

1-1-1997

On irreducible simply-connected 4-manifolds

Zoltan SZABO

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

SZABO, Zoltan (1997) "On irreducible simply-connected 4-manifolds," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 21: No. 1, Article 3. Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol21/iss1/3>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.

On irreducible simply-connected 4-manifolds

Zoltán Szabó

1. Introduction

In the last few years, based on results of Donaldson, Gompf, Mrowka and Taubes, see [DK], [G], [GM], [T1], [T2], an ambitious classification scheme emerged regarding smooth simply-connected closed 4-manifolds:

Conjecture 1.1. Minimal Conjecture. ([T5], [Ko]). *Every simply-connected smooth closed 4-manifold X can be decomposed as $X = X_1 \# \cdots \# X_n$, where X_i are symplectic 4-manifolds with both the symplectic and the opposite orientations allowed, and where S^4 corresponds to the empty sum.*

The first counter-examples to the Minimal Conjecture were constructed in [Sz1], and were later generalized in [Sz2] and by Fintushel and Stern in [FS].

This is an expository paper, that aims to give a short account of the counter-examples of [Sz1] and [Sz2]. For a detailed description see [Sz1], [Sz2]. The paper also contains additional examples, and gives an interesting family of irreducible simply-connected smooth closed 4-manifolds with small signature, presented in Section 3. (A simply-connected smooth closed 4-manifold is irreducible if any connected sum decomposition satisfies that one of the summands is a homotopy S^4).

Acknowledgements. I wish to thank the organizers of the Gokova Conference, Selman Akbulut and Turgut Onder for their kind hospitality.

2. Counter-examples

Let us start by recalling the original examples from [Sz1]. It is easy to see that the problem is equivalent to constructing irreducible simply-connected 4-manifold X with non-trivial intersection form, such that X doesn't have symplectic structures with either orientation. In order to show irreducibility one is more or less forced to consider simply-connected 4-manifolds with non-trivial gauge invariants. Note that this implies b_2^+ odd, and the existence of almost complex structures. The obstruction to have symplectic structures is provided by results of Taubes on symplectic 4-manifolds:

Theorem 2.1. (See [T1], [T2]). *Let X be a simply-connected symplectic 4-manifold with $b_2^+(X) > 1$ and symplectic structure ω . Then we have*

$$SW_X(c_1(\omega)) = \pm 1.$$

where $c_1(\omega) \in H^2(X, \mathbf{Z})$ denotes the canonical class of the symplectic structure and SW_X denotes the Seiberg-Witten invariant of X . Furthermore if $K \in H^2(X, \mathbf{Z})$ satisfies $SW_X(K) \neq 0$, then $|K \cdot \omega| \leq |c_1(\omega) \cdot \omega|$, and equality implies that $K = \pm c_1(\omega)$.

We start by constructing a 4-manifold Y with $\pi_1(Y) = \mathbf{Z}$ and then performing logarithmic transformations of multiplicity n to get the non-symplectic manifolds X_n . Y is built from the four-torus T^4 and two copies of the rational elliptic surface $\mathbf{CP}^2 \# 9\overline{\mathbf{CP}}^2$ in the following way.

Let $T_{12} \hookrightarrow T^4$, $T_{13} \hookrightarrow T^4$, $T_{14} \hookrightarrow T^4$ be smoothly embedded 2-tori in the 4-torus: $T_{12} = S^1 \times S^1 \times p_1 \times p_2$, $T_{13} = S^1 \times p_3 \times S^1 \times p_4$, $T_{14} = S^1 \times p_5 \times p_6 \times S^1$, where $p_i \in S^1$, $i = 1, \dots, 6$ are disjoint points. Then T_{12} , T_{13} and T_{14} are disjoint and have self-intersection 0.

Take also two copies of the rational elliptic surface $E_1 = \mathbf{CP}^2 \# 9\overline{\mathbf{CP}}^2$, $E_2 = \mathbf{CP}^2 \# 9\overline{\mathbf{CP}}^2$ and fix one-one generic fiber in both copies $F_1 \hookrightarrow E_1$, $F_2 \hookrightarrow E_2$. Note that F_1 , F_2 are also smoothly embedded tori with square 0. Now we make the fiber sum of T^4 , E_1 and E_2 along T_{12} , T_{13} , F_1 and F_2 . This is done by fixing diffeomorphisms $f_1 : F_1 \rightarrow T_{12}$, $f_2 : F_2 \rightarrow T_{13}$ and lifting them to orientation reversing diffeomorphisms g_1, g_2 between the closed tubular neighborhoods. Then the fiber sum Y is:

$$Y = (E_1 \setminus ndF_1) \cup_{g_1} (T^4 \setminus ndT_{12} \setminus ndT_{13}) \cup_{g_2} (E_2 \setminus ndF_2),$$

where nd denotes the open tubular neighborhood.

Now we have $T_{14} \hookrightarrow Y$, and it is easy to see that $\pi_1(Y \setminus ndT_{14}) = \mathbf{Z}$, where the fundamental group is generated by a loop $\gamma = q_1 \times q_2 \times q_3 \times S^1 \hookrightarrow \partial(Y \setminus ndT_{14})$. The next step is to perform a certain logarithmic transformation along T_{14} in order to kill the fundamental group. So for all $n \geq 0$ let us fix an orientation reversing diffeomorphism $\phi_n : \partial(D^2 \times T^2) \rightarrow \partial(Y \setminus ndT_{14})$ satisfying $(\phi_n)_*(e) = [\gamma] + n\beta$, where $\beta \in H_1(\partial(Y \setminus ndT_{14}), \mathbf{Z})$ is the homology class of the meridian around T_{14} , $[\gamma]$ is the homology class of γ , and $e \in H_1(\partial(D^2 \times T^2), \mathbf{Z})$ is defined by $e = [\partial(D^2 \times p)]$, where $p \in T^2$.

We define X_n by:

$$X_n = (Y \setminus ndT_{14}) \cup_{\phi_n} (D^2 \times T^2).$$

The computation of Seiberg-Witten invariants of X_n uses a joint work with John Morgan and Tom Mrowka, see [MMSz], on how logarithmic transformation changes Seiberg-Witten invariants. In this case we get a surgery formula, see [Sz1], [MMSz], that gives a relation between Seiberg-Witten invariants of X_0 , Y and X_n . After computing SW_{X_0} and SW_Y this relation gives the following result.

Theorem 2.2. (See [Sz1]). *For all $n \geq 0$ let X_n denote the smooth closed 4-manifolds defined above. Then $\pi_1(X_n) = 1$, $b_2^+(X_n) = 3$, $b_2^-(X_n) = 19$, X_n has odd intersection form, and*

- $SW_{X_n}(\pm K_1 \pm K_2) = \pm n$, where $K_1 = PD[T_{12}]$, $K_2 = PD[T_{13}]$,
- $SW_{X_n}(L) = 0$ for $L \neq \pm K_1 \pm K_2$,
- The Seiberg-Witten invariant of \overline{X}_n vanishes.

Now it follows from standard arguments that X_n is irreducible for all $n \geq 1$. On the other hand it follows from the result of Taubes, see Theorem 2.1, that X_n is non-symplectic for all $n \geq 2$. So we have:

Theorem 2.3. (See [Sz1]). *For all $n \geq 2$, the simply connected smooth closed 4-manifold X_n is irreducible and doesn't have symplectic structure. In particular X_n is a counter-example to the Minimal Conjecture.*

The above construction admits several generalizations. One could for example replace the rational elliptic surfaces with other interesting 4-manifolds (e.g other elliptic surfaces), and get counter-examples realizing many homotopy types, see [Sz1, Section 4]. A more subtle generalization is given in [Sz2], where we construct counter-examples with $b_2^+ = 1$. Let us recall the construction, since it will also be used in the next section.

Let $\phi : T^2 \rightarrow T^2$ be an orientation preserving self-diffeomorphism satisfying $\phi_*(a_1) = a_1 + a_2$, $\phi_*(a_2) = a_2$, where $a_1, a_2 \in H_1(T^2, \mathbf{Z})$ form a basis. Let Z_ϕ denote the mapping torus of ϕ , and $W = Z_\phi \times S^1$ denote the Kodaira-Thurston manifold, see [Th]. The fibration $T^2 \rightarrow Z_\phi \rightarrow S^1$, gives fibration $T^2 \rightarrow W \rightarrow T^2$. It is easy to see that W has a symplectic structure, and admits a symplectic section $S \hookrightarrow W$. Let us fix a simple loop $\delta \hookrightarrow Z_\phi$ that lies in a fiber and represents a_1 and let $T = \delta \times S^1 \hookrightarrow W$. It is easy to see that S , and T are smoothly embedded tori of square 0.

Let us fix a generic fiber $F \hookrightarrow \mathbf{CP}^2 \# 9\overline{\mathbf{CP}}^2$ in the rational elliptic surface. After forming the fiber sum of W and $\mathbf{CP}^2 \# 9\overline{\mathbf{CP}}^2$ along S and F we get a 4-manifold Z , with $b_2^+(Z) = 2$, $b_2^-(Z) = 10$, $\pi_1(Z) = \mathbf{Z}$. By performing certain logarithmic transformations of multiplicity $n \geq 0$ along $T \hookrightarrow Z$, we get simply-connected 4-manifolds Y_n with $b_2^+(Y_n) = 1$, $b_2^-(Y_n) = 9$.

Note that for 4-manifolds X with $b_2^+(X) = 1$ the Seiberg-Witten invariants depend on the riemannian metric g , and the perturbing self-dual two form h , that are used in the definition of the Seiberg-Witten equations. In our case however, since $b_2^-(Y_n) = 9$, it follows from standard arguments that $SW_{Y_n}(L, g_1, h_1) = SW_{Y_n}(L, g_2, h_2)$, provided that h_1, h_2 are small enough. The corresponding chamber is called the metric chamber. Adopting the surgery formula and the arguments of [Sz1] to the $b_2^+ = 1$ case we get the following result.

Theorem 2.4. (See [Sz2]). *Let Y_n be as above, and let SW_{Y_n} denote the Seiberg-Witten invariant in the metric chamber. Then*

- $SW_{Y_n}(\pm L) = \pm n$, where $L = PD[S]$
- $SW_{Y_n}(L') = 0$ for all $L' \neq \pm L$.

Furthermore the Seiberg-Witten invariant of \overline{Y}_n vanishes.

While this theorem already implies that if $n \neq m$ then Y_n is not diffeomorphic to Y_m , one has to be more careful when studying the blown-ups of these manifolds because of the chamber structure. Similar problem arises when one compares Theorem 2.4 with computations of Taubes on the Seiberg-Witten invariants of symplectic 4-manifolds with $b_2^+ = 1$, see [T1], [T2], since in that case the invariant is computed by perturbing the

equations with a large enough multiple of the symplectic 2-form and the invariant no longer corresponds to the metric chamber. After studying of the chamber structures in both cases we get the following results.

Theorem 2.5. (See [Sz2]). *For all $n \geq 2$ we have the following*

- (i) Y_n is irreducible.
- (ii) If $k \geq 0$ and $n \neq m$ then $Y_n \# k \overline{\mathbf{CP}}^2$ is not diffeomorphic to $Y_m \# k \overline{\mathbf{CP}}^2$.
- (iii) If $k \geq 0$, then $Y_n \# k \overline{\mathbf{CP}}^2$ is not diffeomorphic to any Kähler surface.

Theorem 2.6. (See [Sz2]). *For all $n \geq 2$ neither Y_n nor \overline{Y}_n have symplectic structure..*

3. Irreducible 4-manifolds with small signature

The building blocks for our construction are the simply-connected non-symplectic 4-manifolds Y_n , defined in the previous section, and a symplectic 4-manifold M . M was introduced by Gompf in [G] as the twisted double of the Kodaira-Thurston manifold. The construction is as follows. Take two copies W_1, W_2 of the Kodaira-Thurston manifold, fix fibers $F_1 \hookrightarrow W_1, F_2 \hookrightarrow W_2$, and a symplectomorphism $f : F_1 \rightarrow F_2$ that induces $f_*(a_1) = a_2, f_*(a_2) = -a_1$. Then the corresponding fiber sum $M = W_1 \#_f W_2$ has a symplectic structure by the symplectic sum theorem [G]. Note that the sections $S_1 \hookrightarrow W_1, S_2 \hookrightarrow W_2$ can be glued together to give a symplectic section $\Sigma_0 \hookrightarrow M$. Then Σ_0 is a smoothly embedded genus 2 surface with trivial self-intersection. It is shown in [G], that Σ_0 has a canonical normal framing. Using this framing let us fix parallel copies $\Sigma_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$, where the parameter k will be fixed later.

We claim that Y_n contains a genus 2 surface $\Gamma \hookrightarrow Y_n$, with self-intersection 1, and $S \cdot \Gamma = 1$. To see that let us recall from the previous section that Z is the fiber sum of W and $\mathbf{CP}^2 \# 9 \overline{\mathbf{CP}}^2$ along $S \hookrightarrow W$, and $F \hookrightarrow \mathbf{CP}^2 \# 9 \overline{\mathbf{CP}}^2$. The name fiber sum is somewhat misleading, since in fact S is a section of W and F is a fiber of $\mathbf{CP}^2 \# 9 \overline{\mathbf{CP}}^2$. Now by gluing together a fiber of W and a section of $\mathbf{CP}^2 \# 9 \overline{\mathbf{CP}}^2$ we get an embedded torus with self-intersection -1 that is disjoint from T and intersects S at a transverse point. Then forming the union with S and resolving the self-intersection point gives a genus 2 surface $\Gamma \hookrightarrow Z$ with self-intersection 1. Since Γ is disjoint from T , we also get a corresponding $\Gamma \hookrightarrow Y_n$ with the desired properties. After blowing up Y_n in such a way that the base point is in Γ , we get a genus 2 surface $\Gamma' \hookrightarrow Y_n \# \overline{\mathbf{CP}}^2$ with self intersection 0.

Let $X(0, n)$ denote the fiber sum of M and $Y_n \# \overline{\mathbf{CP}}^2$ along Σ_0 and Γ' . Note that the disjoint smoothly embedded genus 2 surfaces $\Sigma_i \hookrightarrow M$ give rise to $\Sigma_i \hookrightarrow X(0, n)$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. By induction we get $X(i + 1, n)$ as the fiber sum of $X(i, n)$ and a disjoint copy of M along $\Sigma_{i+1} \hookrightarrow X(i, n)$ and $\Sigma_0 \hookrightarrow M$.

We claim the following:

Theorem 3.1. *For all $k \geq 0, n \geq 2$ let $X(k, n)$ denote the smooth closed 4-manifold defined above. Then $\pi_1(X(k, n)) = 1, b_2^+(X(k, n)) = 2k + 3, b_2^-(X(k, n)) = 2k + 12$. In particular $|\text{sign}(X(k, n))| = 9$. Furthermore we have the followings*

- (i) There is a $K \in H^2(X(k, n), \mathbf{Z})$, such that $SW_{X(k, n)}(\pm K) = \pm n$, and $SW_{X(k, n)}(L) = 0$ for $L \neq K$.
- (ii) $X(k, n)$ is irreducible
- (iii) $X(k, n)$ doesn't have symplectic structures with either orientations.

Proof. Let us start with the homotopy type of $X(k, n)$. It is shown in [G], that $\pi_1(M \setminus nd(\Sigma_0))$ is normally generated by the image of $i_* : \pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow \pi_1(M \setminus nd(\Sigma_0))$ where Σ is a parallel copy of Σ_0 . Since $Y_n \# \overline{\mathbf{CP}}^2 \setminus nd(\Gamma')$ is simply-connected, it follows that $\pi_1(X(0, n)) = 1$. Similarly if $\Sigma \hookrightarrow \partial(X(i, n) \setminus nd(\Sigma_{i+1}))$ is a parallel copy of Σ_{i+1} , then $j_* : \pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow \pi_1(X(i, n) \setminus nd(\Sigma_{i+1}))$ is trivial, and it follows from induction and the Van Kampen theorem that $X(i+1, n)$ is simply-connected. The computation for the b_2^+ , b_2^- follows from the additivity of signature under fiber sum.

Note that the symplectic structure of M satisfies $c_1(\omega) = \pm 2G$, where G is the Poincaré dual of the fiber of M . It follows then from [T1], that $SW_M(\pm 2G) = \pm 1$. The invariants of $Y_n \# \overline{\mathbf{CP}}^2$ can be computed from Theorem 2.4 and the blow-up formulas. Note that $PD(\Gamma') = PD(\Gamma) - E$, where E is the exceptional class. Let $SW_{Y_n \# \overline{\mathbf{CP}}^2}$ correspond to the chamber that contains $PD(\Gamma')$ on its boundary. Then $SW_{Y_n \# \overline{\mathbf{CP}}^2}(S + E) = \pm n$ follows from Theorem 2.4. Now using $k+1$ times the product formula of [MSzT], we get a $K \in H^2(X(k, n), \mathbf{Z})$, such that $SW_{X(k, n)}(\pm K) = \pm n$. On the other hand using the adjunction inequality of [KM], cf. [Sz1], it is an easy exercise to show that $SW_{X(k, n)}(L) = 0$ for $L \neq \pm K$. This proves (i). The irreducibility of $X(k, n)$ follows from (i) and standard arguments. Since $X(k, n)$ contains smoothly embedded spheres with self-intersection -2 , it follows that the Seiberg-Witten invariant of $\overline{X}(k, n)$ vanishes. Then (iii) follows from (i) and Theorem 2.1.

References

- [DK] S.K. Donaldson and P.B. Kronheimer, *'The Geometry of Four-Manifolds'*, Clarendon, Oxford, 1990.
- [FS] R. Fintushel and R. Stern, *Knots, links and 4-manifolds*, preprint 1996 Oct.
- [G] R.E. Gompf, *New construction of symplectic manifolds*, *Annals of Math.* **142** (1995), 527-595.
- [GM] R.E. Gompf and T.S. Mrowka, *Irreducible 4-manifolds need not be complex*, *Annals of Math.* **138** (1993), 61-111.
- [Ko] D. Kotschick, *The Seiberg-Witten invariants of symplectic four-manifolds, [after C.H. Taubes]*, to appear in *Asterisque*.
- [KM] P.B. Kronheimer and T.S. Mrowka, *The genus of embedded surfaces in the projective plane*, *Math. Research Letters* **1** (1994), 797-808.
- [M] J.W. Morgan, *'The Seiberg-Witten Equations and applications to the topology of smooth four-manifolds'*, *Mathematical Notes* 44, Princeton University Press, 1996.
- [MMSz] J.W. Morgan, T.S. Mrowka and Z. Szabó, *Product formulas along T^3 for Seiberg-Witten invariants*, in preparation.
- [MSzT] J.W. Morgan, Z. Szabó and C.H. Taubes, *A product formula for the Seiberg-Witten invariants and the generalized Thom-Conjecture*, to appear in *Journal of Differential Geometry*.
- [Sz1] Z. Szabó, *Simply-connected irreducible 4-manifolds with no symplectic structures*, preprint 1996 July.
- [Sz2] Z. Szabó, *Exotic smooth 4-manifolds with $b_2^+ = 1$* , to appear in *Math. Research Letters*.

SZABÓ

- [T1] C.H. Taubes, *The Seiberg-Witten invariants and symplectic form*, Math. Research Letters **1**, (1994), 809-822.
- [T2] C.H. Taubes, *More constraints on symplectic manifolds from Seiberg-Witten invariants*, Math. Research Letters **2**, (1995), 9-14.
- [T3] C.H. Taubes, *The Seiberg-Witten and the Gromov invariants*, Math. Research Letters **2** (1995), 221-238.
- [T4] C.H. Taubes, *SW \rightarrow Gr, From the Seiberg-Witten equations to pseudoholomorphic curves*, to appear in Jour. Amer. Math. Soc.
- [T5] C.H. Taubes, I. International Press Lectures, UC Irvine, March 1996.
- [Wi] E. Witten, *Monopoles and four-manifolds*, Math. Research Letters **1** (1994), 769-796.

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, PRINCETON UNIVERSITY, PRINCETON, NJ 08544, USA
E-mail address: `szabo@math.princeton.edu`