

1-1-2022

## Infinitely many positive solutions for an iterative system of conformable fractional order dynamic boundary value problems on time scales

MAHAMMAD KHUDDUSH

KAPULA RAJENDRA PRASAD

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

KHUDDUSH, MAHAMMAD and PRASAD, KAPULA RAJENDRA (2022) "Infinitely many positive solutions for an iterative system of conformable fractional order dynamic boundary value problems on time scales," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 46: No. 2, Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-2103-117>  
Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol46/iss2/1>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact [academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr](mailto:academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr).

## Infinitely many positive solutions for an iterative system of conformable fractional order dynamic boundary value problems on time scales

Mahammad KHUDDUSH\*, Kapula Rajendra PRASAD

Department of Applied Mathematics, College of Science & Technology, Andhra University  
Visakhapatnam, India

Received: 31.03.2021

Accepted/Published Online: 16.06.2021

Final Version: 21.01.2022

**Abstract:** In this paper, we establish infinitely many positive solutions for the iterative system of conformable fractional order dynamic equations on time scales

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_\alpha^\Delta[\mathcal{T}_\beta^\Delta(\vartheta_n(t))] &= \varphi(t)\mathbf{f}_n(\vartheta_{n+1}(t)), \quad t \in (0, 1)_{\mathbb{T}}, \quad 1 < \alpha, \beta \leq 2, \\ \vartheta_1(t) &= \vartheta_{\ell+1}(t), \quad t \in (0, 1)_{\mathbb{T}}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \ell,\end{aligned}$$

satisfying two-point Riemann–Stieltjes integral boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned}\vartheta_n(0) = 0, \quad \vartheta_n(1) &= \int_0^1 \vartheta_n(\tau) \square \mathbf{g}(\tau), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \ell, \\ (\mathcal{T}_\beta^\Delta \vartheta_n)(0) = 0, \quad (\mathcal{T}_\beta^\Delta \vartheta_n)(1) &= \int_0^1 (\mathcal{T}_\beta^\Delta \vartheta_n)(\tau) \square \mathbf{g}(\tau), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \ell,\end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{T}_\star^\Delta$  denotes the conformable fractional derivative of order  $\star \in \{\alpha, \beta\}$  on time scale  $\mathbb{T}$ , by an application of Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem on a Banach space.

**Key words:** Conformable fractional derivative, time scale, positive solution, fixed point theorem, cone

### 1. Introduction

Fractional calculus is a generalization of classical integer order calculus and has gained momentum recently. Unlike integer order derivatives, the fractional derivative is a nonlocal operator, which gives the future states depend on the current state as well as the history of all previous states. From this point of view, fractional differential equations provide a powerful tool for mathematical modeling of complex phenomena in science and engineering practices, see [5, 6, 8, 17, 18, 23, 29, 30, 32, 37] and references therein. In the theory of classical and fractional order differential equations, various theorems have been extensively deployed by researchers in establishing the existence, uniqueness and multiple solutions of boundary value problems, see [26–28, 38] and the references therein.

The differential equations, difference equations and dynamic equations on time scales are three theories which play important role for modeling in the environment. Among them, the theory of dynamic equations

\*Correspondence: khuddush89@gmail.com

2010 *AMS Mathematics Subject Classification:* 34N05, 26A33.

on time scales is recent and was introduced by Hilger [22] in his PhD thesis in 1988 with three main features: unification, extension and discretization. Time scale is any closed and nonempty subset of the real numbers, so we can extend known results from continuous and discrete analysis to a more general settings. As a matter of fact, this theory allows us to consider time scales which possess hybrid behaviours both continuous and discrete. These types of time scales play an important role for applications, since most of the phenomena in the environment are neither only discrete nor only continuous, but they possess both behaviors [7, 9, 35]. Moreover, basic results on this issue have been well documented in the articles [2, 3] and monographs of Bohner and Peterson [15, 16].

Many researchers have started to deal with discrete versions of fractional calculus using the theory of time scales, see [1, 34, 36] and references therein. Recently, Benkhettou et al. [11] introduced a conformable fractional(CF) calculus on an arbitrary time scale, which provides a natural extension of the conformable fractional calculus. For more results in this line, see [19, 25, 33]. In [31], Sheng et al. studied existence and multiplicity of positive solutions to the CF dynamic boundary value problem,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_\alpha^\Delta [\varphi_p(\mathcal{T}_\alpha^\Delta(\vartheta(t)))] &= \mathbf{f}(t, \vartheta(t)), \quad t \in [0, 1]_{\mathbb{T}}, \quad 1 < \alpha \leq 2, \\ \vartheta(0) = \vartheta(\sigma(1)) &= \mathcal{T}_\alpha^\Delta \vartheta(0) = \mathcal{T}_\alpha^\Delta \vartheta(\sigma(1)) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

by an application of fixed point theorems on cone. In [20], Gulsen et al. derived sufficient conditions for the existence of a solution to the CF Sturm–Liouville boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_\alpha^\Delta [\mathcal{T}_\alpha^\Delta(\vartheta(t))] + \lambda \varphi(t) \mathbf{f}(t, \vartheta(t)) &= 0, \quad t \in [\rho(a), b]_{\mathbb{T}}, \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 1, \\ \mathcal{T}_\alpha^\Delta(\rho(\vartheta(a))) = \delta \vartheta(b) + \beta \mathcal{T}_\alpha^\Delta \vartheta(b) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

by an application of Schauder’s fixed point theorem. In [10], Bendouma and Hammoudi established the existence of solutions for the CF dynamic boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_\alpha^\Delta \vartheta(t) &= \mathbf{f}(t, \sigma(\vartheta(t))), \quad t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}, \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 1, \\ \mathbf{B}(\vartheta(a), \vartheta) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbf{H}(\vartheta, \vartheta(\sigma(b))) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

by means of the upper and lower solutions method together with Schauder’s fixed point theorem. In [13], Bohner and Hatipoglu established the general solutions and stability criteria for the following CF dynamic cobweb model on time scales,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}(\sigma(t)) &= a(t) + b(t)[p(t) + \mathcal{T}_\alpha^\Delta p(t)], \quad t \in \mathbb{T}^k, \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 1, \\ \mathbf{S}(\sigma(t)) &= a_1(t) + b_1(t)p(t), \\ \mathbf{D}(t) &= \mathbf{S}(t), \end{aligned}$$

with initial condition  $p(t_0) = p_0$ . Motivated by aforementioned studies, in this paper we consider the CF dynamic boundary value problem on time scales,

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_\alpha^\Delta [\mathcal{T}_\beta^\Delta(\vartheta_n(t))] &= \varphi(t) \mathbf{f}_n(\vartheta_{n+1}(t)), \quad t \in (0, 1)_{\mathbb{T}}, \quad 1 < \alpha, \beta \leq 2, \\ \vartheta_1(t) &= \vartheta_{\ell+1}(t), \quad t \in (0, 1)_{\mathbb{T}}, \quad \mathbf{n} = 1, 2, \dots, \ell, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1.1)$$

satisfying two-point Riemann–Stieltjes integral boundary conditions

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \vartheta_n(0) = 0, \vartheta_n(1) &= \int_0^1 \vartheta_n(\tau) \square \mathbf{g}(\tau), \quad \mathbf{n} = 1, 2, \dots, \ell, \\ (\mathcal{T}_\beta^\Delta \vartheta_n)(0) = 0, (\mathcal{T}_\beta^\Delta \vartheta_n)(1) &= \int_0^1 (\mathcal{T}_\beta^\Delta \vartheta_n)(\tau) \square \mathbf{g}(\tau), \quad \mathbf{n} = 1, 2, \dots, \ell, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\mathcal{T}_\star^\Delta$  denotes the conformable fractional derivative of order  $\star \in \{\alpha, \beta\}$  on time scale  $\mathbb{T}$ ,  $\varphi(t) = \prod_{j=1}^m \varphi_j(t)$  and  $\varphi_j(t) \in L_\Delta^{p_j}[0, 1]_{\mathbb{T}}$  ( $p_j \geq 1$ ) has a singularity in  $(0, 1/2)_{\mathbb{T}}$ ,  $\int_0^1 \vartheta_n(\tau) \square \mathbf{g}(\tau)$  denotes Riemann–Stieltjes integral of  $\vartheta_n(\tau)$  with respect to  $\mathbf{g}$ ,  $\mathbf{g} : [0, 1]_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a function of bounded variation and  $\square \mathbf{g}$  is a signed measure and established infinitely many positive solutions by an application of Krasnoselskii’s fixed point theorem on a Banach space. We assume the following conditions hold throughout the paper:

( $\mathcal{H}_1$ )  $\mathbf{f}_n : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is continuous for  $n = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$ ,

( $\mathcal{H}_2$ ) there exists a sequence  $\{t_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  such that  $0 < t_{k+1} < t_k < \frac{1}{2}$ ,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k = t^* < \frac{1}{2}, \lim_{t \rightarrow t_k} \varphi_j(t) = +\infty, k \in \mathbb{N}, j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m$$

and each  $\varphi_j(t)$  does not vanish identically on any subinterval of  $[0, 1]_{\mathbb{T}}$ . Moreover, there exists  $\varphi_j^* > 0$  such that

$$\varphi_j^* < \varphi_j(t) < \infty \text{ a.e. on } [0, 1]_{\mathbb{T}}.$$

( $\mathcal{H}_3$ )  $\mathbf{g}$  be nondecreasing and of bounded variation function such that  $0 < \mathbf{g}^* < 1$ , where

$$\mathbf{g}^* = \int_0^1 \tau \square \mathbf{g}(\tau).$$

## 2. Preliminaries and Green’s function

A time scale  $\mathbb{T}$  is a nonempty closed subset of the real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$ .  $\mathbb{T}$  has the topology that it inherits from the real numbers with the standard topology. It follows that the jump operators  $\sigma, \rho : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ , and the graininess  $\mu : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  are defined by  $\sigma(t) = \inf\{\tau \in \mathbb{T} : \tau > t\}$ ,  $\rho(t) = \sup\{\tau \in \mathbb{T} : \tau < t\}$ , and  $\mu(t) = \rho(t) - t$ , respectively.

- The point  $t \in \mathbb{T}$  is left-dense, left-scattered, right-dense, right-scattered if  $\rho(t) = t$ ,  $\rho(t) < t$ ,  $\sigma(t) = t$ ,  $\sigma(t) > t$ , respectively.
- If  $\mathbb{T}$  has a right-scattered minimum  $m$ , then  $\mathbb{T}_k = \mathbb{T} \setminus \{m\}$ ; otherwise  $\mathbb{T}_k = \mathbb{T}$ .
- If  $\mathbb{T}$  has a left-scattered maximum  $m$ , then  $\mathbb{T}^k = \mathbb{T} \setminus \{m\}$ ; otherwise  $\mathbb{T}^k = \mathbb{T}$ .
- A function  $\mathbf{f} : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called rd-continuous provided it is continuous at right-dense points in  $\mathbb{T}$  and its left-sided limits exist (finite) at left-dense points in  $\mathbb{T}$ . The set of all rd-continuous functions  $\mathbf{f} : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is denoted by  $C_{rd} = C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}) = C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ .

- A function  $\mathbf{f} : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called ld-continuous provided it is continuous at left-dense points in  $\mathbb{T}$  and its right-sided limits exist (finite) at right-dense points in  $\mathbb{T}$ . The set of all ld-continuous functions  $\mathbf{f} : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is denoted by  $C_{ld} = C_{ld}(\mathbb{T}) = C_{ld}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ .
- By an interval time scale, we mean the intersection of a real interval with a given time scale. i.e.  $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}} = [a, b] \cap \mathbb{T}$  other intervals can be defined similarly. Moreover, if  $I = [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$  then we define  $I_{\Delta} = [a, \rho(b)]_{\mathbb{T}}$  and  $I_{\nabla} = [\sigma(a), b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ . By  $I_{\square}$  we denote one of them, where  $\square$  means either  $\Delta$  or  $\nabla$ . Similarly, we use  $\square$  as a common notation for the two kinds of derivatives on time scales: one can read  $\mathbf{f}^{\square}$  either as  $\mathbf{f}^{\Delta}$  or as  $\mathbf{f}^{\nabla}$ .

Let  $\mathbf{f}$  be a real valued and bounded function on the interval  $I$ . Let us take a partition  $\mathbf{P} = \{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n\}$  of  $I$ . Denote  $I_{\square j} = [t_{j-1}, t_j]_{\square}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , and

$$m_{\square j} = \inf_{t \in I_{\square j}} \mathbf{f}(t), \quad M_{\square j} = \sup_{t \in I_{\square j}} \mathbf{f}(t).$$

The upper Darboux–Stieltjes  $\square$ -sum of  $\mathbf{f}$  with respect to the partition  $\mathbf{P}$ , denoted by  $U_{\square}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g})$ , is defined by

$$U_{\square}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}) = \sum_{j=1}^n M_{\square j} \Delta \mathbf{g}_j,$$

and the lower Darboux–Stieltjes  $\square$ -sum of  $\mathbf{f}$  with respect to the partition  $\mathbf{P}$ , denoted by  $L_{\square}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g})$ , is defined by

$$L_{\square}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}) = \sum_{j=1}^n m_{\square j} \Delta \mathbf{g}_j,$$

where  $\mathbf{g}$  is a continuous function on  $I$  and  $\Delta \mathbf{g}_j = \mathbf{g}(t_j) - \mathbf{g}(t_{j-1})$ . For more details, see [24].

**Definition 2.1** [24] Let  $I = [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , where  $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$  and  $\mathcal{P}(I)$  the set of all partitions of  $I$ . The upper Darboux–Stieltjes  $\square$ -integral from  $a$  to  $b$  with respect to function  $\mathbf{g}$  is defined by

$$\overline{\int_a^b \mathbf{f} \square \mathbf{g}} = \inf_{\mathbf{P} \in \mathcal{P}(I)} U_{\square}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}).$$

Similarly we can define the upper Darboux–Stieltjes  $\square$ -integral. If  $\overline{\int_a^b \mathbf{f}(t) \square \mathbf{g}(t)} = \underline{\int_a^b \mathbf{f}(t) \square \mathbf{g}(t)}$  then we say that  $\mathbf{f}$  is  $\square$ -integrable with respect to  $\mathbf{g}$  on  $I$ , and the common value of the integrals, denoted by  $\int_a^b \mathbf{f}(t) \square \mathbf{g}(t) = \int_a^b \mathbf{f} \square \mathbf{g}$  is called the Riemann–Stieltjes (or simply Stieltjes)  $\square$ -integral of  $\mathbf{f}$  with respect to  $\mathbf{g}$  on  $I$ .

**Definition 2.2** [14] Let  $\mu_{\Delta}$  and  $\mu_{\nabla}$  be the Lebesgue  $\Delta$ - measure and the Lebesgue  $\nabla$ - measure on  $\mathbb{T}$ , respectively. If  $A \subset \mathbb{T}$  satisfies  $\mu_{\Delta}(A) = \mu_{\nabla}(A)$ , then we call  $A$  is measurable on  $\mathbb{T}$ , denoted  $\mu(A)$  and this value is called the Lebesgue measure of  $A$ . Let  $P$  denote a proposition with respect to  $t \in \mathbb{T}$ .

- (i) If there exists  $E_1 \subset A$  with  $\mu_{\Delta}(E_1) = 0$  such that  $P$  holds on  $A \setminus E_1$ , then  $P$  is said to hold  $\Delta$ -a.e. on  $A$ .

(ii) If there exists  $E_2 \subset A$  with  $\mu_{\nabla}(E_2) = 0$  such that  $P$  holds on  $A \setminus E_2$ , then  $P$  is said to hold  $\nabla$ -a.e. on  $A$ .

**Definition 2.3** [4] Let  $E \subset \mathbb{T}$  be a  $\Delta$ -measurable set and  $p \in \bar{\mathbb{R}} \equiv \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$  be such that  $p \geq 1$  and let  $f : E \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$  be  $\Delta$ -measurable function. We say that  $f$  belongs to  $L^p_{\nabla}(E)$  provided that either

$$\int_E |f|^p(\tau) \Delta\tau < \infty \quad \text{if } p \in \mathbb{R},$$

or there exists a constant  $M \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$|f| \leq M, \quad \Delta - \text{a.e. on } E \quad \text{if } p = +\infty.$$

**Lemma 2.4** [12] Let  $(X, M, \mu_{\Delta})$  and  $(Y, L, \nu_{\Delta})$  be two finite-dimensional time scales measure spaces. If  $f : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a  $\Delta$ -integrable function and if we define the functions

$$\varphi(y) = \int_X f(x, y) d\mu_{\Delta}(x) \quad \text{for } y \in Y,$$

and

$$q(x) = \int_Y f(x, y) d\nu_{\Delta}(y) \quad \text{for } x \in X,$$

then  $\varphi$  is  $\Delta$ -integrable on  $Y$  and is  $\Delta$ -integrable on  $X$  and

$$\int_X d\mu_{\Delta}(x) \int_Y f(x, y) d\nu_{\Delta}(y) = \int_Y d\nu_{\Delta}(y) \int_X f(x, y) d\mu_{\Delta}(x).$$

**Definition 2.5** [11] Let  $\alpha \in (1, 2]$  and  $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$ . For  $t > 0$ , we define  $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha}^{\Delta} f(t)$  to be the number (provided it exists) with the property that, given any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a  $\delta$ -neighborhood  $V_t \subset \mathbb{T}$  of  $t$ ,  $\delta > 0$ , such that

$$|[f(\sigma(t)) - f(\tau)]t^{2-\alpha} - \mathcal{T}_{\alpha}^{\Delta} f(t)[\sigma(t) - \tau]| \leq \varepsilon |\sigma(t) - \tau|.$$

We call  $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha}^{\Delta} f(t)$  the conformable fractional derivative of  $f$  of order  $\alpha$  at  $t$ , and we define the conformable fractional derivative at 0 as

$$\mathcal{T}_{\alpha}^{\Delta} f(0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \mathcal{T}_{\alpha}^{\Delta} f(t).$$

**Definition 2.6** [11] Let  $\alpha \in (1, 2]$  and  $f$  be two times delta differentiable at  $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$ . Then

$$\mathcal{T}_{\alpha}^{\Delta} f(t) = t^{2-\alpha} f(t).$$

**Definition 2.7** [31] Let  $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a regulated function and  $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ . Then the  $\alpha$ -fractional integral of  $f$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{I}^{\alpha} f(t) = \mathcal{I}^2 (t^{\alpha-2} f(t)) = \int_0^1 (t - \tau) \tau^{\alpha-2} f(\tau) \Delta\tau.$$

**Lemma 2.8** [31] Let  $t > 0, \alpha \in (1, 2]$  and the function  $\mathbf{f} : [0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be rd-continuous, then

$$\mathcal{T}_{\alpha}^{\Delta} \mathcal{I}^{\alpha} \mathbf{f}(t) = \mathbf{f}(t).$$

**Lemma 2.9** [31] Let  $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ ,  $\mathbf{f}$  be a  $\alpha$ -differentiable function at  $t > 0$ , then  $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha}^{\Delta} \mathbf{f}(t) = 0$  for  $t \in [0, 1]_{\mathbb{T}}$  if and only if  $\mathbf{f}(t) = a_0 + a_1 t$ , where  $a_0$  and  $a_1$  are real constants.

**Lemma 2.10** [31] Assume that  $\vartheta \in C(0, +\infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$  with a fractional derivative of order  $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ . Then

$$\mathcal{I}^{\alpha} \mathcal{T}_{\alpha}^{\Delta} \vartheta(t) = \vartheta(t) + c_0 + c_1 t,$$

where  $c_0, c_1$  are real constants.

**Lemma 2.11** Let  $y \in C((0, 1)_{\mathbb{T}})$ . Then the boundary value problem

$$\mathcal{T}_{\beta}^{\Delta} \vartheta_1(t) + y(t) = 0, \quad t \in (0, 1)_{\mathbb{T}}, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\vartheta_1(0) = 0, \quad \vartheta_1(1) = \int_0^1 \vartheta_1(\tau) \square \mathbf{g}(\tau), \tag{2.2}$$

has a unique solution

$$\vartheta_1(t) = \int_0^1 \aleph_{\beta}(t, \tau) y(\tau) d\tau, \tag{2.3}$$

where  $\aleph_{\beta}(t, \tau)$  is the Green's function and is given by

$$\aleph_{\beta}(t, \tau) = \mathcal{K}_{\beta}(t, \tau) + \frac{t}{1 - \mathbf{g}^*} \mathcal{G}_{\beta}(\tau),$$

$$\mathcal{K}_{\beta}(t, \tau) = \begin{cases} \tau^{\beta-1}(1-t), & \tau \leq t, \\ t(1-\tau)\tau^{\beta-2}, & t \leq \tau, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathcal{G}_{\beta}(\tau) = \int_0^1 \mathcal{K}_{\beta}(\tau_1, \tau) \square \mathbf{g}(\tau_1).$$

**Proof** From Lemma 2.10, the equivalent integral equation to (2.1) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_1(t) &= -\mathcal{I}^{\beta} y(t) + A + Bt \\ &= - \int_0^t (t-\tau)\tau^{\beta-2} y(\tau) \Delta\tau + A + Bt, \end{aligned}$$

for some  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}$ . Using boundary conditions (2.2), we obtain  $A = 0$  and

$$B = \int_0^1 \vartheta_1(\tau) \square \mathbf{g}(\tau) + \int_0^1 (1-\tau)\tau^{\beta-2} y(\tau) \Delta\tau.$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_1(t) &= - \int_0^t (t - \tau)\tau^{\beta-2}y(\tau)\Delta\tau + t \int_0^1 (1 - \tau)\tau^{\beta-2}y(\tau)\Delta\tau + t \int_0^1 \vartheta_1(\tau)\square\mathbf{g}(\tau) \\ &= \int_0^1 \mathcal{K}_\beta(t, \tau)y(\tau)\Delta\tau + t \int_0^1 \vartheta_1(\tau)\square\mathbf{g}(\tau). \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

By simple algebraic calculations, we get

$$\int_0^1 \vartheta_1(\tau)\square\mathbf{g}(\tau) = \frac{1}{1 - \mathbf{g}^*} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \mathcal{K}_\beta(\tau_1, \tau)\square\mathbf{g}(\tau_1)\Delta\tau. \tag{2.5}$$

Substituting (2.5) into (2.4), we finally have (2.3). □

**Lemma 2.12** *The function  $\mathcal{K}_\beta(t, \tau)$  has the following properties:*

- (i)  $\mathcal{K}_\beta(t, \tau)$  is nonnegative and continuous on  $[0, 1]_{\mathbb{T}} \times [0, 1]_{\mathbb{T}}$ ,
- (ii)  $\mathcal{K}_\beta(t, \tau) \leq \mathcal{K}_\beta(\tau, \tau)$  for  $t, \tau \in [0, 1]_{\mathbb{T}}$ ,
- (iii) there exists  $\lambda \in (0, 1/2)_{\mathbb{T}}$  such that  $\lambda\mathcal{K}_\beta(\tau, \tau) \leq \mathcal{K}_\beta(t, \tau)$  for  $t \in [\lambda, 1 - \lambda]_{\mathbb{T}}, \tau \in [0, 1]_{\mathbb{T}}$ .

**Proof** It is easy to establish the result (i). It can be seen that  $\mathcal{K}_\beta(t, \tau)$  is decreasing with respect to  $t$  for  $\tau \leq t$  and increasing with respect to  $t$  for  $t \leq s$ . So, by this monotonicity of  $\mathcal{K}_\beta(t, \tau)$ , we have

$$\mathcal{K}_\beta(t, \tau) \leq \mathcal{K}_\beta(\tau, \tau) = \tau^{\beta-1}(1 - \tau), \quad \tau \in [0, 1]_{\mathbb{T}}.$$

This proves (ii). Now we prove (iii). For  $\tau \leq t$ , we have

$$\frac{\mathcal{K}_\beta(t, \tau)}{\mathcal{K}_\beta(\tau, \tau)} = \frac{\tau^{\beta-1}(1 - t)}{\tau^{\beta-1}(1 - \tau)} = \frac{1 - t}{1 - \tau} \geq 1 - t \geq \lambda,$$

and for  $t \leq \tau$ ,

$$\frac{\mathcal{K}_\beta(t, \tau)}{\mathcal{K}_\beta(\tau, \tau)} = \frac{t(1 - \tau)\tau^{\beta-2}}{\tau^{\beta-1}(1 - \tau)} = \frac{t}{\tau} \geq \frac{\lambda}{\tau} \geq \lambda.$$

This completes proof. □

**Lemma 2.13** *Let  $\mathcal{G}_\beta^*(\tau) = \mathcal{K}_\beta(\tau, \tau) + \frac{1}{1 - \mathbf{g}^*}\mathcal{G}_\beta(\tau)$ . Then the Green's function  $\aleph_\beta(t, \tau)$  has the following properties:*

- (i)  $\aleph_\beta(t, \tau)$  is nonnegative and continuous on  $[0, 1]_{\mathbb{T}} \times [0, 1]_{\mathbb{T}}$ ,
- (ii)  $\aleph_\beta(t, \tau) \leq \mathcal{G}_\beta^*(\tau)$  for  $t, \tau \in [0, 1]_{\mathbb{T}}$ ,
- (iii) there exists  $\lambda \in (0, 1/2)_{\mathbb{T}}$  such that  $\lambda\mathcal{G}_\beta^*(\tau) \leq \aleph_\beta(t, \tau)$  for  $t \in [\lambda, 1 - \lambda]_{\mathbb{T}}, \tau \in [0, 1]_{\mathbb{T}}$ .



**Proof** The results (i) and (ii) are obvious. To prove (iii), let  $\lambda \in (0, 1/2)_{\mathbb{T}}$  and  $\tau \in [0, 1]_{\mathbb{T}}$ . Then from Lemma 2.12, we have

$$\aleph_{\beta}(t, \tau) = \mathcal{K}_{\beta}(t, \tau) + \frac{t}{1 - \mathbf{g}^*} \mathcal{G}_{\beta}(\tau) \geq \lambda \mathcal{K}_{\beta}(\tau, \tau) + \frac{\lambda}{1 - \mathbf{g}^*} \mathcal{G}_{\beta}(\tau) = \lambda \mathcal{G}_{\beta}^*(\tau).$$

This completes proof. □

**Lemma 2.14** *Let  $z \in \mathcal{C}((0, 1)_{\mathbb{T}})$ . Then the boundary value problem*

$$\mathcal{T}_{\alpha}^{\Delta} [\mathcal{T}_{\beta}^{\Delta} (\vartheta_{\ell}(t))] = z(t), \quad t \in (0, 1)_{\mathbb{T}}, \tag{2.6}$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \vartheta_1(0) = 0, \quad \vartheta_1(1) &= \int_0^1 \vartheta_1(\tau) \square \mathbf{g}(\tau), \\ (\mathcal{T}_{\beta}^{\Delta} \vartheta_1)(0) = 0, \quad (\mathcal{T}_{\beta}^{\Delta} \vartheta_1)(1) &= \int_0^1 (\mathcal{T}_{\beta}^{\Delta} \vartheta_1)(\tau) \square \mathbf{g}(\tau), \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{2.7}$$

has a unique solution

$$\vartheta_1(t) = \int_0^1 \aleph_{\beta}(t, \tau) \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_{\alpha}(\tau, s) z(s) \Delta s \right] \Delta \tau, \tag{2.8}$$

where  $\aleph_{\beta}(t, \tau)$  is defined in Lemma 2.11 and

$$\begin{aligned} \aleph_{\alpha}(t, \tau) &= \mathcal{K}_{\alpha}(t, \tau) + \frac{t}{1 - \mathbf{g}^*} \mathcal{G}_{\alpha}(\tau), \\ \mathcal{K}_{\alpha}(t, \tau) &= \begin{cases} \tau^{\alpha-1}(1-t), & \tau \leq t, \\ t(1-\tau)\tau^{\alpha-2}, & t \leq \tau, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{G}_{\alpha}(\tau) = \int_0^1 \mathcal{K}_{\alpha}(\tau_1, \tau) \square \mathbf{g}(\tau_1).$$

**Proof** Let  $y_1(t) = \mathcal{T}_{\beta}^{\Delta} \vartheta_1(t)$  for  $0 < t < 1$ . Then the boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{\alpha}^{\Delta} [\mathcal{T}_{\beta}^{\Delta} (\vartheta_1(t))] - z(t) &= 0, \quad t \in [0, 1]_{\mathbb{T}}, \\ \mathcal{T}_{\beta}^{\Delta} \vartheta_1(0) = 0, \quad \mathcal{T}_{\beta}^{\Delta} \vartheta_1(1) &= \int_0^1 (\mathcal{T}_{\beta}^{\Delta} \vartheta_1)(\tau) \square \mathbf{g}(\tau) \end{aligned}$$

is equivalent to the problem

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{\alpha}^{\Delta} y_1(t) - z(t) &= 0, \quad t \in [0, 1]_{\mathbb{T}}, \\ y_1(0) = 0, \quad y_1(1) &= \int_0^1 y_1(\tau) \square \mathbf{g}(\tau). \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{2.9}$$

By Lemma 2.11, the boundary value problem (2.9) has unique solution  $y_1(t) = - \int_0^1 \aleph_{\alpha}(t, \tau) z(\tau) \Delta \tau$ . That is

$$\mathcal{T}_{\beta}^{\Delta} \vartheta_1(t) + \int_0^1 \aleph_{\alpha}(t, \tau) z(\tau) \Delta \tau = 0. \tag{2.10}$$

Again by Lemma 2.11, the differential equation (2.10) with boundary conditions

$$\vartheta_1(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \vartheta_1(1) = \int_0^1 \vartheta_1(\tau) \square \mathbf{g}(\tau)$$

has a unique solution

$$\vartheta_1(t) = \int_0^1 \aleph_\beta(t, \tau) \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_\alpha(\tau, s) z(s) \Delta s \right] \Delta \tau.$$

This completes the proof. □

**Lemma 2.15** *The function  $\mathcal{K}_\alpha(t, \tau)$  has the following properties:*

- (i)  $\mathcal{K}_\alpha(t, \tau)$  is nonnegative and continuous on  $[0, 1]_{\mathbb{T}} \times [0, 1]_{\mathbb{T}}$ ,
- (ii)  $\mathcal{K}_\alpha(t, \tau) \leq \mathcal{K}_\alpha(\tau, \tau)$  for  $t, \tau \in [0, 1]_{\mathbb{T}}$ ,
- (iii) there exists  $\lambda \in (0, 1/2)_{\mathbb{T}}$  such that  $\lambda \mathcal{K}_\alpha(\tau, \tau) \leq \mathcal{K}_\alpha(t, \tau)$  for  $t \in [\lambda, 1 - \lambda]_{\mathbb{T}}, \tau \in [0, 1]_{\mathbb{T}}$ .

**Lemma 2.16** *Let  $\mathcal{G}_\alpha^*(\tau) = \mathcal{K}_\alpha(\tau, \tau) + \frac{1}{1-g^*} \mathcal{G}_\alpha(\tau)$ . Then the Green's function  $\aleph_\alpha(t, \tau)$  has the following properties:*

- (i)  $\aleph_\alpha(t, \tau)$  is nonnegative and continuous on  $[0, 1]_{\mathbb{T}} \times [0, 1]_{\mathbb{T}}$ ,
- (ii)  $\aleph_\alpha(t, \tau) \leq \mathcal{G}_\alpha^*(\tau)$  for  $t, \tau \in [0, 1]_{\mathbb{T}}$ ,
- (iii) there exists  $\lambda \in (0, 1/2)_{\mathbb{T}}$  such that  $\lambda \mathcal{G}_\alpha^*(\tau) \leq \aleph_\alpha(t, \tau)$  for  $t \in [\lambda, 1 - \lambda]_{\mathbb{T}}, \tau \in [0, 1]_{\mathbb{T}}$ .

Note that an  $\ell$ -tuple  $(\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \dots, \vartheta_\ell)$  is a solution of (1.1)–(1.2) if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_1(t) &= \int_0^1 \aleph_\beta(t, \tau_1) \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_\alpha(\tau_1, \tau_2) \varphi(\tau_2) \mathbf{f}_1 \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_\beta(\tau_2, \tau_3) \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_\alpha(\tau_3, \tau_4) \varphi(\tau_4) \mathbf{f}_2 \cdots \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left. \mathbf{f}_{\ell-1} \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_\beta(\tau_{2\ell-2}, \tau_{2\ell-1}) \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_\alpha(\tau_{2\ell-1}, \tau_{2\ell}) \varphi(\tau_{2\ell}) \mathbf{f}_\ell(\vartheta_1(\tau_{2\ell})) \Delta \tau_{2\ell} \right] \Delta \tau_{2\ell-1} \cdots \Delta \tau_2 \right] \Delta \tau_1 \right. \right. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_n(t) &= \int_0^1 \aleph_\beta(t, \tau) \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_\alpha(\tau, s) \varphi(s) \mathbf{f}_n(\vartheta_{n+1}(s)) \Delta s \right] \Delta \tau, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots, \ell, \\ \vartheta_{\ell+1}(t) &= \vartheta_1(t), \quad t \in (0, 1)_{\mathbb{T}}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote the Banach space  $\mathcal{C}((0, 1)_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{R})$  by  $\mathcal{B}$  with the norm  $\|\vartheta\| = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} |\vartheta(t)|$ . For  $\lambda \in (0, 1/2)_{\mathbb{T}}$ , the cone  $\mathcal{N}_\lambda \subset \mathcal{B}$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{N}_\lambda = \left\{ \vartheta \in \mathcal{B} : x(t) \geq 0, \min_{t \in [\lambda, 1-\lambda]} \vartheta(t) \geq \lambda \|\vartheta\| \right\},$$

For any  $\vartheta_1 \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$ , define an operator  $\Omega : \mathcal{N}_\lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  by

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\Omega\vartheta_1)(t) &= \int_0^1 \aleph_\beta(t, \tau_1) \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_\alpha(\tau_1, \tau_2) \varphi(\tau_2) \mathbf{f}_1 \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_\beta(\tau_2, \tau_3) \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_\alpha(\tau_3, \tau_4) \varphi(\tau_4) \mathbf{f}_2 \cdots \right. \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. \left. \mathbf{f}_{\ell-1} \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_\beta(\tau_{2\ell-2}, \tau_{2\ell-1}) \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_\alpha(\tau_{2\ell-1}, \tau_{2\ell}) \varphi(\tau_{2\ell}) \mathbf{f}_\ell(\vartheta_1(\tau_{2\ell})) \Delta\tau_{2\ell} \right] \Delta\tau_{2\ell-1} \cdots \Delta\tau_2 \right] \Delta\tau_1. \right. \right.
 \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.17** For each  $\lambda \in (0, 1/2)_\mathbb{T}$ ,  $\Omega(\mathcal{N}_\lambda) \subset \mathcal{N}_\lambda$  and  $\Omega : \mathcal{N}_\lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_\lambda$  is completely continuous.

**Proof** Let  $\lambda \in (0, 1/2)_\mathbb{T}$ . Since  $\mathbf{f}_\ell(\vartheta_{\ell+1}(\tau))$  is nonnegative for  $\tau \in [0, 1]_\mathbb{T}$ ,  $\vartheta_1 \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$ . Since  $\aleph_\beta(t, \tau)$ ,  $\aleph_\alpha(t, \tau)$  are nonnegative for all  $t, \tau \in [0, 1]_\mathbb{T}$ , it follows that  $\Omega(\vartheta_1(t)) \geq 0$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]_\mathbb{T}$ ,  $\vartheta_1 \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$ . Now, by Lemma 2.13 and 2.16, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\min_{t \in [\lambda, 1-\lambda]_\mathbb{T}} (\Omega\vartheta_1)(t) \\
 &= \min_{t \in [\lambda, 1-\lambda]_\mathbb{T}} \left\{ \int_0^1 \aleph_\beta(t, \tau_1) \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_\alpha(\tau_1, \tau_2) \varphi(\tau_2) \mathbf{f}_1 \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_\beta(\tau_2, \tau_3) \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_\alpha(\tau_3, \tau_4) \varphi(\tau_4) \mathbf{f}_2 \cdots \right. \right. \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. \left. \mathbf{f}_{\ell-1} \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_\beta(\tau_{2\ell-2}, \tau_{2\ell-1}) \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_\alpha(\tau_{2\ell-1}, \tau_{2\ell}) \varphi(\tau_{2\ell}) \mathbf{f}_\ell(\vartheta_1(\tau_{2\ell})) \Delta\tau_{2\ell} \right] \Delta\tau_{2\ell-1} \cdots \Delta\tau_2 \right] \Delta\tau_1 \right\} \right. \\
 &\geq \lambda \int_0^1 \mathcal{G}_\beta^*(\tau_1) \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_\alpha(\tau_1, \tau_2) \varphi(\tau_2) \mathbf{f}_1 \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_\beta(\tau_2, \tau_3) \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_\alpha(\tau_3, \tau_4) \varphi(\tau_4) \mathbf{f}_2 \cdots \right. \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. \left. \mathbf{f}_{\ell-1} \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_\beta(\tau_{2\ell-2}, \tau_{2\ell-1}) \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_\alpha(\tau_{2\ell-1}, \tau_{2\ell}) \varphi(\tau_{2\ell}) \mathbf{f}_\ell(\vartheta_1(\tau_{2\ell})) \Delta\tau_{2\ell} \right] \Delta\tau_{2\ell-1} \cdots \Delta\tau_2 \right] \Delta\tau_1 \right. \right. \\
 &\geq \lambda \left\{ \int_0^1 \aleph_\beta(t, \tau_1) \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_\alpha(\tau_1, \tau_2) \varphi(\tau_2) \mathbf{f}_1 \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_\beta(\tau_2, \tau_3) \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_\alpha(\tau_3, \tau_4) \varphi(\tau_4) \mathbf{f}_2 \cdots \right. \right. \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. \left. \mathbf{f}_{\ell-1} \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_\beta(\tau_{2\ell-2}, \tau_{2\ell-1}) \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_\alpha(\tau_{2\ell-1}, \tau_{2\ell}) \varphi(\tau_{2\ell}) \mathbf{f}_\ell(\vartheta_1(\tau_{2\ell})) \Delta\tau_{2\ell} \right] \Delta\tau_{2\ell-1} \cdots \Delta\tau_2 \right] \Delta\tau_1 \right\} \right. \\
 &\geq \lambda \max_{t \in [0, 1]_\mathbb{T}} |\Omega\vartheta_1(t)|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\Omega(\mathcal{N}_\lambda) \subset \mathcal{N}_\lambda$ . Therefore, the operator  $\Omega$  is completely continuous by standard methods and by the Arzela–Ascoli theorem. □

### 3. infinitely many positive solutions

In establishing the existence of infinitely many positive solutions for the boundary value problem (1.1)–(1.2), we utilize following theorems.

**Theorem 3.1** [21] Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a cone in a Banach space  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathbf{Q}_1, \mathbf{Q}_2$  are open sets with  $0 \in \mathbf{Q}_1, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_1 \subset \mathbf{Q}_2$ . Let  $\Omega : \mathcal{B} \cap (\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_2 \setminus \mathbf{Q}_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a completely continuous operator such that

(a)  $\|\Omega\vartheta\| \leq \|\vartheta\|$ ,  $\vartheta \in \mathcal{B} \cap \partial\mathbf{Q}_1$ , and  $\|\Omega\vartheta\| \geq \|\vartheta\|$ ,  $\vartheta \in \mathcal{B} \cap \partial\mathbf{Q}_2$ , or

(b)  $\|\Omega\vartheta\| \geq \|\vartheta\|$ ,  $\vartheta \in \mathcal{B} \cap \partial\mathcal{Q}_1$ , and  $\|\Omega\vartheta\| \leq \|\vartheta\|$ ,  $\vartheta \in \mathcal{B} \cap \partial\mathcal{Q}_2$ .

Then  $\Omega$  has a fixed point in  $\mathcal{B} \cap (\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_2 \setminus \mathcal{Q}_1)$ .

**Theorem 3.2 (Hölder’s inequality [4])** Let  $f \in L_{\Delta}^{p_i}(J)$  with  $p_i > 1$ , for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{p_j} = 1$ . Then  $\prod_{j=1}^n f_j \in L_{\Delta}^1(J)$  and  $\left\| \prod_{j=1}^n f_j \right\|_1 \leq \prod_{j=1}^n \|f_j\|_{p_j}$ . Further, if  $f \in L_{\Delta}^1(J)$  and  $g \in L_{\Delta}^{\infty}(J)$ . Then  $fg \in L_{\Delta}^1(J)$  and  $\|fg\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1 \|g\|_{\infty}$ , where

$$\|f\|_p := \begin{cases} \left[ \int_J |f|^p(s) \Delta s \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}, & p \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \inf \left\{ M \in \mathbb{R} / |f| \leq M \Delta - a.e., \text{ on } J \right\}, & p = \infty, \end{cases}$$

and  $J = [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ .

For  $\varphi \in L_{\Delta}^{p_i}([0, 1]_{\mathbb{T}})$ , we have three possible cases:

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{p_j} < 1, \quad \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{p_j} = 1, \quad \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{p_j} > 1.$$

Firstly, we establish infinitely many positive solutions for the case  $\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{p_j} < 1$ .

**Theorem 3.3** Assume that  $(\mathcal{H}_1) - (\mathcal{H}_3)$  hold, let  $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  be such that  $t_{k+1} < \lambda_k < t_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Let  $\{\mathbf{R}_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  and  $\{\mathbf{r}_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  be such that  $\mathbf{R}_{k+1} < \lambda_k \mathbf{r}_k < \mathbf{Lr}_k < \mathbf{R}_k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , where

$$\mathbf{L} = \max \left\{ \left[ \lambda_1^2 \prod_{j=1}^n \varphi_j^* \int_{\lambda_1}^{1-\lambda_1} \mathcal{G}_{\beta}^*(\tau) \Delta \tau \left[ \int_{\lambda_1}^{1-\lambda_1} \mathcal{G}_{\alpha}^*(\tau) \Delta \tau \right]^{-1} \right], 1 \right\}.$$

Further, assume that  $\mathbf{f}_i$  satisfies

$$(\mathcal{J}_1) \quad \mathbf{f}_{\ell}(\vartheta(t)) \leq \mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{R}_k \text{ for all } 0 \leq \vartheta(t) \leq \mathbf{R}_k, t \in [0, 1]_{\mathbb{T}}, \text{ where } \mathbf{A}_1 < \left[ \|\mathcal{G}_{\alpha}^*\|_{\mathbf{q}} \prod_{j=1}^n \|\varphi_j\|_{p_j} \int_0^1 \mathcal{G}_{\beta}^*(\tau) \Delta \tau \right]^{-1}.$$

$$(\mathcal{J}_2) \quad \mathbf{f}_{\ell}(\vartheta(t)) \geq \mathbf{Lr}_k \text{ for all } \lambda_k \mathbf{r}_k \leq \vartheta(t) \leq \mathbf{r}_k, t \in [\lambda_k, 1 - \lambda_k]_{\mathbb{T}}.$$

The iterative system (1.1)–(1.2) has infinitely many solutions  $\{(\vartheta_1^{[k]}, \vartheta_2^{[k]}, \dots, \vartheta_n^{[k]})\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $\vartheta_{\ell}^{[k]}(t) \geq 0$  on  $(0, 1)_{\mathbb{T}}$ ,  $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proof** Consider the sequences  $\{\mathcal{Q}_{1,k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  and  $\{\mathcal{Q}_{2,k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  of open subsets of  $\mathcal{B}$  defined by

$$\mathcal{Q}_{1,k} = \{\vartheta \in \mathcal{B} : \|\vartheta\| < \mathbf{R}_k\}, \quad \mathcal{Q}_{2,k} = \{\vartheta \in \mathcal{B} : \|\vartheta\| < \mathbf{r}_k\}.$$

Let  $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  be as in the hypothesis and note that  $t^* < t_{k+1} < \lambda_k < t_k < \frac{1}{2}$ , for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , define the cone  $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda_k}$  by

$$\mathcal{N}_{\lambda_k} = \left\{ \vartheta \in \mathcal{B} : \vartheta(t) \geq 0 \text{ and } \min_{t \in [\lambda_k, 1-\lambda_k]} \vartheta(t) \geq \lambda_k \|\vartheta\| \right\}.$$

Let  $\vartheta_1 \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_k} \cap \partial Q_{1,k}$ . Then,  $\vartheta_1(\tau) \leq R_k = \|\vartheta_1\|$  for all  $\tau \in [0, 1]_{\mathbb{T}}$ . By  $(\mathcal{J}_1)$  and  $0 < \tau_{2\ell-2} < 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \aleph_\beta(\tau_{2\ell-2}, \tau_{2\ell-1}) \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_\alpha(\tau_{2\ell-1}, \tau_{2\ell}) \varphi(\tau_{2\ell}) \mathbf{f}_\ell(\vartheta_1(\tau_{2\ell})) \Delta\tau_{2\ell} \right] \Delta\tau_{2\ell-1} \\ & \leq \int_0^1 \mathcal{G}_\beta^*(\tau_{2\ell-1}) \left[ \int_0^1 \mathcal{G}_\alpha^*(\tau_{2\ell}) \varphi(\tau_{2\ell}) \mathbf{f}_\ell(\vartheta_1(\tau_{2\ell})) \Delta\tau_{2\ell} \right] \Delta\tau_{2\ell-1} \\ & \leq A_1 R_k \int_0^1 \mathcal{G}_\beta^*(\tau_{2\ell-1}) \left[ \int_0^1 \mathcal{G}_\alpha^*(\tau_{2\ell}) \varphi(\tau_{2\ell}) \Delta\tau_{2\ell} \right] \Delta\tau_{2\ell-1}. \end{aligned}$$

There exists a  $q > 1$  such that  $\frac{1}{q} + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{p_j} = 1$ . By the first part of Theorem 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \aleph_\beta(\tau_{2\ell-2}, \tau_{2\ell-1}) \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_\alpha(\tau_{2\ell-1}, \tau_{2\ell}) \varphi(\tau_{2\ell}) \mathbf{f}_\ell(\vartheta_1(\tau_{2\ell})) \Delta\tau_{2\ell} \right] \Delta\tau_{2\ell-1} \\ & \leq A_1 R_k \int_0^1 \mathcal{G}_\beta^*(\tau_{2\ell-1}) \Delta\tau_{2\ell-1} \left[ \int_0^1 \mathcal{G}_\alpha^*(\tau_{2\ell}) \varphi(\tau_{2\ell}) \Delta\tau_{2\ell} \right] \\ & \leq A_1 R_k \int_0^1 \mathcal{G}_\beta^*(\tau_{2\ell-1}) \Delta\tau_{2\ell-1} \|\mathcal{G}_\alpha^*\|_q \|\varphi\|_{p_j} \leq A_1 R_k \int_0^1 \mathcal{G}_\beta^*(\tau_{2\ell-1}) \Delta\tau_{2\ell-1} \|\mathcal{G}_\alpha^*\|_q \prod_{j=1}^n \|\varphi_j\|_{p_j} \\ & \leq R_k. \end{aligned}$$

It follows in similar manner (for  $0 < \tau_{2\ell-4} < 1$ ) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \aleph_\beta(\tau_{2\ell-4}, \tau_{2\ell-3}) \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_\alpha(\tau_{2\ell-3}, \tau_{2\ell-2}) \varphi(\tau_{2\ell-2}) \right. \\ & \times \mathbf{f}_{\ell-1} \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_\beta(\tau_{2\ell-2}, \tau_{2\ell-1}) \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_\alpha(\tau_{2\ell-1}, \tau_{2\ell}) \varphi(\tau_{2\ell}) \mathbf{f}_\ell(\vartheta_1(\tau_{2\ell})) \Delta\tau_{2\ell} \right] \Delta\tau_{2\ell-1} \right] \Delta\tau_{2\ell-2} \left. \right] \Delta\tau_{2\ell-3} \\ & \leq \int_0^1 \aleph_\beta(\tau_{2\ell-4}, \tau_{2\ell-3}) \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_\alpha(\tau_{2\ell-3}, \tau_{2\ell-2}) \varphi(\tau_{2\ell-2}) \mathbf{f}_{\ell-1}(R_k) \Delta\tau_{2\ell-2} \right] \Delta\tau_{2\ell-3} \\ & \leq A_1 R_k \int_0^1 \mathcal{G}_\beta^*(\tau_{2\ell-3}) \left[ \int_0^1 \mathcal{G}_\alpha^*(\tau_{2\ell-2}) \varphi(\tau_{2\ell-2}) \Delta\tau_{2\ell-2} \right] \Delta\tau_{2\ell-3} \\ & \leq A_1 R_k \int_0^1 \mathcal{G}_\beta^*(\tau_{2\ell-1}) \Delta\tau_{2\ell-1} \|\mathcal{G}_\alpha^*\|_q \|\varphi\|_{p_j} \leq A_1 R_k \int_0^1 \mathcal{G}_\beta^*(\tau_{2\ell-1}) \Delta\tau_{2\ell-1} \|\mathcal{G}_\alpha^*\|_q \prod_{j=1}^n \|\varphi_j\|_{p_j} \\ & \leq R_k. \end{aligned}$$

Continuing with this bootstrapping argument, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\Omega\vartheta_1)(t) &= \int_0^1 \aleph_\beta(t, \tau_1) \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_\alpha(\tau_1, \tau_2) \varphi(\tau_2) \mathfrak{f}_1 \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_\beta(\tau_2, \tau_3) \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_\alpha(\tau_3, \tau_4) \varphi(\tau_4) \mathfrak{f}_2 \cdots \right. \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. \left. \mathfrak{f}_{\ell-1} \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_\beta(\tau_{2\ell-2}, \tau_{2\ell-1}) \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_\alpha(\tau_{2\ell-1}, \tau_{2\ell}) \varphi(\tau_{2\ell}) \mathfrak{f}_\ell(\vartheta_1(\tau_{2\ell})) \Delta\tau_{2\ell} \right] \Delta\tau_{2\ell-1} \cdots \Delta\tau_2 \right] \Delta\tau_1 \leq \mathbf{R}_k. \right. \right.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathbf{R}_k = \|\vartheta_1\|$  for  $\vartheta_1 \in \mathcal{A}_{\lambda_k} \cap \partial\mathbf{Q}_{1,k}$ , we get

$$\|\Omega\vartheta_1\| \leq \|\vartheta_1\|. \tag{3.1}$$

Let  $t \in [\lambda_k, 1 - \lambda_k]_{\mathbb{T}}$ . Then,  $\mathbf{r}_k = \|\vartheta_1\| \geq \vartheta_1(t) \geq \min_{t \in [\lambda_k, 1 - \lambda_k]_{\mathbb{T}}} \vartheta_1(t) \geq \lambda_k \|\vartheta_1\| \geq \lambda_k \mathbf{r}_k$ . By  $(\mathcal{J}_2)$  and for  $\tau_{2\ell-2} \in [\lambda_k, 1 - \lambda_k]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_0^1 \aleph_\beta(\tau_{2\ell-2}, \tau_{2\ell-1}) \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_\alpha(\tau_{2\ell-1}, \tau_{2\ell}) \varphi(\tau_{2\ell}) \mathfrak{f}_\ell(\vartheta_1(\tau_{2\ell})) \Delta\tau_{2\ell} \right] \Delta\tau_{2\ell-1} \\
 &\geq \lambda_k \int_0^1 \mathcal{G}_\beta^*(\tau_{2\ell-1}) \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_\alpha(\tau_{2\ell-1}, \tau_{2\ell}) \varphi(\tau_{2\ell}) \mathfrak{f}_\ell(\vartheta_1(\tau_{2\ell})) \Delta\tau_{2\ell} \right] \Delta\tau_{2\ell-1} \\
 &\geq \lambda_k \int_{\lambda_k}^{1-\lambda_k} \mathcal{G}_\beta^*(\tau_{2\ell-1}) \left[ \lambda_k \int_{\lambda_k}^{1-\lambda_k} \mathcal{G}_\alpha^*(\tau_{2\ell}) \varphi(\tau_{2\ell}) \mathfrak{f}_\ell(\vartheta_1(\tau_{2\ell})) \Delta\tau_{2\ell} \right] \Delta\tau_{2\ell-1} \\
 &\geq \lambda_k^2 \int_{\lambda_k}^{1-\lambda_k} \mathcal{G}_\beta^*(\tau_{2\ell-1}) \left[ \mathbf{Lr}_k \prod_{j=1}^n \varphi_j^* \int_{\lambda_k}^{1-\lambda_k} \mathcal{G}_\alpha^*(\tau_{2\ell}) \Delta\tau_{2\ell} \right] \Delta\tau_{2\ell-1} \\
 &\geq \mathbf{Lr}_k \lambda_1^2 \prod_{j=1}^n \varphi_j^* \int_{\lambda_1}^{1-\lambda_1} \mathcal{G}_\beta^*(\tau_{2\ell-1}) \Delta\tau_{2\ell-1} \left[ \int_{\lambda_1}^{1-\lambda_1} \mathcal{G}_\alpha^*(\tau_{2\ell}) \Delta\tau_{2\ell} \right] \\
 &\geq \mathbf{r}_k.
 \end{aligned}$$

Continuing with bootstrapping argument, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\Omega\vartheta_1)(t) &= \int_0^1 \aleph_\beta(t, \tau_1) \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_\alpha(\tau_1, \tau_2) \varphi(\tau_2) \mathfrak{f}_1 \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_\beta(\tau_2, \tau_3) \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_\alpha(\tau_3, \tau_4) \varphi(\tau_4) \mathfrak{f}_2 \cdots \right. \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. \left. \mathfrak{f}_{\ell-1} \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_\beta(\tau_{2\ell-2}, \tau_{2\ell-1}) \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_\alpha(\tau_{2\ell-1}, \tau_{2\ell}) \varphi(\tau_{2\ell}) \mathfrak{f}_\ell(\vartheta_1(\tau_{2\ell})) \Delta\tau_{2\ell} \right] \Delta\tau_{2\ell-1} \cdots \Delta\tau_2 \right] \Delta\tau_1 \geq \mathbf{r}_k. \right. \right.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if  $\vartheta_1 \in \mathcal{A}_{\lambda_k} \cap \partial\mathbf{Q}_{2,k}$ , then

$$\|\Omega\vartheta_1\| \geq \|\vartheta_1\|. \tag{3.2}$$

It is evident that  $0 \in \mathbf{Q}_{2,k} \subset \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_{2,k} \subset \mathbf{Q}_{1,k}$ . From (3.1) and (3.2), it follows from Theorem 3.1 that the operator  $\Omega$  has a fixed point  $\vartheta_1^{[k]} \in \mathcal{A}_{\lambda_k} \cap (\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_{1,k} \setminus \mathbf{Q}_{2,k})$  such that  $\vartheta_1^{[k]}(t) \geq 0$  on  $(0, 1)_{\mathbb{T}}$ , and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Next setting  $\vartheta_{n+1} = \vartheta_1$ , we obtain infinitely many positive solutions  $\{(\vartheta_1^{[k]}, \vartheta_2^{[k]}, \dots, \vartheta_n^{[k]})\}_{k=1}^\infty$  of (1.1)–(1.2) given iteratively by

$$\vartheta_\ell(t) = \int_0^1 \aleph_\beta(t, \tau) \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_\alpha(\tau, s) \mathfrak{f}_\ell(\vartheta_{\ell+1}(s)) \Delta s \right] \Delta\tau, \ell = n, n - 1, \dots, 2, 1.$$

The proof is completed. □

For  $\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{p}_j = 1$ , we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.4** Assume that  $(\mathcal{H}_1) - (\mathcal{H}_3)$  hold, let  $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  be such that  $t_{k+1} < \lambda_k < t_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Let  $\{\mathbf{R}_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  and  $\{\mathbf{r}_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  be such that  $\mathbf{R}_{k+1} < \lambda_k \mathbf{r}_k < \mathbf{Lr}_k < \mathbf{R}_k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , where

$$\mathbf{L} = \max \left\{ \left[ \lambda_1^2 \prod_{j=1}^n \varphi_j^* \int_{\lambda_1}^{1-\lambda_1} \mathcal{G}_\beta^*(\tau) \Delta\tau \left[ \int_{\lambda_1}^{1-\lambda_1} \mathcal{G}_\alpha^*(\tau) \Delta\tau \right]^{-1}, 1 \right\}.$$

Further, assume that  $\mathbf{f}_i$  satisfies

$(\mathcal{J}_3)$   $\mathbf{f}_\ell(\vartheta(t)) \leq \mathbf{A}_2 \mathbf{R}_k$  for all  $0 \leq \vartheta(t) \leq \mathbf{R}_k$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , where

$$\mathbf{A}_2 < \left[ \|\mathcal{G}_\alpha^*\|_\infty \prod_{j=1}^n \|\varphi_j\|_{\mathbf{p}_j} \int_0^1 \mathcal{G}_\beta^*(\tau) \Delta\tau \right]^{-1}.$$

$(\mathcal{J}_4)$   $\mathbf{f}_\ell(\vartheta(t)) \geq \mathbf{Lr}_k$  for all  $\lambda_k \mathbf{r}_k \leq \vartheta(t) \leq \mathbf{r}_k$ ,  $t \in [\lambda_k, 1 - \lambda_k]_{\mathbb{T}}$ .

The iterative system (1.1)–(1.2) has infinitely many solutions  $\{(\vartheta_1^{[k]}, \vartheta_2^{[k]}, \dots, \vartheta_n^{[k]})\}_{k=1}^\infty$  such that  $\vartheta_\ell^{[k]}(t) \geq 0$  on  $(0, 1)_{\mathbb{T}}$ ,  $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proof** For a fixed  $k$ , let  $\mathbf{Q}_{1,k}$  be as in the proof of Theorem 3.3 and let  $\vartheta_1 \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_k} \cap \partial \mathbf{Q}_{1,k}$ . Again  $\vartheta_1(\tau) \leq \mathbf{R}_k = \|\vartheta_1\|$ , for all  $\tau \in [0, 1]_{\mathbb{T}}$ . By  $(\mathcal{J}_3)$  and for  $\tau_{2\ell-2} \in [0, 1]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \aleph_\beta(\tau_{2\ell-2}, \tau_{2\ell-1}) \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_\alpha(\tau_{2\ell-1}, \tau_{2\ell}) \varphi(\tau_{2\ell}) \mathbf{f}_\ell(\vartheta_1(\tau_{2\ell})) \Delta\tau_{2\ell} \right] \Delta\tau_{2\ell-1} \\ & \leq \int_0^1 \mathcal{G}_\beta^*(\tau_{2\ell-1}) \left[ \int_0^1 \mathcal{G}_\alpha^*(\tau_{2\ell}) \varphi(\tau_{2\ell}) \mathbf{f}_\ell(\vartheta_1(\tau_{2\ell})) \Delta\tau_{2\ell} \right] \Delta\tau_{2\ell-1} \\ & \leq \mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{R}_k \int_0^1 \mathcal{G}_\beta^*(\tau_{2\ell-1}) \left[ \int_0^1 \mathcal{G}_\alpha^*(\tau_{2\ell}) \varphi(\tau_{2\ell}) \Delta\tau_{2\ell} \right] \Delta\tau_{2\ell-1} \\ & \leq \mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{R}_k \int_0^1 \mathcal{G}_\beta^*(\tau_{2\ell-1}) \Delta\tau_{2\ell-1} \|\mathcal{G}_\alpha^*\|_\infty \|\varphi\|_{\mathbf{p}_j} \\ & \leq \mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{R}_k \int_0^1 \mathcal{G}_\beta^*(\tau_{2\ell-1}) \Delta\tau_{2\ell-1} \|\mathcal{G}_\alpha^*\|_\infty \prod_{j=1}^n \|\varphi_j\|_{\mathbf{p}_j} \\ & \leq \mathbf{R}_k. \end{aligned}$$

It follows in similar manner (for  $\tau_{2\ell-4} \in [0, 1]_{\mathbb{T}}$ , ) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \aleph_{\beta}(\tau_{2\ell-4}, \tau_{2\ell-3}) \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_{\alpha}(\tau_{2\ell-3}, \tau_{2\ell-2}) \varphi(\tau_{2\ell-2}) \right. \\ & \quad \times \mathbf{f}_{\ell-1} \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_{\beta}(\tau_{2\ell-2}, \tau_{2\ell-1}) \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_{\alpha}(\tau_{2\ell-1}, \tau_{2\ell}) \varphi(\tau_{2\ell}) \mathbf{f}_{\ell}(\vartheta_1(\tau_{2\ell})) \Delta\tau_{2\ell} \right] \Delta\tau_{2\ell-1} \right] \Delta\tau_{2\ell-2} \left. \right] \Delta\tau_{2\ell-3} \\ & \leq \int_0^1 \aleph_{\beta}(\tau_{2\ell-4}, \tau_{2\ell-3}) \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_{\alpha}(\tau_{2\ell-3}, \tau_{2\ell-2}) \varphi(\tau_{2\ell-2}) \mathbf{f}_{\ell-1}(\mathbf{R}_k) \Delta\tau_{2\ell-2} \right] \Delta\tau_{2\ell-3} \\ & \leq \mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{R}_k \int_0^1 \mathcal{G}_{\beta}^*(\tau_{2\ell-3}) \left[ \int_0^1 \mathcal{G}_{\alpha}^*(\tau_{2\ell-2}) \varphi(\tau_{2\ell-2}) \Delta\tau_{2\ell-2} \right] \Delta\tau_{2\ell-3} \\ & \leq \mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{R}_k \int_0^1 \mathcal{G}_{\beta}^*(\tau_{2\ell-1}) \Delta\tau_{2\ell-1} \|\mathcal{G}_{\alpha}^*\|_{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^n \|\varphi_j\|_{p_j} \\ & \leq \mathbf{R}_k. \end{aligned}$$

Continuing with this bootstrapping argument, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\Omega\vartheta_1)(t) &= \int_0^1 \aleph_{\beta}(t, \tau_1) \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_{\alpha}(\tau_1, \tau_2) \varphi(\tau_2) \mathbf{f}_1 \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_{\beta}(\tau_2, \tau_3) \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_{\alpha}(\tau_3, \tau_4) \varphi(\tau_4) \mathbf{f}_2 \cdots \right. \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \left. \mathbf{f}_{\ell-1} \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_{\beta}(\tau_{2\ell-2}, \tau_{2\ell-1}) \left[ \int_0^1 \aleph_{\alpha}(\tau_{2\ell-1}, \tau_{2\ell}) \varphi(\tau_{2\ell}) \mathbf{f}_{\ell}(\vartheta_1(\tau_{2\ell})) \Delta\tau_{2\ell} \right] \Delta\tau_{2\ell-1} \cdots \Delta\tau_2 \right] \Delta\tau_1 \right] \right] \Delta\tau_1 \leq \mathbf{R}_k. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathbf{R}_k = \|\vartheta_1\|$  for  $\vartheta_1 \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_k} \cap \partial\mathbf{Q}_{1,k}$ , we get

$$\|\Omega\vartheta_1\| \leq \|\vartheta_1\|. \tag{3.3}$$

Now define  $\mathbf{Q}_{2,k} = \{\vartheta \in \mathcal{B} : \|\vartheta\| < r_k\}$ . Let  $\vartheta \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_k} \cap \partial\mathbf{Q}_{2,k}$  and let  $\tau \in [\lambda_k, 1 - \lambda_k]_{\mathbb{T}}$ . Then, the argument leading to (3.2) can be done to the present case. This completes the proof.  $\square$

Finally, for  $\sum_{j=1}^n p_j > 1$ , we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.5** Assume that  $(\mathcal{H}_1) - (\mathcal{H}_3)$  hold, let  $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  be such that  $t_{k+1} < \lambda_k < t_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Let  $\{\mathbf{R}_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  and  $\{\mathbf{r}_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  be such that

$$\mathbf{R}_{k+1} < \lambda_k \mathbf{r}_k < \mathbf{L} \mathbf{r}_k < \mathbf{R}_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

where

$$\mathbf{L} = \max \left\{ \left[ \lambda_1^2 \prod_{j=1}^n \varphi_j^* \int_{\lambda_1}^{1-\lambda_1} \mathcal{G}_{\beta}^*(\tau) \Delta\tau \left[ \int_{\lambda_1}^{1-\lambda_1} \mathcal{G}_{\alpha}^*(\tau) \Delta\tau \right] \right]^{-1}, 1 \right\}.$$

Further, assume that  $\mathbf{f}_i$  satisfies

$$(\mathcal{J}_5) \quad \mathbf{f}_{\ell}(\vartheta(t)) \leq \mathbf{A}_3 \mathbf{R}_k \text{ for all } 0 \leq \vartheta(t) \leq \mathbf{R}_k, t \in [0, 1]_{\mathbb{T}}, \text{ where } \mathbf{A}_3 < \left[ \|\mathcal{G}_{\alpha}^*\|_{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^n \|\varphi_j\|_1 \int_0^1 \mathcal{G}_{\beta}^*(\tau) \Delta\tau \right]^{-1}.$$



( $\mathcal{J}_6$ )  $f_\ell(\vartheta(t)) \geq Lr_k$  for all  $\lambda_k r_k \leq \vartheta(t) \leq r_k, t \in [\lambda_k, 1 - \lambda_k]_{\mathbb{T}}$ .

The iterative system (1.1)–(1.2) has infinitely many solutions  $\{(\vartheta_1^{[k]}, \vartheta_2^{[k]}, \dots, \vartheta_n^{[k]})\}_{k=1}^\infty$  such that  $\vartheta_\ell^{[k]}(t) \geq 0$  on  $(0, 1)_{\mathbb{T}}, \ell = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proof** The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.3. So, we omit the details here. □

#### 4. Examples

In this section, we provide two examples to check the validity of our main results.

**Example 4.1** Consider the following boundary value problem on  $\mathbb{T} = [0, 1]$ .

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{3/2}(\mathcal{T}_{3/2}\vartheta_n(t)) + \varphi(t)f_n(\vartheta_{n+1}(t)) &= 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \quad n = 1, 2, \\ \vartheta_3(t) &= \vartheta_1(t), \quad 0 < t < 1, \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{4.1}$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \vartheta_n(0) = 0, \quad \vartheta_n(1) &= \int_0^1 \vartheta_n(\tau) d\mathbf{g}(\tau), \quad n = 1, 2, \\ \mathcal{T}_{3/2}\vartheta_n(0) = 0, \quad \mathcal{T}_{3/2}\vartheta_n(1) &= \int_0^1 \vartheta_n(\tau) d\mathbf{g}(\tau), \quad n = 1, 2, \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{4.2}$$

where

$$\varphi(t) = \varphi_1(t)\varphi_2(t)$$

in which

$$\varphi_1(t) = \frac{1}{|t - \frac{1}{4}|} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_2(t) = \frac{1}{|t - \frac{1}{3}|},$$

$$f_1(\vartheta) = f_2(\vartheta) = \begin{cases} 188 \times 10^{-4}, & \vartheta \in (10^{-4}, +\infty), \\ \frac{51 \times 10^{-(4k+2)} - 188 \times 10^{-4k}}{10^{-(4k+2)} - 10^{-4k}} (\vartheta - 10^{-4k}) + 188 \times 10^{-4k}, & \vartheta \in [10^{-(4k+2)}, 10^{-4k}], \\ 51 \times 10^{-(4k+2)}, & \vartheta \in \left(\frac{1}{5} \times 10^{-(4k+2)}, 10^{-(4k+2)}\right), \\ \frac{51 \times 10^{-(4k+2)} - 188 \times 10^{-(4k+4)}}{\frac{1}{5} \times 10^{-(4k+2)} - 10^{-(4k+4)}} (\vartheta - 10^{-(4k+4)}) + 188 \times 10^{-(4k+4)}, & \vartheta \in \left(10^{-(4k+4)}, \frac{1}{5} \times 10^{-(4k+2)}\right], \\ 0, & \vartheta = 0, \end{cases}$$

$$g(t) = \begin{cases} t, & t \in [0, 1/2) \cup [2/3, 5/6], \\ \frac{1}{2}, & t \in [1/2, 2/3), \\ \frac{5}{6}, & t \in [5/6, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$t_k = \frac{31}{64} - \sum_{r=1}^k \frac{1}{4(r+1)^4}, \quad \lambda_k = \frac{1}{2}(t_k + t_{k+1}), \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

then

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{15}{32} - \frac{1}{648} < \frac{15}{32}$$

and

$$t_{k+1} < \lambda_k < t_k, \quad \lambda_k > \frac{1}{5}.$$

It is easy to see

$$t_1 = \frac{15}{32} < \frac{1}{2}, \quad t_k - t_{k+1} = \frac{1}{4(k+2)^4}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Since  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^4} = \frac{\pi^4}{90}$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$ , it follows that

$$t^* = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k = \frac{31}{64} - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4(i+1)^4} = \frac{47}{64} - \frac{\pi^4}{360} > \frac{1}{5},$$

$$\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in L_{\Delta}^p[0, 1]_{\mathbb{T}} \quad \text{for all } 0 < p < 2, \quad \text{so } \varphi_1^* = \varphi_2^* = \frac{1}{3}.$$

$$\lambda_1^2 \prod_{j=1}^n \varphi_j^* \int_{\lambda_1}^{1-\lambda_1} \mathcal{G}_{\beta}^*(\tau) d\tau \left[ \int_{\lambda_1}^{1-\lambda_1} \mathcal{G}_{\alpha}^*(\tau) d\tau \right] \approx 0.02,$$

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \max \left\{ \left[ \lambda_1^2 \prod_{j=1}^n \varphi_j^* \int_{\lambda_1}^{1-\lambda_1} \mathcal{G}_{\beta}^*(\tau) d\tau \left[ \int_{\lambda_1}^{1-\lambda_1} \mathcal{G}_{\alpha}^*(\tau) d\tau \right] \right]^{-1}, 1 \right\} \\ &= \{50, 1\} = 50. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{G}_{\alpha}^*\|_2 \approx 12.08, \quad \int_0^1 \mathcal{G}_{\beta}^*(\tau) d\tau \approx 3.22.$$

Next, let  $0 < a < 1$  be fixed. Then  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in L^{1+a}[0, 1]$ . It follows that

$$\|\varphi_1\|_{1+a} = \left[ -\frac{4^a(1+3^{-a})}{a} \right]^{\frac{1}{1+a}},$$

$$\|\varphi_2\|_{1+a} = \left[ -\frac{3^a(1+2^{-a})}{a} \right]^{\frac{1}{1+a}}.$$

So, for  $0 < a < 1$ , we have

$$189.825 \leq \left[ \|\mathcal{G}_{\alpha}^*\|_q \prod_{j=1}^n \|\varphi_j\|_{p_j} \int_0^1 \mathcal{G}_{\beta}^*(\tau) d\tau \right]^{-1}.$$

Taking  $A_1 = 189$ . In addition if we take

$$R_k = 10^{-4k}, r_k = 10^{-(4k+2)},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} R_{k+1} &= 10^{-(4k+4)} < \frac{1}{5} \times 10^{-(4k+2)} < \lambda_k r_k \\ &< r_k = 10^{-(4k+2)} < R_k = 10^{-4k}, \end{aligned}$$

$Lr_k = 50 \times 10^{-(4k+2)} < 189 \times 10^{-4k} = A_1 R_k, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , and  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  satisfies the following growth conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(\vartheta) = f_2(\vartheta) &\leq A_1 R_k = 189 \times 10^{-4k}, \quad \vartheta \in \left[0, 10^{-4k}\right] \\ f_1(\vartheta) = f_2(\vartheta) &\geq Lr_k = 50 \times 10^{-(4k+2)}, \quad \vartheta \in \left[\frac{1}{5} \times 10^{-(4k+2)}, 10^{-(4k+2)}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

All the conditions of Theorem 3.3 are satisfied. Therefore, by Theorem 3.3, the boundary value problem (4.1)–(4.2) has infinitely many positive solutions  $\{\vartheta^{[k]}\}_{k=1}^\infty$  such that  $10^{-(4k+2)} \leq \|\vartheta^{[k]}\| \leq 10^{-4k}$  for each  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ .

**Example 4.2** Let  $\mathbb{T} = \{0\} \cup [1/2, 1] \cup \left\{\frac{1}{2^{n+1}} : n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ . Consider the boundary value problem

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{7/4}(\mathcal{T}_{5/4}\vartheta_n(t)) + \varphi(t)\mathbf{f}_n(\vartheta_{n+1}(t)) &= 0, \quad t \in (0, 1)_{\mathbb{T}}, \quad \mathbf{n} = 1, 2, \\ \vartheta_3(t) &= \vartheta_1(t), \quad t \in (0, 1)_{\mathbb{T}}, \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{4.3}$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \vartheta_n(0) = 0, \quad \vartheta_n(1) &= \int_0^1 \vartheta_n(\tau)\Delta\mathbf{g}(\tau), \quad \mathbf{n} = 1, 2, \\ \mathcal{T}_{5/4}\vartheta_n(0) = 0, \quad \mathcal{T}_{5/4}\vartheta_n(1) &= \int_0^1 \vartheta_n(\tau)\Delta\mathbf{g}(\tau), \quad \mathbf{n} = 1, 2, \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{4.4}$$

where

$$\varphi(t) = \varphi_1(t)\varphi_2(t)$$

in which

$$\varphi_1(t) = \frac{1}{|t - \frac{3}{5}|} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_2(t) = \frac{1}{|t - \frac{4}{5}|},$$

$$f_1(\vartheta) = f_2(\vartheta) = \begin{cases} 0.2 \times 10^{-5}, & \vartheta \in (10^{-5}, +\infty), \\ \frac{110 \times 10^{-(4k+4)} - 0.2 \times 10^{-(4k+1)}}{10^{-(4k+4)} - 10^{-(4k+1)}}(\vartheta - 10^{-(4k+1)}) + 0.2 \times 10^{-(4k+1)}, & \vartheta \in \left[10^{-(4k+4)}, 10^{-(4k+1)}\right], \\ 110 \times 10^{-(4k+4)}, & \vartheta \in \left(\frac{1}{5} \times 10^{-(4k+4)}, 10^{-(4k+4)}\right), \\ \frac{110 \times 10^{-(4k+4)} - 0.2 \times 10^{-(4k+5)}}{\frac{1}{5} \times 10^{-(4k+4)} - 10^{-(4k+5)}}(\vartheta - 10^{-(4k+5)}) + 0.2 \times 10^{-(4k+5)}, & \vartheta \in \left(10^{-(4k+5)}, \frac{1}{5} \times 10^{-(4k+4)}\right], \\ 0, & \vartheta = 0, \end{cases}$$

$$g(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \in [0, 1/2), \\ t, & t \in [1/2, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Let  $t_k, \lambda_k$  be the same as in example 4.1. Then  $\lambda_1 = \frac{15}{32} - \frac{1}{648} < \frac{15}{32}$ ,  $t_{k+1} < \lambda_k < t_k$ ,  $\lambda_k > \frac{1}{5}$  and  $t_1 = \frac{15}{32} < \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $t_k - t_{k+1} = \frac{1}{4(k+2)^4}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Also,  $t^* = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k = \frac{31}{64} - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4(i+1)^4} = \frac{47}{64} - \frac{\pi^4}{360} > \frac{1}{5}$ . By simple calculations, we obtain  $g^* = \frac{1}{4}$ ,  $\varphi_1^* = \varphi_2^* = 5/3$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_x^*(\tau) = \mathcal{K}_x(\tau, \tau) + \frac{4}{3}\mathcal{G}_x(\tau)$ ,  $x \in \{\alpha, \beta\}$ , where

$$\mathcal{G}_\alpha(\tau) = \int_0^1 \mathcal{K}_\alpha(\tau_1, \tau) \Delta g(\tau_1) = \int_{1/2}^1 \mathcal{K}_\alpha(\tau_1, \tau) \Delta \tau_1 = \frac{1}{8\tau^{1/4}}(4\tau^2 - 1)(1 - \tau) + \frac{1}{2}\tau^{3/4}(\tau - 1)^2,$$

and

$$\mathcal{G}_\beta(\tau) = \int_0^1 \mathcal{K}_\beta(\tau_1, \tau) \Delta g(\tau_1) = \int_{1/2}^1 \mathcal{K}_\beta(\tau_1, \tau) \Delta \tau_1 = \frac{1}{8\tau^{3/4}}(4\tau^2 - 1)(1 - \tau) + \frac{1}{2\tau^{1/4}}(\tau - 1)^2.$$

So, we get

$$\lambda_1^2 \prod_{j=1}^n \varphi_j^* \int_{\lambda_1}^{1-\lambda_1} \mathcal{G}_\beta^*(\tau) d\tau \left[ \int_{\lambda_1}^{1-\lambda_1} \mathcal{G}_\alpha^*(\tau) d\tau \right] \approx 0.009191748427,$$

$$L = \max \left\{ \left[ \lambda_1^2 \prod_{j=1}^n \varphi_j^* \int_{\lambda_1}^{1-\lambda_1} \mathcal{G}_\beta^*(\tau) d\tau \left[ \int_{\lambda_1}^{1-\lambda_1} \mathcal{G}_\alpha^*(\tau) d\tau \right] \right]^{-1}, 1 \right\}$$

$$= \{108.793, 1\} = 108.793,$$

$$\|\mathcal{G}_\alpha^*\|_2 \approx 0.03517346, \int_0^1 \mathcal{G}_\beta^*(\tau) d\tau \approx 3.038214786, \|\varphi_1\|_3 \approx 6.699800077, \|\varphi_2\|_6 \approx 5.839258384.$$

$$0.2410292729 \leq \left[ \|\mathcal{G}_\alpha^*\|_q \prod_{j=1}^n \|\varphi_j\|_{p_j} \int_0^1 \mathcal{G}_\beta^*(\tau) d\tau \right]^{-1}.$$

Taking  $A_1 = 0.24$ . In addition, if we take  $R_k = 10^{-(4k+1)}$ ,  $r_k = 10^{-(4k+4)}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} R_{k+1} &= 10^{-(4k+5)} < \frac{1}{5} \times 10^{-(4k+4)} < \lambda_k r_k \\ &< r_k = 10^{-(4k+4)} < R_k = 10^{-(4k+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

$Lr_k = 108.793 \times 10^{-(4k+4)} < 0.24 \times 10^{-(4k+1)} = A_1 R_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , and  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  satisfies the following growth conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(\vartheta) = f_2(\vartheta) &\leq A_1 R_k = 0.24 \times 10^{-(4k+1)}, \quad \vartheta \in \left[0, 10^{-(4k+1)}\right] \\ f_1(\vartheta) = f_2(\vartheta) &\geq Lr_k = 108.793 \times 10^{-(4k+4)}, \quad \vartheta \in \left[\frac{1}{5} \times 10^{-(4k+4)}, 10^{-(4k+2)}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

All the conditions of Theorem 3.3 are satisfied. Therefore, by Theorem 3.3, the boundary value problem (4.3)–(4.4) has infinitely many positive solutions  $\{\vartheta^{[k]}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $10^{-(4k+4)} \leq \|\vartheta^{[k]}\| \leq 10^{-(4k+1)}$  for each  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ .

### Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for their valuable suggestions and comments for the improvement of the paper.

### References

- [1] Abdeljawad T. On conformable fractional calculus. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* 2015; 279: 57-66.
- [2] Agarwal RP, Bohner M. Basic calculus on time scales and some of its applications. *Results in Mathematics* 1993; 35 (1): 3-22.
- [3] Agarwal RP, Bohner M, O'Regan D, Peterson A. Dynamic equations on time scales: a survey. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* 2002; 141 (1-2): 1-26.
- [4] Agarwal RP, Otero-Espinar V, Perera K, Vivero DR. Basic properties of Sobolev's spaces on time scales. *Advances in Difference Equations* 2006; 1: 1-14.
- [5] Ameen I, Novati P. The solution of fractional order epidemic model by implicit Adams methods. *Applied Mathematical Modelling* 2017; 47: 78-84.
- [6] Ates I, Zegeling PA. A homotopy perturbation method for fractional order advection diffusion reaction boundary value problems. *Applied Mathematical Modelling* 2017; 47: 425-441.
- [7] Babenko SV, Martynyuk AA. Stability of a dynamic graph on time scales. *Nonlinear Dynamics and Systems Theory* 2014; 14 (1): 30-43.
- [8] Baleanu D, Diethelm K, Scalas E, Trujillo JJ. *Fractional Calculus: Models and Numerical Methods*. Series on Complexity, Nonlinearity and Chaos, Vol. 3. 2nd ed. Hackensack, NJ, USA: World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., 2017.
- [9] Bartosiewicz Z, Pawluszewicz E. Realizations of linear control systems on time scales. *Control and Cybernetics* 2006; 35 (4): 769-786.

- [10] Bendouma B, Hammoudi A. Nonlinear functional boundary value problems for conformable fractional dynamic equations on time scales. *Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics* 2019; 16 (25): 1-20. doi: 10.1007/s00009-019-1302-5
- [11] Benkhettou N, Hassani S, Torres DFM. A conformable fractional calculus on arbitrary time scales. *Journal of King Saud University–Science* 2016; 28 (1): 93-98.
- [12] Bibi R, Bohner M, Pecaric P, Varosanec S. Minkowski and Beckenbach–Dresher inequalities and functionals on time scales. *Journal of Mathematical Inequalities* 2013; 3: 299-312.
- [13] Bohner M, Hatipoglu VF. Dynamic cobweb models with conformable fractional derivatives. *Nonlinear Analysis: Hybrid Systems* 2019; 32: 157-167.
- [14] Bohner M, Luo H. Singular second-order multipoint dynamic boundary value problems with mixed derivatives. *Advances in Difference Equations* 2006; 2006 (1): 1-15.
- [15] Bohner M, Peterson A. *Dynamic Equations on Time Scales: An Introduction with Applications*. Boston, MA, USA: Birkhauser Boston, Inc., 2001.
- [16] Bohner M, Peterson A. *Dynamic Equations on Time Scales: An Introduction with Applications*. Boston, MA, USA: Birkhauser Boston, Inc., 2003.
- [17] Caputo M, Carcione JM, Botelho MAB, Modeling extreme event precursors with the fractional diffusion equation. *Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis* 2015; 18: 208-222.
- [18] Das SK, Edalatpanah SA, Mandal T. Application of linear fractional programming problem with fuzzy nature in industry sector. *Filomat* 2020; 34 (15): 5073-5084. doi: 10.2298/FIL2015073D
- [19] Gulsen T, Yilmaz E, Goktas S. Conformable fractional Dirac system on time scales. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2017; 161 (1): 1-10. doi: 10.1186/s13660-017-1434-8
- [20] Gülşen T, Yılmaz E, Kemalöglü H. Conformable fractional Sturm–Liouville equation and some existence results on time scales. *Turkish Journal of Mathematics* 2018; 42: 1348-1360.
- [21] Guo D, Lakshmikantham V. *Nonlinear problems in abstract cones*. San Diego, CA, USA: Academic Press, 1988.
- [22] Hilger S. Analysis on measure chains—a unified approach to continuous and discrete calculus. *Results in Mathematics* 1990; 18: 18-56.
- [23] Kilbas AA, Srivastava HM, Trujillo JJ. *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 204. Amsterdam, Netherlands: Elsevier Science B.V., 2006.
- [24] Mozyrska D, Pawluszewicz E, Torres DFM. The Riemann–Stieltjes integral on time scales. *Australian Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 2010; 7 (1): 1-14.
- [25] Nwaeze ER. A mean value theorem for the conformable fractional calculus on arbitrary time scales. *Progress in Fractional Differentiation and Applications* 2016; 2 (4): 287-291.
- [26] Prasad KR, Khuddush M, Leela D. Existence of solutions for infinite systems of regular fractional Sturm–Liouville problems in the spaces of tempered sequences. *Tbilisi Mathematics Journal* 2020; 13 (4): 193-209.
- [27] Prasad KR, Khuddush M, Leela D. Existence of solutions for  $n$ -dimensional fractional order hybrid BVPs with integral boundary conditions by an application of  $n$ -fixed point theorem. *The Journal of Analysis* 2021. doi: 10.1007/s41478-020-00291-5
- [28] Rahman G. Application of topological degree method in qualitative behaviour of fractional differential equations. *Filomat* 2020; 34 (2): 421-432.
- [29] Srivastava HM. Diabetes and its resulting complications: mathematical modeling via fractional calculus. *Public Health Open Access* 2020; 4 (3): 1-5.
- [30] Srivastava HM, Saad KM, Khader MM. An efficient spectral collocation method for the dynamic simulation of the fractional epidemiological model of the Ebola virus. *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals* 2020; 140: 1-7.

- [31] Sheng K, Zhang W, Bai Z. Positive solutions to fractional boundary value problems with  $p$ -Laplacian scales. *Boundary Value Problems* 2018; 2018: 1-15.
- [32] Tarasov VE, Tarasova VV. Time dependent fractional dynamics with memory in quantum and economic physics. *Annals of Physics* 2017; 383: 579-599.
- [33] Wang Y, Zhou J, Li Y. Fractional Sobolev's spaces on time scales via conformable fractional calculus and their application to a fractional differential equation on time scales. *Advances in Mathematical Physics* 2016; 2016: 1-21.
- [34] Yan RA, Sun SR, Han ZL. Existence of solutions of boundary value problems for Caputo fractional differential equations on time scales. *Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society* 2016; 42: 247-262.
- [35] Yao Z. Existence and Global exponential stability of an almost periodic solution for a host-macroparasite equation on time scales. *Advances in Difference Equations* 2015; 41. doi: 10.1186/s13662-015-0383-0
- [36] Yaslan Y, Liceli O. Three point boundary value problems with delta Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative on time scales. *Fractional Differential Calculus* 2016; 6: 1-16.
- [37] Yu Z, Jiang H, Hu C, Yu J. Necessary and sufficient conditions for consensus of fractional order multiagent systems via sampled data control. *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics* 2017; 47: 1892-1901.
- [38] Zheng F. New results for a class of boundary value problems involving impulsive fractional differential equations. *Filomat* 2020; 34 (3): 707-725.