

1-1-1997

On ideals of Prime Rings with (σ, τ) - Derivations

Q. DENG

M. Ş. YENİGÜL

N. ARGAÇ

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

DENG, Q.; YENİGÜL, M. Ş.; and ARGAÇ, N. (1997) "On ideals of Prime Rings with (σ, τ) - Derivations," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 21: No. 5, Article 4. Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol21/iss5/4>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.

ON IDEALS OF PRIME RINGS WITH (σ, τ) -DERIVATIONS

Q. Deng, M.Ş. Yenigül & N. Argaç

Abstract

Let R be a prime ring. Let σ, τ be two homomorphisms and d be a (σ, τ) -derivation of R . The purpose of this paper is to prove two results: (i) If $\text{char } R \neq 2$, U is a non-zero ideal of R , σ is surjective such that $\sigma(U) \neq 0$, τ is an automorphism and $[d(U), d(U)]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$, then $\sigma^2 = \tau^2$ and $\sigma\tau = \tau\sigma$. (ii) Under the assumptions that either $\text{char } R = 0$ or $\text{char } R > \max\{2, n\}$, U is a non-zero right ideal, and σ, τ are automorphisms of R , suppose $[d(x), x^n]_{\sigma, \tau} \subseteq C_{\sigma, \tau}$ for all $x \in U$, then $\sigma = \tau$.

Introduction

Let R be a ring and σ, τ, α be any mappings from R to R . An additive mapping $d : R \rightarrow R$ is called (σ, τ) -derivation if $d(xy) = d(x)\sigma(y) + \tau(x)d(y)$ is fulfilled for all $x, y \in R$. We say that $d : R \rightarrow R$ is an α -derivation if $d(xy) = d(x)\alpha(y) + xd(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$.

We denote $[x, y] = xy - yx$, $[x, y]_{\sigma, \tau} = x\sigma(y) - \tau(y)x$ and $C_{\sigma, \tau} = \{c \in R : c\sigma(x) = \tau(x)c, \text{ for all } x \in R\}$. It is clear that $C_{1,1} = Z(R)$, where $Z(R)$ is the center of R . We define $I_a(x) = [a, x]$ to be an inner derivation of R .

The purpose of this paper is to prove two theorems, which are of independent interest and related to (σ, τ) -derivations. In Theorem 1 we remove the condition of the injectiveness imposed on σ in [1, Theorem 2], and in Theorem 2 we prove that $\sigma = \tau$ under the same hypotheses as in [2, Theorem].

Lemma 1. *Let R be a prime ring of $\text{char } R \neq 2$. Let U be a non-zero ideal of R , σ be a surjective homomorphism of R with $\sigma(U) \neq 0$ and τ be an automorphism of R . Suppose that R admits a non-zero (σ, τ) -derivation d such that $[d(u), a]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$ for all $u \in U$, a fixed $a \in R$, then $\sigma(a) \in Z(R)$.*

AMS Subject Classification (1991): 16A72, 16A68, 16A70

Key words and phrases: Prime ring, ideal, homomorphism, automorphism, (σ, τ) -derivation, α -derivation

Proof. Because $[d(uv), a]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$ for all $u, v \in U$, we have $(d(u)\sigma(v) + \tau(u)d(v))\sigma(a) - \tau(a)(d(u)\sigma(v) + \tau(u)d(v)) = 0$. Since $[d(u), a]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$ and $[d(v), a]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$ we obtain

$$d(u)\sigma([v, a]) + \tau([u, a])d(v) = 0, \text{ for all } u, v \in U. \quad (1)$$

Replace v by va in (1) and using (1) we arrive at $\tau([u, a])\tau(U)d(a) = 0$. Since R is prime so are U and $\tau(U)$. Hence either $d(a) = 0$ or $a \in Z(U) \subseteq Z(R)$. If $d(a) = 0$ then $d([u, a]) = d(u)\sigma(a) - \tau(a)d(u) = [d(u), a]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$. Therefore it follows that

$$d([U, a]) = 0 \quad (2)$$

Now replace v by vw in (1), where $w \in U$, then we have

$$d(u)\sigma(v)\sigma([w, a]) + \tau([u, a])\tau(v)d(w) = 0 \text{ for all } u, v, w \in U \quad (3)$$

Taking $[w, a]$ instead of w in (3) and applying (2) we then get $d(u)\sigma(v)\sigma([[w, a], a]) = 0$ for all $u, v, w \in U$. Since $\sigma(U)$ is a non-zero ideal of R so either $d(U) = 0$ or $(\sigma[[w, a], a]) = 0$ for all $w \in U$. Then, by the fact that $d(U) \neq 0$, we have $\sigma([[w, a], a]) = 0$, that is, $I_{\sigma(a)}^2(\sigma(U)) = 0$. It follows then that $[\sigma(a), \sigma(U)] = 0$, which yields $\sigma(a) \in Z(R)$. If $a \in Z(R)$ again $\sigma(a) \in Z(R)$. \square

Lemma 2. *If the same hypotheses in Lemma 1 are valid, then $\sigma(a) = \tau(a)$ and $a \in Z(R)$.*

Proof. From the hypotheses we have $[d(u), a]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$ for all $u \in U$. This implies $d(u)\sigma(a) - \tau(a)d(u) = 0$ and by Lemma 1 we get $\sigma(a) \in Z(R)$. Therefore we arrive at $(\sigma(a) - \tau(a))d(u) = 0$ for all $u \in U$. From $(\sigma(a) - \tau(a))d(uv) = 0$ we get $(\sigma(a) - \tau(a))\tau(u)d(v) = 0$ for all $u, v \in U$. Since $\tau(u)$ is an ideal of prime ring R and $d \neq 0$, we obtain $\sigma(a) = \tau(a)$, consequently $\tau(a) \in Z(R)$ implies $a \in Z(R)$. \square

Lemma 3. *Let R be a prime ring of $\text{char} R \neq 2$ and U be an ideal of R . Let σ be a surjective homomorphism such that $\sigma(U) \neq 0$ and τ be an automorphism of R . If $d(U) \subseteq Z(R)$, then R is commutative.*

Proof. Denote $W = \tau(U)$. Then we have $[x, d(uv)] = 0$ for all $u, v \in U, x \in R$. This implies $d(u)[x, \sigma(v)] + d(v)[x, \tau(u)] = 0$. Substituting $\sigma(v)$ for x we have $d(v)[\sigma(v), \tau(u)] = 0$, that is, $d(v)I_{\sigma(v)}(W) = 0$. Since W is an ideal of R , we get either $d(v) = 0$ or $\sigma(v) \in Z(R)$ for all $v \in U$. Let $K = \{v \in U : d(v) = 0\}$ and $L = \{v \in U : \sigma(v) \in Z(R)\}$. Then $U = K \cup L$ and K, L are additive subgroups of R . By Brauers's trick it follows that $\sigma(v) \in Z(R)$ for all $v \in U$. Since σ is surjective and $\sigma(U)$ is a non-zero commutative ideal of R , one obtains R is commutative.

Now we are ready to give the proof of the following theorem. \square

Theorem 1. *The R be a prime ring of $\text{char} R \neq 2$, and U a non-zero ideal of R . Let σ be a surjective homomorphism, τ an automorphism, and d a non-zero (σ, τ) -derivation of R . If $\sigma(U) \neq 0$ and $[d(U), d(U)]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$ then $\sigma^2 = \tau^2$ and $\sigma\tau = \tau\sigma$. If $U = R$ and $d^2 \neq 0$, then $\sigma = \tau$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2 and Lemma 3, R is commutative and $\sigma(d(u)) = \tau(d(u))$ for all $u \in U$. We denote $f = \tau^{-1}\sigma$ then we can write $f(d(x)) = d(x)$ for all $x \in U$. From $f(d(xy)) = d(xy)$ one obtains \square

$$\begin{aligned} f(d(x)\sigma(y) + \tau(x)d(y)) &= d(x)\sigma(y) + \tau(x)d(y) \\ d(x)f\sigma(y) + f\tau(x)d(y) &= d(x)\sigma(y) + \tau(x)d(y) \\ d(x)(f\sigma(y) - \sigma(y)) &= d(y)(\tau(x) - f\tau(x)) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

for all $x, y \in U$. Let $y = x$, then relation (4) gives $d(x)[f\sigma(x) + f\tau(x) - \sigma(x) - \tau(x)] = 0$ for all $x \in U$. We then gain

$$f\sigma(x) - \sigma(x) = \tau(x) - f\tau(x), \text{ for all } x \in U. \quad (5)$$

Replace y by yz in (4), where $z \in U$ and using (4) we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} (d(y)\sigma(z) + \tau(y)d(z))(\tau(x) - f\tau(x)) &= \\ \sigma(z)d(y)(\tau(x) - f\tau(x)) + \tau(y)d(z)(\tau(x) - f\tau(x)) &= \\ \sigma(z)d(x)(f\sigma(y) - \sigma(y)) + \tau(y)d(x)(f\sigma(z) - \sigma(z)), \end{aligned}$$

that is, $(f\sigma(z) - \sigma(z))(f\sigma(y) - \sigma(y)) = 0$ for all $y, z \in U$. If there exists an element $z \in U$ such that $f\sigma(z) \neq \sigma(z)$ then we get $f\sigma(y) = \sigma(y)$ for all $y \in U$ so that $\tau^{-1}f\sigma$ is identical on U . By [4, Lemma 3] we have $\tau^{-1}f\sigma = 1$, so $\sigma^2 = \tau^2$, and $f\sigma = \tau$. Using (5) we have $f\tau(x) = \sigma(x)$ for all $x \in U$ and $f\tau(rx) = \sigma(rx)$ gives $(f\tau(r) - \sigma(r))\sigma(x) = 0$ for all $r \in R, x \in U$. Therefore $f\tau(r) = \sigma(r)$ implies $f\tau = \sigma$, that is, $\sigma\tau = \tau\sigma$. If $f\sigma(z) = \sigma(z)$ all $z \in U$, then the endomorphism f is identical on the ideal $\sigma(U)$ so $f = I$. Hence we get $\sigma = \tau$ and $\sigma\tau = \tau\sigma$ again. Suppose that $U = R$, replacing y by $d(y)$ in (4) leads to

$$d(x)(f\sigma(d(y)) - \sigma(d(y))) = d^2(y)(\tau(x) - f\tau(x)) \quad (6)$$

for all $x, y \in R$. Since $\sigma d(y) = \tau d(y)$ for all $y \in R$ and $f\tau(x) = \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau(x) = \tau^{-1}\tau\sigma(x) = \sigma(x)$ for all $x \in R$, we can rewrite (6) in the form $d(x)(f\tau(d(y)) - \tau(d(y))) = d^2(y)(\tau(x) - \sigma(x))$. Since $f\tau(d(y)) - \tau(d(y)) = \sigma d(y) - \tau d(y) = 0$, one obtains $d^2(y)(\tau(x) - \sigma(x)) = 0$ for all $x, y \in R$. Using $d^2 \neq 0$ we conclude that $\sigma = \tau$. This completes the proof.

Corollary 1. *Let R be a prime ring of $\text{char} R \neq 2$. Let σ, τ be two automorphisms and d be a non-zero (σ, τ) -derivation of R . If $[d(R), d(R)]_{\sigma, \tau} \subseteq C_{\sigma, \tau}$, then $\sigma\tau = \tau\sigma$ and if $d^2 \neq 0$, then $\sigma = \tau$.*

Proof. R is commutative by [1, Theorem 3]. Since $[d(x), d(y)]_{\sigma, \tau} \subseteq C_{\sigma, \tau}$ for all $x, y \in R$, we have $d(x)\sigma(d(y)) - \tau(d(y))d(x) \in C_{\sigma, \tau}$ hence $(\sigma(d(y)) - \tau(d(y)))d(x) \in C_{\sigma, \tau}$. Then we get $[(\sigma(d(y)) - \tau(d(y)))d(x), z]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in R$. This implies that $(\sigma(d(y)) - \tau(d(y)))[d(x), z]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$. If there exists $y \in R$ such that $(\sigma(d(y)) - \tau(d(y))) \neq 0$ then we arrive at $[d(x), z]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$ for all $x, z \in R$. Therefore we obtain $[d(R), d(R)]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$. If $\sigma(d(y)) = \tau(d(y))$ for all $y \in R$, then again we have $[d(x), d(y)]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$ for all $x, y \in R$. Thus one obtains $\sigma\tau = \tau\sigma$, and furthermore if $d^2 \neq 0$, then $\sigma = \tau$ by Theorem 1. \square

Corollary 2. *Let R be a prime ring of $\text{char} R \neq 2$. Let α be a surjective homomorphism and d be a non-zero α -derivation of R . If $[d(R), d(R)]_{\alpha} = 0$ and $\alpha d = d\alpha$ then $\alpha = 1$.*

Proof. In view of Theorem 1 it is enough to show that $d^2 \neq 0$. Suppose $d^2 = 0$. Thus $d^2(xy) = 0$ for all $x, y \in R$, which yields $d(x)d\alpha(y) = 0$ for all $x, y \in R$. Hence R is commutative by [3, Theorem 1]. Since $d \neq 0$, we have $d\alpha(R) = 0$ and also $d(R) = 0$. This is a contradiction. Hence the proof is complete. \square

Corollary 3. *Let R be a prime ring of $\text{char} R \neq 2$. Let σ, τ be two automorphisms, and d a non-zero (σ, τ) -derivation of R . Let U be a non-zero ideal, and a non-zero fixed element of R . If $ad(U) \subseteq C_{\sigma, \tau}$ and $d(U) \neq 0$ then $\sigma = \tau$.*

Proof. R is commutative by [1, Lemma 5]. Therefore one obtains $ad(x)\sigma(y) = \sigma(y)ad(x)$ for all $x, y \in U$. The fact that $ad(x) \in C_{\sigma, \tau}$ gives $ad(x)\sigma(y) = \tau(y)ad(x)$ for all $x, y \in U$ so we have $a(\sigma(y) - \tau(y))d(x) = 0$ for all $x, y \in U$. $a \neq 0$ and $d(U) \neq 0$ implies $\sigma(y) = \tau(y)$ for all $y \in U$. Since R is commutative and U is a non-zero ideal of R , it follows that $\sigma = \tau$. \square

Combining with Deng's result in [2, Theorem] we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let R be a prime ring of $\text{char} R = 0$ or of $\text{char} R > \max\{2, n\}$ with a positive integer n and let U be a non-zero right ideal of R . Let furthermore σ, τ be two automorphisms, and d a non-zero (σ, τ) -derivation of R . If $[d(x), x^n]_{\sigma, \tau} \in C_{\sigma, \tau}$ for all $x \in U$, then $\sigma = \tau$.*

Proof. R is commutative by [2, Theorem] and also we have $[d(x), x^n]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$ by [2, Lemma 2]. Linearizing it and using [2, Lemma 1], we get $[d(y), x^n]_{\sigma, \tau} + [d(x), x^{n-1}y + x^{n-2}yx + \dots + yx^{n-1}]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$. Replacing y by x^2 in the last relation we have $n[d(x), x^{n+1}]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$, $[d(x), x]_{\sigma, \tau}\sigma(x^n) = 0$, and $[d(x), x]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$. Thus one obtains $d(x)(\sigma(x) - \tau(x)) = 0$ for all $x \in U$. Hence either $d(x) = 0$ or $\sigma(x) = \tau(x)$ for all $x \in U$. Let $S = \{x \in U : d(x) = 0\}$ and $T = \{x \in U : \sigma(x) = \tau(x)\}$. Then S and T are additive subgroups of U and also $U = S U T$. By Brauers's trick we get $U = T$. Since $d \neq 0$ and R is commutative it follows that $\sigma = \tau$. \square

References

- [1] Aydın, N and Kaya, K.: Some generalization in prime rings with (σ, τ) -derivations, Turkish J. of Math., 16, 169-171 (1992).
- [2] Deng, Q.: On (σ, τ) -derivations of prime rings, Turkish J. of Math. 18, 130-133 (1994).
- [3] Kaya, K.: On prime rings with weak α -derivations, Turkish J. of Math., 12, 46-51 (1988).
- [4] Mayne, J.H.: Ideals and Centralizing mappings in prime rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 86, 211-212 (1982).
- [5] Posner, E.C.: Derivations in prime rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8, 1093-1100 (1957).

(σ, τ) -TÜREVLİ ASAL HALKALARIN İDEALLERİ ÜZERİNE

Özet

R bir halka, σ, τ R nin iki homomorfizması ve d R nin bir (σ, τ) -türevi olsun. Bu çalışmanın amacı aşağıdaki sonuçları ispatlamaktır: (i) $\text{char } R \neq 2$, U R nin sıfırdan farklı bir ideali, $\sigma, \sigma(U) \neq 0$ olacak şekilde R nin bir örten homomorfizması ve $[d(U), d(U)]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$ olsun. O zaman $\sigma^2 = \tau^2$ ve $\sigma\tau = \tau\sigma$ dur. (ii) $\text{Char } R = 0$ ya da n pozitif bir tamsayı olmak üzere $\text{char } R > \max\{2, n\}$ ve U R nin sıfırdan farklı bir sağ ideali, σ, τ R nin iki otomorfizması olsun. Her $x \in U$ için $[d(x), x^n]_{\sigma, \tau} \subseteq C_{\sigma, \tau}$ ise o zaman $\sigma = \tau$ dur.

Q. DENG
Department of Mathematics
Soutwest China Teachers Univ.
Chongqing 630715, CHINA
M.Ş. YENİGÜL & N. ARGAC
Department of Mathematics
Science Faculty,
Ege University,
Bornova, 35100 İzmir-TURKEY

Received 5.2.1996