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Abstract: The main goal of this work is to study an initial boundary value problem for a Kirchhoff-type equation with nonlinear boundary delay and source terms. This paper is devoted to prove the global existence, decay, and the blow up of solutions. To the best of our knowledge, there are not results on the Kirchhoff type-equation with nonlinear boundary delay and source terms.
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1. Introduction

In this paper, we study the following initial boundary value problem for a Kirchhoff-type equation with nonlinear boundary delay and source terms

\[
\begin{aligned}
&u_{tt} - M \left( \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \right) \Delta u + u_t = 0, & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\
&u(x, t) = 0, & x \in \Gamma_0, t > 0, \\
&M \left( \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + \mu_1 |u_t|^{m-2} u_t + \mu_2 |u_t(t - \tau)|^{m-2} u_t(t - \tau) = |u|^{p-2} u, & x \in \Gamma_1, t > 0, \\
&u(x, 0) = u_0(x), u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), & x \in \Omega, \\
&u(x, t - \tau) = f_0(x, t - \tau), & x \in \Gamma_1, t > 0,
\end{aligned}
\]

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n (n \geq 1)$, $\partial \Omega = \Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_1$, mes($\Gamma_0$) $> 0$, $\Gamma_0 \cap \Gamma_1 = \emptyset$, $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}$ denotes the unit outer normal derivative, $M(s)$ is a positive $C^1$-function satisfying $M(s) = a + bs^\gamma$, $\gamma > 0$, $a > 0$, $b \geq 0$, $s \geq 0$, $p, m > 2$, $\mu_1$ are positive constants, $\mu_2$ is a real number, $\tau > 0$ represents the time delay, and $u_0, u_1, f_0$ are given functions belonging to suitable spaces.

The Kirchhoff-type equation was introduced by Kirchhoff [14] in order to study nonlinear vibrations of an elastic string. Kirchhoff was the first one to study the oscillations of stretched strings and plates. The existence, decay, and blow-up of solutions in this case have been discussed by many authors. For example, the following Kirchhoff-type equation

\[
u_{tt} - M \left( \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \right) \Delta u + g(u_t) = f(u).
\]
Eq. (1.2) with $M \equiv 1$ is reduced to a nonlinear wave equation, which has been extensively studied, see for instance \cite{8,10,15,16} and the references therein.

When $M \neq 1$, Matsuyama and Ikehata \cite{17} studied (1.2) for $g(u_t) = \delta|u_t|^p u_t$ and $f(u) = \xi|u|^p u$. They proved existence of the global solutions by using Faedo-Galerkin’s method and the decay of energy based on the method of Nakao \cite{19}. Ono \cite{23} studied (1.2) with $M(s) = bs$, $g(u_t) = -\Delta u$, and $f(u) = \xi|u|^p u$. They showed that the solutions blow up in finite time with negative initial energy. Later, Wu and Tsai \cite{27} studied (1.2) with different damping terms ($u_t, \Delta u_t$, and $|u_t|^{m-2}u_t$), they obtained unique local solution and finite time blow-up of solutions, we also refer to other studies \cite{3,24,30} and the references therein.

In recent years, there are so many results concerning the wave equation with nonlinear source and boundary damping terms. Vitillaro \cite{26} considered the initial boundary value problem for the following:

\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
& u_{tt} - \Delta u = 0, & & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\
& u(x,t) = 0, & & \text{on } \Gamma_0 \times (0, \infty), \\
& u_t = -|u_t|^{m-2}u_t + |u|^{p-2}u, & & \text{on } \Gamma_1 \times (0, \infty), \\
& u(x,0) = u_0(x), & & u_t(x,0) = u_1(x), \quad x \in \Omega.
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}

He proved local existence of the solutions, global existence when $p \leq m$ or the initial data was chosen suitably. Zhang and Hu \cite{31} proved the asymptotic behavior of the solution for problem (1.3) when the initial data are inside a stable set, and the nonexistence of the solution when $p > m$ and the initial data is inside an unstable set. For the wave equation with nonlinear source and boundary damping terms, we also refer to other studies \cite{1,6,7} and the references therein.

The time delay occurs in many physical, chemical, biological, thermal, and economical phenomena because this phenomena depend not only on the present state but on the past history of system in a more complicated way. Nicaise and Pignotti \cite{20} studied the following wave equation with a linear boundary term:

\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
& u_{tt} - \Delta u + \mu_1 u_t + \mu_2 u_t(t - \tau) = 0, & & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\
& u(x,t) = 0, & & \text{on } \Gamma_0 \times (0, \infty), \\
& \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = -\mu_1 u_t(x,t) - \mu_2 u_t(x,t - \tau), & & \text{on } \Gamma_1 \times (0, \infty), \\
& u(x,0) = u_0(x), & & u_t(x,0) = u_1(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \\
& u(x,t - \tau) = f_0(x,t - \tau), & & \text{in } \Gamma_1 \times (0, \infty),
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}

and proved that the energy is exponentially stable, under the condition $\mu_2 < \mu_1$. Then, they extended the result to the time-dependent delay case in the work of Nicaise and Pignotti \cite{21,22}. Kafini and Messaoudi \cite{12} studied the following nonlinear damping wave equation with delay

\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
u_t - \text{div} \left( |\nabla u|^{m-2} \nabla u \right) + \mu_1 u_t + \mu_1 u_t(t - \tau) = b|u|^{p-2}u.
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}

The authors established the blow-up result in a nonlinear wave equation with delay and negative initial energy and $p \geq m$. For the related equations with time delay, we also refer to other studies \cite{4,5,11,13,25,28,29} and the references therein.

Motivated by previous studies, the main contributions of this paper are as follows: There are not results on the Kirchhoff type-equation with nonlinear boundary delay term. In this paper, we will address the global existence, general decay, and blow-up result for the problem (1.1).
The outline of this paper is as follows: In Section 2, we give some preliminary results. In Section 3, we obtain global existence of the solution of (1.1). Sections 4 and 5 are dedicated to the general decay and blow-up of solutions, respectively.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we give some notation for function spaces and some preliminary lemmas. We denote by $\|u\|_p$ and $\|u\|_{p,\Gamma_1}$ the usual $L^p(\Omega)$ norm and $L^p(\Gamma_1)$ norm, respectively. For Sobolev space $H^1_0(\Omega)$ norm, we use the notation

$$\|u\|_{H^1_0} = \|\nabla u\|_2.$$ 

To state and prove our results, we need the following assumptions:

(A1) $p \geq 2\gamma + 2$, if $n = 1, 2$, $2\gamma + 2 \leq p \leq \frac{n + 2}{n-2}$, if $n \geq 3$.

(A2) $|\mu_2| < \mu_1$.

Let

$$H^1_{\Gamma_0}(\Omega) = \{u \in H^1(\Omega)|u|_{\Gamma_0} = 0\}.$$ 

According to (A1), we recall the trace Sobolev embedding inequality $H^1_{\Gamma_0}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^p(\Omega)$. Let $c_p$ and $c_*$ be the Poincaré’s type constants defined as the smallest positive constants such that

$$\|u\|_p \leq c_p\|\nabla u\|_2, \forall u \in H^1(\Omega),$$

and

$$\|u\|_{q,\Gamma_1} \leq c_*\|\nabla u\|_2, \forall u \in H^1_{\Gamma_0}(\Omega).$$

To deal with the time delay term, motivated by Nicaise and Pignotti [20], we introduce a new variable

$$z(x, \rho, t) = u_t(x, t - \tau \rho), \ x \in \Gamma_1, \ \rho \in (0, 1), \ t > 0,$$

which gives us

$$\tau z_t(x, \rho, t) + z_{\rho}(x, \rho, t) = 0, \ in \ \Gamma_1 \times (0, 1) \times (0, \infty).$$

Then, problem (1.1) is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases}
\begin{aligned}
u_{tt} - M \left(\|\nabla u\|_2^2\right) \Delta u + u_t &= 0, \\
u(x, t) &= 0, \\
u_t(x, t) &= 0, \\
u_t(x, t) &= u_t(x, t - \tau \rho), \\
u_{tt}(x, t) &= f_0(-\tau \rho), \\
u(x, 0) &= u_0(x), \\
u_t(x, 0) &= u_1(x),
\end{aligned}
\end{cases}$$

Let $\xi$ be a positive constant satisfying

$$\tau(m - 1)|\mu_2| \leq \xi \leq \tau(m\mu_1 - |\mu_2|).$$

We first state a local existence theorem that can be established by Faedo-Galerkin Method, see for instance [2, 9].
Theorem 2.1 (Local existence). Assume that \((A_1)-(A_2)\) hold. Then, for any \((u_0,u_1,f_0) \in H^1_{\Gamma_0}(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega) \cap L^m(\Gamma_1) \times L^2(\Gamma_1 \times (0,1))\) be given. Then, there exists a unique local solution \(u\) of problem (1.1) such that

\[ u \in L^\infty(0,T;H^1_{\Gamma_0}(\Omega)), \quad u_t \in L^\infty([0,T];L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^m([0,T] \times \Gamma_1), \]

for some \(T > 0\).

Now, we define the energy associated with problem (1.1) by

\[ E(t) = \frac{1}{2}\|u_t\|^2 + \frac{a}{2}\|
abla u\|^2 + \frac{b}{2\gamma + 2}\|
abla u\|^{2\gamma + 2} + \frac{\xi}{m} \int_0^1 \|z(\rho,t)\|_{\Gamma_1}^m d\rho - \frac{1}{p}\|u\|_{p,\Gamma_1}^p. \quad (2.7) \]

Lemma 2.2 Let \(u\) be a solution of problem (1.1). Then,

\[ E'(t) \leq -\|u_t\|^2 - m_0 \left(\|u_t\|_{m,\Gamma_1}^m + \|z(1,t)\|_{m,\Gamma_1}^m\right) \leq 0. \quad (2.8) \]

Proof Multiplying the first equation in (2.5) by \(u_t\) and integrating over \(\Omega\), we obtain

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{1}{2}\|u_t\|^2 + \frac{a}{2}\|
abla u\|^2 + \frac{b}{2\gamma + 2}\|
abla u\|^{2\gamma + 2} - \frac{1}{p}\|u\|_{p,\Gamma_1}^p \right) = -\|u_t\|^2 - \mu_1\|u_t\|_{m,\Gamma_1}^m - \mu_2 \int_{\Gamma_1} |z(1,t)|^{m-2} \tilde{z}(1,t) u_t dx. \quad (2.9) \]

Multiplying the second equation in (2.5) by \(\xi z^{m-1}\) and integrating over \(\Gamma_1 \times (0,1)\), we obtain

\[ \frac{\xi}{m} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^1 |z(\rho,t)|^m d\rho dx = -\frac{\xi}{m\tau} \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} |z(\rho,t)|^m d\rho dx = \frac{\xi}{m\tau} \left(\|u_t\|_{m,\Gamma_1}^m - \|z(1,t)\|_{m,\Gamma_1}^m\right). \quad (2.10) \]

Using Young’s inequality, we have

\[ -\mu_2 \int_{\Gamma_1} |z(1,t)|^{m-2} \tilde{z}(1,t) u_t dx \leq \frac{(m-1)|\mu_2|}{m} \|z(1,t)\|_{m,\Gamma_1}^m + \frac{|\mu_2|}{m} \|u_t\|_{m,\Gamma_1}^m. \quad (2.11) \]

Combining (2.9),(2.10), and (2.11), we obtain

\[ E'(t) \leq -\|u_t\|^2 - m_0 \left(\|u_t\|_{m,\Gamma_1}^m + \|z(1,t)\|_{m,\Gamma_1}^m\right), \quad (2.12) \]

where \(m_0 = \min \left\{ \mu_1 - \frac{\xi}{m\tau} - \frac{|\mu_2|}{m}, \frac{\xi}{m\tau} - \frac{(m-1)|\mu_2|}{m} \right\}\), which is positive by (2.6) \(\square\)

Similar as in [18], we can prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3 There exists a positive constant \(C_* > 1\) depending on \(\Gamma_1\) only such that

\[ \|u\|_{p,\Gamma_1}^p \leq C_* \left(\|
abla u\|^2 + \|u\|_{p,\Gamma_1}^p\right), \]

for any \(u \in H^1_{\Gamma_1}(\Omega), \quad 2 \leq s \leq p\).
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3. Global existence

In this section, we will prove that the solutions established in Theorem 2.1 are global in time. For this purpose, we define the functionals

\[ I(t) = I(u(t)) = a\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + b\|\nabla u\|_2^{2\gamma+2} - \|u\|_{p, \Gamma}^p, \]  

(3.1)

and

\[ J(t) = J(u(t)) = \frac{a}{2}\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{b}{2\gamma+2}\|\nabla u\|_2^{2\gamma+2} + \frac{\zeta}{m} \int_0^1 \|z(\rho, t)\|_{m, \Gamma}^m d\rho - \frac{1}{p}\|u\|_{p, \Gamma}^p. \]  

(3.2)

Then, it is obvious that

\[ E(t) = \frac{1}{2}\|u_0\|_2^2 + J(t). \]  

(3.3)

In order to show our result, we first establish the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1** Assume that (A₁)–(A₂) hold, and for any \((u_0, u_1, f_0) \in H^{1, a}_0(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega) \cap L^m(\Gamma_1) \times L^2(\Gamma_1 \times (0, 1))\), such that

\[ I(0) > 0 \text{ and } \alpha = \frac{c_p}{a} \left[ \frac{2p}{a(p-2)} E(0) \right]^{\frac{p-2}{2}} < 1, \]  

(3.4)

then,

\[ I(t) > 0, \forall t > 0. \]  

(3.5)

**Proof** Since \(I(0) > 0\), then by continuity of \(u\), there exist a time \(T_* < T\) such that

\[ I(t) \geq 0, \forall t \in [0, T_*]. \]  

(3.6)

Using (3.1), (3.2), (3.3), and (2.8), we see that

\[ J(t) \geq \frac{a(p-2)}{2p}\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{b(p-2\gamma-2)}{p(2\gamma+2)}\|\nabla u\|_2^{2\gamma+2} + \frac{\zeta}{m} \int_0^1 \|z(\rho, t)\|_{m, \Gamma}^m d\rho \]  

(3.7)

and

\[ \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \leq \frac{2p}{a(p-2)} J(t) \leq \frac{2p}{a(p-2)} E(t) \leq \frac{2p}{a(p-2)} E(0). \]  

(3.8)

Exploiting (2.2), (3.4), and (3.7), we get

\[ \|u\|_{p, \Gamma}^p \leq c_p\|\nabla u\|_2^2 \leq \frac{c_p}{a} \left[ \frac{2p}{a(p-2)} E(0) \right]^{\frac{p-2}{2}} a\|\nabla u\|_2^2 = \alpha a\|\nabla u\|_2^2 < a\|\nabla u\|_2^2, \forall t \in [0, T_*]. \]  

(3.9)

Therefore, we have

\[ I(t) > 0, \forall t \in [0, T_*]. \]  

(3.10)

By repeating the procedure, \(T_*\) is extended to \(T\). The proof is completed.

**Theorem 3.2** Assume that the conditions of Lemma 3.1 hold, then the solution of problem (1.1) is global and bounded.
Proof It suffices to show that
\[ \|u_t\|^2 + \|\nabla u\|^2, \]
is bounded independently of \( t \). By using (2.8), (3.3), and (3.7), we have
\[ E(0) \geq E(t) = \frac{1}{2}\|u_t\|^2 + J(t) \geq \frac{1}{2}\|u_t\|^2 + \frac{a(p - 2)}{2p}\|\nabla u\|^2, \quad (3.11) \]
which means,
\[ \|u_t\|^2 + \|\nabla u\|^2 \leq CE(0), \quad (3.12) \]
where \( C \) is a positive constant.

4. General decay

In this section, we state and prove the decay result of solution to problem (1.1). For this goal, we set
\[ F(t) := E(t) + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} uu_t \, dx + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|u\|^2, \quad (4.1) \]
where \( \varepsilon \) is a positive constant to be specified later.

Lemma 4.1 Let \( u \) be a solution of problem (1.1). Then, there exist two positive constants \( \alpha_1 \) and \( \alpha_2 \) depending on \( \varepsilon \) such that
\[ \alpha_1 E(t) \leq F(t) \leq \alpha_2 E(t). \quad (4.2) \]

Theorem 4.2 Let \((u_0, u_1, f_0) \in H^1_0(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega) \cap L^m(\Gamma_1) \times L^2(\Gamma_1 \times (0, 1))\). Assume that \((A_1) - (A_2)\) hold. Then, there exist two positive constant \( K \) and \( k \) such that
\[ E(t) \leq Ke^{-kt}, \quad t \geq 0. \]

Proof Taking a derivative of (4.1) with respect to \( t \), using (2.5) and (2.8), we obtain
\[ F'(t) = E'(t) + \varepsilon \|u_t\|^2 + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} uu_t \, dx + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} uu_t \, dx \]
\[ \leq -m_0\|u_t\|_{m, \Gamma_1}^m - m_0\|z(1, t)\|_{m, \Gamma_1}^m - (1 - \varepsilon)\|u_t\|^2 - a\varepsilon \|\nabla u\|^2 - b\varepsilon \|\nabla u\|^{2\gamma + 2} \quad (4.3) \]
\[ + \varepsilon \|u\|_{p, \Gamma_1}^p - \varepsilon \mu_1 \int_{\Gamma_1} |u_t|^{m-2} u_t u \, d\Gamma - \varepsilon \mu_2 \int_{\Gamma_1} |z(1, t)|^{m-2} z(1, t) u \, d\Gamma. \]
By using Young’s inequality for \( \eta > 0 \), we get
\[ \mu_1 \int_{\Gamma_1} |u_t|^{m-2} u_t u \, d\Gamma \leq \mu_1^m \eta \|u_t\|_{m, \Gamma_1}^m + c(\eta)\|u_t\|_{m, \Gamma_1}^m \leq \mu_1^m \eta \|\nabla u\|_2^m + c(\eta)\|u_t\|_{m, \Gamma_1}^m, \quad (4.4) \]
and
\[ \mu_2 \int_{\Omega} |z(1, t)|^{m-2} z(1, t) u \, dx \leq \eta \|\nabla u\|_2^m + c(\eta)\|z(1, t)\|_{m, \Gamma_1}^m, \quad (4.5) \]
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where \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) are positive constants which depend only on \( m \) and \( E(0) \). Combining (4.4)-(4.5) with (4.3), we obtain

\[
F'(t) \leq -(m_0 - \varepsilon c(\eta))\|u_t\|^{m_{m,\Gamma_1}} - (m_0 - \varepsilon c(\eta))\|z(1, t)\|^{m_{m,\Gamma_1}} - (1 - \varepsilon)\|u_t\|^2 \\
- \varepsilon(a - \eta(c_1 + c_2))\|\nabla u\|^2 - \varepsilon b\|\nabla u\|^{2+2} + \varepsilon\|u\|_{2,\Gamma_1}^p.
\]

(4.6)

First, we choose \( \eta \) so small satisfying

\[ a - \eta(c_1 + c_2) > 0. \]

For any fixed \( \eta \), we choose \( \varepsilon \) so small that (4.2) remains valid and

\[ m_0 - \varepsilon c(\eta) > 0, \quad 1 - \varepsilon > 0. \]

Consequently, inequality (4.6) becomes

\[ F'(t) \leq -c_3 E(t), \quad \forall t > 0. \]

(4.7)

Using (4.2), we obtain

\[ F'(t) \leq -c_3 E(t) \leq \frac{c_3}{\alpha_2} F(t), \quad \forall t > 0. \]

(4.8)

A simple integration of (4.8), leads to

\[ F(t) \leq c_4 e^{-kt}, \quad \forall t > 0. \]

(4.9)

Again (4.2), gives

\[ E(t) \leq K e^{-kt}, \quad \forall t > 0. \]

(4.10)

5. Blow-up

In this section, we state and prove the finite time blow-up of solutions to problem (1.1) with \( E(0) < 0 \).

**Theorem 5.1** Let \((A_1)-(A_2)\) and \( E(0) < 0 \) holds. Then, the solution of problem (1.1) blows up in finite time \( T^* \) and

\[ T^* \leq \frac{1 - \sigma}{\omega \sigma \Psi^{-\sigma}(0)}. \]

**Proof** Set

\[ H(t) = -E(t), \]

(5.1)

then (2.8) gives

\[ H'(t) = -E'(t) \geq m_0 \left( \|u_t\|^{m_{m,\Gamma_1}} + \|z(1, t)\|^{m_{m,\Gamma_1}} \right) \geq 0, \]

(5.2)

and \( H(t) \) is an increasing function. From (2.7) and (5.1), we see that

\[ 0 < H(0) \leq H(t) \leq \frac{1}{p} \|u\|^{p}_{p,\Gamma_1}. \]

(5.3)

Next, we define

\[ \Psi(t) = H(t)^{1-\sigma} + \varepsilon \int_\Omega u_t u dx + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|u\|_2^2, \]

(5.4)
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where $\varepsilon$ is a positive constant to be specified later and

$$0 < \sigma \leq \frac{p - m}{p(m - 1)}.$$  

(5.5)

Taking a derivative of $\Psi(t)$ and using (2.5), we have

$$\Psi'(t) = (1 - \sigma)H'(t)H(t)^{-\sigma} + \varepsilon|u_t|^2 + \varepsilon \int_\Omega uu_t dx + \varepsilon \int_\Omega u_t dx$$

$$= (1 - \sigma)H'(t)H(t)^{-\sigma} + \varepsilon|u_t|^2 - \varepsilon a|\nabla u|^2 - \varepsilon b|\nabla u|^{2\gamma + 2} + \varepsilon p|u|^p,_{p,\Gamma_1}$$

$$- \varepsilon \mu_1 \int_{\Gamma_1} |u_t|m - 2u_tud\Gamma - \varepsilon \mu_2 \int_{\Gamma_1} |z(t, t)|^m - 2z(t, t)ud\Gamma.$$  

(5.6)

Applying Young’s inequality for $\eta > 0$, we have

$$\mu_1 \int_{\Gamma_1} |u_t|m - 2u_tud\Gamma \leq \frac{\mu_1^m \eta^m}{m}||u||^m_{m, \Gamma_1} + \frac{m - 1}{m} \frac{\eta^{-\frac{m-1}{m}}}||u||^m_{m, \Gamma_1}$$

$$\leq \frac{\mu_1^m \eta^m}{m}||u||^m_{m, \Gamma_1} + \frac{m - 1}{mm_0} \eta^{-\frac{m-1}{m}}H'(t).$$  

(5.7)

Similarly,

$$\mu_2 \int_{\Omega} |z(t, t)|^m - 2z(t, t)ud\Gamma \leq \frac{\mu_2^m \eta^m}{m}||u||^m_{m, \Gamma_1} + \frac{m - 1}{mm_0} \eta^{-\frac{m-1}{m}}H'(t).$$  

(5.8)

A substitution of (5.7)-(5.8) into (5.6), we have

$$\Psi'(t) \geq \left\{ (1 - \sigma)H(t)^{-\sigma} - \varepsilon \frac{m - 1}{mm_0} \eta^{-\frac{m-1}{m}} \right\} H'(t) + \varepsilon|u_t|^2 - \varepsilon a|\nabla u|^2 - \varepsilon b|\nabla u|^{2\gamma + 2}$$

$$+ \varepsilon p|u|^p,_{p,\Gamma_1} - \frac{(\mu_1^m + \mu_2^m)\eta^m}{m}||u||^m_{m, \Gamma_1}. $$

(5.9)

Using (2.7) and (5.1), for a constant $\mu > 0$, we see that

$$\Psi'(t) \geq \left\{ (1 - \sigma)H(t)^{-\sigma} - \varepsilon \frac{m - 1}{mm_0} \eta^{-\frac{m-1}{m}} \right\} H'(t) + \varepsilon \left( 1 + \frac{\mu}{2} \right) ||u_t||^2 + \varepsilon a \left( \frac{\mu}{2} - 1 \right) ||\nabla u||^2$$

$$+ \varepsilon b \left( \frac{\mu}{2\gamma + 2} - 1 \right) ||\nabla u||^{2\gamma + 2} + \varepsilon \left( 1 - \frac{\mu}{2} \right) ||u||^p,_{p,\Gamma_1} - \frac{(\mu_1^m + \mu_2^m)\eta^m}{m}||u||^m_{m, \Gamma_1}$$

$$+ \frac{\mu \varepsilon}{m} \int_0^1 ||z(\rho, t)||^m_{m, \Gamma_1} d\rho + \mu \varepsilon H(t).$$

(5.10)

Therefore, by taking $\eta = (kH(t)^{-\sigma})^{-\frac{m-1}{m}}$ where $k > 0$ to be specified later, we see that

$$\Psi'(t) \geq \left\{ (1 - \sigma) - \varepsilon \frac{k(m - 1)}{mm_0} \right\} H(t)^{-\sigma} H'(t) + \varepsilon \left( 1 + \frac{\mu}{2} \right) ||u_t||^2 + \varepsilon a \left( \frac{\mu}{2} - 1 \right) ||\nabla u||^2$$

$$+ \varepsilon b \left( \frac{\mu}{2\gamma + 2} - 1 \right) ||\nabla u||^{2\gamma + 2} + \varepsilon \left( 1 - \frac{\mu}{2} \right) ||u||^p,_{p,\Gamma_1} + \frac{\mu \varepsilon}{m} \int_0^1 ||z(\rho, t)||^m_{m, \Gamma_1} d\rho$$

$$- \frac{(\mu_1^m + \mu_2^m)}{m} k^{1-m} H(t)^{\sigma(m-1)} ||u||^m_{m, \Gamma_1} + \mu \varepsilon H(t).$$

(5.11)
Exploiting (5.3), we have
\[ H(t)^{(m-1)}\|u\|^m_{m,G_1} \leq C_p^m H(t)^{\sigma(m-1)}\|u\|^m_{p,G_1} \leq \frac{C_p^m}{\rho^\sigma} \|u\|^\sigma p(m-1)+m. \]  

(5.12)

Combining (5.11) and (5.12), we get
\[
\Psi'(t) \geq \left\{ (1 - \sigma) - \varepsilon \frac{(m-1)k}{mm_0} \right\} H(t)^{-\sigma} H'(t) + \varepsilon \left( 1 + \frac{\mu}{2} \right) \|u_t\|^2 + \varepsilon a \left( \frac{\mu}{2} - 1 \right) \|u\|^2
\]
\[
\quad + \varepsilon b \left( \frac{\mu}{2\gamma + 2} - 1 \right) \|u\|^{2\gamma + 2}_2 + \varepsilon \left( 1 - \frac{\mu}{p} \right) \|u\|^p_{p,G_1} + \frac{\mu \varepsilon}{m} \int_0^1 \|z(\rho,t)\|^{m_1}_{m,G_1} d\rho
\]
\[
\quad - \varepsilon \frac{(\mu^m + |\mu|^m_m)}{m} \frac{C_p^m k^{1-m}}{\rho^\sigma} \|u\|^\sigma p(m-1)+m + \mu \varepsilon H(t).
\]

(5.13)

Applying Lemma 2.3 for \( s = \sigma p(m-1) + m < p \), we get
\[
\|u\|^\sigma p(m-1)+m \leq C_\ast \left( \|\nabla u\|^2 + \|u\|^p_{p,G_1} \right).
\]

(5.14)

Combining (5.14) with (5.13), we obtain
\[
\Psi'(t) \geq \left\{ (1 - \sigma) - \varepsilon \frac{(m-1)k}{mm_0} \right\} H(t)^{-\sigma} H'(t) + \varepsilon \left( 1 + \frac{\mu}{2} \right) \|u_t\|^2 + \varepsilon a \left( \frac{\mu}{2} - 1 \right) \|u\|^2
\]
\[
\quad + \varepsilon \left( a \left( \frac{\mu}{2} - 1 \right) - c_\sigma k^{1-m} \right) \|\nabla u\|^2_2 + \varepsilon b \left( \frac{\mu}{2\gamma + 2} - 1 \right) \|\nabla u\|^{2\gamma + 2}_2
\]
\[
\quad + \varepsilon \left( \left( 1 - \frac{\mu}{p} \right) - c_\sigma k^{1-m} \right) \|u\|^p_{p,G_1} + \frac{\mu \varepsilon}{m} \int_0^1 \|z(\rho,t)\|^{m_1}_{m,G_1} d\rho + \mu \varepsilon H(t),
\]

(5.15)

where \( c_\sigma = \frac{C_\ast (\mu^m + |\mu|^m_m) C_p^m k^{1-m}}{m \rho^\sigma} \).

At this point, we choose \( 2\gamma + 2 < \mu < p \) such that
\[
\frac{\mu}{2} - 1 > 0, \quad \frac{\mu}{2\gamma + 2} - 1 > 0, \quad 1 - \frac{\mu}{p} > 0.
\]

When \( \mu \) is fixed, we choose \( k \) large enough such that
\[
a \left( \frac{\mu}{2} - 1 \right) - c_\sigma k^{1-m} > 0, \quad \left( 1 - \frac{\mu}{p} \right) - c_\sigma k^{1-m} > 0.
\]

Once \( k \) and \( \mu \) are fixed, we select \( \varepsilon > 0 \) small enough so that
\[
(1 - \sigma) - \varepsilon k \frac{(m-1)}{mm_0} > 0, \quad \Psi(0) = H(0)^{1-\sigma} + \varepsilon \int_\Omega u_1 u_0 dx + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|u_0\|^2_2 > 0.
\]

Then inequality (5.15) becomes
\[
\Psi'(t) \geq K \left( \|u_t\|^2 + \|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\nabla u\|^{2\gamma + 2} + \|u\|^p_{p,G_1} + H(t) \right),
\]

(5.16)
where $K$ is a positive constant.

On the other hand, we will estimate $\Psi_{1/\sigma}(t)$. Applying Hölder and Youngs inequalities, we have

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} u u_t dx \right|^{1/\sigma} \leq C \|u\|^1_p \|u_t\|^1_{1/\sigma} \leq C \left( \|u\|^2_p + \|u_t\|^2_{1/\sigma} \right), \tag{5.17}$$

for $\frac{1}{\mu} + \frac{1}{\theta} = 1$. Take $\mu = 2(1 - \sigma)$ which gives $\frac{\mu}{1-\sigma} = \frac{2}{1-2\sigma}$. Then, (5.17) becomes

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} u u_t dx \right|^{1/\sigma} \leq C \left( \|u\|^2_p + \|u_t\|^2_2 \right), \tag{5.18}$$

It follows from (3.12) and (5.3), we have

$$\|u\|^2_{1/\sigma} \leq c \|u\|^2_p \|\nabla u\|^2_2 \leq c \|\nabla u\|^2 \left( CE(0) \right)^{1/\sigma} \leq c \|\nabla u\|^2 \left( CE(0) \right)^{1/\sigma} \frac{H(t)}{H(0)} \tag{5.19}$$

Similar to (5.19), we have

$$\|u\|^2_{1/\sigma} \leq c_2 \left( CE(0) \right)^{1/\sigma} \leq c_2 \left( CE(0) \right)^{1/\sigma} \frac{H(t)}{H(0)} \leq c_2 \left( CE(0) \right)^{1/\sigma} \frac{\|u\|^p}{pH(0)} \tag{5.20}$$

Combining (5.19)-(5.20) and (5.4), we get

$$\Psi_{1/\sigma}(t) \leq \widetilde{K} \left( \|u_t\|^2_2 + \|u\|^p_{p,\Gamma_1} + H(t) \right), \tag{5.21}$$

where $\widetilde{K}$ is a positive constant.

It follows from (5.16) and (5.21), we find that

$$\Psi'(t) \geq \omega \Psi_{1/\sigma}(t), \quad \forall t > 0, \tag{5.22}$$

where $\kappa$ is a positive constant.

A simple integration of (5.22) over $0, t$ yields

$$\Psi_{1/\sigma}(t) \geq \frac{1}{\Psi_{1/\sigma}(0) - \frac{\omega t}{1-\sigma}}.$$

Consequently, the solution of problem (1.1) blows up in finite time $T^*$. \hfill \Box
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