

1-1-1997

Characterization of Some Rings By Functor $Z^*(.)$

Ayşe Çiğdem ÖZCAN

Abdullah HARMANCI

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

ÖZCAN, Ayşe Çiğdem and HARMANCI, Abdullah (1997) "Characterization of Some Rings By Functor $Z^*(.)$," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 21: No. 3, Article 9. Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol21/iss3/9>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.

CHARACTERIZATION OF SOME RINGS BY FUNCTOR $Z^*(.)$

Ayşe Çiğdem Özcan, Abdullah Harmancı

Abstract

Let $\underline{X} = \{M : Z^*(M) = 0\}$ and $\underline{X}^* = \{M : Q \leq P \leq M, P/Q \in \underline{X} \text{ implies } P/Q = 0\}$ be classes of R -modules. In this note we study the structure of rings R over which every module M has a decomposition $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ with $M_1 \in \underline{X}$ and $M_2 \in \underline{X}^*$.

Let R be a ring with identity. Throughout all modules will be unital right R -modules and $RadM, E(M), Z(M)$ will denote the radical, injective hull and singular submodule of a module M . $J(R)$ is the Jacobson radical of R .

A module N is called a small *submodule* in a module M if whenever $N+L = M$ for some submodule L of M we have $M = L$. A module M is said to be *small* if M is small in $E(M)$. Let M be an R -module. We set $Z^*(M) = \{m \in M : mR \text{ is small}\}$ and we define inductively $Z_n^*(M) : Z_1^*(M) = Z^*(M), Z^*(M/Z_{n-1}^*(M)) = Z_n^*(M)/Z_{n-1}^*(M) (n = 2, 3, \dots)$. It is well-known that $Z_2(M) = Z_3(M) = \dots$ for $Z(M)$. But it is not known in $Z_2^*(M) = Z_3^*(M) = \dots$. In this note we consider the classes $\underline{X} = \{M : MR\text{- module and } Z^*(M) = 0\}, \underline{X}^* = \{M : MR\text{- module and whenever } Q \leq P \leq M, P/Q \in \underline{X} \text{ implies } P/Q = 0\}$, following [5]. Since $RadM$ is the sum of small submodules of M , then $RadM \leq Z^*(M)$.

A class Ω of modules is called *s-closed* if Ω is closed under submodules and *q-closed* if Ω is closed under homomorphic images, and $\{s, q\}$ -*closed* if Ω is s-closed and q-closed. It is known that \underline{X}^* is $\{s, q\}$ -closed. Let $H_{\underline{X}}(M)$ denote the sum of \underline{X}^* -submodules of M . Then $H_{\underline{X}}(M) \in \underline{X}^*, H_{\underline{X}}(M/H_{\underline{X}}(M)) = 0$, and $H_{\underline{X}}$ is fully invariant [5], and $\underline{X} \cap \underline{X}^* = 0$. It is known that the class \underline{X} is closed under submodules, direct products, direct sums, essential extensions and module extensions.

In [9] it is proved that if R is a quasi-Frobenius ring then every module is a direct sum of an injective module and a small module. In this note we show that every module M over a quasi-Frobenius ring has a decomposition $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ with $M_1 \in \underline{X}$ and $M_2 \in \underline{X}^*$. We also deal with the question: Let R be a ring such that every module M has a decomposition $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ with $M_1 \in \underline{X}$ and $M_2 \in \underline{X}^*$, then R is quasi-Frobenius?

Lemma 1. *Let M be an R -module. Then*

- (i) *If M is small then $Z^*(M) = M$,*
- (ii) *If $Z^*(M) = M$ then $M \in \underline{X}^*$,*
- (iii) *If M is semisimple injective then $M \in \underline{X}$.*

Proof. (i) Clear from definitions.

(ii) Let M be a module such that $Z^*(M) = M$. Assume $Q \leq P \leq M$ and $P/Q \in \underline{X}$. Then $Z^*(P/Q) = 0$. Since $Z^*(M) = M$ and any homomorphic image of a small module is small, then $P/Q = Z^*(P/Q)$. Hence $P/Q = 0$, and so $M \in \underline{X}^*$.

(iii) Assume first M is simple injective. Let $0 \neq m \in M$ be such that mR is small in $E(mR) = M$. This is a contradiction for $mR = M$. Hence $Z^*(M) = 0$ and $M \in \underline{X}$. Assume M is semisimple injective. Since \underline{X} is closed under direct sums, then $M \in \underline{X}$. \square

Lemma 2. *Let R be a right perfect ring. Then a module M is small if and only if $Z^*(M) = M$.*

Proof. Let R be a right perfect ring. Assume M is small module. Let $0 \neq m \in M$. Then mR is small in $E(M)$ and so in $E(mR)$. Hence $m \in Z^*(M)$ and then $Z^*(M) = M$. Conversely suppose that $Z^*(M) = M$. Since R is right perfect and $Z^*(M) = M$, then $Z^*(M) \leq \text{Rad}E(M)$ and $\text{Rad}E(M)$ is small in $E(M)$ [1]. Hence M is small. \square

Theorem 3. *Let R be a right hereditary ring. Then $\underline{X}^* = \{M : Z^*(M) = M\}$.*

Proof. Let R be a right hereditary ring and M a module with $Z^*(M) = M$. By Lemma 1(ii), $M \in \underline{X}^*$. Assume M is a module with $M \in \underline{X}^*$. Let $m \in M$ be such that $m \notin Z^*(M)$. Then mR is not small in $E(mR)$. Hence there is a submodule L of $E(mR)$ such that $mR + L = E(mR)$. Since R is right hereditary, then $E(mR)/L$ is injective and so the cyclic module $mR/(mR \cap L)$ is injective. Let $K/(mR \cap L)$ be a maximal submodule in $mR/(mR \cap L)$. Then mR/K is simple, and injective as a quotient of injective module. By Lemma 1(iii), $mR/K \in \underline{X}$. Since $M \in \underline{X}^*$ and \underline{X}^* is $\{s\text{-}q\}$ -closed, then $mR/K \in \underline{X}^*$. Hence mR/K is a zero module. This is a contradiction. Thus $Z^*(M) = M$. \square

Theorem 4. *Let R be a ring such that $R/J(R)$ is a semisimple ring. Then $\underline{X} = \{M : M \text{ is semisimple injective } R\text{-module}\}$.*

Proof. Let M be an \underline{X} -module. Since $R/J(R)$ is a semisimple ring, then $\text{Rad}M = MJ(R)$ [1]. Since $\text{Rad}M$ is contained in $Z^*(M)$ and $Z^*(M) = 0$, then $\text{Rad}M = 0$. It follows that M is semisimple. Since $M \in \underline{X}$, implies $E(M) \in \underline{X}$ then $E(M)$ is semisimple. Hence $M = E(M)$ and so M is injective. Conversely suppose M is a semisimple injective module. By Lemma 1(iii), $M \in \underline{X}$. It completes the proof. \square

Lemma 5. *Let M be a semisimple module with $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$, M_i simple for each $i \in I$. Then M has a decomposition $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ where $M_1 \in \underline{X}$ and $M_2 \in \underline{X}^*$.*

Proof. Let $M_i (i \in I)$ be a simple module. Then M_i is either small or injective. Let $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$, M_i simple, write $I = I_1 \cup I_2, I_1 \cap I_2 = \emptyset$ with $i \in I_1$ implies M_i is injective and $i \in I_2$ implies M_i is small. Then $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ with $M_1 = \bigoplus_{i \in I_1} M_i$ and $M_2 = \bigoplus_{i \in I_2} M_i$. It is clear that $M_1 \in \underline{X}$ and $M_2 \in \underline{X}^*$ \square

Lemma 6. *Let R be a quasi-Frobenius ring. Then every R -module M has a decomposition $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ with $M_1 \in \underline{X}$ and $M_2 \in \underline{X}^*$.*

Proof. Assume R quasi-Frobenius ring. Let M be an R -module. Then $M = N_1 \oplus N_2$ with N_1 is injective and N_2 is small by [9]. $N_2 \in \underline{X}^*$ by Lemma 1(ii). Since R is Noetherian ring and N_1 is injective R -module, then $N_1 = \bigoplus_{i \in I} L_i$ with L_i indecomposable injective [1]. Now if $H_{\underline{X}}(L_i) = 0$ then $L_i \in \underline{X}$. If not, $L_i/H_{\underline{X}}(L_i) = K_1 \oplus K_2$ where K_1 is injective and K_2 is small. Then $K_2 \in \underline{X}^*$, and since $H_{\underline{X}}(L_i/H_{\underline{X}}(L_i)) = 0$, then $K_2 = 0$. Hence $L_i/H_{\underline{X}}(L_i)$ is injective, and so $L_i/H_{\underline{X}}(L_i)$ is projective since R is a quasi-Frobenius ring. Thus $L_i/H_{\underline{X}}(L_i) = L_i$ and then $L_i \in \underline{X}^*$. Hence for $i \in I$, either $L_i \in \underline{X}$ or $L_i \in \underline{X}^*$. Thus $N_1 = L \oplus K$ with $L \in \underline{X}$ and $K \in \underline{X}^*$ as in the proof of Lemma 5. Therefore $M = L \oplus K \oplus N_2$ with $L \in \underline{X}, K \oplus N_2 \in \underline{X}^*$. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 7. *Every module M over a semisimple ring has a decomposition $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ with $M_1 \in \underline{X}, M_2 \in \underline{X}^*$.*

Proof. Let M be a module over a semisimple ring R . Then M is semisimple. Corollary is now clear from Lemma 5. \square

In this note we investigate the converse statements of Lemma 5, Lemma 6 and Corollary 7. For this we set^(*).

Lemma 8. *We assume R satisfies^(*). Then \underline{X}^* is closed under essential extensions.*

Proof. Let M be an \underline{X}^* -module. It is enough to show $E(M) \in \underline{X}^*$. By hypothesis, $E(M) = M_1 \oplus M_2, M_1 \in \underline{X}, M_2 \in \underline{X}^*$. Since M is essential in $E(M), M_1 \in \underline{X}$ and $M_1 \cap M \in \underline{X} \cap \underline{X}^* = 0$, then $M_1 = 0$. Hence $E(M) \in \underline{X}^*$. \square

Lemma 9. *Assume \underline{X}^* closed under essential extensions. Then every injective module M has a decomposition $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ with $M_1 \in \underline{X}$ and $M_2 \in \underline{X}^*$.*

^(*) Every module M has a decomposition $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ with $M_1 \in \underline{X}, M_2 \in \underline{X}^*$.

Proof. Let M be an injective R -module. We note that $H_{\underline{X}}(M) \in \underline{X}^*$ and then by assumption, $E(H_{\underline{X}}(M)) \in \underline{X}^*$. Since $E(M) = E(H_{\underline{X}}(M)) \oplus K$ for some submodule K of $E(M)$, then $E(M) = H_{\underline{X}}(E(M)) + K$. Let $x \in K$ be such that $xR \in \underline{X}^*$. Since $xR \cap M \in \underline{X}^*$, then $xR \cap M \leq H_{\underline{X}}(M) \leq E(H_{\underline{X}}(M))$. Since $K \cap E(H_{\underline{X}}(M)) = 0$ then $xR \cap M = 0$ and so $xR = 0$ for all $x \in K$ with $xR \in \underline{X}^*$. Hence $H_{\underline{X}}(K) = 0$. Then $0 = H_{\underline{X}}(K) = K \cap H_{\underline{X}}(E(M))$ implies $E(M) = H_{\underline{X}}(E(M)) \oplus K$. Since $H_{\underline{X}}(E(M))$ is the largest submodule of $E(M)$ belonging to \underline{X}^* , then $K \in \underline{X}$. This completes the proof. \square

Let M be an R -module and A, L submodules of M . L is called a *supplement* of A in M if it is minimal with the property $A + L = M$. A submodule K of M is called a *supplement* (in M) if K is a supplement of some submodule of M . It is easy to check that L is a supplement of A in M if and only if $M = A + L$ and $A \cap L$ is small in L . M is called a *supplemented module* if every submodule has a supplement in M . The following lemma is in [6]. We prove for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 10. *Let M be a supplemented module. Then M has a decomposition $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ with M_1 semisimple and $RadM_2$ is essential in M_2 .*

Proof. Let M_1 be a submodule of M such that $RadM \oplus M_1$ is essential in M . Since M is supplemented, then there exists a submodule M_2 of M such that $M = M_1 + M_2$ and $M_1 \cap M_2$ is small in M_2 . Hence $M_1 \cap M_2$ is submodule of both $RadM$ and M_1 . It follows that $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$, and then $RadM = RadM_2$ is essential in M_2 . M_1 is semisimple because $M_1 \cap RadM = 0$ and M is supplemented. \square

Lemma 11. *We assume \underline{X}^* is closed under essential extensions. Then every supplemented module M has a decomposition $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$, $M_1 \in \underline{X}$, $M_2 \in \underline{X}^*$.*

Proof. Let M be a supplemented module. Then $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ with M_1 semisimple and $RadM_2$ is essential in M_2 by Lemma 10. By Lemma 5, $M_1 = N \oplus K$, $N \in \underline{X}$, $K \in \underline{X}^*$. Also $RadM_2 \in \underline{X}^*$. By hypothesis, $M_2 \in \underline{X}^*$. Then $M = N \oplus K \oplus M_2$, $N \in \underline{X}$, $K \oplus M_2 \in \underline{X}^*$. \square

Proposition 12. *Let R be a ring such that every module has a projective cover (i.e. right perfect ring). Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) \underline{X}^* is closed under essential extensions.
- (2) R satisfies $(^*)$.

Proof. We combine Lemma 8, Lemma 4.40 of [7] and Lemma 11 to prove the equivalence of (1) and (2). \square

Theorem 13. *Let R be a right hereditary ring. Then R is a right perfect and R satisfies $(^1)$ if and only if R is a right H-ring and \underline{X}^* is closed under essential extensions.*

Proof. Oshiro [8] class a ring R a right H-ring if every right R -module is a direct sum of an injective module and a small module. Now, if R is a right hereditary, right perfect ring satisfying $(^1)$ then by Lemma 2 and Theorem 3, $\underline{X}^* = \{M : Z^*(M) = M\} = \{M : M \text{ is small}\}$, and by Theorem 4 $\underline{X} = \{M : M \text{ is semisimple injective}\}$. Let M be an R -module. By hypothesis, $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ with $M_1 \in \underline{X}$ is injective and $M_2 \in \underline{X}^*$ is small. Then R is a right H-ring. By Lemma 8, we have \underline{X}^* is closed under essential extensions. Assume R is a right H-ring and \underline{X}^* is closed under essential extensions. Then R is a right perfect. By Proposition 12, every module M has a decomposition $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$, $M_1 \in \underline{X}$ and $M_2 \in \underline{X}^*$. This completes the proof. \square

Example 14. The ring of integers is a (right) hereditary ring. It is not a quasi-Frobenius ring. Let K be a field and G a finite group such that the characteristic of K divides the order of G . Then by Mascke's Theorem [10] the group ring KG is not semisimple but a quasi-Frobenius ring [2, Proposition 9.6]. Then the following lemma shows that the quasi-Frobenius ring KG is not right hereditary.

Lemma 15. *Let R be a quasi-Frobenius ring. Then R is a right hereditary if and only if R is semisimple.*

Proof. Let R be a quasi-Frobenius ring. Assume R is semisimple. Then every R -module, in particular, every right ideal of R is projective [1]. Hence R is right hereditary. Conversely, suppose that R is right hereditary. Let $x \in Z(R)$. Then xR is a projective R -module. Since $xR \cong R/r(x)$ then the essential right ideal $r(x)$ is a direct summand of R . Hence $xR = 0$. It follows that $Z(R) = 0$. Since R is a quasi-Frobenius ring, then $J(R) = Z(R)$ by [8, Theorem 4.3] and R is artinian. Hence R is semisimple. \square

Remark. Let R be a ring. Then every direct sum of small modules is small if and only if for every injective module M , $RadM$ is small in M [9]. In this case $Z^*(M) = M$ if and only if M is small. To prove this only note that $Z^*(M) = M \cap Rad(E(M))$ for any module M .

Theorem 16. *Let R be a right hereditary ring. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) R is a right perfect ring and satisfies $(^1)$,
- (2) $R/J(R)$ is semisimple and direct sum of small modules is small and R satisfies $(^1)$,
- (3) R is a quasi-Frobenius ring,
- (4) R is a semisimple ring,
- (5) R is a right self-injective ring.

Proof. (1) \implies (2) Then $R/J(R)$ is semisimple and for every injective R -module M , $RadM$ is small in M [1]. Hence direct sum of small modules is small by remark.

(2) \implies (3) Let M be an R -module. Then by (2) $M = M_1 \oplus M_2, M_1 \in \underline{X}, M_2 \in \underline{X}^*$. Since $R/J(R)$ is semisimple, then M_1 is semisimple injective by Theorem 4. Since R is a right hereditary and direct sum of small modules is small, then M_2 is small by remark. Thus R is a right H-ring. We write $R = I_1 \oplus I_2$ where $I_1 \in \underline{X}, I_2 \in \underline{X}^*$. Then I_1 is injective by Theorem 4, and $E(R) = I_1 \in E(I_2)$. Since $I_2 \in \underline{X}^*$ then $E(I_2) \in \underline{X}^*$ by Lemma 8, and so $E(I_2)$ is small. Hence $E(I_2) = 0$. It follows that $H_{\underline{X}}(R) = 0$ and R is a right self-injective. Since $J(R)$ is \underline{X}^* -submodule of M , then $J(R) = 0$. It is clear that every non-zero right ideal of R is injective and then direct summand of R . Hence $Z(R) = 0$. By [8, Theorem 4.3] R is quasi-Frobenius ring.

(3) \implies (4) By Lemma 15.

(4) \implies (5) Clear.

(5) \implies (4) Let R be a right hereditary ring. Assume R right self-injective. Let M be a non-zero R -module and $0 \neq m \in M$. Then $mR \cong R/r(m)$ is injective. Hence M contains a non-zero injective R -module. By [3, Lemma 15.10] R is a semisimple ring.

(4) \implies (1) Clear. \square

References

- [1] Anderson, F.W. and Fuller, K.R.: Rings and Categories of Modules, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1974.
- [2] Curtis, C., Reiner, I.: Methods of Representation Theory, Wiley, New York, 1981.
- [3] Dung, N.V., Huynh, D.V., Smith, P.F., Wisbauer, R.: Extending Modules, New York, 1994.
- [4] Harada, M.: Non-small modules and non-cosmall modules, In Ring Theory: Proceedings of the 1978 Antwerp Conference, F. Van Oystaeyen, ed. New York: Marcel Dekker.
- [5] Harmancı, A. and Smith, P.F.: Relative injectivity and module classes, *Comm. in Alg.*, 20(9), 2471-2501 (1992).
- [6] Keskin, D., Harmancı, A., Smith, P.F.: On \oplus -supplemented modules, preprint.
- [7] Mohamed, S.H. and Muller, B.J.: Continuous and Discrete Modules, No. 147, Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [8] Oshiro, K.: Lifting modules, extending modules and their applications to QF-rings, *Hokkaido Math. Journal*, 13, 310-338 (1984).
- [9] Rayar, M.: On small and cosmall modules, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar*, 39(4), 389-392 (1982).
- [10] Rotman, J.J.: An Introduction to Homological Algebra, Academic Press, New York, 1979.

ÖZCAN, HARMANCI

$Z^*(.)$ Yardımıyla Bazı Halkaların Karakterizasyonu

Özet

$\underline{X} = \{M : Z^*(M) = 0\}$ ve $\underline{X}^* = \{M : Q \geq M, P/Q \in \underline{X} \text{ ise } P/Q = 0 \text{ dir.}\}$ modüllerin, sınıfları olsun. Bu çalışmada bir R halkası için her R -modül M , $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$, $M_1 \in \underline{X}$ $M_2 \in \underline{X}^*$ olacak şekilde bir ayrışımına sahipse R 'nin yapısı belirleniyor.

Ayşe Çiğdem ÖZCAN, Abdullah HARMANCI
Hacettepe University,
Department of Mathematics
06532 Beytepe, Ankara-TURKEY

Received 6.2.1996