

1-1-1997

Integral Closure of an Ideal Relative to a Module and Δ -Closure

Yücel TIRAŞ

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

TIRAŞ, Yücel (1997) "Integral Closure of an Ideal Relative to a Module and Δ -Closure," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 21: No. 3, Article 14. Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol21/iss3/14>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.

INTEGRAL CLOSURE OF AN IDEAL RELATIVE TO A MODULE AND Δ -CLOSURE

Yücel Tıraş

Abstract

The aim in this paper is to give the relation between the Δ -closure of an ideal I in a commutative Noetherian ring R , (see [3]), and the integral closure of the ideal I relative to a Noetherian R -module (see (1.1). Definition) and to give the closure cancellation law.

1. Introduction

The important ideas of reduction and integral closure of an ideal in a commutative Noetherian ring R (with identity) were introduced by Northcott and Rees [2]; a brief and direct approach to their theory is given in [4, (1.1)] and it is appropriate for me to begin by briefly summarizing some of the main aspects.

Let a be an ideal of R . We say that a is a reduction of the ideal b of R if $a \subseteq b$ and there exists $s \in N$ such that $ab^s = b^{s+1}$ (We use N to denote the set of positive integers.). An element x of R is said to be integrally dependent on a if there exists $n \in N$ and elements $c_1, \dots, c_n \in R$ with $c_i \in a^i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ such that

$$x^n + c_1x^{n-1} + \dots + c_{n-1}x + c_n = 0.$$

In fact, this is the case if and only if a is a reduction of $a + Rx$; moreover,

$$\bar{a} = \{y \in R : y \text{ is integrally dependent on } a\}$$

is an ideal of R , called the integral closure of a , and is the largest ideal of R which has a as a reduction in the sense that a is a reduction of \bar{a} and any ideal of R which has a as a reduction must be contained in \bar{a} .

In [6], Sharp, Tıraş and Yassi introduced concepts of reduction and integral closure of an ideal I of a commutative ring R (with identity) relative to a Noetherian R -module

M , and they showed that these concepts have properties which reflect those of the classical concepts outlined in the last paragraph. Again, it is appropriate for me to provide a brief review.

Definition 1.1. We say that I is a reduction of the ideal J of R relative to M if $I \subseteq J$ and there exists $s \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $I \cdot J^s \cdot M = J^{s+1}M$. An element x of R is said to be integrally dependent on I relative to M if there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$x^n \cdot M \subseteq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x^{n-i} I^i \right) \cdot M.$$

In fact, this is the case if and only if I is a reduction of $I + Rx$ relative to M [6, (1.5) (iv)]; moreover, $I^- = \{y \in R : y \text{ is integrally dependent on } I \text{ relative to } M\}$ is an ideal of R , called the integral closure of I relative to M , and is the largest ideal of R which has I as a reduction relative to M . In this paper, I shall indicate the dependence of I^- on the Noetherian R -module M by means of the extended notation $I^{-(M)}$.

The current paper is concerned with the integral closure of an ideal I of a commutative Noetherian ring R relative to M and the Δ -closure of the ideal I . Specifically, for a multiplicatively closed set Δ of non-zero ideals of a commutative Noetherian ring R , I define the Δ -closure I_Δ of an ideal I of R and prove that, if Δ is the multiplicatively closed set defined in theorem (2.4) below, then show $I_\Delta = I^{-(M)}$ and also the closure cancellation law:

$$\text{If } (IK)^{-(M)} = (JK)^{-(M)} \text{ and } K \in \Delta \text{ then } I^{-(M)} = J^{-(M)}$$

2. The Closure-Cancellation Law

Throughout R will be a Noetherian ring and M will be an non-zero finitely generated R -module. I begin with a definition which will be very useful for my aims.

Definition 2.1. Let I be an ideal in R and Δ a multiplicatively closed set of non-zero ideals of R . The ascending chain condition guarantees that the set $\{(IKM : KM) : K \in \Delta\}$ has maximal elements, and since for K and J in Δ $(IJKM : JKM)$ contains both $(IJM : JM)$ and $(IKM : KM)$, we see that the set under consideration in fact contains a unique maximal element. Let $I_{\Delta, \Delta}$ -closure of I , denote that unique maximal element.

The following theorem gives some useful properties of the Δ -closure of any ideal of R .

Theorem 2.2. Let I and J be ideals of R . Then

- a) $I \subseteq I_\Delta$
- b) If $I \subseteq J$ then $I_\Delta \subseteq J_\Delta$

c) $I_{\Delta}J_{\Delta} \subseteq (IJ)_{\Delta}$

Proof. (a) and (b) are very clear so I omit their proof. For (c), let $x \cdot y \in I_{\Delta}I_{\Delta}$ with $x \in I_{\Delta}$ and $y \in I_{\Delta}$. Then there exist ideals K_1 and K_2 in Δ such that $x \in IK_1M : K_1M$ and $y \in JK_2M : K_2M$. Therefore $xyK_1K_2M \subseteq IJK_1K_2M$, so $xy \in (IJK_1K_2M : K_1K_2M) \subseteq (IJ)_{\Delta}$, so it follows that (c) holds.

Next I give the first result, which I promised in the introductory section, in two steps. \square

Theorem 2.3. *Let Δ be a multiplicatively closed set of ideals of R such that each ideal in Δ contains an element of R which is a non-zerodivisor on M . Let I_{Δ} be as in (2.1). Then $I_{\Delta} \subseteq I^{-(M)}$.*

Proof. Let $I_{\Delta} = (IKM : KM)$ for a suitable $K \in \Delta$ and let $x \in I_{\Delta}$. Suppose that KM is generated by a_1, \dots, a_n . Then for $x \in I_{\Delta}$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have

$$x \cdot a_i = \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}a_j \text{ with } b_{ij} \in I.$$

Now by [5, (13.15)] and since $K \in \Delta$, a standard determinant argument shows that

$$x^n + c_1x^{n-1} + \dots + c_{n-1}x + c_n \in (O :_R M),$$

where $c_i \in I^i$. This means \bar{x} is integrally dependent on \bar{I} where “ $-$ ” refers to the natural ring homomorphism $R \rightarrow R/O :_M$. Thus $\bar{x} \in (\bar{I})^-$, the classical integral closure of \bar{I} ($= \frac{I+O :_R M}{O :_R M}$) in \bar{R} . Now the result follows from [6, (1.6)]. \square

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\Delta = \{J : J \text{ is an ideal of } R \text{ which contains a non-zerodivisor on } M\}$. Assume that $I \in \Delta$. Let I_{Δ} be as in (2.3). Then*

$$I_{\Delta} = I^{-(M)}.$$

Proof. Let $x \in I^{-(M)}$. Then by [6, (1.5) (iv)], I is a reduction of $I + Rx$ relative to M . Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $I(I + Rx)^n = (I + Rx)^{n+1}M$.

Suppose $I_{\Delta} = (IKM : KM)$ for a suitable $K \in \Delta$. Then

$$x \cdot (I + Rx)^n \cdot M \subseteq I \cdot (I + Rx)^n \cdot M$$

Since $(I + Rx)^n \in \Delta$ and by the maximality of I_{Δ} , we get $x \in I_{\Delta}$. Now the result follows from (2.3). \square

Theorem 2.5. *Let Δ and I be as in (2.4). Then*

$$I_{\Delta} = I_{\Delta}KM : KM \text{ for all } K \in \Delta.$$

Proof. By the definition of I_{Δ} and (2.4), it is readily seen that $I_{\Delta}KM : KM \subseteq (I_{\Delta})_{\Delta} = (I^{-\langle M \rangle})^{-\langle M \rangle}$. Thus $I_{\Delta}KM : KM \subseteq I_{\Delta}$ by [6, (1.5) (ix)]. This completes the proof since the reverse is always true.

The following proposition gives another description of I_{Δ} and it will be used in the proof of the closure cancellation law (2.8). \square

Proposition 2.6. *Let Δ and I be as in (2.4). Then*

$$I_{\Delta} = I_{\Delta}KM : KM = (IK)_{\Delta}M : KM \text{ for all } K \in \Delta.$$

Proof. $I_{\Delta} = I_{\Delta}KM : KM \subseteq (IK)_{\Delta}M : KM$ by (2.5) and (2.2) (c). Let $x \in (IK)_{\Delta}M : KM$. Then $xKM \subseteq (IK)_{\Delta}M$. By the definition $(IK)_{\Delta} = IKJM : JM$ for a suitable $J \in \Delta$. Thus we get $x \in I_{\Delta}$. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 2.7. Let Δ and I be as in (2.4). Also let “ $-$ ” refer to the natural ring homomorphism $R \rightarrow R/O :_R M$.

$$\text{Let } \Delta' = \left\{ \bar{J} = \frac{J + O :_R M}{O :_R M} : J \in \Delta \right\}.$$

Then it is easy to see that $\bar{I}_{\Delta} = (\bar{I})_{\Delta'}$
From (2.6) we can easily get that

$$(\bar{I})_{\Delta'} = (\bar{I})_{\Delta'} \bar{K}M : (\bar{I}\bar{K})_{\Delta'}M : \bar{K}M \text{ for all } \bar{K} \in \Delta'.$$

Now I am in the position to give the main theorem which I promised earlier:

Theorem 2.8. (Closure-cancellation law). *Let Δ and I be as in (2.4). Also let $J \in \Delta$. If $(IK)^{-\langle M \rangle} = (JK)^{-\langle M \rangle}$, $K \in \Delta$, then $I^{-\langle M \rangle} = J^{-\langle M \rangle}$.*

Proof. Let “ $-$ ” and Δ' be as in (2.7)

Suppose that $(IK)^{-\langle M \rangle} = (JK)^{-\langle M \rangle}$.

Let $x \in I^{-\langle M \rangle}$. Then by [6, (1.6)], $\bar{x} \in \bar{I}^{-\langle M \rangle} = \left(\frac{I+O :_R M}{O :_R M} \right)^{-}$, the integral closure of the ideal \bar{I} ind \bar{R} . Then, as is mentioned in the introductory section, \bar{I} is a reduction of $(\bar{I} + \bar{R}\bar{x})$. Thus there exists $s \in N$ such that $\bar{I} \cdot (\bar{I} + \bar{R}\bar{x})^s = (\bar{I} + \bar{R}\bar{x})^{s+1}$.

Therefore we get

$$\bar{x}(\bar{I} + \bar{R}\bar{x})^s \subseteq \bar{I}(\bar{I} + \bar{R}\bar{x})^s.$$

Hence

$$\bar{x}\bar{K}(\bar{I} + \bar{R}\bar{x})^s M \subseteq \bar{I}\bar{K}(\bar{I} + \bar{R}\bar{x})^s M \text{ for all } \bar{K} \in \Delta'.$$

Thus

$$\bar{x} \in (\bar{I}\bar{K}(\bar{I} + \bar{R}\bar{x})^s M : \bar{K}(\bar{I} + \bar{R}\bar{x})^s M).$$

Since $(IK)^{-\langle M \rangle} = (JK)^{-\langle M \rangle}$, $(\bar{I}\bar{K})_{\Delta'} = (\bar{J}\bar{K})_{\Delta'}$ by (2.4) and (2.7). Then

$\bar{x} \in ((\bar{I}\bar{K})_{\Delta'}(\bar{I} + \bar{R}\bar{x})^s M : \bar{K}(\bar{I} + \bar{R}\bar{x})^s M)$ by (2.2) (a). Thus

$\bar{x} \in ((\bar{J}\bar{K})_{\Delta'}(\bar{I} + \bar{R}\bar{x})^s M : \bar{K}(\bar{I} + \bar{R}\bar{x})^s M)$. Now by (2.7) we get $x \in J_{\Delta} = J^{-\langle M \rangle}$. Therefore it follows by symmetry that $I^{-\langle M \rangle} = J^{-\langle M \rangle}$ as desired.

As stronger converse is true as will be shown in the following theorem. \square

Theorem 2.9. *Let Δ , I and J be as in (2.8). Then the following are equivalent:*

- a) $ILM = JLM$ for some $L \in \Delta$
- b) $(IK)^{-\langle M \rangle} = (JK)^{-\langle M \rangle}$ for all $K \in \Delta$
- c) $I^{-\langle M \rangle} = J^{-\langle M \rangle}$

Proof. a) \rightarrow b) This is easy by (2.2) (b), (2.4) and [6, (1.5) (ix)].

b) \rightarrow c) This is clear by (2.8).

c) \rightarrow a) $I^{-\langle M \rangle} = I_{\Delta} = IF_1M : F_1M = J_{\Delta} = J^{-\langle M \rangle} = JF_2M : F_2M$ for suitable $F_1, F_2 \in \Delta$. Let $L = F_1F_2$. Then $F_1F_2 \in \Delta$ and $ILM = (ILM : LM)LM = (JLM : LM)LM = JLM$. This completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgements

I am extremely grateful to Prof. R. Y. Sharp, The University of Sheffield-England, for his valuable advice and suggestions on this work.

TIRAŞ

References

- [1] D. Katz., S. McAdam and L.J. Ratliff Jr. 'Prime divisor and divisorial ideals', J. Pure and Applied Algebra 59 (1989) 179-186.
- [2] D.G. Northcott and D. Rees, 'Reduction of ideals in local rings', Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 50 (1954) 145-158.
- [3] L.J. Ratliff, Jr., ' Δ -closures of ideals and rings' Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, Volume 313, (1989) 221-247.
- [4] D. Rees and R.Y. Sharp, 'On a theorem of B. Teissier on multiplicities of ideals in local rings', J. London Maths. Soc. (2) 18 (1978) 449-463.
- [5] R.Y. Sharp, 'Steps in Commutative Algebra' Cambridge University press 1990.
- [6] R.Y. Sharp, Y. Tıraş and M. Yassi, 'Integral closure of ideals relative to local cohomology modules over Quasi-Unmixed local rings', J. London Maths. Soc. (2) 42 (1990) 383-392.

Bir İdealin Bir Modüle Göre İntegral Kapanışı ve Δ -Kapanış

Özet

Bu makalede temel amaç Noetherian bir halka üzerindeki bir I idealinin [3]'de tanımlanan Δ -kapanışı ile I idealinin bir Noetherian M modülüne göre (1.1) Tanımda verilen integral kapanışı arasındaki ilişki ve ayrıca kapanış sadeleştirme kuralını vermektir.

Yücel TIRAŞ
Hacettepe University
Department of Mathematics
Beytepe Campus
06532 Beytepe, Ankara-TURKEY

Received 14.10.1996