

Turkish Journal of Mathematics

Volume 47 Number 3 *SI-1*

Article 2

1-1-2023

Duality Approach to the Regularity Problems for the Navier-Stokes Equations

GRIGORY SEREGIN

Follow this and additional works at: https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math

Part of the Mathematics Commons

Recommended Citation

SEREGIN, GRIGORY (2023) "Duality Approach to the Regularity Problems for the Navier-Stokes Equations," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 47: No. 3, Article 2. https://doi.org/10.55730/1300-0098.3402 Available at: https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol47/iss3/2

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.



Turkish Journal of Mathematics

http://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/

Research Article

Turk J Math (2023) 47: 898 – 909 © TÜBİTAK doi:10.55730/1300-0098.3402

Duality approach to regularity problems for the Navier-Stokes equations

Gregory SEREGIN*

Oxford University, Oxford, UK, and St. Petersburg Department of Steklov Mathematical Institute (Russian Academy of Sciences), St. Petersburg, Russia

Received: 30.11.2022 •		Accepted/Published Online: 09.02.2023	•	Final Version: 17.03.2023
------------------------	--	---------------------------------------	---	----------------------------------

Abstract: In this note, we describe a way to study local regularity of a weak solution to the Navier-Stokes equations, satisfying the simplest scale-invariant restriction, with the help of zooming and duality approach to the corresponding mild bounded ancient solution.

Key words: Navier-Stokes equations, regularity of solutions

1. Introduction

One of the simplest but not yet solved problem of local regularity of weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations is as follows. Consider the so-called suitable weak solution $w \in L_{\infty}(-1,0;L_2(B)) \cap L_2(-1,0;W_2^1(B))$ and $r \in L_{\frac{3}{2}}(Q)$ to the classical Navier-Stokes equations:

$$\partial_t w + w \cdot \nabla w - \Delta w = -\nabla r, \qquad \operatorname{div} w = 0$$

in the unit parabolic space-time ball $Q = B \times] - 1, 0 [\subset \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}.$ For a definition of suitable weak solutions, we refer to the paper [1]. Let us assume that function w satisfies the additional restriction

$$|w(x,t)| \le \frac{c_d}{|x| + \sqrt{-t}}, \quad \forall (x,t) \in Q,$$

$$(1.1)$$

where $c_d > 0$ is a given constant. The question is whether or not the origin z = (0, 0) is a regular point of w, i.e. there exists $\delta > 0$ such that w is essentially bounded in the parabolic ball $Q(\delta) = B(\delta) \times [-\delta^2, 0[$. Here, as usual, $B(\delta)$ stands for the ball of radius δ centred at the origin. With a minor modification of what has been done in the paper [4], one can show that if the origin z = 0 is a singular point of w then there exists the so-called mild bounded ancient solution \tilde{u} with the following properties:

 $|\tilde{u}| \leq 1$

in $Q_{-} = \mathbb{R}^{3} \times] - \infty, 0[;$

$$|\tilde{u}(0)| = 1;$$

there is a pressure field $\tilde{p} \in L_{\infty}(-\infty, 0; BMO(\mathbb{R}^3))$ so that \tilde{u} and \tilde{p} obey the Navier-Stokes equations

$$\partial_t \tilde{u} + \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla \tilde{u} - \Delta \tilde{u} = -\nabla \tilde{p}, \quad \operatorname{div} \tilde{u} = 0$$

^{*}Correspondence: seregin@maths.ox.ac.uk

²⁰¹⁰ AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 35B06, 35B65, 35Q30

in Q_{-} ; and in addition

$$|\tilde{u}(x,t)| \le \frac{c_d}{|x| + \sqrt{-t}}$$

for all $z = (x, t) \in Q_-$. In our further considerations, we shall work with positive time t, setting $u(x, t) = \tilde{u}(x, -t)$ for $t \ge 0$. Therefore, the velocity field u satisfies:

$$|u| \le 1 \tag{1.2}$$

in $Q_+ = \mathbb{R}^3 \times]0, \infty[;$

$$|u(0)| = 1; (1.3)$$

there is a pressure field $p \in L_{\infty}(0,\infty; BMO(\mathbb{R}^3))$ so that u and p obey the backward Navier-Stokes equations

$$-\partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u - \Delta u = -\nabla p, \quad \operatorname{div} u = 0$$

in Q_+ ; and in addition

$$|u(x,t)| \le \frac{c_d}{|x| + \sqrt{t}} \tag{1.4}$$

for all $z = (x, t) \in Q_+$.

To study the Liouville type statement about the above mild bounded ancient solutions, the duality method has been exploited in the paper [3]. In particular, the following Cauchy problem has been considered:

$$\partial_t v - u \cdot \nabla v - \Delta v - \nabla q = -\operatorname{div} F, \quad \operatorname{div} v = 0$$
(1.5)

in $Q_+ = \mathbb{R}^3 \times]0, \infty[$ and

$$v(x,0) = 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$
 (1.6)

It has been supposed that a tensor-valued field F is smooth and compactly supported in Q_+ . In addition, it has been assumed that F is skew symmetric, and therefore

$$\operatorname{div}\operatorname{div}F = F_{ij,ji} = 0. \tag{1.7}$$

Under the above assumptions, the long time behavior of solutions to (1.5), (1.6) has been studied in [3].

As to the drift u, one may assume that u is a bounded divergence free field in Q_+ , say $|u| \leq 1$, whose derivatives of any order exist and are bounded in Q_+ . It is not so difficult to check that the following identity takes place:

$$\int_{Q_+} u \cdot \operatorname{div} F dx dt = -\lim_{T \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u(x, T) \cdot v(x, T) dx.$$
(1.8)

Therefore, if, for any skew symmetric tensor field F, the solution v to the dual problem (1.5), (1.6) has a certain decay, then u must be identically equal to zero. It, in turns, says that the origin is a regular point of w.

With regards to the long time behavior of v, it has been proved the following.

SEREGIN/Turk J Math

Theorem 1.1 Let v be a solution v to (1.5) and (1.6) and let u be divergence free and satisfy (1.4). Then for any m = 0, 1..., two decay estimates are valid:

$$\|v(\cdot,t)\|_{1} \le c(m,c_{d},F)\sqrt{t^{\frac{3}{2}}}\frac{1}{\ln^{m}(t+e)}$$
(1.9)

and

$$\|v(\cdot,t)\|_{2} \le \frac{c(m,c_{d},F)}{\ln^{m}(t+e)}$$
(1.10)

for all $t \geq 0$.

Unfortunately, the above statement does not allow us to conclude that the mild bounded ancient solution u is equal to zero.

In this paper, we would like to examine a certain modification of duality method letting F = 0 but taking nonzero initial data. To be precise, let us consider the following Cauchy problem

$$\partial_t v - u \cdot \nabla v - \Delta v - \nabla q = 0, \qquad \text{div} \, v = 0 \tag{1.11}$$

in $Q_+ = \mathbb{R}^3 \times]0, \infty[$ and

$$v(x,0) = v_0(x) \tag{1.12}$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Here, v_0 belongs to the space J which is L_2 -closure of the set

$$C_{0,0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3) = \{ v \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3) : \operatorname{div} v = 0 \}.$$

Formal calculations show that

 \int_{T}^{T}

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u(\cdot,t) \cdot v(\cdot,t) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u(\cdot,0) \cdot v(\cdot,0) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u(\cdot,0) \cdot v_0(\cdot) dx$$
(1.13)

for all $t \ge 0$. Indeed,

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u(\cdot,T) \cdot v(\cdot,T) dx &- \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u(\cdot,0) \cdot v_0(\cdot) dx = \\ &\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v \cdot \partial_t + u \cdot \partial_t v) dz + \\ (v \cdot (u \cdot \nabla u - \Delta u + \nabla p) + u \cdot (u \cdot \nabla v + \Delta v + \nabla q)) dz \end{split}$$

It is also easy to see that equations (1.11) can be replaced with more symmetric ones:

$$\partial_t v - u \cdot \nabla v \mp u \cdot \nabla v - \Delta v - \nabla q = 0, \qquad \text{div} \, v = 0 \tag{1.14}$$

= 0

in $Q_+ = \mathbb{R}^3 \times]0, \infty[$ as the following identity is valid:

$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} u \cdot (v \cdot \nabla u) dz = 0.$$

If we assume that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u(\cdot, T) \cdot v(\cdot, T) dx \to 0 \tag{1.15}$$

as $T \to \infty$ for all $v_0 \in C_{0,0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, then

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^3} u(\cdot,0) \cdot v_0(\cdot) dx = 0$$

for all $v_0 \in C_{0,0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. The latter, together with (1.2) and (1.4), implies that u(x, 0) = 0 in \mathbb{R}^3 , which contradicts to (1.3). It would be a proof of the fact that z = 0 is a regular point w. Therefore, we need to prove a certain time decay of v that would provide (1.15). To this end, let us represent v as a sum of solutions to two Cauchy problems so that

$$v = v^1 + v^2; (1.16)$$

$$\partial_t v^1 - \Delta v^1 = 0 \text{ in } Q_+, \quad v^1(\cdot, 0) = v_0(\cdot) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3;$$
 (1.17)

$$\partial_t v^2 - \Delta v^2 + \nabla q = -\operatorname{div} v \otimes u, \ \operatorname{div} v^2 = 0 \tag{1.18}$$

in Q_+ with $v^2(\cdot, 0) = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^3 .

With regard to v^1 , we have the estimates

$$\|v^{1}(\cdot,t)\|_{s} \le \|v_{0}\|_{s} \tag{1.19}$$

for all $t \ge 0$ and all $1 \le s \le \infty$, and thus

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u(\cdot,T) \cdot v^1(\cdot,T) dx \to 0$$

as $T \to \infty$ for all $v_0 \in C_{0,0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Our aim is to prove results similar to what has been stated in the paper [3]. In particular, we are going to show that Theorem 1.1 remains to be true in the following reduction.

Theorem 1.2 Let v be a solution to (1.11) and (1.12) and let u be divergence free and satisfy (1.4). Then, for any m = 0, 1..., two decay estimates are valid:

$$\|v^{2}(\cdot,t)\|_{1} \le c(m,c_{d},v_{0})\sqrt{t^{\frac{3}{2}}}\frac{1}{\ln^{m}(t+e)}$$
(1.20)

and

$$\|v^{2}(\cdot,t)\|_{2} \leq \frac{c(m,c_{d},v_{0})}{\ln^{m}(t+e)}$$
(1.21)

for all $t \geq 1$.

Unfortunately, decay bounds in Theorem 1.2 do not provide the above scenario. One needs to improve decay estimates in it.

2. Comments on Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let

$$\mathcal{F} = -v \otimes u$$

The solution to the problem (1.18), (1.6) has the form, see for instance [2],

$$v^{2}(x,t) = \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} K(x-y,t-s)\mathcal{F}(y,s)dyds,$$
(2.1)

where the potential $K = (K_{ijl})$ defined with the help of the standard heat kernel Γ in the following way

$$\Delta \Phi(x,t) = \Gamma(x,t)$$

and

$$K_{ijl} = \Phi_{,ijl} - \delta_{il}\Phi_{,kkj}.$$

It is easy to check that the following bound is valid:

$$|K(x,t)| \le \frac{c}{(t+|x|^2)^2},\tag{2.2}$$

and therefore

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |K(x,t)| dx \le \frac{c}{\sqrt{t}}.$$
(2.3)

Assuming that

$$p \in]6/5, 2[, \tag{2.4})$$

and repeating the same arguments as in the paper [3], we arrive at a similar estimate

$$\|v^{2}(\cdot,t)\|_{p} \leq C(p) \int_{0}^{t} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{t-s}} \sqrt{s}^{-\frac{5p-6}{2p}} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\mathcal{F}(y,s)|^{2} (\sqrt{s}+|y|)^{2} dy \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where, by (1.4),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathcal{F}(y,s)|^2 (\sqrt{s} + |y|)^2 dy \le c(c_d \|v(\cdot,s)\|_2)^2,$$
(2.5)

and thus

$$\|v^{2}(\cdot,t)\|_{p} \leq C(p) \int_{0}^{t} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{t-s}} \sqrt{s^{-\frac{5p-6}{2p}}} c_{d} \|v(\cdot,s)\|_{2} ds$$

$$\leq C(p) \int_{0}^{t} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{t-s}} \sqrt{s}^{-\frac{5p-6}{2p}} c_d(\|v^1(\cdot,s)\|_2 + \|v^2(\cdot,s)\|_2) ds.$$

Here, we would like to use the following facts about time decay of solutions to the heat equations, see for example [5]:

Lemma 2.1 Let $v_0 \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $M = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v_0 dx$. Then

$$t^{\frac{3}{2}\frac{p-1}{p}} \|v_i^1(\cdot,t) - M_i\Gamma(\cdot,t)\|_p \to 0$$

as $t \to \infty$ for each i = 1, 2, 3 and for all $1 \le p \le \infty$.

From the above lemma, it follows that for any $v_0 \in C^{\infty}_{0,0}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we have

$$||v^1(\cdot, t)||_p \le c(v_0, p) f^{\frac{3(1-p)}{p}}(t)$$

for any $t \ge 0$ and for any $1 \le p \le \infty$, where $f(t) := \max\{1, \sqrt{t}\}$.

Therefore,

$$\|v^{2}(\cdot,t)\|_{p} \leq C(p) \int_{0}^{t} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{t-s}} \sqrt{s^{-\frac{5p-6}{2p}}} c_{d}(c(v_{0})f^{-\frac{3}{2}}(s) + \|v^{2}(\cdot,s)\|_{2}) ds,$$

where we need to evaluate the term

$$I = \int_{0}^{t} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{t-s}} \sqrt{s}^{-\frac{5p-6}{2p}} f^{-\frac{3}{2}}(s) ds.$$

To this end, consider two cases. In the first one, $0 \leq t \leq 1.$ Then

$$I = \int_{0}^{t} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{t-s}} \sqrt{s^{-\frac{5p-6}{2p}}} ds \le ct^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{5p-6}{4p}} = ct^{\frac{3(2-p)}{4p}} \le c(p).$$

If t > 1, then

$$I = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{t-s}} \sqrt{s^{-\frac{5p-6}{2p}}} ds + \int_{1}^{t} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{t-s}} \sqrt{s^{-\frac{5p-6}{2p}}} \sqrt{s^{-\frac{3}{2}}} ds = B_1 + B_2.$$

Obviously, $B_1 \leq c(p)$. As B_2 , we have

$$B_2 = \int_{\frac{t+1}{2}}^{t} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{t-s}} \sqrt{s^{-\frac{5p-6}{2p}}} \sqrt{s^{-\frac{3}{2}}} ds + \int_{1}^{\frac{t+1}{2}} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{t-s}} \sqrt{s^{-\frac{5p-6}{2p}}} \sqrt{s^{-\frac{3}{2}}} ds \le$$

$$\leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{t-1}} \int_{1}^{\frac{t+1}{2}} \sqrt{s}^{-\frac{5p-6}{2p}-\frac{3}{2}} ds + \sqrt{\frac{t-1}{2}}^{-\frac{5p-6}{2p}-\frac{3}{2}} \int_{1}^{t} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{t-s}}.$$

Assuming further that

$$p \le 3/2,\tag{2.6}$$

we arrive at:

$$B_2 \le \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{t-1}} \frac{6-4p}{4p} s^{\frac{6-4p}{4p}} \Big|_1^{\frac{t+1}{2}} + \sqrt{\frac{t-1}{2}}^{-\frac{5p-6}{2p}-\frac{3}{2}} 2\sqrt{\frac{t-1}{2}} \le c(p) f^{\frac{3(1-p)}{p}}(t).$$

Therefore, letting

$$A_p(t) := \int_0^t \frac{ds}{\sqrt{t-s}} \sqrt{s}^{-\frac{5p-6}{2p}} c_d \|v^2(\cdot, s)\|_2 ds,$$
(2.7)

we can rewrite the previous estimate

$$\|v^{2}(\cdot,t)\|_{p} \leq C(p)(c(c_{d},v_{0},p)f^{\frac{3(1-p)}{p}}(t) + A_{p}(t)).$$
(2.8)

Now, one can repeat the above arguments for p = 1 and find

$$\|v^{2}(\cdot,t)\|_{1} \leq \int_{0}^{t} \frac{c}{\sqrt{t-s}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\mathcal{F}(y,s)| dy ds.$$

Since

$$|\mathcal{F}(y,s)| \le \frac{c_d |v(y,s)|}{\sqrt{s} + |y|},$$

the latter estimate can be transformed as follows:

$$\begin{split} \|v^{2}(\cdot,t)\|_{1} &\leq c \int_{0}^{t} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{t-s}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{c_{d}|v(y,s)|}{\sqrt{s}+|y|} dy \\ &\leq c \int_{0}^{t} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{t-s}} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{s}+|y|} \Big)^{\frac{6+5\varepsilon}{6+5\varepsilon}} dy \Big)^{\frac{1+5\varepsilon}{6+5\varepsilon}} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} (c_{d}|v(y,s)|)^{\frac{6+5\varepsilon}{5}} dy \Big)^{\frac{5}{6+5\varepsilon}}
for some positive $0 < \varepsilon < 3/10$. Hence,

$$\|v^2(\cdot,t)\|_1 \le C_1(\varepsilon) \int_0^t \frac{ds}{\sqrt{t-s}} \sqrt{s^{3\frac{1+5\varepsilon}{6+5\varepsilon}-1}} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (c_d |v(y,s)|)^{\frac{6+5\varepsilon}{5}} dy \Big)^{\frac{5}{6+5\varepsilon}}$$

with

$$C_1(\varepsilon) := \left(\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{1}{1+|z|}\right)^{\frac{6+5\varepsilon}{1+5\varepsilon}} dz\right)^{\frac{1+5\varepsilon}{6+5\varepsilon}}.$$

Simplifying slightly the previous bound, we have

$$\|v^{2}(\cdot,t)\|_{1} \leq C_{2}(\varepsilon,c_{d}) \int_{0}^{t} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{t-s}} \sqrt{s^{\frac{-3+10\varepsilon}{6+5\varepsilon}}} (\|v^{1}(\cdot,s)\|_{\frac{6+5\varepsilon}{5}} + \|v^{2}(\cdot,s)\|_{\frac{6+5\varepsilon}{5}}) ds.$$

To estimate terms with v^1 and v^2 , we are going to use Lemma 2.1 and (2.8) with $p = 6/5 + \varepsilon$, respectively:

$$\begin{split} \|v^{2}(\cdot,t)\|_{1} &\leq C_{2}(\varepsilon,c_{d}) \int_{0}^{t} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{t-s}} \sqrt{s}^{\frac{-3+10\varepsilon}{6+5\varepsilon}} (c(c_{d},v_{0},\varepsilon)(\sqrt{s}^{-\frac{3(1+5\varepsilon)}{6+5\varepsilon}} + f^{-\frac{3(1+5\varepsilon)}{6+5\varepsilon}}(s)) \\ &+ A_{\frac{6}{5}+\varepsilon}(s)))ds \leq \leq C_{2}(\varepsilon,c_{d}) \int_{0}^{t} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{t-s}} \sqrt{s}^{\frac{-3+10\varepsilon}{6+5\varepsilon}} (c(c_{d},v_{0},\varepsilon)\sqrt{s}^{-\frac{3(1+5\varepsilon)}{6+5\varepsilon}} + A_{\frac{6}{5}+\varepsilon}(s)))ds \\ &\leq C_{3}(\varepsilon,c_{d},v_{0}) + C_{4}(\varepsilon,c_{d}) \int_{0}^{t} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{t-s}} \sqrt{s}^{\frac{-3+10\varepsilon}{6+5\varepsilon}} A_{\frac{6}{5}+\varepsilon}(s)ds. \end{split}$$

On the other hand,

$$A(p)(t) \le c_d \|v\|_{2,\infty} \int_0^t \frac{ds}{\sqrt{t-s}} \sqrt{s^{-\frac{5p-6}{2p}}} ds \le c_d \|v_0\|_2 C_2(p) \sqrt{t^{\frac{3}{2}\frac{2-p}{p}}}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\|v^{2}(\cdot,t)\|_{1} \leq C_{4}(\varepsilon,c_{d},v_{0})(1+\|v_{0}\|_{2}\sqrt{t}^{\frac{3}{2}}) \leq c(\varepsilon,c_{d},v_{0})f^{\frac{3}{2}}(t).$$

3. Improvement for L_2 -norm

Following [3], we have the energy inequality

$$\partial_t y(t) + \|\nabla v(\cdot, t)\|_2^2 \le 0 \tag{3.1}$$

with $y(t) = ||v(\cdot, t)||_2^2$.

The Fourier transform and Plancherel identity give us

$$\partial_t y(t) \le -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\xi|^2 |\widehat{v}(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi = -\int_{|\xi| > g(t)} |\xi|^2 |\widehat{v}(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi - \int_{|\xi| \le g(t)} |\xi|^2 |\widehat{v}(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi,$$

where g(t) is a given function which will be specified later on. The latter implies

$$y'(t) + g^{2}(t)y(t) \leq \int_{|\xi| \leq g(t)} (g^{2}(t) - |\xi|^{2}) |\widehat{v}(\xi, t)|^{2} d\xi.$$

Taking the Fourier transform of the Navier-Stokes equation, we find

$$\partial_t \widehat{v} + |\xi|^2 \widehat{v} = -\widehat{H},$$

where $H = -\operatorname{div}(v \otimes u + \mathbb{I}q)$. Clearly,

$$\widehat{v}(\xi,t) = -\int_0^t \exp\{-|\xi|^2(t-s)\}\widehat{H}(\xi,s)ds + \widehat{v}_0(\xi)\exp\{-|\xi|^2t\}$$

 $\quad \text{and} \quad$

$$|\widehat{H}(\xi,s)| \le |\xi| ||v(\cdot,s)||u(\cdot,s)||_1.$$

Denoting

$$a(s) = |||v(\cdot, s)||u(\cdot, s)|||_1,$$

we find

$$|\widehat{v}(\xi,t)| \le c \int_0^t \exp\{-|\xi|^2(t-s)\} |\xi| a(s) ds + |\widehat{v}_0(\xi)| \exp\{-|\xi|^2 t\}.$$

Applying the Hölder inequality, we get

$$\begin{split} y'(t) + g^2(t)y(t) \leq \\ \leq c \int_{|\xi| \leq g(t)} (g^2(t) - |\xi|^2) \Big(\int_0^t \exp\{-|\xi|^2(t-s)\} |\xi| a(s) ds + |\hat{v}_0(\xi)| \exp\{-|\xi|^2 t\} \Big)^2 d\xi \leq \\ \leq c \int_{|\xi| \leq g(t)} (g^2(t) - |\xi|^2) \Big[\int_0^t a^2(s) ds \int_0^t \exp\{-2|\xi|^2(t-s_1)\} |\xi|^2 ds_1 + \\ + |\hat{v}_0(\xi)|^2 \exp\{-2|\xi|^2 t\} \Big] d\xi \leq I_1 + I_2. \end{split}$$

For the first term, we have

$$I_1 \le c \int_0^t a^2(s) ds \int_0^t \int_{|\xi| \le g(t)} (g^2(t) - |\xi|^2) \exp\{-|\xi|^2(t-s_1)\} |\xi|^2 ds_1 d\xi.$$

It can be estimated in the same way as in [3]:

$$I_1 \le cg^6(t)\sqrt{t} \int_0^t a^2(s)ds.$$

As to the second term, we proceed as follows:

$$I_2 \le c \|v_0\|_1^2 \int_{|\xi| \le g(t)} (g^2(t) - |\xi|^2) \exp\{-|\xi|^2 t\} d\xi \le$$

$$\leq c \|v_0\|_1^2 \int_0^{g(t)} (g^2(t) - r^2) \exp\{-r^2 t\} r^2 dr \leq c \|v_0\|_1^2 g^5(t).$$

Therefore, we find

$$K(t) := I_1 + I_2 \le cg^6(t)\sqrt{t} \int_0^t a^2(s)ds + c(v_0)g^5(t),$$

and thus solution to our inequality has the form:

$$y(t) \le c \int_{0}^{t} \exp\left\{-\int_{s}^{t} g^{2}(\tau)d\tau\right\} K(s)ds + y(0)\exp\{-\int_{0}^{t} g^{2}(\tau)d\tau\}.$$
(3.2)

4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

As in the paper [3], we use the induction in m. The basis of induction has been already established in Section 2. Let us assume that our statement is true for m and show that it is true for m + 1.

We can present the right hand side of (3.2) as a sum so that

$$y(t) \le y_1(t) + y_2(t).$$

Then we select our function g(t) = h'(t)/h(t) with $h(t) = \ln^k(t+e)$ and k > 2m+2, for example, k = 2m+3. Next, we observe that

$$a(t) \le |||v^{1}(\cdot, t)||u(\cdot, t)|||_{1} + |||v^{2}(\cdot, t)||u(\cdot, t)|||_{1} \le ||v_{0}||_{1} + |||v^{2}(\cdot, t)||u(\cdot, t)||_{1}$$

and, for t > 1, by induction,

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{t} a^{2}(s)ds \leq 2\int_{0}^{t} \|v_{0}\|_{1}^{2} + 2\int_{0}^{t} \||v^{2}(\cdot,s)||u(\cdot,s)|\|_{1}^{2}ds \leq \\ &\leq c(v_{0})t + 2\int_{0}^{1} \|v^{2}(\cdot,s)\|_{1}^{2}ds + 2\int_{1}^{t} \frac{c_{d}^{2}\||v^{2}(\cdot,s)|\|_{1}^{2}}{s}ds \leq \\ &\leq c(v_{0},c_{d})t + c(v_{0},c_{d},m)\int_{1}^{t} \sqrt{s}\ln^{-2m}(s+e)ds. \end{split}$$

The function $y_1(t)$ is estimated in a similar same way as it has been done in [3]. Indeed, we are going to use the following simple statements.

Lemma 4.1 Let *l* be a real number and $\gamma > -1$.

(i) There exists a positive constant $c(\gamma, l)$ such that

$$\int_{1}^{t} s^{\gamma} \ln^{-l}(s+e) ds \le c(\gamma, l) t^{\gamma+1} \ln^{-l}(t+e)$$

for all $t \geq 1$;

(ii) There exists a positive constant $c(\gamma, l)$ such that

$$\int_{1}^{t} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-s}} s^{\gamma} \ln^{-l}(s+e) ds \le c(\gamma, l) t^{\gamma+1/2} \ln^{-l}(t+e), \quad \forall t \ge 1.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\int_{0}^{t} a^{2}(s)ds \leq c(v_{0})t + c(v_{0}, c_{d}, m)t^{\frac{3}{2}}\ln^{-2m}(t+e) \leq c(v_{0}, c_{d}, m)t^{\frac{3}{2}}\ln^{-2m}(t+e)$$

for all $t \geq 1$.

Now, we can estimate K(t) for $t \ge 1$. Indeed,

$$K(t) \le c(v_0, c_d, m)g^6(t)t^2 \ln^{2m}(t+e) + c(v_0)g^5(t) \le c(v_0, c_d, m)g^6(t)t^2 \ln^{2m}(t+e)$$

for all $t \geq 1$.

For $0 < t \leq 1$, we have

$$\int_{0}^{t} a^{2}(s)ds \le c(v_{0})$$

, and thus

$$K(t) \le c(v_0, c_d, m)g^5(t).$$

Now, we can find estimates y_1 and y_2 . Let us start with y_2 :

$$y_2(t) \le y_0 \int_0^t \frac{h'(s)}{h(s)} ds = y(0) \frac{h(0)}{h(t)} \le c(v_0, m) \min \Big\{ \frac{1}{\ln^{2m+2}(1+e)}, \frac{1}{\ln^{2m+2}(t+e)} \Big\}.$$

Now, we shall treat y_1 . For $0 < t \le 1$, it can be done easily. So that, we get $y_1(t) \le c(v_0, m)$ for this time interval.

What happens if $t \ge 1$? By the choice of the function g, we have

$$\begin{aligned} y_1(t) &\leq \frac{1}{h(t)} \int_0^t h(s) K(s) ds \leq \frac{1}{h(t)} \Big[\int_0^1 h(s) K(s) ds + \int_1^t h(s) K(s) ds \Big] \\ &\leq \frac{c(v_0, c_d, m)}{h(t)} \Big[1 + \int_0^t h(s) g^6(s) s^2 \ln^{-2m}(s+e) ds \Big]. \end{aligned}$$

The second term has been evaluated in the paper [3]. Therefore, finally, we find

$$y_1(t) \le c(v_0, c_d, m) \ln^{-2m-2}(t+2)$$

for all $t \geq 1$. So, induction for L_2 -norm is proved.

Now, we need to prove our statement for L_1 -norm. To this end, we need to consider $A_{\frac{6}{5}+\varepsilon}(t)$. For $0 < t \le 1$, the estimate is simple: $A_{\frac{6}{5}+\varepsilon}(t) \le c(v_0, c_d, m)$.

In the case $t \ge 1$, we can use Lemma 4.1. Indeed,

$$A_{\frac{6}{5}+\varepsilon}(t) \le c(v_0, c_d, m)(1 + \int_{1}^{t} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{t-s}} \sqrt{s^{-\frac{25\varepsilon}{2(6+5\varepsilon)}}} \frac{1}{\ln^{m+1}(s+e)} \le c(v_0, c_d, m) t^{\frac{12-15\varepsilon}{4(6+5\varepsilon)}} \frac{1}{\ln^{m+1}(t+e)}.$$

Then, for $t \ge 1$, by Lemma 4.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \|v^{2}(\cdot,t)\|_{1} &\leq c(v_{0},c_{d},m) \Big(1 + \int_{0}^{t} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{t-s}} s^{\frac{-3+10\varepsilon}{2(6+5\varepsilon)}} A_{\frac{6}{5}+\varepsilon}(s) \Big) \\ &\leq c(v_{0},c_{d},m) \Big(1 + \int_{1}^{t} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{t-s}} s^{\frac{1}{4}} \frac{1}{\ln^{m+1}(t+e)} \Big) leqc(v_{0},c_{d},m) \frac{t^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\ln^{m+1}(t+e)} \end{aligned}$$

for $t \ge 1$. Theorem 1.2 is proven.

Acknowledgment

The work is supported by the grant RFBR 20-01-00397.

References

- Caffarelli L, Kohn RV, Nirenberg L. Partial regularity of suitable weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics Vol. XXXV (1982), pp. 771–831.
- Koch G, Nadirashvili N, Seregin G, Šverák V. Liouville theorems for the Navier-Stokes equations and applications. Acta Mathematica 203 (2009), no. 1, 83–105.
- [3] Schonbek ME, Seregin G. Time decay for solutions to the Stokes equations with drift. Communications in Contemporary Mathematics 20 (2018), no. 3, 1750046, 19 pp.
- [4] Seregin G, Šverák V. On Type I singularities of the local axi-symmetric solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations, Communications in PDE's, 34(2009), pp. 171-201.
- [5] Vazquez JL. Asymptotic behaviour methods for the Heat Equation. Convergence to the Gaussian. arXiv:1706.10034v3 [math.AP] 22 November 2018.