

1-1-2023

Three-dimensional homogeneous contact metric manifold with weakly η -Einstein structures

SUN HYANG CHUN

YUNHEE EUH

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

CHUN, SUN HYANG and EUH, YUNHEE (2023) "Three-dimensional homogeneous contact metric manifold with weakly η -Einstein structures," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 47: No. 4, Article 14.

<https://doi.org/10.55730/1300-0098.3424>

Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol47/iss4/14>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.

Three-dimensional homogeneous contact metric manifolds with weakly η -Einstein structures

Sun Hyang CHUN¹ , Yunhee EUH^{2,*} 

¹Department of Mathematics, Chosun University, Gwangju, South Korea

²Department of Mathematics, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, South Korea

Received: 28.11.2022

Accepted/Published Online: 28.03.2023

Final Version: 16.05.2023

Abstract: In this paper, we determine the geometric structures of 3-dimensional weakly η -Einstein almost contact metric manifolds and classify 3-dimensional weakly η -Einstein simply connected homogeneous contact metric manifolds based on Perrone's classification.

Key words: Weakly η -Einstein structure, homogeneous contact metric manifold

1. Introduction

Let $M = (M, g)$ be an m -dimensional Riemannian manifold. We consider a symmetric $(0,2)$ -tensor field \bar{R} on M defined by

$$\bar{R}(X, Y) = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^m R(e_i, e_j, e_k, X)R(e_i, e_j, e_k, Y)$$

for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and a local orthonormal frame field $\{e_i\}$, where $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ denotes the Lie algebra of all smooth vector fields on M . Here, the $(0,4)$ -type curvature tensor R is defined by $R(X, Y, Z, W) = g(R(X, Y)Z, W)$ for $X, Y, Z, W \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, where $R(X, Y)Z = [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y]Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]}Z$ with respect to the Levi-Civita connection ∇ of g .

In [9], Euh, Park, and Sekigawa defined a *weakly Einstein manifold* which is an m -dimensional Riemannian manifold (M, g) satisfying the following condition:

$$\bar{R}(X, Y) = \frac{\|R\|^2}{m}g(X, Y). \quad (1.1)$$

They showed that a 4-dimensional Einstein manifold necessarily satisfies (1.1), but the converse does not hold. They provided interesting examples of 4-dimensional weakly Einstein not Einstein manifolds [10]. A weakly Einstein manifold can be regarded as a generalization of an Einstein manifold in dimension 4. Weakly Einstein manifolds have been studied by many authors [1, 2, 5, 8, 14]. In particular, Arias-Marco and Kowalski [1] classified 4-dimensional homogeneous weakly Einstein manifolds and showed that there are just two spaces illustrated in [10]. On the other hand, the η -Einstein structure is one of the most important geometric structures

*Correspondence: prettyfish@skku.edu

2020 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C30, 53C25, 53D10

in almost contact geometry, that is, the Ricci tensor ρ is of the form $\rho(X, Y) = \alpha g(X, Y) + \beta \eta(X)\eta(Y)$ with α and β being smooth functions. Cho, Chun, and Euh [6] defined a weakly η -Einstein structure as analogues of a weakly Einstein structure on almost contact metric manifolds. An almost contact metric manifold M with dimension $m = 2n + 1$ is said to be *weakly η -Einstein* if the symmetric $(0, 2)$ -tensor \bar{R} satisfied

$$\bar{R}(X, Y) = \bar{\alpha}g(X, Y) + \bar{\beta}\eta(X)\eta(Y)$$

for smooth functions $\bar{\alpha}$ and $\bar{\beta}$ on M . They showed that a 3-dimensional η -Einstein almost contact metric manifold is necessarily weakly η -Einstein. In this paper, we shall classify a 3-dimensional weakly η -Einstein almost contact metric manifold. In section 2, we prepare for some preliminaries on almost contact metric manifolds. In section 3, we determine the geometric structures of weakly η -Einstein almost contact metric manifolds with dimension 3. In section 4, we recall Perrone’s classification [13] of 3-dimensional simply connected homogeneous contact metric manifolds and classify such homogeneous spaces with weakly η -Einstein structures based on his classification.

2. Preliminaries

All manifolds in this paper are assumed to be connected and of class C^∞ . We refer to [3] for some preliminaries on contact metric manifolds. Let M be a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional differentiable manifold. Let φ , ξ , and η be a tensor field of type $(1, 1)$, a vector field and a 1-form on M , respectively. If φ , ξ , and η satisfy the conditions

$$\varphi^2(X) = -X + \eta(X)\xi, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1$$

for any vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, then it is said that M has an *almost contact structure* (η, φ, ξ) and $M = (M, \eta, \varphi, \xi)$ is called an *almost contact manifold*. If an almost contact manifold (M, η, φ, ξ) admits a Riemannian metric g such that

$$g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)$$

for any X and $Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, then $M = (M, \eta, \varphi, \xi, g)$ is said to be an *almost contact metric manifold*. We define the fundamental 2-form Φ on M by $\Phi(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) = \bar{g}(\bar{X}, \phi\bar{Y})$. An almost contact metric manifold \bar{M} with $\Phi = d\eta$ is called a *contact metric manifold*, where d is the exterior differential operator. Given a contact metric manifold $M = (M, \eta, \varphi, \xi, g)$, we define the tensor fields h and τ by $h = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{L}_\xi\varphi)$ and $\tau = \mathcal{L}_\xi g$, where \mathcal{L}_ξ is the Lie derivative in the direction of ξ . It is easily checked that h and τ are symmetric operators and satisfy the following conditions:

$$h\xi = 0, \quad h\varphi = -\varphi h, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\nabla_X \xi = -\varphi X - \varphi hX, \quad \nabla_\xi \varphi = 0, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\tau(\xi, X) = 0, \quad \tau(X, Y) = 2g(\varphi X, hY).$$

If the vector field ξ on a contact metric manifold $(M, \eta, \varphi, \xi, g)$ is a Killing vector field (i.e. $\tau = 0$), then M is called a *K-contact manifold*. This is the case if and only if $h = 0$. For an almost contact manifold $(M^{2n+1}, \eta, \varphi, \xi)$, we consider the manifold $M^{2n+1} \times \mathbb{R}$. We define a vector field on $M^{2n+1} \times \mathbb{R}$ by $(X, f \frac{d}{dt})$, where X is tangent to M^{2n+1} , t the coordinate on \mathbb{R} and f a smooth function on $M^{2n+1} \times \mathbb{R}$. Define an almost complex structure J on $M^{2n+1} \times \mathbb{R}$ by $J(X, f \frac{d}{dt}) = (\varphi X - f\xi, \eta(X) \frac{d}{dt})$. If J is integrable, we say that

an almost contact structure (η, φ, ξ) is *normal*. A normal contact metric manifold is called a *Sasakian manifold*. It is well-known that a Sasakian manifold is necessarily a K-contact manifold. In dimension 3, the converse is true.

3. Three-dimensional almost contact metric manifolds

Let (M, g) be a 3-dimensional almost contact metric manifold. Then we see that the following equation is satisfied on M :

$$\begin{aligned}
 R(X, Y, Z, W) = & \rho(Y, Z)g(X, W) - \rho(X, Z)g(Y, W) \\
 & + g(Y, Z)\rho(X, W) - g(X, Z)\rho(Y, W) \\
 & - \frac{r}{2}(g(Y, Z)g(X, W) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W))
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.1}$$

for $X, Y, Z, W \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, where ρ is the Ricci tensor on M and r is the scalar curvature of M . From (3.1), we have the symmetric (0,2)-tensor \bar{R} as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{R}(X, Y) &= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 R(e_i, e_j, e_k, X)R(e_i, e_j, e_k, Y) \\
 &= (2\|\rho\|^2 - r^2)g(X, Y) + 2r\rho(X, Y) - 2\sum_{i=1}^3 \rho(X, e_i)\rho(Y, e_i)
 \end{aligned}$$

for any orthonormal frame field $\{e_i\}$ on M . Now, we suppose that M is weakly η -Einstein. We define the Ricci operator Q of M by $g(QX, Y) = \rho(X, Y)$ and consider the orthonormal frame field $\{e_i\} = \{e_1, e_2, e_3 = \xi\}$ as eigenvectors of Q , that is, $Qe_i = \lambda_i e_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) and $Q\xi = \lambda_3 \xi$. Then we have

$$2\|\rho\|^2 - r^2 + 2\lambda_1(r - \lambda_1) = \bar{\alpha}, \tag{3.2}$$

$$2\|\rho\|^2 - r^2 + 2\lambda_2(r - \lambda_2) = \bar{\alpha}, \tag{3.3}$$

$$2\|\rho\|^2 - r^2 + 2\lambda_3(r - \lambda_3) = \bar{\alpha} + \bar{\beta}. \tag{3.4}$$

From (3.2) and (3.3), we have

$$(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(r - (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)) = 0. \tag{3.5}$$

From (3.2) and (3.4), we have

$$(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)(r - (\lambda_1 + \lambda_3)) = \frac{\bar{\beta}}{2}. \tag{3.6}$$

From (3.3) and (3.4), we have

$$(\lambda_3 - \lambda_2)(r - (\lambda_2 + \lambda_3)) = \frac{\bar{\beta}}{2}. \tag{3.7}$$

Then from (3.5) we obtain $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ or $\lambda_3 = 0$. (Similarly, from (3.6) and (3.7), we have the same result.) If $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$, the Ricci operator Q of M has two eigenvalues of multiplicities $(2, 1)$. Then, we see that M has an η -Einstein structure [7]. If $\lambda_3 = 0$, M satisfies $Q\xi = 0$ and hence \bar{R} is given by $\bar{R} = (\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2)g - 2\lambda_1\lambda_2\eta \otimes \eta$. Therefore, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1 *Let M be a 3-dimensional almost contact metric manifold. If M is weakly η -Einstein then either it is η -Einstein or it satisfies $Q\xi = 0$.*

Remark 1 ([6]) *A 3-dimensional contact $(0,2)$ -space satisfies $Q\xi = 0$ and it is an example which is weakly η -Einstein but not η -Einstein.*

Let $M = (M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a 3-dimensional contact metric manifold. Now, let U be the open subset of M on which $h \neq 0$, and V be the open subset of M on which h is identically zero. Then $U \cup V$ is open and dense in M . If U is not empty for any point $p \in U$ we can choose a local orthonormal frame field $\{e_1, e_2 = \varphi e_1, e_3 = \xi\}$ on a neighborhood of p in such a way that

$$he_1 = \mu e_1, \quad he_2 = -\mu e_2, \tag{3.8}$$

where μ is a smooth positive function on U . We note that if V is not empty, then V is a Sasakian manifold. Now, we assume that U is not empty. Then by making use of (2.1), (2.2), (3.1), and (3.8), we have the Ricci operator Q on U as following formulas [13]:

$$\begin{aligned} Qe_1 &= \left(\frac{r}{2} - 1 + \mu^2 + 2\mu\nu\right)e_1 + \xi(\mu)e_2 + \rho_{13}\xi, \\ Qe_2 &= \xi(\mu)e_1 + \left(\frac{r}{2} - 1 + \mu^2 - 2\mu\nu\right)e_2 + \rho_{23}\xi, \\ Q\xi &= \rho_{13}e_1 + \rho_{23}e_2 + 2(1 - \mu^2)\xi, \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

where $\nu = -g(\nabla_{\xi}e_1, e_2)$. We suppose that a 3-dimensional contact metric manifold $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ has a weakly η -Einstein structure. From Theorem 3.1, taking account of (3.9), we get $\nu = 0$ if it is η -Einstein or we have the positive smooth function $\mu = 1$ if $Q\xi = 0$. Then, we have

Corollary 3.2 *Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a 3-dimensional contact metric manifold. If M is weakly η -Einstein, then either $\nu = 0$ or h has eigenvalues 1, -1 , and 0.*

4. Three-dimensional weakly η -Einstein homogeneous contact metric manifolds

In this section, we consider the weakly η -Einstein structure on 3-dimensional homogeneous contact metric manifolds. A contact manifold is said to be *homogeneous* if there exists a connected Lie group G acting transitively as a group of diffeomorphisms on it which preserves the contact form η . If g is a metric associated to η and G is a group acting transitively as isometries which leave η invariant, then (η, g) is said to be a *homogeneous contact metric structure* on M . Perrone [13] showed that 3-dimensional simply connected homogeneous contact metric manifolds are Lie groups with left invariant contact metric structure. Furthermore, he classified such homogeneous spaces using the result of Milnor [12] and taking account of the Webster scalar curvature W and torsion invariant $\|\tau\|$ introduced by Chern and Hamilton (see [4], p. 284). Here, the Webster scalar curvature W is given by

$$W = \frac{1}{8}(r - \rho(\xi, \xi) + 4) = \frac{1}{8}\left(r + 2 + \frac{\|\tau\|^2}{4}\right).$$

Proposition 4.1 [13] *Let $(M, \eta, \varphi, \xi, g)$ be a 3-dimensional simply connected homogeneous contact metric manifold. Then M is a Lie group G together with a left invariant contact metric structure (η, φ, ξ, g) .*

(1) If G is unimodular, then G is one of the following:

(1.a) the Heisenberg group H_3 when $W = \|\tau\| = 0$;

(1.b) the 3-sphere group $SU(2)$ when $4\sqrt{2}W > \|\tau\|$;

(1.c) the group $\tilde{E}(2)$, universal covering of the group of rigid motions of Euclidean 2-space, when $4\sqrt{2}W = \|\tau\| > 0$;

(1.d) the group $\tilde{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ when $-\|\tau\| \neq 4\sqrt{2}W < \|\tau\|$;

(1.e) the group $E(1, 1)$ of rigid motions of Minkowski 2-space when $4\sqrt{2}W = -\|\tau\| < 0$.

The Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G is generated by an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2 = \varphi e_1, e_3 = \xi\}$ with commutation relation:

$$[e_1, e_2] = 2e_3, \quad [e_2, e_3] = ae_1, \quad [e_3, e_1] = be_2. \tag{4.1}$$

(2) If G is nonunimodular, then the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G is given by

$$[e_1, e_2] = ce_2 + 2e_3, \quad [e_2, e_3] = 0, \quad [e_3, e_1] = de_2, \tag{4.2}$$

where $c \neq 0$, $e_1, e_2 = \varphi e_1 \in \ker \eta$ and $4\sqrt{2}W < \|\tau\|$. If $d = 0$, then the structure is Sasakian and $W = -\frac{c^2}{4}$.

First, we consider the weakly η -Einstein unimodular Lie group G with a left invariant contact metric structure. Then by Proposition 4.1, we can choose an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2 = \varphi e_1, e_3 = \xi\}$ which satisfies (4.1).

We set $\nabla_{e_i} e_j = \sum_{k=1}^3 \Gamma_{ijk} e_k$ $1 \leq i, j \leq 3$. Then we get $\Gamma_{ijk} = -\Gamma_{ikj}$ and further from (4.1) we obtain the coefficients $\{\Gamma_{ijk}\}$ as follows:

$$\Gamma_{123} = \frac{1}{2}(2 - a + b), \quad \Gamma_{213} = \frac{1}{2}(-2 - a + b), \quad \Gamma_{312} = \frac{1}{2}(-2 + a + b) \tag{4.3}$$

and otherwise being zero up to sign. From (4.3), by direct calculations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R(e_1, e_2)e_1 &= -Ae_2, & R(e_1, e_2)e_2 &= Ae_1, & R(e_1, e_2)e_3 &= 0, \\ R(e_1, e_3)e_1 &= Be_3, & R(e_1, e_3)e_2 &= 0, & R(e_1, e_3)e_3 &= -Be_1, \\ R(e_2, e_3)e_1 &= 0, & R(e_2, e_3)e_2 &= Ce_3, & R(e_2, e_3)e_3 &= -Ce_2, \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

where the coefficients are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \frac{1}{4}(a - b)^2 + (a + b) - 3, \\ B &= \frac{1}{4}(a - b)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(a^2 - b^2) + (a - b) - 1, \\ C &= \frac{1}{4}(a - b)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(a^2 - b^2) - (a - b) - 1. \end{aligned}$$

By using (4.4), we have the following Ricci operators:

$$\begin{aligned} Qe_1 &= \left(-\frac{1}{2}(b^2 - a^2) - 2 + 2b\right)e_1, \\ Qe_2 &= \left(\frac{1}{2}(b^2 - a^2) - 2 + 2a\right)e_2, \\ Qe_3 &= \left(-\frac{1}{2}(b - a)^2 + 2\right)e_3. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

From (4.1) and by the definition of the tensor field h , we have

$$he_1 = -\frac{1}{2}(a - b)e_1, \quad he_2 = \frac{1}{2}(a - b)e_2, \quad he_3 = h\xi = 0. \tag{4.6}$$

On the other hand, a (0,2)-tensor \bar{R} of G is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\bar{R}(X, Y) \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 R(e_i, e_j, e_k, X)R(e_i, e_j, e_k, Y) \\ &= 2 \sum_{c=1}^3 R(e_1, e_2, e_c, X)R(e_1, e_2, e_c, Y) \\ &\quad + R(e_1, e_3, e_c, X)R(e_1, e_3, e_c, Y) \\ &\quad + R(e_2, e_3, e_c, X)R(e_2, e_3, e_c, Y) \\ &= 2 \left\{ R(e_1, e_2, e_1, X)R(e_1, e_2, e_1, Y) + R(e_1, e_2, e_2, X)R(e_1, e_2, e_2, Y) \right. \\ &\quad + R(e_1, e_3, e_1, X)R(e_1, e_3, e_1, Y) + R(e_1, e_3, e_3, X)R(e_1, e_3, e_3, Y) \\ &\quad \left. + R(e_2, e_3, e_2, X)R(e_2, e_3, e_2, Y) + R(e_2, e_3, e_3, X)R(e_2, e_3, e_3, Y) \right\} \\ &= 2 \left\{ A^2g(e_2, X)g(e_2, Y) + A^2g(e_1, X)g(e_1, Y) \right. \\ &\quad + B^2g(e_3, X)g(e_3, Y) + B^2g(e_1, X)g(e_1, Y) \\ &\quad \left. + C^2g(e_3, X)g(e_3, Y) + C^2g(e_2, X)g(e_2, Y) \right\} \\ &= 2 \left\{ A^2(g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)) + B^2g(X, Y) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + C^2\eta(X)\eta(Y) + (C^2 - B^2)g(e_2, X)g(e_2, Y) \right\} \\ &= 2 \left\{ (A^2 + B^2)g(X, Y) + (C^2 - A^2)\eta(X)\eta(Y) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (B^2 - C^2)g(e_2, X)g(e_2, Y) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

If G is weakly η -Einstein, then $B^2 - C^2 = 0$. Therefore in the case of $B = C$ we have $a = b$ or $a + b = 2$ or in the case of $B = -C$ we have $b = a \pm 2$. Here, we note that if $a = b$, by (4.6), we get $h = 0$ and hence

we see that G is Sasakian. In addition, from (4.5), G has an η -Einstein structure. If $a + b = 2$ ($a \neq b$), G is non-Sasakian η -Einstein from (4.5). By Milnor's classification of 3-dimensional homogeneous spaces [12], we see that the following structures are admissible.

(1) If $a = b$, M is isometric to one of

$$\begin{cases} H_3 \text{ with an } \eta\text{-Einstein Sasakian structure} \\ SU(2) \text{ with an } \eta\text{-Einstein Sasakian structure} \end{cases}$$

(2) If $a + b = 2$ ($a \neq b$), M is isometric to one of

$$\begin{cases} SU(2) \text{ with a non-Sasakian } \eta\text{-Einstein structure} \\ \widetilde{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \text{ with a non-Sasakian } \eta\text{-Einstein structure} \\ \widetilde{E}(2) \text{ with a non-Sasakian } \eta\text{-Einstein structure} \end{cases}$$

(3) If $a - b = \pm 2$, M is isometric to one of

$$\begin{cases} SU(2) \text{ with a contact metric structure} \\ \widetilde{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \text{ with a contact metric structure} \\ E(1, 1) \text{ with a contact metric structure} \\ \widetilde{E}(2) \text{ with a contact metric structure} \end{cases}$$

Now, if we consider the weakly η -Einstein nonunimodular Lie group G with contact left invariant metric structure, from Proposition 4.1, then there exists an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2 = \varphi e_1, e_3 = \xi\}$ satisfying (4.2). By using the Koszul formula we have

$$\Gamma_{123} = \frac{d+2}{2}, \quad \Gamma_{212} = -c, \quad \Gamma_{213} = \frac{d-2}{2}, \quad \Gamma_{312} = \frac{d-2}{2} \tag{4.7}$$

all others are zero. Then, using (4.7), by a direct calculation we get

$$\begin{aligned} R(e_1, e_2)e_1 &= -\bar{A}e_2 - \bar{D}e_3, & R(e_1, e_2)e_2 &= \bar{A}e_1, & R(e_1, e_2)e_3 &= \bar{D}e_1, \\ R(e_1, e_3)e_1 &= -\bar{D}e_2 - \bar{B}e_3, & R(e_1, e_3)e_2 &= \bar{D}e_1, & R(e_1, e_3)e_3 &= \bar{B}e_1, \\ R(e_2, e_3)e_1 &= 0, & R(e_2, e_3)e_2 &= -\bar{C}e_3, & R(e_2, e_3)e_3 &= \bar{C}e_2, \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

where the coefficients are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{A} &= \frac{d^2 + 4d - 12}{4} - c^2, & \bar{B} &= \frac{-3d^2 + 4d + 4}{4}, \\ \bar{C} &= \frac{(d-2)^2}{4}, & \bar{D} &= cd. \end{aligned}$$

From (4.8), we obtain the Ricci operator as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} Qe_1 &= \left(-c^2 - 2 + 2d - \frac{d^2}{2}\right) e_1, \\ Qe_2 &= \left(-c^2 - 2 + \frac{d^2}{2}\right) e_2 + cde_3, \\ Qe_3 &= cde_2 + \left(2 - \frac{d^2}{2}\right) e_3. \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

From (4.2) and by the definition of h we have

$$he_1 = \frac{1}{2}de_1, \quad he_2 = -\frac{1}{2}de_2, \quad he_3 = 0.$$

We see that G is Sasakian if and only if $d = 0$ (i.e. $h = 0$). If the nonunimodular group $(G, \varphi, \eta, \xi, g)$ is weakly η -Einstein, then we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} &\overline{R}(X, Y) \\ &= \sum_{a,b,c=1}^3 R(e_a, e_b, e_c, X)R(e_a, e_b, e_c, Y) \\ &= 2\left\{R(e_1, e_2, e_1, X)R(e_1, e_2, e_1, Y) + R(e_1, e_2, e_2, X)R(e_1, e_2, e_2, Y) + R(e_1, e_2, e_3, X)R(e_1, e_2, e_3, Y) \right. \\ &\quad + R(e_1, e_3, e_1, X)R(e_1, e_3, e_1, Y)R(e_1, e_3, e_2, X)R(e_1, e_3, e_2, Y) + R(e_1, e_3, e_3, X)R(e_1, e_3, e_3, Y) \\ &\quad \left. + R(e_2, e_3, e_2, X)R(e_2, e_3, e_2, Y) + R(e_2, e_3, e_3, X)R(e_2, e_3, e_3, Y)\right\} \\ &= 2\left\{\overline{A}^2g(e_2, X)g(e_2, Y) + \overline{A}\overline{D}g(e_2, X)g(e_3, Y) + \overline{A}\overline{D}g(e_3, X)g(e_2, Y) \right. \\ &\quad + \overline{D}^2g(e_3, X)g(e_3, Y) + \overline{A}^2g(e_1, X)g(e_1, Y) + \overline{D}^2g(e_1, X)g(e_1, Y) \\ &\quad + \overline{D}^2g(e_2, X)g(e_2, Y) + \overline{B}\overline{D}g(e_2, X)g(e_3, Y) + \overline{B}\overline{D}g(e_3, X)g(e_2, Y) \\ &\quad + \overline{B}^2g(e_3, X)g(e_3, Y) + \overline{D}^2g(e_1, X)g(e_1, Y) + \overline{B}^2g(e_1, X)g(e_1, Y) \\ &\quad \left. + \overline{C}^2g(e_3, X)g(e_3, Y) + \overline{C}^2g(e_2, X)g(e_2, Y)\right\} \\ &= 2\left\{\overline{A}^2(g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)) + \overline{D}^2(g(X, Y) + g(e_1, X)g(e_1, Y)) \right. \\ &\quad + \overline{B}^2(g(X, Y) - g(e_2, X)g(e_2, Y)) + \overline{C}^2(g(X, Y) - g(e_1, X)g(e_1, Y)) \\ &\quad \left. + (\overline{A} + \overline{B})\overline{D}(g(e_2, X)g(e_3, Y) + g(e_3, X)g(e_2, Y))\right\} \\ &= \overline{\alpha}g(X, Y) + \overline{\beta}\eta(X)\eta(Y). \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

From (4.10), we have the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{R}(e_1, e_1) &= 3(\overline{A}^2 + \overline{B}^2 + 2\overline{D}^2) = \overline{\alpha}, & \overline{R}(e_2, e_2) &= 2(\overline{A}^2 + \overline{C}^2 + \overline{D}^2) = \overline{\alpha} \\ \overline{R}(e_3, e_3) &= 2(\overline{B}^2 + \overline{C}^2 + \overline{D}^2) = \overline{\alpha} + \overline{\beta}, & \overline{R}(e_2, e_3) &= 2((\overline{A} + \overline{B})\overline{D}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have the relations:

$$(\bar{A} + \bar{B})\bar{D} = 0, \quad \bar{B}^2 + \bar{D}^2 = \bar{C}^2. \tag{4.11}$$

Therefore, from (4.11) we can consider the two cases:

Case I) $\bar{A} + \bar{B} = 0$ and $\bar{B}^2 + \bar{D}^2 = \bar{C}^2$.

Since $\bar{A} + \bar{B} = -\frac{1}{2}(d - 2)^2 - c^2 = 0$, we have $c = 0$ and $d = 2$. It is a contradiction for the condition $c \neq 0$.

Case II) $\bar{D} = 0$ and $\bar{B}^2 + \bar{D}^2 = \bar{C}^2$.

(II-1) $\bar{B} = \bar{C}$ and $\bar{D} = 0$.

From $\bar{B} = \bar{C}$ we obtain $d = 0$ (Sasakian) or $d = 2$. Since $\bar{D} = cd = 0$ and $c \neq 0$ by assumption, we have $d = 0$.

(II-2) $\bar{B} = -\bar{C}$ and $\bar{D} = 0$.

From $\bar{B} = -\bar{C}$ we get $d = \pm 2$. It is a contradiction for $\bar{D} = 0$ and $c \neq 0$.

Then, from (4.8), we have the curvatures $R_{1331} = R_{2332} = 1$, $R_{1212} = c^2 + 3$ and otherwise being zero up to sign. Furthermore, since d is identically zero, we easily check that G has an η -Einstein structure from (4.9).

Finally, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2 *Let $(M, \eta, \varphi, \xi, g)$ be a 3-dimensional simply connected homogeneous contact metric manifold. Then M is a Lie group G together with a left invariant contact metric structure (η, φ, ξ, g) . Suppose that G is weakly η -Einstein.*

(1) *If G is unimodular, then M is isometric to one of the following Lie groups:*

(1.1) *Heisenberg group H_3 with an η -Einstein Sasakian structure;*

(1.2) *$SU(2)$ with either an η -Einstein Sasakian structure, a non-Sasakian η -Einstein structure, or a contact metric structure;*

(1.3) *$\tilde{E}(2)$ with either a non-Sasakian η -Einstein structure or a contact metric structure;*

(1.4) *$\tilde{S}L(2, \mathbb{R})$ with either a non-Sasakian η -Einstein structure or a contact metric structure;*

(1.5) *$E(1,1)$ with a contact metric structure*

(2) *If G is nonunimodular, then M is an η -Einstein Sasakian manifold whose sectional curvatures containing the direction ξ are the same as one.*

Remark 2 *We summarize the above characterization as the table. Let $(M, \eta, \varphi, \xi, g)$ be a 3-dimensional simply connected homogeneous contact metric manifold with a weakly η -Einstein structure. Then M is isometric to one of Lie groups which can admit the following structures:*

Geometric structures	Sasakian	non-Sasakian
η -Einstein	$H_3, SU(2)$, nonunimodular	$SU(2), \widetilde{E}(2), \widetilde{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$
not η -Einstein	none	$SU(2), \widetilde{E}(2), \widetilde{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}), E(1, 1)$

Consequently, we see that $SU(2)$, $\widetilde{E}(2)$, $\widetilde{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, or $E(1, 1)$ with only a contact metric structure can be weakly η -Einstein not η -Einstein.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Prof. Jeong Hyeong Park for several useful discussions and comments.

This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korean government (MSIT) (No. 2021R1F1A1055679).

References

- [1] Arias-Marco T, Kowalski O. Classification of 4-dimensional homogeneous weakly Einstein manifolds. Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal 2015; 65 (140): 21-59. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10587-015-0159-4>
- [2] Baltazar H, Da Silva A, Oliveira F. Weakly Einstein critical metrics of the volume functional on compact manifolds with boundary. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 2020; 487 (2): 124013, 11 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2020.124013>
- [3] Blair DE. Riemannian geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds. Second edition. Progress in Mathematics, 203. Birkhäuser Boston, Ltd., Boston, MA, 2010.
- [4] Chern SS, Hamilton RS. On Riemannian metrics adapted to three-dimensional contact manifolds, Lecture notes in Mathematics, no. 1111, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985, pp. 279-305. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0084596>
- [5] Chen X. On weakly Einstein almost contact manifolds. Journal of the Korean Mathematical Society 2020; 57 (3): 707-719. <https://doi.org/10.4134/JKMS.j190340>
- [6] Cho JT, Chun SH, Euh Y. Weakly η -Einstein contact manifolds, Results in Mathematics 2022; 77 (3): Paper No. 110, 16 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00025-022-01645-0>
- [7] Chun SH, Park JH, Sekigawa K. η -Einstein tangent sphere bundles of constant radii. International Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics 2009; 6 (6): 965-984. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219887809003928>
- [8] Euh Y, Park JH, Sekigawa K. Critical metrics for quadratic functionals in the curvature on 4-dimensional manifolds. Differential Geometry and its Applications 2011; 29 (5): 642-646. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.difgeo.2011.07.001>
- [9] Euh Y, Park JH, Sekigawa K. A curvature identity on a 4-dimensional Riemannian manifold, Results in Mathematics 2013; 63 (1-2): 107-114. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00025-011-0164-3>
- [10] Euh Y, Park JH, Sekigawa K. A generalization of a 4-dimensional Einstein manifold. Mathematica Slovaca 2013; 63 (3): 595-610. <https://doi.org/10.2478/s12175-013-0121-6>
- [11] García-Río E, Haji-Badali A, Mariño-Villar R, Vázquez-Abal M. Locally conformally flat weakly-Einstein manifolds. Archiv der Mathematik (Basel) 2018; 111 (5): 549-559. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00013-018-1221-x>
- [12] Milnor J. Curvatures of left invariant metrics on Lie groups. Advances in Mathematics 1976; 21 (3): 293-329. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0001-8708\(76\)80002-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0001-8708(76)80002-3)

- [13] Perrone D. Homogeneous contact Riemannian three-manifolds. Illinois Journal of Mathematics 1998; 42 (2); 243-256. <https://doi.org/10.1215/ijm/1256045043>
- [14] Wang Y, Zhang Y. Weakly Einstein real hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ and $\mathbb{C}H^2$. Journal of Geometry and Physics 2022; 181 Paper No. 104648, 11 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomphys.2022.104648>