

1-1-1996

ZEROS OF DERIVATIVES OF DIRICHLET L-FUNCTIONS

C. YALÇIN YILDIRIM

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

YILDIRIM, C. YALÇIN (1996) "ZEROS OF DERIVATIVES OF DIRICHLET L-FUNCTIONS," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 20: No. 4, Article 8. Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol20/iss4/8>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.

ZEROS OF DERIVATIVES OF DIRICHLET L -FUNCTIONS*

Cem Yalçın Yıldırım

Abstract

In this paper diverse results on the location and number of zeros of derivatives of Dirichlet L -functions are proved.

1. Introduction

Many results concerning the zeros of derivatives of the Riemann zeta-function have accumulated over the last sixty years. The first study was by Speiser [7], showing that the Riemann Hypothesis is equivalent to $\zeta'(s)$ having no zeros in $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$ (as usual $s = \sigma + it$). The works of Titchmarsh [12, Theorem 11.5(c)] and Spira [8],[9] demonstrated the existence of zero-free regions for $\zeta^{(k)}(s)$: A half-plane, $\sigma > \sigma_k$ ($2 < \sigma_1 < 3, \sigma_2 < 5, \sigma_k \leq \frac{7}{4}k + 2$ for $k \geq 3$); a half-plane $\sigma < \alpha_k < 0$ where $\zeta^{(k)}(s)$ has only real zeros; in the strip $\alpha_k \leq \sigma < -\epsilon$ (for any $\epsilon > 0$) the set of points distant enough from the origin. Spira [8] also calculated the zeros of ζ' and ζ'' in the region $-1 \leq \sigma \leq 5, |t| \leq 100$. The zeros in Spira's list are all to the right of the critical line $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$, except for a pair of zeros of $\zeta''(s)$ at approximately $-0.36 \pm 3.59i$. It is known after Berndt [1] that $\zeta^{(k)}(s)$ has $\frac{T}{2\pi}(\log \frac{T}{4\pi} - 1) + O(\log T)$ non-real zeros in $0 < t < T$, as $T \rightarrow \infty$, and after Spira [10] that most of these zeros lie in $0 \leq \sigma \leq \frac{1}{2} + \delta$ for $\delta > 0$. In a most comprehensive paper Levinson and Montgomery [5] obtained unconditional and conditional estimates as to how the zeros of $\zeta^{(k)}(s)$ are positioned with respect to the critical line. Further study in this direction was conducted by Conrey and Ghosh [3]. Levinson and Montgomery also showed, assuming RH, that $\zeta^{(k)}(s)$ has at most finitely many non-real zeros in $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$ (see also [13]). As the development of the theory of Dirichlet L -functions parallels that of the Riemann zeta-function, albeit with some differences, we have undertaken here to prove analogues of some of the results mentioned above for $L(s, \chi)$.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11M26; Secondary 11M20.

* This article was published in Volume 20 No. 4 of 'Turkish Journal of Mathematics' in 1996. However, as there were errors in this issue, the article is reprinted here in its corrected form.

Notation: Throughout this paper χ will be a primitive Dirichlet's character to the modulus q (therefore $q \geq 3$ and $q \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$), see [11]) and

$$\mathfrak{a} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \chi(-1) = 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } \chi(-1) = -1. \end{cases}$$

Capital letters $C, D, ..$ will stand for fixed numbers

p : a prime number

ρ : a non-trivial zero of $L(s, \chi)$

$\rho_k = \beta_k + i\gamma_k$: any zero of $L^{(k)}(s, \chi)$, $k \geq 1$

γ : Euler's constant or $\mathfrak{S}\rho$ depending on the context.

2. Preliminaries on Dirichlet L -functions

In this section after recollecting the necessary basics (we refer the reader to Davenport's book [4] for an exposition of the theory of $\zeta(s)$ and $L(s, \chi)$) we shall present some lemmata. The Dirichlet L -function associated with χ is represented by the series

$$L(s, \chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s} \quad (\sigma > 0), \tag{1}$$

and satisfies the functional equation

$$L(1-s, \chi) = \varepsilon(\chi) 2^{1-s} \pi^{-s} q^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \cos \frac{\pi}{2}(s-\mathfrak{a}) \Gamma(s) L(s, \bar{\chi}), \tag{2}$$

where $|\varepsilon(\chi)| = 1$. Owing to the poles of the Γ factor in (2), $L(s, \chi)$ has so-called trivial zeros (all simple) at $0, -2, -4, ..$ if $\mathfrak{a} = 0$; at $-1, -3, -5, ..$ if $\mathfrak{a} = 1$. It is known that all other (i.e. non-trivial) zeros are in the critical strip $0 < \sigma < 1$. The Generalized Riemann Hypothesis states that all non-trivial zeros lie on $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$. From the Weierstrass factorization of the entire function

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(s, \chi) &:= \left(\frac{q}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{s+\mathfrak{a}}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+\mathfrak{a}}{2}\right) L(s, \chi) \\ &= e^{A+Bs} \prod_{\rho} \left(1 - \frac{s}{\rho}\right) e^{\frac{s}{\rho}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $A = A(\chi)$ and $B = B(\chi)$, it follows that

$$\frac{L'}{L}(s, \chi) = -\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{q}{\pi} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}\left(\frac{s+\mathfrak{a}}{2}\right) + B(\chi) + \sum_{\rho} \left(\frac{1}{s-\rho} + \frac{1}{\rho}\right). \tag{3}$$

On the other hand logarithmic differentiation in (2) gives

$$-\frac{L'}{L}(1-s, \chi) = \log \frac{q}{2\pi} - \frac{\pi}{2} \tan \frac{\pi}{2}(s - \alpha) + \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(s) + \frac{L'}{L}(s, \bar{\chi}). \tag{4}$$

One can obtain from the functional equation that

$$\Re B(\chi) = -\sum_{\rho} \Re \frac{1}{\rho}, \tag{5}$$

and, assuming GRH, (3) with $s = 1$ gives

$$\Im B(\chi) = \Im \frac{L'}{L}(1, \chi). \tag{6}$$

The combination of (3) at $s = 0$ and (4) at $s = 1$ (if $\alpha = 0$ we consider $s \rightarrow 0$ in (3) and $s \rightarrow 1$ in (4)) leads to

$$B(\chi) = -\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{q}{\pi} + \frac{\gamma}{2} + (1 - \alpha) \log 2 - \frac{L'}{L}(1, \bar{\chi}). \tag{7}$$

Lemma 1. *Assuming GRH, as $q \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\frac{L'}{L}(1, \chi) \ll \log \log q.$$

Proof.

$$-\frac{L'}{L}(1, \chi) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n) \chi(n)}{n} = \sum_{n=2}^N + \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty},$$

where N will be specified later. By the prime number theorem

$$\left| \sum_{n=2}^N \frac{\Lambda(n) \chi(n)}{n} \right| \leq \sum_{n=2}^N \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n} = \sum_{p \leq N} \frac{\log p}{p} + O(1) \ll \log N.$$

Applying partial summation to the consequence of GRH that

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) \chi(n) \ll x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^2 qx,$$

we have

$$\sum_{n \geq N} \frac{\Lambda(n) \chi(n)}{n} \ll \frac{\log^2 qN}{\sqrt{N}}.$$

Choosing $N = \frac{\log^4 q}{(\log \log q)^2}$ we obtain the result. □

From Eqs. (4)-(7) we have

Corollary 1. *Upon GRH, as $q \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\begin{aligned} B(\chi) &= -\frac{1}{2} \log q + O(\log \log q) \\ \Re B(\chi) &= -\sum_{\rho} \Re \frac{1}{\rho} = -\frac{1}{2} \log q + O(\log \log q) \\ \Im B(\chi) &= O(\log \log q) \\ \frac{L'}{L}(0, \chi) &= -\log q + O(\log \log q) \quad \text{in case } \alpha = 1. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Lemma 1 may be carried out in a disk of radius $\ll \frac{1}{\log \log q}$ around $s = 1$, allowing us to state

Lemma 2. *Assuming GRH, as $q \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{L'}{L}(s, \chi) &\ll \log \log q, \quad \text{for } |s - 1| \leq \frac{C}{\log q}, \\ \frac{L'}{L}(s, \chi) &\ll_D \log \log q, \quad \text{for } |s - 1| \leq \frac{D}{\log \log q}. \end{aligned}$$

More generally, considering the Dirichlet series for $(\frac{L'}{L})^{(j-1)}, j \geq 1$, we have

Lemma 3. *Assuming GRH, as $q \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{L^{(j)}}{L}(s, \chi) &\ll_j (\log \log q)^j, \quad \text{for } |s - 1| \leq \frac{C}{\log q}, \\ \frac{L^{(j)}}{L}(s, \chi) &\ll_{j,D} (\log \log q)^j, \quad \text{for } |s - 1| \leq \frac{D}{\log \log q}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4. *Upon GRH, for $k \geq 1$, as $q \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\frac{L^{(k+1)}}{L^{(k)}}(0, \chi) = -\left(1 + \frac{1 - \alpha}{k}\right) \log q + O_k(\log \log q).$$

Proof. By k -fold differentiation of both sides of (2)

$$(-1)^k L^{(k)}(1 - s, \chi) = \frac{2\varepsilon(\chi)}{\sqrt{q}} \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} \left\{ \left(\frac{q}{2\pi}\right)^s \cos \frac{\pi}{2}(s - \alpha) \Gamma(s) \right\}^{(j)} L^{(k-j)}(s, \bar{\chi}). \quad (8)$$

We abbreviate $\log \frac{q}{2\pi} = \ell$. From (8) at $s = 1$, as $q \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(-1)^k L^{(k)}(0, \chi) = \begin{cases} \frac{\varepsilon(\chi)\sqrt{q}}{\pi} \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} \ell^j (1 + O_j(\ell^{-1})) L^{(k-j)}(1, \bar{\chi}) & \text{if } \mathfrak{a} = 1, \\ \frac{-\varepsilon(\chi)\sqrt{q}}{2} \sum_{j=1}^k \binom{k}{j} j \ell^{j-1} (1 + O_j(\ell^{-1})) L^{(k-j)}(1, \bar{\chi}) & \text{if } \mathfrak{a} = 0. \end{cases}$$

This may be expressed in the form

$$(-1)^k L^{(k)}(0, \chi) = \begin{cases} \frac{\varepsilon(\chi)\sqrt{q}}{\pi} [(\ell + \frac{d}{ds})^k L(s, \bar{\chi})]_{s=1} (1 + O_k(\ell^{-1})) & \text{if } \mathfrak{a} = 1, \\ \frac{-\varepsilon(\chi)\sqrt{q}}{2} k [(\ell + \frac{d}{ds})^{k-1} L(s, \bar{\chi})]_{s=1} (1 + O_k(\ell^{-1})) & \text{if } \mathfrak{a} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Let $G_k(s, \ell) := (\ell + \frac{d}{ds})^k L(s, \bar{\chi})$, so that $G_{k+1} = \ell G_k + G'_k$, where the prime denotes differentiation with respect to s . Then

$$-\frac{L^{(k+1)}}{L^{(k)}}(0, \chi) = \begin{cases} (\ell + \frac{G'_k}{G_k}(1, \ell))(1 + O_k(\ell^{-1})) & \text{if } \mathfrak{a} = 1, \\ \frac{k+1}{k} (\ell + \frac{G'_{k-1}}{G_{k-1}}(1, \ell))(1 + O_k(\ell^{-1})) & \text{if } \mathfrak{a} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Now, for $k \geq 0$,

$$\frac{G'_k}{G_k}(1, \ell) = \frac{\frac{L'}{L} + \frac{k}{\ell} \frac{L''}{L} + \dots + \frac{1}{\ell^k} \frac{L^{(k+1)}}{L}}{1 + \frac{k}{\ell} \frac{L'}{L} + \dots + \frac{1}{\ell^k} \frac{L^{(k)}}{L}}(1, \bar{\chi}) \ll_k \log \log q,$$

□

by Lemmata 1 and 3. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.

Writing

$$\frac{L^{(k+1)}}{L^{(k)}}(s, \chi) = \frac{L^{(k+1)}}{L^{(k)}}(0, \chi) + \sum_{\rho_k} \left(\frac{1}{s - \rho_k} + \frac{1}{\rho_k} \right), \tag{9}$$

and differentiating both sides of (9) t times at $s = 0$ gives

$$\left(\frac{L^{(k+1)}}{L^{(k)}} \right)^{(t)}(0, \chi) = -t! \sum_{\rho_k} \rho_k^{-t-1}, \quad (t \geq 1).$$

The left-hand side can be evaluated by repeated use of Lemma 4 yielding

Corollary 2. *Let ρ_k run through all zeros of $L^{(k)}(s, \chi), k \geq 1$. Upon GRH, as $q \rightarrow \infty$, for $t = 2, 3, \dots$*

$$\sum_{\rho_k} \rho_k^{-t} = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\log q}{k}\right)^t + O_k((\log q)^{t-1} \log \log q) & \text{if } \mathfrak{a} = 0, \\ O_k((\log q)^{t-1} \log \log q) & \text{if } \mathfrak{a} = 1. \end{cases}$$

Corollary 2 makes more sense upon searching for ρ_k close to the origin. Suppose $L^{(k)}(1 - s, \chi) = 0$, where $|s - 1| \ll \frac{1}{\log q}$. Arrange the right-hand side of (8) in powers of ℓ . It is enough to consider the two leading terms and to lump the rest with $\ell^j, j \leq k - 2$, into an error term. In view of Lemma 3 we must have

$$\tan \frac{\pi}{2}(s - \mathfrak{a}) = \frac{2}{k\pi} \log q + O_k(\log \log q). \tag{10}$$

If $\mathfrak{a} = 1$, then $\tan \frac{\pi}{2}(s - \mathfrak{a})$ is nearly 0 for s close to 1 and (10) can't hold. Hence there are no zeros of $L^{(k)}(s, \chi)$ within a distance $O(\frac{1}{\log q})$ from the origin. If $\mathfrak{a} = 0$, then (10) has a solution which reveals a zero of $L^{(k)}(s, \chi)$ located at

$$\frac{k}{\log q} + O_k\left(\frac{\log \log q}{\log^2 q}\right). \tag{11}$$

This zero close to the origin for $\mathfrak{a} = 0$ gives rise to the main term in Corollary 2.

3. Zeros of $L'(s, \chi)$ in $0 \leq \sigma \leq \frac{1}{2}$

It turns out that the zero given by (11) is the only zero of L' in the left-half of the critical strip when q is sufficiently large.

Theorem 1. *Assume GRH. If $\mathfrak{a} = 0$ and $q \geq 216$, then $L'(s, \chi)$ has exactly one zero ρ_1 with $0 \leq \Re \rho_1 < \frac{1}{2}$, at $\frac{1}{\log q} + O(\frac{\log \log q}{\log^2 q})$. If $\mathfrak{a} = 1$ and $q \geq 23$, then $L'(s, \chi)$ has no zeros in the left-half of the critical strip.*

Proof. Taking real parts in (3), employing (5) and GRH ($\rho = \frac{1}{2} + i\gamma$), we have

$$\Re \frac{L'}{L}(s, \chi) = -\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{q}{\pi} - \frac{1}{2} \Re \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}\left(\frac{s + \mathfrak{a}}{2}\right) + \left(\sigma - \frac{1}{2}\right) \sum_{\gamma} \frac{1}{\left(\sigma - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 + (t - \gamma)^2}. \tag{12}$$

Case 1: $\mathfrak{a} = 1$. As a contour take a large T and form the rectangle with corners at $\pm iT, \frac{1}{2} \pm iT$, making small left-semicircular indentations around the zeros of $L(s, \chi)$ on the critical line. On the horizontal sides of the contour all terms on the right-hand side of (12) are negative, so that $\Re \frac{L'}{L} < 0$. On the left edge the last term of (12) is negative. For $|t| \geq 3, -\Re \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}\left(\frac{1+it}{2}\right) < 0$, and for $|t| < 3, \Re \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}\left(\frac{1+it}{2}\right) \geq \Re \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$. So, if $q > \pi e^{|\frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(\frac{1}{2})|}$ (i.e.

$q \geq 23$), then $\Re \frac{L'}{L} < 0$ on $[-iT, iT]$. On the right edge if $q > \pi e^{|\frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(\frac{3}{4})|}$ (i.e. $q \geq 10$), then $-\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{q}{\pi} - \frac{1}{2} \Re \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(\frac{s+1}{2}) < 0$. Also $\Re \sum \frac{1}{s-\rho} = 0$ when $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$, while $\Re \sum \frac{1}{s-\rho}$ can be made arbitrarily large negative on the indentations by taking the semicircles small enough. Hence $\Re \frac{L'}{L} < 0$ throughout the contour on and inside which $\frac{L'}{L}$ is analytic. Thus L' has no zeros in $0 \leq \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$.

Case 2: $\alpha = 0$. We modify the contour by going around the origin on an arbitrarily small left-semicircle. On the horizontal sides and the critical line we argue as before. To guarantee that $\Re \frac{L'}{L} < 0$ we must take $q > \pi e^{|\Re \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(\frac{1}{4})|}$ (i.e. $q \geq 216$). On $\sigma = 0$, $\Re \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(it) > -\gamma, \forall t \neq 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \Re \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(it) = -\gamma$. If $|t| \geq 2$, then $\Re \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(\frac{s}{2}) > 0$. On the left-semicircle around 0, $\Re \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}(\frac{s}{2})$ assumes large positive values. Hence, for $q \geq 216$, $\Re \frac{L'}{L} < 0$ all along the contour. Since $\frac{L'}{L}$ has a pole at $s = 0$, by the argument principle there must be exactly one zero of L' inside the contour. We already know by (11) where this zero is. If χ is real, then this zero is also real. \square

Remarks: (i) For small q the possibility remains that L' has zeros $\beta_1 + i\gamma_1$ with $0 < \beta_1 < \frac{1}{2}, |\gamma_1| < 3$.

(ii) We can see that $\Re \frac{L'}{L}(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi) < 0$ if $L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi) \neq 0$. So L' may vanish on the critical line only at a multiple zero of L .

4. Zero-free regions on the right for $L^{(k)}(s, \chi)$

Theorem 2. *Let m be the smallest prime that doesn't divide q . Then $L^{(k)}(s, \chi) \neq 0$*

for $\sigma > 1 + \frac{m}{2} (1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{4k^2}{m \log m}})$.

Proof. Let $m = m(q)$ be the least $n > 1$ such that $\chi(n) \neq 0$. Equivalently m is the smallest prime that doesn't divide q . By the prime number theorem $m = O(\log q)$. Now

$$L^{(k)}(s, \chi) = (-1)^k \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)(\log n)^k}{n^s} \quad (\sigma > 0),$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} |L^{(k)}(s, \chi)| &\geq \frac{(\log m)^k}{m^\sigma} - \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{(\log n)^k}{n^\sigma} \quad (\sigma > 1) \\ &> \frac{(\log m)^k}{m^\sigma} - \int_m^{\infty} \frac{(\log x)^k}{x^\sigma} dx \quad (\sigma > \frac{k}{\log m}) \\ &= \frac{(\log m)^k}{m^\sigma} - \frac{m^{1-\sigma} k!}{(\sigma-1)^{k+1}} \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{(\log m)^j (\sigma-1)^j}{j!}. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $z = (\sigma - 1) \log m$, we would have $|L^{(k)}(s, \chi)| > 0$, if

$$\frac{z^{k+1}}{k!m \log m} - \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{z^j}{j!} \geq 0.$$

For this type of polynomial there is only one $z \in \mathbb{R}^+$ at which equality is achieved (Polya and Szegő [6, Vol.I,Pt.III,Problem 16]). We can assume $\sigma > 1 + \frac{k}{\log m}$, so that $z \geq k$. Then,

$$\sum_{j=0}^k \frac{z^j}{j!} \leq \frac{z^k}{k!} + \frac{kz^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \leq \frac{z^{k+1}}{k!m \log m},$$

the last inequality being true for $z \geq \frac{m \log m}{2} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{4k^2}{m \log m}}\right)$. Writing this condition on z in terms of σ completes the proof. \square

5. Zero-free regions in the left half-plane for $L^{(k)}(s, \chi)$

Theorem 3. *Given any $\epsilon > 0, \exists K = K(k, \epsilon, \mathfrak{a})$ such that there is no zero of $L^{(k)}(s, \chi)$ in the region $|s| > q^K, \sigma < -\epsilon, |t| > \epsilon$.*

Proof. From (8) we can say that $|L^{(k)}(1 - s, \chi)| > 0$ provided

$$|\Gamma^{(k)}(s) \cos \frac{\pi}{2}(s - \mathfrak{a}) L(s, \bar{\chi})| > \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{k}{j} \Gamma^{(j)}(s) \sum_{l=0}^{k-j} \binom{k-j}{l} \left(\log \frac{q}{2\pi}\right)^l \frac{d^{k-j-l}}{ds^{k-j-l}} \left(\cos \frac{\pi}{2}(s - \mathfrak{a}) L(s, \bar{\chi})\right).$$

Suppose $|t| > \epsilon$, so that $|\tan \frac{\pi}{2}(s - \mathfrak{a})|$ is bounded. For $\Re s \geq 1 + \epsilon, L^{(n)}(s, \chi)$ and $\frac{1}{L(s, \chi)}$ are all bounded. Since

$$\Gamma^{(j)}(s) = \Gamma(s) \left\{ (\log s)^j + \sum_{n=0}^{j-1} E_{nj}(s) (\log s)^n \right\}, \quad E_{nj}(s) = O\left(\frac{1}{s}\right), \quad (13)$$

we see that if $|\log s| > K \log q$, for sufficiently large $K = K(k, \epsilon, \mathfrak{a})$, then (13) will be satisfied.

It can also be seen by Rouché's theorem that there is $\alpha_k = \alpha_k(q, \epsilon, \mathfrak{a}) < 0$ such that $L^{(k)}(s, \chi)$ has exactly one zero whose real part is in $(-1 - 2n - \mathfrak{a}, 1 - 2n - \mathfrak{a})$ for $1 - 2n < \alpha_k$. If χ is a real character, then all such zeros would be real. For complex χ these zeros will tend to the negative real axis as one goes leftward. \square

6. The number of zeros of $L^{(k)}(s, \chi)$

Equipped with the information in the two preceding sections we shall calculate $N_k(T, \chi)$, $k \geq 1$, the number of zeros of $L^{(k)}(s, \chi)$ in a region $-q^K < \sigma < \sigma_k$, $|t| \leq T$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$. Here T is chosen so that there are no zeros of $L^{(k)}(s, \chi)$ on the lines $t = \pm T$ and σ_k is taken large enough so that

(i) $L^{(k)}(s, \chi)$ has no zeros in $\sigma \geq \sigma_k$.

(ii)
$$\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{(\log n)^k}{n^{\sigma_k}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\log m)^k}{m^{\sigma_k}}.$$

(The smallest such σ_k is $\leq 1 + m(1 + \sqrt{\frac{1 + 2k^2}{m \log m}})$.)

By the argument principle,

$$\begin{aligned} N_k(T, \chi) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left\{ \int_{\sigma_k - iT}^{\sigma_k + iT} + \int_{\sigma_k + iT}^{-q^K + iT} + \int_{-q^K + iT}^{-q^K - iT} + \int_{-q^K - iT}^{\sigma_k - iT} \right\} \frac{d}{ds} \log L^{(k)}(s, \chi) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} (I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4), \end{aligned}$$

say. First,

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \log L^{(k)}(s, \chi) \Big|_{\sigma_k - iT}^{\sigma_k + iT} \\ &= \log \frac{(-1)^k \chi(m) (\log m)^k}{m^s} \Big|_{\sigma_k - iT}^{\sigma_k + iT} + \log(1 + g(s)) \Big|_{\sigma_k - iT}^{\sigma_k + iT}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$g(s) = \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{\log n}{\log m})^k \chi(n) \overline{\chi(m)}}{(\frac{n}{m})^s}.$$

By condition (ii) on σ_k , $|g(s)| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ on $\sigma = \sigma_k$. So $\Delta \arg(1 + g(s)) \Big|_{\sigma_k - iT}^{\sigma_k + iT} \leq \pi$, and

$$I_1 = -2iT \log m + O(k \log \log m) + O(\sigma_k \log m) + O(1).$$

Now, to estimate I_2 put

$$\phi_k(s, \chi) = (-1)^k \overline{\chi(m)} e^{iT \log m} L^{(k)}(s, \chi),$$

so that the leading term of $\phi_k(\sigma_k + iT, \chi)$ is positive. Let ν be the number of zeros of $\Re \phi_k$ on $(-q^K + iT, \sigma_k + iT)$. Then this interval is divided into at most $\nu + 1$ subintervals in each of which $\Re \phi_k$ is of constant sign. Hence

$$|\Im I_2| = \Delta \arg \phi_k(s)|_{\sigma_k+iT}^{-q^K+iT} \leq (\nu + 1)\pi ,$$

and we need to estimate ν . Let

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2} \{ \phi_k(z + iT) + \overline{\phi_k(\bar{z} + iT)} ,$$

and $n(\omega)$ be the number of zeros of $f(z)$ in the disk $|z - \sigma_k| < \omega$. Take $r = 2(\sigma_k + q^K)$. We have

$$\int_0^r \frac{n(\omega)}{\omega} d\omega \geq n\left(\frac{r}{2}\right) \int_{\frac{r}{2}}^r \frac{d\omega}{\omega} = n\left(\frac{r}{2}\right) \log 2,$$

so that by Jensen's formula

$$n\left(\frac{r}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2\pi \log 2} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |f(re^{i\theta} + \sigma_k)| d\theta - \frac{1}{\log 2} \log |f(\sigma_k)|.$$

To put a bound for the terms on the right-hand side note that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(\sigma_k)| &= \Re \phi_k(\sigma_k + iT) \\ &= \Re \left\{ \frac{(\log m)^k}{m^{\sigma_k}} + \overline{\chi(m)} m^{iT} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{(\log n)^k \chi(n)}{n^{\sigma_k+iT}} \right\} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\log m)^k}{m^{\sigma_k}}, \end{aligned}$$

by the choice of σ_k . Also $L^{(k)}(s, \chi) = O(|qs|^{Mq^K})$, where $M = M(k)$, as $t \rightarrow \infty$ in our strip. (For $L(s, \chi)$ the estimate $|L(s, \chi)| \leq 2q|s|$, for $\sigma \geq \frac{1}{2}$, ([4, Chapter 12]) along with the functional equation implies such a bound. Then, for $L^{(k)}(s, \chi)$ one can proceed inductively via Eq.(8).) This means $\nu \leq n\left(\frac{r}{2}\right) \ll q^K \log q|s|$, and

$$\Im I_2 = O_k(q^K \log qT) .$$

The same reasoning and estimate also holds for I_4 .

It remains to estimate $I_3 = \log L^{(k)}(s, \chi)|_{-q^K+iT}^{-q^K-iT}$. From (8) we write

$$L^{(k)}(s, \chi) = \frac{\varepsilon(\chi)\sqrt{q}}{\pi} \left(\frac{2\pi}{q}\right)^s e^{\frac{\pi}{2}i(1-\mathfrak{a}-s)} \Gamma(1-s) \{R_1 + R_2\} ,$$

where R_1 is the contribution coming from

$$\left\{ \left(\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{q}}\right)^s \cos \frac{\pi}{2}(1-\mathfrak{a}-s) \Gamma(1-s) \right\}^{(k)} \frac{\overline{\chi(m)}}{m^{1-s}},$$

and R_2 is the contribution coming from

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \left(\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{q}} \right)^s \cos \frac{\pi}{2} (1 - \mathfrak{a} - s) \Gamma(1 - s) \right\}^{(k)} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{\chi(n)}}{n^{1-s}} + \\ & + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{k}{j} \left\{ \left(\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{q}} \right)^s \cos \frac{\pi}{2} (1 - \mathfrak{a} - s) \Gamma(1 - s) \right\}^{(j)} L^{(k-j)}(1 - s, \overline{\chi}). \end{aligned}$$

If $\Re s$ is sufficiently large negative (i.e. if K is sufficiently large), then $|\frac{R_2}{R_1}(s)| < 1$, so that $\arg |1 + \frac{R_2}{R_1}(s)|$ varies less than π as s goes on the path of I_3 . Then

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &= \{ \log \left(\frac{2\pi}{q} \right)^s + \log \Gamma(1 - s) + \log R_1 + \log \left(1 + \frac{R_2}{R_1} \right) + \frac{i\pi}{2} (1 - \mathfrak{a} - s) \} \Big|_{-q^K - iT}^{-q^K + iT} \\ &= 2iT \log \frac{qT}{2\pi e} + O_k(q^K) + O_k(\log \log T). \end{aligned}$$

Combining the estimates for I_1, I_2, I_3, I_4 we have proved

Theorem 4. $N_k(T, \chi) = \frac{T}{\pi} \log \frac{qT}{2\pi e m} + O(q^K \log T)$, as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

In this connection we remind that by a theorem of Bohr and Landau [2], a Dirichlet series which converges for $\sigma > 0$ (in particular $L^{(k)}(s, \chi), k \geq 0$) has $O(T)$ zeros in $\sigma > \sigma_0 > \frac{1}{2}, |t| \leq T$.

7. Zeros of $L^{(k)}(s, \chi)$ in $0 \leq \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$

We have seen that zeros of $L^{(k)}(s, \chi)$ can be classified in the following way:

- (i) For $\sigma < -q^K$, what we may call trivial zeros are situated within ϵ of the negative real axis (they are on the axis for real χ).
- (ii) There may be vagrant zeros in $|s| \leq q^K, \Re s < -\epsilon$.
- (iii) There are zeros in $-\epsilon < \Re s$; these will be called non-trivial.

Here $\epsilon > 0$ can be any small fixed number. In this section we prove

Theorem 5. Assuming GRH, there are at most finitely many zeros of $L^{(k)}(s, \chi)$ in the strip $-\epsilon \leq \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$.

Proof. The proof will be by induction on k . For $k = 0$, GRH says it all. Assume that there are finitely many zeros ρ_k of $L^{(k)}(s, \chi)$ with $-\epsilon \leq \Re \rho_k < \frac{1}{2}$. Recalling (9) and Lemma 4,

$$\frac{L^{(k+1)}}{L^{(k)}}(s, \chi) = -\left(1 + \frac{1 - \mathfrak{a}}{k} + o(1)\right) \log q + \sum_{\rho_k} \left(\frac{1}{s - \rho_k} + \frac{1}{\rho_k} \right),$$

where ρ_k runs through all zeros of $L^{(k)}(s, \chi)$. We split the last sum into three: \sum_1 will be over those ρ_k with $\Re \rho_k < -q^K$; \sum_2 will cover $-q^K \leq \Re \rho_k < \frac{1}{2}$; \sum_3 will be over those zeros with $\Re \rho_k \geq \frac{1}{2}$.

For $-\epsilon < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$ and $|t|$ large

$$\sum_1 = \sum_{\Re \rho_k < -q^K} \left(\frac{1}{s - \Re \rho_k} + \frac{1}{\Re \rho_k} \right) + O\left(\frac{\epsilon}{q^K}\right).$$

For trivial ρ_k , call $a_j(k) := -\Re \rho_k = (q^K + 2j) + O(1)$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Clearly,

$$\Re \sum_{a_j > q^K} \left(\frac{1}{s + a_j} - \frac{1}{a_j} \right) = -|s|^2 \sum_{a_j > q^K} \frac{1}{a_j |s + a_j|^2} - \sigma \sum_{a_j > q^K} \frac{1}{|s + a_j|^2}.$$

The very last summation term is

$$\sigma \sum_{a_j > q^K} \frac{1}{|s + a_j|^2} \ll \sum_{a_j > q^K} \frac{1}{a_j^2 + t^2} \ll \frac{1}{t}.$$

Examine the other sum in two parts as $|a_j| \geq \frac{|s|}{2}$ and $|a_j| < \frac{|s|}{2}$. We have

$$|s|^2 \sum_{a_j \geq \frac{|s|}{2}} \frac{1}{a_j |s + a_j|^2} \ll |s|^2 \sum_{a_j \geq \frac{|s|}{2}} \frac{1}{a_j^3} = O(1).$$

If $|a_j| < \frac{|s|}{2}$, then $|s + a_j| < \frac{3|s|}{2}$, so that

$$|s|^2 \sum_{a_j < \frac{|s|}{2}} \frac{1}{a_j |s + a_j|^2} \leq -\frac{2}{9} \log \frac{|s|}{2} + O(K \log q).$$

Hence for sufficiently large $|t|$, $\sum_1 \leq -\frac{1}{9} \log |s|$. By the induction hypothesis, \sum_2 consists of finitely many terms. Lastly,

$$\Re \sum_3 = \sum_{\beta_k \geq \frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\sigma - \beta_k}{|s - \rho_k|^2} + \frac{\beta_k}{|\rho_k|^2} \right) < \sum_{\beta_k \geq \frac{1}{2}} \frac{\beta_k}{|\rho_k|^2}$$

so that $\Re \sum_3$ is a bounded quantity (its value depending on χ) by Theorem 2. Thus, for $-\epsilon < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$ and $|t|$ sufficiently large,

$$\Re \frac{L^{(k+1)}}{L^{(k)}}(s, \chi) < 0$$

ending the proof. □

References

- [1] B. C. Berndt, *The number of zeros for $\zeta^{(k)}(s)$* , J. London Math. Soc.(2) **2** (1970), 577-580.
- [2] H. Bohr and E. Landau, *Ein Satz über Dirichletsche Reihen mit Anwendung auf die ζ -funktion und die L -funktionen*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo **37** (1914), 269-272.
- [3] J. B. Conrey and A. Ghosh, *Zeros of derivatives of the Riemann Zeta-function near the critical line*, Analytic Number Theory, (ed. Berndt et. al.), Progr. Math. **85**, Birkhäuser Boston, 1990.
- [4] H. Davenport, *Multiplicative Number Theory* (2nd ed.), Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.
- [5] N. Levinson and H. L. Montgomery, *Zeros of derivatives of the Riemann zeta-function*, Acta Math. **133** (1974), 49-65.
- [6] G. Pólya and G. Szegő, *Problems and Theorems in Analysis*, Vol.I, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972.
- [7] A. Speiser, *Geometrisches zur Riemannsches zetafunktion*, Math. Ann. **110** (1934), 514-521.
- [8] R. Spira, *Zero-free regions of $\zeta^{(k)}(s)$* , J. London Math. Soc. **40** (1965), 677-682.
- [9] R. Spira, *Another zero-free region for $\zeta^{(k)}(s)$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **26** (1970), 246-247.
- [10] R. Spira, *Zeros of $\zeta'(s)$ in the critical strip*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **35** (1972), 59-60.
- [11] R. Spira, *Calculation of Dirichlet L -functions*, Math. Comp. **23** (1969), 489-497.
- [12] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The theory of the Riemann zeta-function*, 2nd ed., Oxford, 1986.
- [13] C. Y. Yıldırım, *A note on $\zeta''(s)$ and $\zeta'''(s)$* , to appear in Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.

YILDIRIM

Dirichlet L -Fonksiyonlarının Türevleri

Özet

Bu çalışmada Dirichlet L -fonksiyonlarının türevleri, bunların sıfırlarının sayısı ve yerleri hakkında muhtelif önermeler ispatlanmıştır.

Cem Yalçın YILDIRIM
Department of Mathematics,
Bilkent University,
Ankara 06533, TURKEY
e-mail:yalcin@sci.bilkent.edu.tr

Received 9.11.1995