

1-1-1996

A Note on the Geography of Symplectic Manifolds

Andras STIPSICZ

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

STIPSICZ, Andras (1996) "A Note on the Geography of Symplectic Manifolds," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 20: No. 1, Article 11. Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol20/iss1/11>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.

A Note on the Geography of Symplectic Manifolds

András Stipsicz

1. Introduction

Based on recent developments in gauge theory – the introduction of Seiberg-Witten invariants and results of Taubes – our understanding of the differential topology of symplectic manifolds improved by a margin in the past year. In this note we would like to discuss some existence problems of minimal simply connected symplectic manifolds; in particular we would like to compare the "geography" of symplectic manifolds and complex surfaces.

Let us first briefly recall the geography of simply connected compact complex surfaces. Since X is simply connected, b^+ is odd (by the Noether formula $12 \mid c_1^2(X) + c_2(X)$), and the holomorphic Euler characteristic $\chi(X)$ is $\frac{1+b^+}{2}$. Also note that $c_1^2(X) = 3\sigma(X) + 2e(X)$, here $\sigma(X)$ denotes the signature, $e(X)$ the Euler characteristic of X .

Let us associate these two integers to a complex surface X

$$X \rightarrow (\chi(X), c_1^2(X)).$$

For example $(\chi(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2), c_1^2(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2)) = (1, 9)$; $(\chi(S^2 \times S^2), c_1^2(S^2 \times S^2)) = (1, 8)$ and $(\chi(E(n)), c_1^2(E(n))) = (n, 0)$ ($E(n)$ is the regular elliptic surface with section and $e(E(n)) = 12n$, in particular $E(2)$ is the $K3$ surface). Note also that if X' is the blow up of X , then $(\chi(X'), c_1^2(X')) = (\chi(X), c_1^2(X) - 1)$.

By the classification result of Kodaira we know that a simply connected compact complex surface is either rational, elliptic or a surface of general type. If X is rational (meaning birationally equivalent to $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$), then $b^+ = \chi(X) = 1$, and the simply connected minimal rationals are diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$, $S^2 \times S^2$ or $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \bar{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{P}^2$ (the Hirzebruch-surfaces).

If X is minimal elliptic (so X admits a holomorphic map $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ with a smooth elliptic curve as a generic fiber), then $(\chi(X), c_1^2(X)) = (n, 0)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For surfaces of general type we know that $c_1^2(X) > 0$, and the two famous inequalities (the Noether inequality and the Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality) give constraints for $c_1^2(X)$ in terms of $\chi(X)$:

$$2\chi(X) - 6 \leq c_1^2(X) \leq 9\chi(X).$$

Most of the points of this region (like $2\chi(X) - 6 \leq c_1^2(X) \leq 4\chi(X)$) is known to correspond to a minimal surface of general type (see [P] or [BPV] for further details).

STIPSICZ

The same geography question makes sense for symplectic manifolds as well – namely which points $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ can be realized as $(\chi(X) = \frac{1+b^+}{2}, c_1^2(X) = 3\sigma(X) + 2e(X))$ of a minimal simply connected symplectic manifold X . Note that $12 \mid c_1^2(X) + c_2(X)$ holds for an almost complex manifold, and so in particular for a symplectic manifold as well, so b_X^+ is odd for a symplectic manifold X . Since blow up and blow down of a symplectic (-1) -sphere makes sense in the symplectic category, minimality can be defined for symplectic manifolds in the same way.

A simply connected complex surface is Kähler, hence symplectic; so the regions populated by complex surfaces are already covered by symplectic manifolds as well. In the following we will show, that a big part of the region under the Noether-line can be populated by minimal symplectic manifolds, more precisely if $D = \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid 0 < b < 2a - 6\}$, then

Theorem 1.1. *If $(a, b) \in D$ and b is even, then there is a minimal symplectic manifold X such that $(\chi(X), c_1^2(X)) = (a, b)$.*

Remark 1.2. • *Note that – by recent result of Taubes – $c_1^2(X) \geq 0$ for a minimal symplectic manifold.*

- *Using slightly different construction Fintushel and Stern gave irreducible symplectic examples populating the region D . Our theorem represents only points $(a, b) \in D$ with even b as $(\chi(X), c_1^2(X))$ of a minimal symplectic manifold X , although most probably the same argument works for every point in D .*
- *The region D above was already populated by examples of Gompf ($[G]$) which were symplectic, but it is not clear yet whether those examples are minimal – although they very likely are.*
- *Note also that the examples given by Theorem 1.1 do not carry complex structure.*

Acknowledgement: We would like to thank the Max-Planck-Institute for their hospitality and Bob Gompf for the many helpful discussions.

2. Donaldson series

Let us briefly recall the rudiments of Donaldson series (see also [KM], [DK]).

For a simply connected manifold X with $b^+ \geq 3$ and odd an analytic function

$$\mathbb{D}_{X,c} : H_2(X; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

can be defined. The definition of $\mathbb{D}_{X,c}$ uses the ASD equation for connections on auxiliary principal $SO(3)$ -bundles P over X with $w_2(P) \equiv c \pmod{2}$ ($c \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is fixed).

Remark 2.1. *To define $\mathbb{D}_{X,c}$ one needs an additional property of X – it has to be of simple type (see [KM]). Also the definition of $\mathbb{D}_{X,c}$ needs a choice of a homology orientation of X (see [D]).*

STIPSICZ

The beautiful structure theorem of Kronheimer-Mrowka and Fintushel-Stern ([KM], [FS1]) states that

$$\mathbb{D}_{X,c} = \exp\left(\frac{Q}{2}\right) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^s a_i e^{K_i}$$

where $a_i \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$ and $K_i \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ ($i = 1, \dots, s$). $\{K_i\}_{i=1}^s$ is the set of (KM)-basic classes of the manifold X , these classes satisfy the following properties:

- $K_i \equiv w_2(X) \pmod{2}$;
- if K is a basic class, then $-K$ is a basic class as well;
- if $\Sigma \subset X$ is a smoothly embedded surface with $[\Sigma]^2 \geq 0$ and genus $g(\Sigma)$, then for any basic class K

$$2g(\Sigma) - 2 \geq [\Sigma]^2 + |K([\Sigma])|.$$

Theorem 2.2. (*Blow up formula*)

If $\{K_i\}_{i=1}^s$ is the set of basic classes for X , then $\{K_i \pm E\}_{i=1}^s$ is the set of basic classes for $X \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ (E is the Poincare dual of the exceptional fiber). \square

More generally if $X = X_1 \# X_2$ where $b^+(X_2) = 0$ (so the intersection form of X_2 is $n\langle -1 \rangle$ spanned by $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$), then the set of basic classes of X is $\{K_i \pm E_1 \pm \dots \pm E_n\}$ where $\{K_i\}$ is the set of basic classes of X_1 (and E_i is the Poincare dual of e_i).

By the connected sum theorem of Donaldson we know, that if X has non-zero series, then X cannot admit a decomposition $X = X_1 \# X_2$ with $b^+(X_i) > 0$ ($i = 1, 2$). A decomposition with $b^+(X_2) = 0$ however is possible, so irreducibility doesn't follow directly from the non-vanishing of the invariants.

Proposition 2.3. *Assume that the set of basic classes $\{K_i\}_{i=1}^s$ of the manifold X satisfies*

$$(K_i - K_j)^2 \neq -4 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i, j \leq s.$$

In this case X is irreducible.

Proof: The existence of basic classes insure, that $\mathbb{D}_X \neq 0$, so if X is reducible, then $X = X_1 \# X_2$ with $b^+(X_2) = 0$ is the only possibility. By the previous remark however in this case there are basic classes K_i, K_j , such that $K_i - K_j = 2E_1$, so $(K_i - K_j)^2 = -4$ contradicting our assumption. \square

Assume that the manifold X has only 2 basic classes $\pm K \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ and $K^2 > 0$. Assume also that X contains a torus f with square 0 lying in a cusp neighborhood. In this case one can take the fiber sum of X with the regular elliptic surface $E(n)$ along f .

Proposition 2.4. *$X \#_f E(n)$ is an irreducible manifold.*

Proof: Applying the computations presented in [S] (Proposition 3.3), the set of basic classes of $X \#_f E(n)$ is

$$\{\pm K + k \cdot F \mid k \equiv n \pmod{2}, |k| \leq n\}$$

(F is the Poincare dual of the homology class represented by f). The difference of two basic classes is either $k_1 \cdot F$ or $\pm(2K + k_2 \cdot F)$; the squares of these elements are at least 0 so by Proposition 2.3 $X \#_f E(n)$ is irreducible. \square

3. Irreducible symplectic manifolds

Let us take the set Ξ of simply connected symplectic manifolds X having the following properties:

1. X has exactly two basic classes ($\pm K$) and $K^2 > 0$;
2. X contains a torus f with $f^2 = 0$ such that f is lying in a cusp neighborhood and f is a symplectic or lagrangian submanifold of X .

By the construction of Gompf $X \#_f E(n)$ is symplectic; by Proposition 2.4 it is irreducible as well. Note that $(\chi(X \#_f E(n)), c_1^2(X \#_f E(n))) = (\chi(X) + n, c_1^2(X))$. So to prove Theorem 1.1 we only have to show, that for every even $b > 0$ Ξ contains an element X such that $(\chi(X), c_1^2(X)) = (a, b)$ with $b \geq 2a - 6$. As Fintushel and Stern observed ([FS]), complete intersections, Moishezon surfaces and Salvetti surfaces are elements of Ξ (note that in these cases the torus f is a lagrangian submanifold). Also by analyzing the effect of rational blowdown, Fintushel and Stern realized ([FS2]) that surfaces on the Noether-line $c_1^2 = 2\chi - 6$ (the Horikawa surfaces) can be constructed by blowing down rationally elliptic surfaces $E(n)$. Since $E(n)$ contains lagrangian tori disjoint from the configurations one blows down to get the Horikawa surfaces, we have

Theorem 3.1. *The Horikawa surfaces constructed by rationally blowing down the elliptic surfaces $E(n)$ are in Ξ .*

In this way we have an element of Ξ with $c_1^2 = 2\chi - 6$ for every even c_1^2 , and this proves Theorem 1.1.

- Remark 3.2.**
- *By performing a logarithmic transformation of multiplicity 2 on f – which is known to be a symplectic operation – we can turn a spin manifold into a non-spin one; the resulting manifold remains irreducible.*
 - *Most probably the surfaces on the "next Horikawa line" $c_1^2 = 2\chi - 5$ contain also the required symplectic or lagrangian torus in the cusp neighborhood, so we can relax the assumption on the parity of b in Theorem 1.1. This issue will be discussed elsewhere.*

References

- [BPV] W. Barth, C. Peters, A. Van de Ven "Compact Complex Surfaces" *Ergebnisse der Mathematik, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1984*
- [D] S.K. Donaldson *Polynomial Invariants for Smooth 4-Manifolds*, *Topology* 29 (1990) 257-315
- [DK] S.K. Donaldson, P. Kronheimer "The Geometry of Four-Manifolds" *Oxford Mathematical Monography, Oxford University Press, Oxford 1990*
- [FS] R. Fintushel, R. Stern *Surgery in Cusp Neighborhoods and the Geography of Irreducible 4-Manifolds*, to appear
- [FS1] R. Fintushel, R. Stern *Donaldson invariants of 4-manifolds with simple type*, preprint
- [FS2] R. Fintushel, R. Stern *Rational blowdowns of smooth 4-manifolds*, preprint

STIPSICZ

- [G] R. Gompf *A New Construction of Symplectic Manifolds* , preprint
- [KM] P. Kronheimer, T. Mrowka *Embedded surfaces and the structure of Donaldson's polynomial invariants, to appear in Journal of Differential Geometry*
- [P] U. Persson *Chern invariants of surfaces of general type, Compositio Mathematica, Vol 43 (1981) 3-58*
- [S] A. Stipsicz *Donaldson series and (-1) -tori, to appear*

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, IRVINE, CA 92717, USA
E-mail address: `astipsic@math.uci.edu`