k|^2 dx dy + \lambda_k \int_{\Omega \times \{0\}} |v_k|^2 dx \Big) - \frac{1}{2^*} \int_{\Omega \times \{0\}} |v_k|^{2^*} dx
$$
  

$$
= \Big( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*} \Big) \Big( \iint_C |\nabla v_k|^2 dx dy + \lambda_k \int_{\Omega \times \{0\}} |v_k|^2 dx \Big)
$$
  

$$
$(1 - \epsilon) \frac{S_0^n}{4n};$
$$

thus,

$$
\int_{\Omega \times \{0\}} |v_k|^{2^*} dx = \iint_{\mathcal{C}} |\nabla v_k|^2 dx dy + \lambda_k \int_{\Omega \times \{0\}} |v_k|^2 dx < (1 - \epsilon) \frac{S_0^n}{2}.
$$
\n(25)

By Hölder's inequality, there exists a  $C > 0$  such that

<span id="page-13-1"></span><span id="page-13-0"></span>
$$
\int_{\Omega \times \{0\}} |v_k|^2 dx \le C \Big( \int_{\Omega \times \{0\}} |v_k|^{2^*} dx \Big)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}.
$$
\n(26)

Combining ([25\)](#page-13-0), [\(26](#page-13-1)) with  $\lambda_k \to 0$  ( $k \to \infty$ ), we get the boundedness of  $\{v_k\}$  in  $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{C})$ . Thus,  $\{u_k\}$  is bounded in  $\mathcal{H}^{1/2}(\Omega)$  by Lemma [2.1](#page-4-0) and ([24](#page-12-2)) holds.

Step 2. We claim that  $\tilde{v} \equiv 0$  and  $||v_k|| \to 0$   $(k \to \infty)$ .

In fact,  $\tilde{v}$  satisfies

$$
\begin{cases} \Delta \tilde{v}=0, \ \tilde{v}\geq 0 \qquad \text{ in } \mathcal{C}, \\ \partial_\nu \tilde{v}=0 \qquad \qquad \text{ on } \partial_L \mathcal{C}, \\ -\tilde{v}_y=\tilde{v}^{2^*-1} \qquad \qquad \text{ on } \Omega \times \{0\}. \end{cases}
$$

By a similar discussion as in [[7,](#page-16-6) Proposition 5.1] and [[9,](#page-16-10) Theorem 4.7], we have  $\tilde{v} \in L^{\infty}(\mathcal{C})$ . Since  $\Omega$  is a bounded smooth convex domain, then  $\tilde{v} \equiv 0$  by Pohozaev-type identity [\[21](#page-17-19), Lemma 4.1]. Combining [\(24](#page-12-2)) with Hölder inequality, we get, for  $\lambda_0 > 0$ ,

$$
\overline{\lim}_{k \to \infty} J_{\lambda_0/2}(v_k) = \overline{\lim}_{k \to \infty} \Big( J_{\lambda_k}(v_k) + \frac{\lambda_0 - \lambda_k}{2} ||v_k(x,0)||_{L^2(\Omega)} \Big) \leq (1 - \epsilon) \frac{S_0^n}{4n},
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned} |\langle J'_{\lambda_0/2}(v_k), \varphi \rangle| &= \left| \langle J'_{\lambda_k}(v_k), \varphi \rangle + (\lambda_0 - \lambda_k) \int_{\Omega \times \{0\}} v_k(x, 0) \varphi dx \right| \\ &\leq C|\lambda_0 - \lambda_k| \cdot \|v_k(x, 0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\varphi\| \\ &\to 0 \quad \text{as } k \to \infty, \ \forall \ \varphi \in \mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{C}). \end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we get a Palais-Smale sequence  $\{v_k\}$  of  $J_{\lambda_0/2}$  on  $(-\infty, S_0^n/4n)$ . Taking ideas from [\[32](#page-17-11), Lemma 5.1], we know that  $J_{\lambda_0/2}$  verifies the local Palais-Smale condition on  $(-\infty, S_0^n/4n)$ . Up to a subsequence, we have *∥vk∥ →* 0 (*k → ∞*).

Step 3. We claim that

<span id="page-13-2"></span>
$$
\overline{\lim}_{k \to \infty} |u_k|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} < \infty. \tag{27}
$$

Suppose that ([27\)](#page-13-2) is false. Then we can assume that there exists a sequence  ${P_k} \subset \overline{\Omega}$  such that

$$
M_k := \sup_{\Omega} u_k = u_k(P_k) \to \infty, \ P_k \to P \in \overline{\Omega} \quad \text{as } k \to \infty.
$$

By Hopf's maximum lemma, the maximum of  $v_k(x, y)$  can lie only on  $\overline{\Omega} \times \{0\}$ ; thus, we get

$$
\sup_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}} v_k = v_k(P_k, 0) = M_k,
$$

where  $\overline{\mathcal{C}} := \overline{\Omega} \times [0, \infty)$ . Let  $t_k$  satisfy

<span id="page-14-0"></span>
$$
M_k \cdot t_k^{\frac{n-1}{2}} = 1.
$$

Up to a subsequence, one of the following holds:

$$
\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{d(P_k, \partial \Omega)}{t_k} = \infty,
$$
\n(28)

<span id="page-14-1"></span>
$$
\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{d(P_k, \partial \Omega)}{t_k} < \infty. \tag{29}
$$

Suppose that ([28\)](#page-14-0) holds. Since  $t_k \to 0$ , there exists a  $k_0 > 0$  such that  $B(P_k, t_k R) \subset \Omega$  for every  $R > 0$ and  $k \ge k_0$ . In this case, let  $B_k(R) = B_0(R) = B(0, R)$ .

Suppose that ([29\)](#page-14-1) holds. Let  $Q_k \in \partial \Omega$  satisfy  $d(P_k, Q_k) = d(P_k, \partial \Omega)$ . Then there exists a  $k_0$  such that, for  $k \geq k_0$ ,  $B(z_k, t_k R) \subset \Omega$ , where  $z_k = P_k + R t_k \nu_k$  and  $\nu_k$  is the outward unit normal at  $Q_k$ . Let  $B_k(R) = B(\nu_k R, R)$  and  $B_0(R) = B(\nu_0 R, R)$ , where  $\nu_0 = \lim_{k \to \infty} \nu_k$ .

For  $k > k_0$ , we define  $w_k$  as

<span id="page-14-2"></span>
$$
w_k(x, y) = t_k^{\frac{n-1}{2}} v_k(P_k + t_k x, t_k y),
$$

then  $w_k$  verifies

$$
\begin{cases} \Delta w_k = 0, \ 0 < w_k \le 1 \\ -(w_k)_y = w_k^{2^*-1} - \lambda_k t_k w_k & \text{on } B_k(R) \times \{0\}, \\ w_k(0) = 1. \end{cases} \tag{30}
$$

We now study problem ([30\)](#page-14-2) restricted on  $B_k^{n+1}(R) \cap \{y \ge 0\}$ , where  $B_k^{n+1}(R)$  is the open ball in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  with radius *R* centered at  $(v_kR, 0)$ , and extend  $w_k$  to the ball  $B_k^{n+1}(R)$  by even reflection:

$$
w_{k,ev}(x, y) = \begin{cases} w_k(x, y) & \text{for } y \ge 0, \\ w_k(x, -y) & \text{for } y \le 0. \end{cases}
$$

Then *wk,ev* satisfies

$$
\begin{cases} \Delta w_{k,ev} = 0, \ 0 < w_{k,ev} \le 1 \\ w_{k,ev}(0) = 1. \end{cases} \quad \text{in } B_k^{n+1}(R),
$$

Byelliptic regularity [[19\]](#page-17-21) and  $0 < w_{k,ev} \leq 1$  in  $B_k^{n+1}(R)$ , we get that  $w_{k,ev} \in C^{1,\alpha}(B_k^{n+1}(R))$ , for some  $\alpha \in (0,1)$ . Up to a subsequence, we have  $w_{k,ev} \to w_0$  in  $C^1(\overline{B_0^{n+1}(R)})$ , where  $B_0^{n+1}(R) := B((v_0 R, 0), R)$ . Thus,  $w_{k,ev}(x, y) = w_k(x, y) \to w_0$  in  $C^1(B_0^{n+1}(R) \cap \{y \ge 0\})$ , and  $w_0$  verifies

<span id="page-14-3"></span>
$$
\begin{cases}\n\Delta w_0 = 0, & 0 \le w_0 \quad \text{in } B_0^{n+1}(R) \cap \{y > 0\}, \\
-(w_0)_y = w_0^{2^*-1} & \text{on } B_0^{n+1}(R) \cap \{y = 0\}, \\
w_0(0) = 1.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(31)

On the other hand, from Step 2, we have

$$
\iint_{B_k^{n+1}(R)\cap\{y\geq 0\}} |\nabla w_{k,ev}|^2 dx dy = \iint_{B_k^{n+1}(R)\cap\{y\geq 0\}} |\nabla w_k|^2 dx dy
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \iint_C |\nabla v_k|^2 dx dy
$$
  
\n
$$
\to 0 \text{ as } k \to \infty;
$$

thus,  $\nabla w_0 \equiv 0$  in  $B_0^{n+1}(R) \cap \{y \ge 0\}$ . Since  $w_0(0) = 1$ , we get  $w_0 \equiv 1$  in  $B_0^{n+1}(R) \cap \{y \ge 0\}$ , which contradicts the second equation of  $(31)$  $(31)$ . Thus,  $(27)$  $(27)$  holds.

In conclusion, from Step 3 and [\[31](#page-17-16), Theorem 3.5 (3)], we have  $\lim_{k\to\infty} |u_k|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})} < \infty$ . So by Step 2 and Ascoli-Arzelà theorem, we get  $\lim_{k \to \infty} |u_k|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} = 0$ .

<span id="page-15-5"></span>**Lemma 4.2** *There exists a*  $\mu_0 > 0$  *such that*  $A_{\mu_0,\epsilon}$  *consists of constants only.* 

**Proof** Using the fractional Poincaré's inequality, there exists a constant  $C_1 > 0$  such that

$$
C_1 \|\psi - \psi_{\Omega}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \le [\psi]_{H^{1/2}(\Omega)}^2, \ \forall \psi \in H^{1/2}(\Omega),\tag{32}
$$

where  $\psi_{\Omega} := \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} \psi(x) dx$ . By [\[31](#page-17-16), Lemma 2.4 and Theorem 2.5], there exists a constant  $C_2 > 0$  such that

<span id="page-15-2"></span><span id="page-15-1"></span>
$$
C_2[\phi]_{H^{1/2}(\Omega)}^2 \le \iint_{\mathcal{C}} |\nabla v^{\phi}|^2 dx dy. \tag{33}
$$

If we denote  $M_{\mu} = \sup\{|u|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} : (u, \lambda) \in A_{\mu,\epsilon}\}\$ , then by Lemma [4.1](#page-12-0) and Heine theorem, we have  $\lim_{\mu\to 0} M_{\mu} = 0.$  Let  $f(t) = t^{2^*-1}$   $(t \ge 0)$ , so there exists a  $\mu_0 > 0$  such that  $f'(M_{\mu_0}) \le \frac{C_1 C_2}{2}$ . We choose  $(u, \lambda) \in A_{\mu_0, \epsilon}$  and write  $u = u_{\Omega} + \phi$ . Then  $\int_{\Omega} \phi(x) dx = 0$ , and  $\phi$  verifies

$$
\begin{cases}\n(-\Delta)^{1/2}\phi + \lambda\phi - \left(\int_0^1 f'(u_\Omega + \theta \phi)dt\right)\phi = f(u_\Omega) - \lambda u_\Omega & \text{in } \Omega, \\
\partial_\nu \phi = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega.\n\end{cases}
$$

Let  $v^{\phi}$  be the Neumann extension of  $\phi$ , which satisfies the extension problem

$$
\begin{cases}\n\Delta v^{\phi} = 0 & \text{in } C, \\
\partial_{\nu}v^{\phi} = 0 & \text{on } \partial_{L}C, \\
-(v^{\phi})_{y} = \left(\int_{0}^{1} f'(u_{\Omega} + \theta \phi)dt\right)\phi - \lambda \phi + f(u_{\Omega}) - \lambda u_{\Omega} & \text{on } \Omega \times \{0\}.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(34)

Taking  $v^{\phi}$  as a test function in  $(34)$  $(34)$ , thus,

$$
\iint_{\mathcal{C}} |\nabla v^{\phi}|^2 dx dy + \lambda \int_{\Omega} \phi^2 dx = \int_{\Omega} \Big( \int_0^1 f'(u_{\Omega} + \theta \phi) dt \Big) \phi^2 dx. \tag{35}
$$

Since  $0 \leq u_{\Omega} + \theta \phi \leq M_{\mu_0}$ , we get

<span id="page-15-4"></span><span id="page-15-3"></span><span id="page-15-0"></span>
$$
\left| \int_0^1 f'(u_\Omega + \theta \phi) dt \right| \le f'(M_{\mu_0}) \le \frac{C_1 C_2}{2}.
$$
\n(36)

Combining  $(32)$  $(32)$ ,  $(33)$  $(33)$ ,  $(35)$  $(35)$  with  $(36)$  $(36)$ , we have

$$
(C_1C_2+\lambda)\int_{\Omega}\phi^2dx\leq \iint_{C}|\nabla v^{\phi}|^2dxdy+\lambda\int_{\Omega}\phi^2dx\leq \frac{C_1C_2}{2}\int_{\Omega}\phi^2dx,
$$

which implies that  $\phi \equiv 0$ . Hence, *u* is a constant.  $\Box$ 

**Proof of Theorem [1](#page-3-2).1** (ii). For  $\lambda > 0$ , the constant solution  $w_{\lambda}$  of [\(7](#page-5-0)) satisfies

$$
w_{\lambda} = \lambda^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \to 0 \quad \text{as } \lambda \to 0.
$$

Thus, there exists a  $\mu_1 > 0$  such that

$$
J_{\lambda}(w_{\lambda}) = \frac{1}{2n} \lambda^{n} |\Omega| \le \frac{S_0^n}{8n} \quad \text{for } \lambda \le \mu_1.
$$

Let  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\tilde{\lambda} := \min\{\mu_0, \mu_1\}$ , where  $\mu_0$  is given in Lemma [4.2](#page-15-5). Let  $\lambda < \tilde{\lambda}$  and  $u_\lambda$  is a positive minimal energy solution of [\(4](#page-3-0)), then  $J_{\lambda}(v_{\lambda}) \leq J_{\lambda}(w_{\lambda})$  and  $(u_{\lambda}, \lambda) \in A_{\mu_0, \epsilon}$ . By Lemma [4.2,](#page-15-5) we get that  $u_{\lambda}$  is constant.

#### **Acknowledgement**

This work was partly supported by the NSFC (grant no. 11671181).

#### **References**

- <span id="page-16-0"></span>[1] Adimurthi, Mancini G. The Neumann problem for elliptic equations with critical nonlinearity. Nonlinear Analysis 1991; 21: 9-25.
- <span id="page-16-7"></span>[2] Adimurthi, Mancini G, Sandeep K. A sharp solvability condition in higher dimensions for some Brezis-Nirenberg type equation. Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations 2002; 14: 275-317.
- <span id="page-16-9"></span>[3] Adimurthi, Yadava SL. Critical Sobolev exponent problem in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n \geq 4$ ) with Neumann boundary condition. Indian Academy of Sciences. Proceedings. Mathematical Sciences 1990; 100: 275-284.
- <span id="page-16-2"></span>[4] Adimurthi, Yadava SL. Existence and nonexistence of positive radial solutions of Neumann problems with critical Sobolev exponent. Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis 1991; 115: 275-296.
- <span id="page-16-1"></span>[5] Adimurthi, Yadava SL. On a conjecture of Lin-Ni for a semilinear Neumann problem. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 1993; 336: 631-637.
- <span id="page-16-3"></span>[6] Adimurthi, Yadava SL. Nonexistence of positive radial solutions of a quasilinear Neumann problem with a critical Sobolev exponent. Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis 1997; 139: 239-253.
- <span id="page-16-6"></span>[7] Barrios B, Colorado E, de Pablo A, Sánchez U. On some critical problems for the fractional Laplacian operator. Journal of Differential Equations 2012; 252: 6133-6162.
- <span id="page-16-8"></span>[8] Ben Ayed M, El Mehdi K, Pacella F. Blow-up and nonexistence of sign changing solutions to the Brezis-Nirenberg problem in dimension three. Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré Analyse Non Linéaire 2006; 23: 567-589.
- <span id="page-16-10"></span>[9] Brändle C, Colorado E, de Pablo A, Sánchez U. A concave-convex elliptic problem involving the fractional Laplacian. Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh. Section A. Mathematics 2013; 143: 39-71.
- <span id="page-16-5"></span>[10] Brezis H, Nirenberg L. Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents. Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics 1983; 36: 437-477.
- <span id="page-16-4"></span>[11] Budd C, Knapp M, Peletier L. Asymptotic behavior of solutions of elliptic equations with critical exponent and Neumann boundary conditions. Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh. Section A. Mathematics 1991; 117: 225-250.

- <span id="page-17-18"></span>[12] Caffarelli L, Silvestre L. An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian. Communications in Partial Differential Equations 2007; 32: 1245-1260.
- <span id="page-17-12"></span>[13] Capozzi A, Fortunato D, Palmieri G. An existence result for nonlinear elliptic problems involving critical Sobolev exponent. Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré Analyse Non Linéaire 1985; 2: 463-470.
- <span id="page-17-3"></span>[14] Chabrowski, Yang JF. On the Neumann problem for an elliptic system of equations involving the critical Sobolev exponent. Colloquium Mathematicum 2001; 90: 19-35.
- <span id="page-17-7"></span>[15] del Pino M, Musso M, Román C, Wei JC. Interior bubbling solutions for the critical Lin-Ni-Takagi problem in dimension 3. Journal d'Analyse Mathématique 2019; 137: 813-843.
- <span id="page-17-8"></span>[16] Druet O, Robert F, Wei JC. The Lin-Ni's problem for mean convex domains. Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society 2012; 218(1027).
- <span id="page-17-20"></span>[17] Escobar J. Sharp constant in a Sobolev trace inequality. Indiana University Mathematics Journal 1988; 37: 687-698.
- <span id="page-17-13"></span>[18] García Azorero J, Peral Alonso I. Multiplicity of solutions for elliptic problems with critical exponent or with a nonsymmetric term. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 1991; 323: 877-895.
- <span id="page-17-21"></span>[19] Gilbarg D, Trudinger NS. Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order. In: Classics in Mathematics (Springer-Verlag, Berlin), 2001.
- <span id="page-17-4"></span>[20] Grossi M. A class of solutions for the Neumann problem *−*∆*u* + *λu* = *u* (*N*+2)*/*(*N−*2) . Duke Mathematical Journal 1995; 79: 309-334.
- <span id="page-17-19"></span>[21] Jin ZF, Sun HR. On the Neumann problem for fractional semilinear elliptic equations arising from Keller-Segel model. Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences 2022; 45: 7780–7793.
- <span id="page-17-0"></span>[22] Keller EF, Segel LA. Initiation of slime mold aggregation viewed as an instability. Journal of Theoretical Biology 1970; 26: 399-415.
- <span id="page-17-5"></span>[23] Lin CS, Ni WM. On the diffusion coefficient of a semilinear Neumann problem. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1986; 1340: 160-174.
- <span id="page-17-1"></span>[24] Lin CS, Ni WM, Takagi I. Large amplitude stationary solutions to a chemotaxis system. Journal of Differential Equations 1988; 72: l-27.
- <span id="page-17-10"></span>[25] Lions PL. On the existence of positive solutions of semilinear elliptic equations. SIAM Review 1982; 24 (4): 441-467.
- <span id="page-17-14"></span>[26] Mosconi S, Perera K, Squassina M, Yang Y. The Brezis-Nirenberg problem for the fractional p-Laplacian. Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations 2016; 55: 105.
- <span id="page-17-17"></span>[27] Ni HG, Xia AL, Zheng XJ, Existence of positive solutions for nonlinear fractional Neumann elliptic equations. Differential Equations & Applications 2018; 10: 115-129.
- <span id="page-17-6"></span>[28] Rey O. Boundary effect for an elliptic Neumann problem with critical nonlinearity. Communications in Partial Differential Equations 1997; 22: 1055-1139.
- <span id="page-17-9"></span>[29] Rey O, Wei JC. Arbitrary numbers of positive solutions for an elliptic problem with critical nonlinearity. Journal of the European Mathematical Society 2005; 7: 449-476.
- <span id="page-17-15"></span>[30] Servadei R, Valdinoci E. The Brezis-Nirenberg result for the fractional Laplacian. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 2015; 367: 67-102.
- <span id="page-17-16"></span>[31] Stinga PR, Volzone B. Fractional semilinear Neumann problems arising from a fractional Keller-Segel model. Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations 2015; 54: 1009-1042.
- <span id="page-17-11"></span>[32] Tan JG. The Brezis-Nirenberg type problem involving the square root of the Laplacian. Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations 2011; 42: 21-41.
- <span id="page-17-2"></span>[33] Wang XJ. Neumann problems of semilinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents. Journal of Differential Equations 1991; 93: 283-310.
- <span id="page-18-2"></span>[34] Wang LP, Wei JC, Yan SS. A Neumann problem with critical exponent in nonconvex domains and Lin-Ni's conjecture. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 2010; 362: 4581-4615.
- <span id="page-18-3"></span>[35] Wang LP, Wei JC, Yan SS. On Lin-Ni's conjecture in convex domains. Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society 2011; 102: 1099-1126.
- <span id="page-18-4"></span>[36] Wei JC, Xu B, Yang W. On Lin-Ni's conjecture in dimensions four and six (in Chinese). Scientia Sinica Mathematica 2019; 49: 281-306.
- <span id="page-18-1"></span>[37] Wei JC, Xu XW. Uniqueness and a priori estimates for some nonlinear elliptic Neumann equations in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Pacific Journal of Applied Mathematics 2005; 221: 159-165.
- <span id="page-18-5"></span>[38] Yan SS, Yang JF, Yu XH. Equations involving fractional Laplacian operator: compactness and application. Journal of Functional Analysis 2015; 269: 47-79.
- <span id="page-18-0"></span>[39] Zhu MJ. Uniqueness results through a priori estimates, I. A three-dimensional Neumann problem. Journal of Differential Equations 1999; 154: 284-317.