

1-1-1996

ON STRONGLY REGULAR RINGS

A. KAYA

F. KUZUCUOĞLU

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

KAYA, A. and KUZUCUOĞLU, F. (1996) "ON STRONGLY REGULAR RINGS," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 20: No. 3, Article 10. Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol20/iss3/10>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.

ON STRONGLY REGULAR RINGS

A. Kaya and F. Kuzucuoğlu

Abstract

Some characterizations of strongly regular rings will be given.

Let R be a ring and $I (\neq R)$ a right ideal of R . If, for each pair of right ideals A and B of R , $AB \subseteq I$ implies that either $A \subseteq I$ or $B \subseteq I$, then I is called a prime right ideal (or equivalently, if $aRb \not\subseteq I$ whenever a and b do not belong to I). I is strongly prime right ideal if, for each pair of a and b in R , $aIb \subseteq I$ and $ab \in I$ imply that either $a \in I$ or $b \in I$, and we call I a strongly semiprime right ideal whenever $aIa \subseteq I$ and $a^2 \in I$ imply that $a \in I$.

A strongly prime right ideal is trivially strongly semiprime, but the converse need not be true, as the following example shows:

Example Let

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} Z_2 & 0 & Z_2 \\ 0 & Z_2 & 0 \\ Z_2 & 0 & Z_2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } I = \begin{pmatrix} Z_2 & 0 & Z_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where Z_2 is the ring of integers modulo 2. Then I is a strongly semiprime right ideal but not strongly prime.

Let $m(R)$ (resp. $sp(R), p(R)$) denote the set of all maximal (resp. strongly prime, prime) right ideals of R . Then it is known that

$$m(R) \subseteq sp(R) \subseteq p(R)$$

in s -unital rings [2].

In this note, we shall prove the following theorem.

Theorem .*The following are equivalent for any ring R :*

- (1) R is strongly regular.

AMS subject classification (1991 revision): Primary: 16 E 50, Secondary: 16 D 15
Keywords: (Strongly, right weakly) regular ring, (strongly) prime right ideal. AC-ring.
The first author has been supported by TÜBİTAK, Ankara (TBAG-Ç2) and the second author by TÜBİTAK, (TBAG)

- (2) R is regular and $p(R) \subseteq sp(R)$.
- (3) R is right weakly regular and every element in $m(R)$ is a two-sided ideal.
- (4) R is semiprime, $p(R) \subseteq sp(R)$, and R/P is regular for every completely prime ideal P of R .
- (5) R is a right weakly regular, left s -unital AC-ring, and $p(R) \subseteq sp(R)$.
- (6) Any right ideal (except R itself) is strongly semiprime.

Before proving the theorem, we need a lemma. We recall that a ring R is said to be right weakly regular if every right ideal of R is idempotent, and R is almost commutative (AC-ring) if for any prime ideal $P(\neq R)$ of R and $a \notin P$ there exists $x \in R$ such that ax is central but is not in P . For $I \in p(R)$, I^* will denote the largest ideal of R which is contained in I , and $r(a)$ will stand for the right annihilator of a for $a \in R$.

We note here that Proposition 2.1 in [4] remains true for rings without unity. So we can use it freely.

Lemma. *Let R be a ring satisfying $p(R) \subseteq sp(R)$ and let $I \in p(R)$.*

- (i) *If R is a right weakly regular ring, then R/I^* is a simple domain with unity.*
- (ii) *If R is regular, then I is both a two-sided ideal and maximal one-sided ideal of R .*

Proof. (i): In view of [4, Proposition 2.1], $I^* \in p(R)$, hence $I^* \in sp(R)$ by hypothesis, so the ring R/I^* has no nonzero divisor of zero since any ideal of a ring is strongly prime right ideal if and only if it is completely prime. On the other hand, $r \in rRrR$ for every $r \in R$ by [5, Proposition 1]. Thus, for every $\bar{0} \neq \bar{r} \in \bar{R} = R/I^*$ we have $\bar{r} = \bar{r} \bar{u}$, where \bar{u} is in the ideal of \bar{R} generated by \bar{r} . Now for every \bar{x} in \bar{R} we have $\bar{r} \bar{x} = \bar{r} \bar{u} \bar{x}$, which implies that $\bar{x} = \bar{u} \bar{x}$, that is, every nonzero ideal of \bar{R} has a left unity of the ring \bar{R} . Therefore, \bar{R} is a simple ring. As is well-known, every simple ring with a left unity has a unity.

(ii) We deduce from the regularity of R and from part (i) that \bar{R} is a division ring, hence I^* is a maximal one-sided ideal of R . Thus $I^* = I$. □

A ring R is right (left) s -unital if $a \in aR(a \in Ra)$ for each $a \in R$, and is s -unital if it is both right and left s -unital.

We are now in a position to prove our theorem.

Proof of the Theorem. (1) \Rightarrow (2) : R is a duo ring, hence if $I \in p(R)$, then I is a prime ideal of R , thus $I \in m(R) \subseteq sp(R)$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) : Clearly, R is right weakly regular, and every element in $m(R)$ is a two-sided ideal of R by the Lemma.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) : We are going to show that $aR + r(a) = R$ for each $a \in R$. Suppose, to the contrary, that $bR + r(b) \subsetneq R$ for some $b \in R$. Then, according to [7, Lemma 1], there exists a maximal right ideal I of R such that $bR + r(b) \subseteq I \subsetneq R$. Also, since $b \in bRbR$

we can find $c \in R$ with $b = bc$, where $c \in RbR \subseteq I$. Let $d \in R \setminus I$. Since R is right s -unital, there exists $u \in R$ such that $bu = b$ and $du = d$ ([6, Theorem 1]). So $b(u - c) = 0$ or $u - c \in r(b)$. Now $u = (u - c) + c$ implies that $u \in r(b) + I \subseteq I$, and since I is an ideal we get $d = du \in I$, a contradiction! Therefore $aR + r(a) = R$ for each $a \in R$. Finally, from the right s -unitality of R we can find $v = aa' + y$ in R with $y \in r(a)$ such that $a = av = a^2a'$.

(4) \Rightarrow (1) : Let x be a nilpotent element with $x^n = 0 \in Q$ for any prime ideal Q of R . Then $x \in Q$ since Q is completely prime. Hence x is in the prime radical of R , which is zero. Thus R is reduced, and hence R is regular by [1, Corollary 1.4].

(1) \Rightarrow (5) : Reduced regular ring is an AC -ring and regular ring is a right weakly regular s -unital ring, and by the statement (2), $p(R) \subseteq sp(R)$.

(5) \Rightarrow (3) : Let $I \in m(R)$. Then the s -unitality of R implies that $I \in p(R)$. By the Lemma, $\bar{R} = R/I^*$ is a simple domain with unity. Let $0 \neq \bar{a} \in \bar{R}$. Then $a \notin I^*$ and hence there exists $x \in R$ such that ax is central but is not in I^* . Thus, $\bar{a} \bar{x} \bar{R}$ is a nonzero two-sided ideal of \bar{R} , so $\bar{a} \bar{x} \bar{R} = \bar{R}$. But then $\bar{R} = \bar{a} \bar{x} \bar{R} \subseteq \bar{a} \bar{R} \subseteq \bar{R}$ so that $\bar{R} = \bar{a} \bar{R}$. Therefore, \bar{R} is a division ring and I^* is a maximal right ideal of R , hence $I^* = I$.

(1) \Rightarrow (6) : This follows from the fact that in a strongly regular ring a right ideal is strongly semiprime if and only if it is completely semi prime.

(6) \Rightarrow (1) : For each $a \in R$, $a(a^2)_r a \subseteq (a^2)_r$ and $a^2 \in (a^2)_r$, where $(a^2)_r$ is the right ideal of R generated by a^2 . By hypothesis $(a^2)_r$ is a strongly semiprime right ideal, so, for some integer m and b in R we have

$$a = ma^2 + a^2b = m(ma^3 + a^3b) + a^2b = a^2(m^2a + mab + b) = a^2x,$$

where $x = m^2a + mab + b$. Thus R is strongly regular.

The implication (2) \Rightarrow (4) is trivial.

The following corollary will also provide a short proof of Theorem 1 in [3].

Corollary 1. *If a ring R satisfies one of the equivalent conditions of the Theorem, then R is a right V -ring.*

In [2] we proved that the regularity in reduced rings is equivalent to the condition that $sp(R) \subseteq m(R)$. In this respect, we have the following.

Corollary 2. *Let R be a regular ring. Then R is reduced if and only if $p(R) \subseteq sp(R)$.*

Remark. The assumption in the third statement of the Theorem that R be right weakly regular cannot be weakened by taking the assumption that R be fully idempotent, for, there is an example of a fully idempotent ring that is not regular but in which every maximal right ideal is a two-sided ideal.(see [8]).

References

- [1] Fisher, J.W. , Snider, R.T.: On the von Neumann regularity of rings with regular prime factor rings. *Pac.J.Math.* 54 , 135-144 (1974).
- [2] Kaya, A.: One-sided ideals of a strongly prime type. *Proc. of the First National Mathematics Symposium, held in Ankara, 95-96 (1988).*
- [3] Kaya, A.: One-sided ideals of a strongly prime type in regular rings and injectivity. *Tr.J. Math.* 14 , 106-110 (1990).
- [4] Koh, K.: On one- sided ideals of a prime type. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 28, 321-329 (1971).
- [5] Ramamurthi, V.S.: Weakly regular rings. *Canad. Math.Bull.* 16, 317-321 (1973).
- [6] Tominaga, H.: On s -unital rings. *Math.J. Okayama Univ.* 18 , 117-134 (1976).
- [7] Tominaga, H.: On s -unital rings II. *Math.J. Okayama Univ.* 19 , 171-182 (1977).
- [8] Zhang, J.: Fully idempotent rings whose every maximal left ideal is an ideal. *Proc.of the First China-Japan Int. Sym. on ring theory, held in Guilin, China , 177-179 (1991).*

KUVVETLİ REGÜLER HALKALAR ÜZERİNE

Özet

Kuvvetli regüler halkaların, kuvvetli asal sağ idealleri içeren bir karakterizasyonu verilmiştir.

Arif KAYA and Feride KUZUCUOĞLU
Middle East Technical University
Department of Mathematics
06531 Ankara-TURKEY
e-mail: matmah@rorqual.cc.metu.edu.tr

Received 16.2.1995