

1-1-2022

On the solutions of fractional integro-differential equations involving Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stability results via ψ -fractional derivative with boundary value conditions

KULANDHIVEL KARTHIKEYAN

GOBI SELVARAJ MURUGAPANDIAN

ÖZGÜR EGE

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>






Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

KARTHIKEYAN, KULANDHIVEL; MURUGAPANDIAN, GOBI SELVARAJ; and EGE, ÖZGÜR (2022) "On the solutions of fractional integro-differential equations involving Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stability results via ψ -fractional derivative with boundary value conditions," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 46: No. 6, Article 31. <https://doi.org/10.55730/1300-0098.3283>
Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol46/iss6/31>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.

On the solutions of fractional integro-differential equations involving Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stability results via ψ -fractional derivative with boundary value conditions

Kulandhivel KARTHIKEYAN¹ , Gobi Selvaraj MURUGAPANDIAN² , Özgür EGE^{3,*} 

¹Department of Mathematics, Centre for Research and Development,

KPR Institute of Engineering and Technology, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India,

²Department of Mathematics, Nandha Engineering College, Erode, Tamil Nadu, India

³Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Ege University, İzmir, Turkey

Received: 18.03.2022

Accepted/Published Online: 02.06.2022

Final Version: 04.07.2022

Abstract: In this paper, we study boundary value problems for the impulsive integro-differential equations via ψ -fractional derivative. The contraction mapping concept and Schaefer's fixed point theorem are used to produce the main results. The results reported here are more general than those found in the literature, and some special cases are presented. Furthermore, we discuss the Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stability of the solution to the proposed system.

Key words: Fractional integro-differential equation, Schaefer's fixed point theorem, Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stability

1. Introduction

In this paper, we investigate the existence and Ulam–Hyers stability results for ψ -fractional impulsive integro-differential equation with boundary condition

$${}^c\mathbf{D}^{\beta;\psi}\mathbf{x}(\xi) = \mathcal{G}\left(\xi, \mathbf{x}(\xi), \int_0^\xi \mathbf{p}(\xi, s, \mathbf{x}(s))ds\right), \quad \text{for each } \xi \in \mathbb{F} := [0, t], \beta \in (0, 1), \quad (1.1)$$

$$\Delta\mathbf{x}|_{\xi=\xi_j} = \mathbb{I}_j(\mathbf{x}(\xi_k^-)), \quad \xi = \xi_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (1.2)$$

$$l\mathbf{x}(0) + m\mathbf{x}(t) = c, \quad (1.3)$$

where the ψ -Caputo fractional derivative ${}^c\mathbf{D}^{\beta;\psi}$ of order β . Let the continuous functions be $\mathcal{G} : \mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$, $\mathbf{p} : \Delta \times \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ and the real constants be l, m, n with $l + m \neq 0$. Now $\Delta = \{(\xi, s) : 0 \leq s \leq \xi \leq t\}$. For the purpose of brevity, we make use of

$$\mathbb{P}\mathbf{x}(\xi) = \int_0^\xi \mathbf{p}(\xi, s, \mathbf{x}(s))ds.$$

Fractional differential equations (FDEs) have newly confirmed to be important aid in modelling of numerable phenomena in different fields of science and engineering. Viscoelasticity, electrochemistry, control,

*Correspondence: ozgur.ege@ege.edu.tr

2010 *AMS Mathematics Subject Classification*: 26A33, 34A12, 34K40, 47H08

porous media, electromagnetic, and other difficulties have a variety of applications (see [4, 21] and references in that). In latterly, there has been a dramatic increase in the number of ODE and PDE incorporating both Riemann–Liouville and Caputo fractional derivatives; see the monographs of Hilfer [24], Podlubny [33] and Samko et al. [34]. The theoretical study of these kinds of differential equations is significant for the applicability on the reality. For that reason, as a part of theoretical study, the preknowledge of the existence of a solution to FDEs is the first action for finding the analytic solution. Many natural phenomena can be formulated by BVPs of FDEs. We mention here some works on FDEs with boundary conditions (see [5],[7]–[20] and references therein). By creating various fractional integral inequalities with applying the nonlinear alternative Leray–Schauder type, Aghajani et al. investigated the solvability of a huge group of nonlinear fractional integro-differential equations. Balachandran and Kiruthika analysed the presence of results of nonlinear fractional integro-differential equations of Sobolev type with nonlocal condition in Banach spaces [6]. Very recently, Almeida [2] introduced the ψ -fractional derivative with respect to some other function. For more information on ψ -type derivatives, see [23], [35].

The remainder of this paper is formatted as follows. In the second section, we go through some helpful preliminaries. In the third section, we establish certain necessary criteria of the existence of the solutions and fourth section includes Ulam–Hyers stability.

2. Preliminaries

This part includes some basic definitions and results used throughout this paper. All continuous functions from \mathbb{F} into \mathbb{E} are represented in the Banach space by $C(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{E})$ and

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty} := \sup\{|\mathbf{x}(\xi)| : \mathbf{x} \in C(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{E}), \xi \in \mathbb{F}\}.$$

Definition 2.1 [22] *A family \mathcal{A} in $C(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{E})$ is equicontinuous at t in \mathbb{F} if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta(\varepsilon, t) > 0$ such that, for each $s \in \mathbb{F}$ with $\|t - s\| < \delta(\varepsilon, t)$, we have $\|f(t) - f(s)\| < \varepsilon$, uniformly with respect to $f \in \mathcal{A}$.*

For a complete study on ψ -fractional derivative, we are referring to [2],[36].

Definition 2.2 *Let $\beta > 0$, $\mathbb{F} = [0, t]$ be either a finite or infinite interval, and \mathcal{G} be an integrable function defined on \mathbb{F} with an increasing function $\psi \in C^1(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{E})$ such that $\psi'(\xi) \neq 0$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{F}$. The followings are fractional integrals and fractional derivatives of a function \mathcal{G} with respect to another function ψ*

$$\begin{aligned} I^{\beta;\psi} \mathcal{G}(\xi) &:= \frac{1}{\mu(\beta)} \int_0^{\xi} \psi'(s) (\psi(\xi) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1} \mathcal{G}(s) ds, \\ \mathbf{D}^{\beta;\psi} \mathcal{G}(\xi) &:= \left(\frac{1}{\psi'(t)} \frac{d}{d\xi} \right)^r I^{r-\beta;\psi} \mathcal{G}(\xi) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu(r-\beta)} \left(\frac{1}{\psi'(\xi)} \frac{d}{d\xi} \right)^r \int_0^{\xi} \psi'(s) (\psi(\xi) - \psi(s))^{r-\beta-1} \mathcal{G}(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

respectively, where $r = [\beta] + 1$.

Gronwall's lemma for ψ -fractional derivative is generalised as follows. It plays a vital role in Ulam–Hyers stability's proof.

Lemma 2.3 [36] Let $\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{L} : [0, t] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be continuous functions where $t \leq \infty$. If \mathbf{L} is nondecreasing, $k \geq 0$ and $0 < \beta < 1$ are constants such that

$$\mathbf{H}(\xi) \leq \mathbf{L}(\xi) + k \int_0^\xi \psi'(\xi)(\psi(\xi) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1} \mathbf{H}(s) ds, \quad \xi \in [0, t],$$

then

$$\mathbf{H}(\xi) \leq \mathbf{L}(\xi) + \int_0^\xi \left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{(k\mu(\beta))^n}{\mu(n\beta)} (\psi(\xi) - \psi(s))^{n\beta-1} \mathbf{L}(s) \right) ds, \quad \xi \in [0, t].$$

Remark 2.4 [36] According to Lemma 2.3's hypothesis, for a nondecreasing function $\mathbf{L}(\xi)$ on $[0, t]$, we have

$$\mathbf{H}(\xi) \leq \mathbf{L}(\xi) E_{\beta; \psi}(k\mu(\beta)(\psi(\xi))^\beta).$$

Theorem 2.5 (Banach fixed point theorem) Let C be a nonempty closed subset of a Banach space X . Then there is a single fixed point for any contraction mapping \mathcal{P} of C into itself.

Theorem 2.6 (Schaefer's fixed point theorem) Let completely continuous operator be $\mathcal{P} : C(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{E}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{E})$. If the set

$$\kappa = \{\mathbf{x} \in C(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{E}) : \mathbf{x} = \mu \mathcal{P}(u) \text{ for any } \mu \in (0, t)\}$$

is bounded, then at least, \mathcal{P} has a fixed point.

For recent interesting results on existence and uniqueness problems of fixed points, see [10–19, 31, 32].

3. Existence results

Let us start by defining what we mean when we say the solution of the problem (1.1)–(1.3).

Definition 3.1 $u \in C^1(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{E})$ is a function and is said to be a solution of (1.1)–(1.3) if u fulfilled

$${}^c \mathbf{D}^{\beta; \psi} \mathbf{x}(\xi) = \mathcal{G}(t, \mathbf{x}(\xi))$$

on \mathbb{F} , $\Delta \mathbf{x}|_{\xi=\xi_k} = \mathbb{I}_k(\mathbf{x}(\xi_j^-))$, $\xi = \xi_j$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ with the condition $l\mathbf{x}(0) + m\mathbf{x}(t) = n$.

The following lemma is required to obtain the existence of solutions for the equation (1.1)–(1.3).

Lemma 3.2 [35] Let $\beta \in (0, 1)$, $\mathcal{G}, \psi : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ and $\mathbf{p} : \Delta \times \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ be a continuous function. \mathbf{x} is the solution to the fractional integral equation ψ

$$\mathbf{x}(\xi) = \mathbf{x}_0 + \frac{1}{\mu(\beta)} \int_0^\xi \psi'(s)(\psi(\xi) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1} \mathcal{G}(s, \mathbf{x}(s), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{x}(s)) ds$$

if and only if \mathbf{x} is the solution to the IVP for the ψ -fractional differential equation.

$${}^c \mathbf{D}^{\beta; \psi} \mathbf{x}(\xi) = \mathcal{G}(\xi, \mathbf{x}(\xi), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{x}(\xi)), \quad \text{for each } \xi \in \mathbb{F} := [0, t], \quad \beta \in (0, 1), \quad \mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_0.$$

We have the following lemma as a result of Lemma 3.2 which will be applied in the later.

Lemma 3.3 Let $\beta \in (0, 1)$, $\mathcal{G}, \psi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ and $\mathbf{p} : \Delta \times \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ be a continuous function. The fractional impulsive integral equation ψ has a solution called \mathbf{x}

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}(\xi) &= \frac{1}{\mu(\beta)} \int_0^\xi \psi'(s)(\psi(\xi) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1} \mathcal{G}(s, \mathbf{x}(s), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{x}(s)) ds \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{l+m} \left[\frac{m}{\mu(\beta)} \int_0^t \psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1} \mathcal{G}(s, \mathbf{x}(s), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{x}(s)) ds - n \right] \\ &\quad + \sum_{0 < \xi_j < \xi} \mathbb{I}_j(\mathbf{x}(\xi_j^-)) \end{aligned}$$

if and only if \mathbf{x} is the solution to the BVP for the ψ -fractional differential equation

$${}^c \mathbf{D}^{\beta; \psi} \mathbf{x}(\xi) = \mathcal{G}(\xi, \mathbf{x}(\xi), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{x}(\xi)), \quad \text{for each } \xi \in \mathbb{F} := [0, t], \quad \beta \in (0, 1),$$

$$\Delta \mathbf{x}|_{\xi=\xi_j} = \mathbb{I}_j(\mathbf{x}(\xi_j^-)), \quad \xi = \xi_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

$$l\mathbf{x}(0) + m\mathbf{x}(t) = n.$$

We impose the following assumptions:

(H1) $\mathcal{G} : \mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ is a continuous function.

(H2) $\mathbf{K} > 0$ is constant such that

$$|\mathcal{G}(\xi, \mathcal{U}) - \mathcal{G}(\xi, \bar{\mathcal{U}})| \leq \mathbf{K}|\mathcal{U} - \bar{\mathcal{U}}|, \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbb{F}, \quad \forall \mathcal{U}, \bar{\mathcal{U}} \in \mathbb{E}.$$

(H3) $\mathbf{K} > 0$ is constant such that

$$|\mathcal{G}(\xi, \mathcal{U}, \bar{\mathcal{U}})| \leq \mathbf{K} \quad \text{for each } \xi \in \mathbb{F}, \quad \forall \mathcal{U}, \bar{\mathcal{U}} \in \mathbb{E}.$$

(H4) $\mathbf{p} : \Delta \times \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ is a continuous function and $\mathbb{P}_1 > 0$ is a constant such that

$$|\mathbf{p}(\xi, s, \mathcal{U}) - \mathbf{p}(\xi, s, \bar{\mathcal{U}})| \leq \mathbb{P}_1|\mathcal{U} - \bar{\mathcal{U}}|, \quad \forall \mathcal{U}, \bar{\mathcal{U}} \in \mathbb{E}.$$

(H5) There exists $\rho > 0$ that says

$$\|I_k(x) - I_k(y)\| \leq \rho\|x - y\|, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X \quad \text{with } k = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Also, there exists $\rho_1 > 0$ that says

$$\|I_k(x)\| \leq \rho_1, \quad \forall x \in X \quad \text{and } k = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Banach fixed point theorem gives our first result.

Theorem 3.4 Suppose (H1), (H2), (H4) and (H5) hold. If

$$\frac{\mathbf{K}(1 + \mathbb{P}_1)(\psi(t))^\beta}{\mu(\beta + 1)} \left(1 + \frac{|m|}{|l+m|} \right) + \rho\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v}\|_\infty < 1, \tag{3.1}$$

on \mathbb{F} , there is only one solution to the BVP (1.1)–(1.3).

Proof Transform (1.1)–(1.3) into a fixed point problem. Denote $\Phi = C(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{E})$. Let $\mathcal{P} : \Phi \rightarrow \Phi$ be a operator and defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}\mathbf{x}(\xi) &= \frac{1}{\mu(\beta)} \int_0^\xi \psi'(s)(\psi(\xi) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1} \mathcal{G}(s, \mathbf{x}(s), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{x}(s)) ds \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{l+m} \left[\frac{m}{\mu(\beta)} \int_0^t \psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1} \mathcal{G}(s, \mathbf{x}(s), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{x}(s)) ds - n \right] \\ &\quad + \sum_{0 < \xi_j < \xi} \mathbb{I}_j(\mathbf{x}(\xi_j^-)). \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Noticeably, the operator \mathcal{P} 's fixed points are the solutions to the problem (1.1)–(1.3). To verify that \mathcal{P} defined by (3.2) has a fixed point, we will apply Banach contraction principle. Firstly, we show that \mathcal{P} is a contraction.

If $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v} \in \Phi$, then for every $\xi \in \mathbb{F}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{x})(\xi) - \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{v})(\xi)| &\leq \frac{1}{\mu(\beta)} \int_0^\xi \psi'(s)(\psi(\xi) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1} |\mathcal{G}(s, \mathbf{x}(s), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{x}(s)) - \mathcal{G}(s, \mathbf{v}(s), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{v}(s))| ds \\ &\quad - \frac{|m|}{|l+m|\mu(\beta)} \int_0^t \psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1} |\mathcal{G}(s, \mathbf{x}(s), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{x}(s)) - \mathcal{G}(s, \mathbf{v}(s), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{v}(s))| ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{0 < \xi_j < \xi} \|\mathbb{I}_j \mathbf{x}(\xi_j^-) - \mathbb{I}_j \mathbf{v}(\xi_j^-)\| \\ &\leq \frac{\mathbf{K}(1 + \mathbb{P}_1) \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v}\|_\infty}{\mu(\beta)} \int_0^t \psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1} ds \\ &\quad - \frac{|m|\mathbf{K}(1 + \mathbb{P}_1) \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v}\|_\infty}{|l+m|\mu(\beta)} \int_0^t \psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1} ds \\ &\quad + \rho \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v}\| \\ &\leq \left[\frac{\mathbf{K}(1 + \mathbb{P}_1)(\psi(t))^\beta}{\mu(\beta + 1)} \left(1 + \frac{|m|}{|l+m|} \right) + \rho \right] \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v}\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{v})\|_\infty \leq \left[\frac{\mathbf{K}(1 + \mathbb{P}_1)(\psi(t))^\beta}{\mu(\beta + 1)} \left(1 + \frac{|m|}{|l+m|} \right) + \rho \right] \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v}\|_\infty. \tag{3.3}$$

Hence \mathcal{P} is a contraction by (3.1). We derive that \mathcal{P} has a fixed point, which is a solution of the problem (1.1)–(1.3) based on a consequence of Banach fixed point theorem. \square

The following result comes from Schaefer's fixed point theorem.

Theorem 3.5 *Suppose (H1), (H3), (H4) and (H5) hold. Then there exists at least one solution on \mathbb{F} to the BVP (1.1)–(1.3).*

Proof Schaefer’s fixed point theorem will be used to verify that \mathcal{P} defined by (3.2) has a fixed point. Proof will be presented in stages.

Claim 1: Let \mathcal{P} be a continuous operator and $\{\mathbf{x}_n\}$ be a sequence such that $\mathbf{x}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{x}$ in Φ . Then for each $\xi \in \mathbb{F}$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{x}_n)(\xi) - \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{x})(\xi)| &\leq \frac{1}{\mu(\beta)} \int_0^\xi \psi'(s)(\psi(\xi) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1} |\mathcal{G}(s, \mathbf{x}_n(s), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{x}_n(s)) - \mathcal{G}(s, \mathbf{x}(s), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{x}(s))| ds \\
 &\quad + \frac{|m|}{|l+m|\mu(\beta)} \int_0^t \psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1} |\mathcal{G}(s, \mathbf{x}_n(s), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{x}_n(s)) - \mathcal{G}(s, \mathbf{x}(s), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{x}(s))| ds \\
 &\quad + \sum_{0 < \xi_j < \xi} \|\mathbb{I}_j(\mathbf{x}_n(\xi_j^-)) - \mathbb{I}_j(\mathbf{x}(\xi_j^-))\| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{\mu(\beta)} \int_0^\xi \psi'(s)(\psi(\xi) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1} \sup_{s \in \mathbb{F}} |\mathcal{G}(s, \mathbf{x}_n(s), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{x}_n(s)) - \mathcal{G}(s, \mathbf{x}(s), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{x}(s))| ds \\
 &\quad + \frac{|m|}{|l+m|\mu(\beta)} \int_0^t \psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1} \sup_{s \in \mathbb{F}} |\mathcal{G}(s, \mathbf{x}_n(s), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{x}_n(s)) - \mathcal{G}(s, \mathbf{x}(s), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{x}(s))| ds \\
 &\quad + \sum_{0 < \xi_j < \xi} \|\mathbb{I}_j(\mathbf{x}_n(\xi_j^-)) - \mathbb{I}_j(\mathbf{x}(\xi_j^-))\| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{\mu(\beta)} \left[\int_0^\xi \psi'(s)(\psi(\xi) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1} ds + \frac{|m|}{|l+m|} \int_0^t \psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1} ds \right] \\
 &\quad \|\mathcal{G}(\cdot, \mathbf{x}_n(\cdot), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{x}_n(\cdot)) - \mathcal{G}(\cdot, \mathbf{x}(\cdot), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{x}(\cdot))\|_\infty + \|\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}\| \rho \\
 &\leq \frac{(\psi(t))^\beta}{\mu(\beta+1)} \left(1 + \frac{|m|}{|l+m|} \right) \|\mathcal{G}(\cdot, \mathbf{x}_n(\cdot), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{x}_n(\cdot)) - \mathcal{G}(\cdot, \mathbf{x}(\cdot), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{x}(\cdot))\|_\infty + \|\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}\| \rho.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since \mathcal{G} is a continuous function, then we get

$$\|\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{x}_n) - \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{x})\|_\infty \leq \frac{(\psi(t))^\beta}{\mu(\beta+1)} \left(1 + \frac{|m|}{|l+m|} \right) \|\mathcal{G}(\cdot, \mathbf{x}_n(\cdot), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{x}_n(\cdot)) - \mathcal{G}(\cdot, \mathbf{x}(\cdot), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{x}(\cdot))\|_\infty + \|\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}\| \rho.$$

Claim 2: In Φ , the operator \mathcal{P} maps bounded sets into bounded sets. In fact, it is sufficient to prove that there exists a positive constant ζ for each $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{D}_q = \{\mathbf{x} \in \Phi : \|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty \leq q\}$ where $q > 0$ such that

$\|\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{x})\|_\infty \leq \zeta$. By (H3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{x})(\xi)| &\leq \frac{1}{\mu(\beta)} \int_0^\xi \psi'(s)(\psi(\xi) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1} |\mathcal{G}(s, \mathbf{x}(s), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{x}(s))| ds \\ &\quad + \frac{|m|}{|l+m|} \frac{1}{\mu(\beta)} \int_0^t \psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1} |\mathcal{G}(s, \mathbf{x}(s), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{x}(s))| ds + \frac{|n|}{|l+m|} \\ &\quad + \sum_{0 < \xi_j < \xi} \|\mathbb{I}_j(\mathbf{x}(\xi_j^-))\| \\ &\leq \frac{\mathbf{K}}{\mu(\beta)} \int_0^\xi \psi'(s)(\psi(\xi) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1} ds \\ &\quad + \frac{|m|}{|l+m|} \frac{\mathbf{K}}{\mu(\beta)} \int_0^t \psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1} ds + \frac{|n|}{|l+m|} + \rho_1 \\ &\leq \frac{\mathbf{K}}{\mu(\beta+1)} (\psi(t))^\beta + \frac{\mathbf{K}|m|}{|\beta+1|\mu(l+m)} (\psi(t))^\beta + \frac{|n|}{|l+m|} + \rho_1 \end{aligned}$$

for every $\xi \in \mathbb{F}$. Therefore we find

$$\|\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{x})\|_\infty \leq \frac{\mathbf{K}}{\mu(\beta+1)} (\psi(t))^\beta + \frac{\mathbf{K}|m|}{|\beta+1|\mu(l+m)} (\psi(t))^\beta + \frac{|n|}{|l+m|} + \rho_1 := \zeta$$

Claim 3: The operator \mathcal{P} maps bounded sets into equicontinuous sets of Φ . Let $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{F}$ with $\xi_1 < \xi_2$ and \mathbf{D}_q be the bounded set with $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{D}_q$ in Φ as in Claim 2. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{x})(\xi_2) - \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{x})(\xi_1)| &\leq \left| \frac{1}{\mu(\beta)} \int_0^{\xi_1} \psi'(s) [(\psi(\xi_2) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1} - (\psi(\xi_1) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1}] \mathcal{G}(s, \mathbf{x}(s), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{x}(s)) ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\mu(\beta)} \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} \psi'(s)(\psi(\xi_2) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1} \mathcal{G}(s, \mathbf{x}(s), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{x}(s)) ds \right| \\ &\quad + \sum_{0 < \xi_j < \xi} \|\mathbb{I}_j(\mathbf{x}(\xi_2^-)) - \mathbb{I}_j(\mathbf{x}(\xi_1^-))\| \\ &\leq \frac{\mathbf{K}}{\mu(\beta)} \int_0^{\xi_1} \psi'(s) [(\psi(\xi_2) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1} - (\psi(\xi_1) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1}] ds \\ &\quad + \frac{\mathbf{K}}{\mu(\beta)} \int_{\xi_1}^{\xi_2} \psi'(s)(\psi(\xi_2) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1} ds + \|\mathbf{x}(\xi_2) - \mathbf{x}(\xi_1)\| \rho \\ &\leq \frac{\mathbf{K}}{\mu(\beta+1)} (\psi(\xi_2) - \psi(\xi_1))^\beta + \frac{\mathbf{K}}{\mu(\beta+1)} ((\psi(\xi_1))^\beta - (\psi(\xi_2))^\beta) \|\mathbf{x}(\xi_2) - \mathbf{x}(\xi_1)\| \rho. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\xi_1 \rightarrow \xi_2$, the above inequality's right-hand side tends to zero. We will be able to finish that $\mathcal{G} : \Phi \rightarrow \Phi$ is continuous because of a result of Stages 1 to 3 together with the Arzela–Ascoli theorem.

Claim 4: A priori bounds. Now it remains to prove that

$$\kappa = \{\mathbf{x} \in \Phi : \mathbf{x} = \mu\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{x}) \text{ for any } \mu \in (0, 1)\}$$

is bounded. If $\mathbf{x} \in \kappa$, then $\mu\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{x})$ for any $\mu \in (0, 1)$. Hence, for each $\xi \in \mathbb{F}$, we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}(\xi) &= \mu \left[\frac{1}{\mu(\beta)} \int_0^\xi \psi'(s)(\psi(\xi) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1} \mathcal{G}(s, \mathbf{x}(s), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{x}(s)) ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{l+m} \left[\frac{m}{\mu(\beta)} \int_0^t \psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1} \mathcal{G}(s, \mathbf{x}(s), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{x}(s)) ds - n \right] \right] \\ &\quad + \sum_{0 < \xi_j < \xi} \mathbb{I}_j(\mathbf{x}(\xi_j^-)). \end{aligned}$$

We complete this stage by considering the estimation in Claim 2. As a result of Schaefer’s fixed point theorem, we finish the proof that \mathcal{P} has fixed point which is the solution of the problem (1.1)–(1.3). \square

4. Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stability

In this part, we study the Ulam stability of BVP for ψ -fractional differential equations (1.1)–(1.3). There are many works on the Ulam stability of solutions for fractional differential equations. We mention here some works [3],[25]–[30]; also see the references cited therein. A similar idea can be found in [7]. However, for the next problem, we will look at Ulam stability.

$${}^c\mathbf{D}^{\beta;\psi} \mathbf{x}(\xi) = \mathcal{G}(\xi, \mathbf{x}(\xi), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{x}(\xi)), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{F} := [0, t]. \tag{4.1}$$

Let $\mathcal{G} : \mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$, $\mathbf{p} : \Delta \times \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ be continuous functions. For the simplicity, we make use of

$$\mathbb{P}\mathbf{x}(\xi) = \int_0^\xi \mathbf{p}(\xi, s, \mathbf{x}(s)) ds.$$

We pay attention to the topic of a novel operator with respect to another function, as it covers many fractional systems that are special cases for various values. More precisely, the existence and the Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stability of solutions to the system (4.1) are obtained in weighted spaces by using standard Schaefer’s fixed point theorem and the following inequalities:

$$|{}^c\mathbf{D}^{\beta;\psi} \mathbf{H}(\xi) - \mathcal{G}(\xi, \mathbf{H}(\xi), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{H}(\xi))| \leq \epsilon, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{F}, \tag{4.2}$$

$$|{}^c\mathbf{D}^{\beta;\psi} \mathbf{H}(\xi) - \mathcal{G}(\xi, \mathbf{H}(\xi), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{H}(\xi))| \leq \epsilon\varphi(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{F}, \tag{4.3}$$

$$|{}^c\mathbf{D}^{\beta;\psi} \mathbf{H}(\xi) - \mathcal{G}(\xi, \mathbf{H}(\xi), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{H}(\xi))| \leq \varphi(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{F}. \tag{4.4}$$

Definition 4.1 Equation (4.1) is Ulam–Hyers stable if there exists $C_f > 0$ which is a real number such that for each $\epsilon > 0$ and for every solution $\mathbf{H} \in \Phi$ of inequality (4.2) there exists a solution $\mathbf{x} \in \Phi$ of Equation (4.1) along with

$$|\mathbf{H}(\xi) - \mathbf{x}(\xi)| \leq C_f \epsilon, \quad \xi \in J.$$

Definition 4.2 Equation (4.1) is generalized Ulam–Hyers stable if there exists $\psi_f \in C([0, \infty), [0, \infty))$, $\psi_f(0) = 0$ such that for one solution $\mathbf{H} \in \Phi$ of inequality (4.2) there exists a solution $\mathbf{x} \in \Phi$ of Equation (4.1) with

$$|\mathbf{H}(\xi) - \mathbf{x}(\xi)| \leq \psi_f \epsilon, \quad \xi \in J.$$

Definition 4.3 Equation (4.1) is Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stable with respect to $\varphi \in \Phi$ if there exists a real number $C_f > 0$ such that for each $\epsilon > 0$ and for any solution $\mathbf{H} \in \Phi$ of inequality (4.3) there exists a solution $\mathbf{x} \in \Phi$ of Equation (4.1) with

$$|\mathbf{H}(\xi) - \mathbf{x}(\xi)| \leq C_f \epsilon \varphi(\xi), \quad \xi \in J.$$

Definition 4.4 Equation (4.1) is generalized Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stable with respect to $\varphi \in \Phi$ if there exists a real number $C_{f,\varphi} > 0$ such that for each solution $\mathbf{H} \in \Phi$ of inequality (4.4) there exists a solution $\mathbf{x} \in \Phi$ of Equation (4.1) with

$$|\mathbf{H}(\xi) - \mathbf{x}(\xi)| \leq C_{f,\varphi} \varphi(\xi), \quad \xi \in J.$$

Remark 4.5 A function $\mathbf{H} \in \Phi$ is a solution of (4.2) if and only if there exists a function $g \in \Phi$ (which depend on \mathbf{H}) such that

$$(1) |g(\xi)| \leq \epsilon, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{F}; \quad (2) {}^c\mathbf{D}^{\beta;\psi} \mathbf{H}(\xi) = \mathcal{G}(\xi, \mathbf{H}(\xi), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{H}(\xi)) + g(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{F}.$$

Remark 4.6 Let $\beta \in (0, 1)$. If $\mathbf{H} \in \Phi$ is a solution of the inequality (4.2), then the inequality has a solution of \mathbf{H}

$$\left| \mathbf{H}(\xi) - \mathfrak{A}_{\mathbf{H}} - \frac{1}{\lambda(\beta)} \int_0^\xi \psi'(s)(\psi(\xi) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1} \mathcal{G}(\xi, \mathbf{H}(\xi), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{H}(\xi)) ds \right| \leq \epsilon \frac{(\psi(t))^\beta}{\lambda(\beta+1)} \left(1 + \frac{|m|}{|l+m|} \right).$$

In fact, by Remark 4.5, we have

$${}^c\mathbf{D}^{\beta;\psi} \mathbf{H}(\xi) = \mathcal{G}(\xi, \mathbf{H}(\xi), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{H}(\xi)) + g(\xi), \quad \text{where } \xi \in \mathbb{F},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}(\xi) &= \mathfrak{A}_{\mathbf{H}} + \frac{1}{\lambda(\beta)} \int_0^\xi \psi'(s)(\psi(\xi) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1} \mathcal{G}(\xi, \mathbf{H}(\xi), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{H}(\xi)) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\lambda(\beta)} \int_0^\xi \psi'(s)(\psi(\xi) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1} g(s) ds \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{m}{l+m} \right) \frac{1}{\lambda(\beta)} \int_0^\xi \psi'(s)(\psi(\xi) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1} g(s) ds, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{F}, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\mathfrak{A}_{\mathbf{H}} = \frac{1}{l+m} \left[n - \frac{m}{\lambda(\beta)} \int_0^t \psi'(s)(\psi(\xi) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1} \mathcal{G}(\xi, \mathbf{H}(\xi), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{H}(\xi)) ds \right].$$

From this, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \mathbf{H}(\xi) - \mathfrak{A}_{\mathbf{H}} - \frac{1}{\lambda(\beta)} \int_0^\xi \psi'(s)(\psi(\xi) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1} \mathcal{G}(\xi, \mathbf{H}(\xi), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{H}(\xi)) ds \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{\lambda(\beta)} \int_0^\xi \psi'(s)(\psi(\xi) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1} g(s) ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left(\frac{m}{l+m} \right) \frac{1}{\lambda(\beta)} \int_0^\xi \psi'(s)(\psi(\xi) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1} g(s) ds \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda(\beta)} \int_0^\xi \psi'(s)(\psi(\xi) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1} |g(s)| ds \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{m}{l+m} \right) \int_0^\xi \psi'(s)(\psi(\xi) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1} |g(s)| ds \\ &\leq \epsilon \frac{(\psi(t))^\beta}{\lambda(\beta+1)} \left(1 + \frac{|m|}{|l+m|} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.7 It is obvious that Definition 4.1 \Rightarrow Definition 4.2 and Definition 4.3 \Rightarrow Definition 4.4.

Remark 4.8 A solution of the ψ -fractional differential equations with boundary condition inequality (4.2) is said to be an ϵ -solution of problem (4.1).

Theorem 4.9 Suppose (H1), (H2), (H4), (H5) and (3.1) hold. Then, the problem (1.1)–(1.3) is Ulam–Hyers stable.

Proof Let $\epsilon > 0$, $\mathbf{H} \in \Phi$ be a function satisfying inequality (4.2) and $\mathbf{x} \in \Phi$ be the unique solution of the following problem

$$\begin{aligned} {}^c \mathbf{D}^{\beta; \psi} \mathbf{x}(\xi) &= \mathcal{G}(\xi, \mathbf{x}(\xi), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{x}(\xi)), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{F}, \quad \beta \in (0, 1), \\ \Delta \mathbf{x}|_{\xi=\xi_j} &= \mathbb{I}_j(\mathbf{x}(\xi_j^-)), \quad \xi = \xi_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ \mathbf{x}(0) &= \mathbf{H}(0), \quad \mathbf{x}(t) = \mathbf{H}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3.3, we obtain

$$\mathbf{x}(\xi) = \mathfrak{A}_{\mathbf{x}} + \frac{1}{\lambda(\beta)} \int_0^\xi \psi'(s)(\psi(\xi) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1} \mathcal{G}(\xi, \mathbf{x}(\xi), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{x}(\xi)) ds + \sum_{0 < \xi_j < \xi} \mathbb{I}_j(\mathbf{x}(\xi_j^-)).$$

Alternatively, if $\mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{H}(0)$, $\mathbf{x}(t) = \mathbf{H}(t)$, then $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathbf{x}} = \mathfrak{A}_{\mathbf{H}}$. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathfrak{A}_{\mathbf{x}} - \mathfrak{A}_{\mathbf{H}}| &\leq \frac{|m|}{|l+m|\lambda(\beta)} \int_0^t \psi'(s)(\psi(\xi) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1} |\mathcal{G}(\xi, \mathbf{x}(\xi), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{x}(\xi)) \\ &\quad - \mathcal{G}(\xi, \mathbf{H}(\xi), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{H}(\xi))| ds + \sum_{0 < \xi_j < \xi} \|\mathbb{I}_j \mathbf{x}(\xi_j^-) - \mathbb{I}_j \mathbf{H}(\xi_j^-)\| \\ &\leq \frac{\mathbf{K}(1 + \mathfrak{H}_1)|m|}{|l+m|} I^{\beta; \psi} |\mathbf{x}(t) - \mathbf{H}(t)| + \rho \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{H}\| = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathbf{x}} = \mathfrak{A}_{\mathbf{H}}$ and so

$$\mathbf{x}(\xi) = \mathfrak{A}_{\mathbf{x}} + \frac{1}{\lambda(\beta)} \int_0^\xi \psi'(s)(\psi(\xi) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1} \mathcal{G}(\xi, \mathbf{x}(\xi), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{x}(\xi)) ds + \sum_{0 < \xi_j < \xi} \mathbb{I}_j(\mathbf{x}(\xi_j^-)).$$

By integration of inequality (4.2) and using Remark 4.6, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathbf{H}(\xi) - \mathfrak{A}_{\mathbf{H}} - \frac{1}{\lambda(\beta)} \int_0^\xi \psi'(s)(\psi(\xi) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1} \mathcal{G}(\xi, \mathbf{H}(\xi), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{H}(\xi)) ds \right| + \sum_{0 < \xi_j < \xi} \mathbb{I}_j(\mathbf{H}(\xi_j^-)) \\ \leq \epsilon \frac{(\psi(t))^\beta}{\lambda(\beta + 1)} \left(1 + \frac{|m|}{|l + m|} \right) + \rho. \end{aligned}$$

For any $\xi \in \mathbb{F}$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{H}(\xi) - \mathbf{x}(\xi)| &\leq \left| \mathbf{H}(\xi) - \mathfrak{A}_{\mathbf{H}} - \frac{1}{\lambda(\beta)} \int_0^\xi \psi'(s)(\psi(\xi) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1} \mathcal{G}(\xi, \mathbf{H}(\xi), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{H}(\xi)) ds \right| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\lambda(\beta)} \int_0^\xi \psi'(s)(\psi(\xi) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1} |\mathcal{G}(\xi, \mathbf{H}(\xi), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{H}(\xi)) \\ &\quad - \mathcal{G}(\xi, \mathbf{x}(\xi), \mathbb{P}\mathbf{x}(\xi))| ds + \sum_{0 < \xi_j < \xi} \|\mathbb{I}_j \mathbf{H}(\xi_j^-) - \mathbb{I}_j \mathbf{x}(\xi_j^-)\| \\ &\leq \epsilon \frac{(\psi(t))^\beta}{\lambda(\beta + 1)} \left(1 + \frac{|m|}{|l + m|} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{\mathbf{K}(1 + \mathfrak{H}_1)}{\lambda(\beta)} \int_0^\xi \psi'(s)(\psi(\xi) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1} |\mathbf{H}(s) - \mathbf{x}(s)| ds + \rho \|\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{x}\|. \end{aligned}$$

Using the Gronwall inequality, Lemma 2.3 and Remark 2.4, we obtain

$$|\mathbf{H}(\xi) - \mathbf{x}(\xi)| \leq \left(1 + \frac{|m|}{|l + m|} \right) \frac{\epsilon(\psi(t))^\beta}{\lambda(\beta + 1)} E_{\beta; \psi}(\mathbf{K}(1) + \mathfrak{H}_1(\psi(t))^\beta) + \rho \|\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{x}\|.$$

Thus, Problem (1.1)–(1.3) is Ulam–Hyers stable. □

Theorem 4.10 *Suppose (H1)–(H2), inequality (3.1) and (H4) hold. If there exists an increasing function $\varphi \in \Phi$ and $\mu_\varphi > 0$, then the system (1.1)–(1.3) is Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stable.*

Proof By using Theorem 4.9, we get

$$I^{\beta; \psi} \varphi(\xi) \leq \mu_\varphi \varphi(\xi)$$

for each $\xi \in \mathbb{F}$. Thus, in view of Definition 4.4, then the system (1.1)–(1.3) is Ulam–Hyers stable. □

Remark 4.11 *Under the assumptions of Theorem 4.9, we consider problem (1.1)–(1.3) and inequality (4.4). One can repeat the same process to verify that problem (1.1)–(1.3) is Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stable.*

5. Conclusion

FDEs have recently piqued the interest of a number of scholars due to their numerous applications, particularly those involving generalised fractional operators. We are interested in fractional systems with generalised ψ -fractional derivatives. In the literature, derivatives cover a wide range of systems and have a kernel with various properties. As a further contribution to this discussion, existence and the Ulam–Hyers–Rassias stability results are presented for a new class of linked systems. The generalised ψ -fractional integro-differential equations is investigated. The results are analysed using Schaefer’s fixed point theorem.

6. Acknowledgement

The authors express their gratitude to the anonymous referees for their helpful suggestions and corrections.

References

- [1] Aghajani A, Jalilian Y, Trujillo JJ. On the existence of solutions of fractional integro-differential equations. *Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis* 2012; 15 (1): 44-69.
- [2] Almeida R. A Caputo fractional derivative of a function with respect to another function. *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation* 2017; 44: 460–481.
- [3] Andras S, Kolumban JJ. On the Ulam–Hyers stability of first order differential systems with nonlocal initial conditions. *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications* 2013; 82: 1–11.
- [4] Arara A, Benchohra M, Hamidi N, Nieto JJ. Fractional order differential equations on an unbounded domain. *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications* 2010; 72 (2): 580-586.
- [5] Bai Z, Lu H. Positive solutions for boundary value problem of nonlinear fractional differential equation. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 2005; 311 (2): 495-505.
- [6] Balachandran K, Kiruthika S. Existence of solutions of abstract fractional integro-differential equations of Sobolev type. *Computers and Mathematics with Applications* 2012; 64 (10): 3406-3413.
- [7] Benchohra M, Bouriah S. Existence and stability results for nonlinear boundary value problem for implicit differential equations of fractional order. *Moroccan Journal of Pure and Applied Analysis* 2015; 1 (1): 22-37.
- [8] Benchohra M, Hamani S, Ntouyas SK. Boundary value problems for differential equations with fractional order. *Surveys in Mathematics and its Applications* 2008; 3: 1-12.
- [9] Benchohra M, Lazreg JE. Existence and uniqueness results for nonlinear implicit fractional differential equations with boundary conditions. *Romanian Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science* 2014; 4: 60-72.
- [10] Debnath P, Choudhury BS, Neog M. Fixed set of set valued mappings with set valued domain in terms of start set on a metric space with a graph. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2016; 2017: 5.
- [11] Debnath P, de la Sen M. Fixed points of eventually Δ -restrictive and $\Delta(\varepsilon)$ -restrictive set-valued maps in metric spaces. *Symmetry* 2020; 12 (1): 127.
- [12] Debnath P, Srivastava HM. New extensions of Kannan’s and Reich’s fixed point theorems for multivalued maps using Wardowski’s technique with application to integral equations. *Symmetry* 2020; 12 (7): 1090.
- [13] Debnath P, Srivastava HM. Global optimization and common best proximity points for some multivalued contractive pairs of mappings. *Axioms* 2020; 9 (3): 102.
- [14] Debnath P, Neog M, Radenović S. Set valued Reich type G-contractions in a complete metric space with graph. *Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo Series 2* 2020; 69 (3): 917-924.
- [15] Debnath P. Banach, Kannan, Chatterjea, and Reich-type contractive inequalities for multivalued mappings and their common fixed points. *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences* 2021; 45 (3): 1587-1596.

- [16] Debnath P. Optimization through best proximity points for multivalued F-contractions. *Miskolc Mathematical Notes* 2021; 22 (1): 143-151.
- [17] Debnath P. Set-valued Meir–Keeler, Geraghty and Edelstein type fixed point results in b-metric spaces. *Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo Series 2* 2021; 70 (3): 1389-1398.
- [18] Debnath P, Konwar N, Radenović S. *Metric Fixed Point Theory Applications in Science, Engineering and Behavioural Sciences*. Springer, Singapore, 2021.
- [19] Debnath P, Srivastava HM, Kumam P, Hazarika B. *Fixed Point Theory and Fractional Calculus Recent Advances and Applications*. Springer, Singapore, 2022.
- [20] El-Shahed M. Positive solutions for boundary value problem of nonlinear fractional differential equation. *Abstract and Applied Analysis* 2007; 10368: 1-8.
- [21] Goodrich CS. Existence of a positive solution to a class of fractional differential equations. *Applied Mathematics Letters* 2010; 23: 105-1055.
- [22] Graef JR, Henderson J, Ouahab A. *Impulsive Differential Inclusions A Fixed Point Approach*. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin/Boston, 2013.
- [23] Harikrishnan S, Shah K, Kanagarajan K. Study of a boundary value problem for fractional order ψ -Hilfer fractional derivative. *Arabian Journal of Mathematics* 2020; 9 (3): 589-596.
- [24] Hilfer R. *Application of Fractional Calculus in Physics*. World Scientific, Singapore, 1999.
- [25] Hyers DH. On the stability of the linear functional equation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of USA* 1941; 27: 222–224.
- [26] Hyers DH, Isac G, Rassias TM. *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*. Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and Their Applications 34, Birkhauser, Boston, 1998.
- [27] Ibrahim RW. Generalized Ulam–Hyers stability for fractional differential equations. *International Journal of Mathematics* 2012; 23 (5): 1250056.
- [28] Ibrahim RW. Ulam stability of boundary value problem. *Kragujevac Journal of Mathematics* 2013; 37 (2): 287-297.
- [29] Jung SM. Hyers–Ulam stability of linear differential equations of first order. *Applied Mathematics Letters* 2004; 17: 1135-1140.
- [30] Muniyappan P, Rajan S. Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of fractional differential equation. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* 2015; 102: 631-642.
- [31] Neog M, Debnath P. Fixed points of set valued mappings in terms of start point on a metric space endowed with a directed graph. *Mathematics* 2017; 5 (2): 24.
- [32] Neog M, Debnath P, Radenović S. New extension of some common fixed point theorems in complete metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory* 2019; 20 (2): 567-580.
- [33] Podlubny I. *Fractional Differential Equations*. Academic Press, San Diego, 1999.
- [34] Samko SG, Kilbas AA, Marichev OI. *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives-Theory and Applications*. Gordon and Breach, Amsterdam, 1993.
- [35] Shah K, Vivek D, Kanagarajan K. Dynamics and stability of ψ -fractional pantograph equations with boundary conditions. *Boletim da Sociedade Paranaense de Matemática* 2021; 39(5): 43-55.
- [36] Sousa JVC, Oliveira EC. A Gronwall inequality and the Cauchy-type problem by means of ψ -Hilfer operator. *Differential Equations and Applications* 2019; 11(1): 87-106.