

1-1-1997

Extension and Separation of Vector Valued Functions

Zafer ERCAN

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

ERCAN, Zafer (1997) "Extension and Separation of Vector Valued Functions," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 21: No. 4, Article 4. Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol21/iss4/4>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.

EXTENSION AND SEPARATION OF VECTOR VALUED FUNCTIONS

Zafer Ercan

Abstract

It is proved that: If X is a paracompact Hausdorff space and E is a Fréchet lattice then (X, E) has the separation property. This is employed to extend some varieties of functions that are known for spaces of Banach lattice valued functions.

1. Preliminaries:

For a topological space X and a topological Riesz E , we denote by

$$C(X, E) = \{f : f \text{ is a continuous function from } X \text{ into } E\}$$

$C_0(X, E) = \{f : f \in C(X, E) \text{ and for a given neighborhood } U \text{ of } 0 \text{ in } E \text{ there exists a compact subset } K \text{ of } X \text{ such that } f(X \setminus K) \subset U\}$

$$C^0(X, E) = \{f : f \in C(X, E) \text{ and } f(X) \text{ is order bounded in } E\}$$

$$C^r(X, E) = \{f : f \in C(X, E) \text{ and } f(X) \text{ is relatively compact in } E\}.$$

For a set X , $S(X)$ will denote the non-empty subsets of X . Let X and Y be topological spaces. A map $\phi : X \rightarrow S(Y)$ is called a lower semicontinuous carrier if $\{x \in X : \phi(x) \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$ is an open subset of X for each open subset U of Y .

For a proof of the following Lemma we refer the reader to [2] or [3].

Lemma 1.1. Let X be a topological space, E a topological vector space and U be a non-empty open subset of E . Let ϕ, φ be lower semicontinuous carriers from X into $S(E)$ satisfying $\phi(x) \cap (\varphi(x) + U) \neq \emptyset$ for each $x \in X$. The map $\Phi : X \rightarrow S(E)$, defined by, $\Phi(x) = \phi(x) \cap (\varphi(x) + U)$ is also a lower semicontinuous carrier.

We shall need the following theorem which was proved in [3].

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a paracompact Hausdorff space, E a Fréchet space, and $\phi : X \rightarrow 2^E$ with each set $\phi(x)$ being a non-empty closed convex set. Suppose also that for each $\epsilon > 0$ there is a lower semicontinuous carrier $\phi_\epsilon : X \rightarrow 2^E$ with each $\phi_\epsilon(x)$ convex, and with $\phi(x) \subseteq \phi_\epsilon(x) \subseteq \phi(x) + B_\epsilon$ for each $x \in X$, where $B_\epsilon = \{e \in E, d(e, 0) < \epsilon\}$, (d denoting the metric on E). There is a continuous function $h : X \rightarrow E$ with $h(x) \in \phi(x)$ for each $x \in X$.*

For unexplained definitions we refer the reader to [1] and [5].

2. Separation Property

In this section with a suitable modifications of the definitions of upper and lower semicontinuity we will reprove the Hahn-Tong-Kakotov Theorem for Fréchet lattice valued functions defined on a paracompact Hausdorff space.

Definition 2.1 ([3]). *Let X be a topological space and E a locally solid Riesz space. A function $f : X \rightarrow E$ is called*

- (i) *upper semicontinuous if $f^{-1}(U - E_+)$ is open for each open subset U of E*
- (ii) *lower semicontinuous if $f^{-1}(U + E_+)$ is open for each open subset U of E*

It is known that a function f from a compact Hausdorff space X into a Banach lattice E is continuous if and only if it is both lower and upper semicontinuous (see [3]). The following is a generalization of that.

Proposition 2.2. *If X is any topological space and E a locally solid Riesz space then a function $f : X \rightarrow E$ is continuous if and only if it is both lower and upper semicontinuous.*

Proof. Since $U - E_+$ and $U + E_+$ are open subset of E for each open set $U \subseteq E$ it is clear that a continuous function is also lower and upper semicontinuous. Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow E$ is both lower and upper semicontinuous. Let $x_0 \in X, 0 \in V, 0 \in W$ and $W + W \subseteq U$ since

$$x_0 \in f^{-1}(f(x_0) + W - E_+) \cap f^{-1}(f(x_0) + W + E_+)$$

and

$$f(f^{-1}(f(x_0) + W - E_+)) \cap f^{-1}(f(x_0) + W + E_+) \subseteq f(x_0) + U$$

f is continuous at x_0 . Since x_0 is an arbitrary element of X , f is continuous. \square

Definition 2.3. Let X be a topological space, E a locally solid Riesz space. We shall say that the pair (X, E) has the separation property if given any upper semicontinuous function $f : X \rightarrow E$ and lower semicontinuous function $g : X \rightarrow E$ with $f(x) \leq g(x)$ for all $x \in X$ there exists a continuous function $h : X \rightarrow E$ such that $f(x) \leq h(x) \leq g(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

We now prove our main result, which generalise the Hahn-Tong-Kaketov separation theorem for Banach lattice valued functions on compact Hausdorff space [3]. See also [8] for real valued case.

Proposition 2.4: If X is a paracompact Hausdorff space and E is a Frechet lattice. Then (X, E) has the separation property.

Proof. Let $f : X \rightarrow E$ be an upper semicontinuous function and $g : X \rightarrow E$ a lower semicontinuous function with $f(x) \leq g(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Let $G : X \rightarrow 2^E$ be defined by $G(x) = (f(x) + E_+) \cap (g(x) - E_+)$. $G(x)$ is a non-empty convex set for each $x \in X$. For $\epsilon > 0$ choose an open convex solid set V_ϵ with $0 \in V_\epsilon \subseteq V_\epsilon + V_\epsilon \subseteq B_\epsilon$ where $B_\epsilon = \{e \in E : d(e, 0) < \epsilon\}$. Since for each $\epsilon > 0$ the maps $f + E_+, g - E_+ + V_\epsilon : X \rightarrow 2^E$ with $(f + E_+)(x) = f(x) + E_+, (g - E_+, V_\epsilon)(x) = g(x) - E_+ + V_\epsilon$ are lower semicontinuous carriers, the map $G_\epsilon : X \rightarrow 2^E$ defined by $G_\epsilon(x) = (f(x) + E_+ + V_\epsilon) \cap (g(x) - E_+ + V_\epsilon)$ is, by Lemma 1.1, also a lower semicontinuous carrier and its values are convex sets. If $y \in G_\epsilon(x)$ then there are $s_1, s_2 \in V_\epsilon$ such that

$$f(x) + s_1 \leq y \leq g(x) + s_2$$

If we set $s = (-s_1) \vee s_2 \vee 0$ then $|s| \leq |s_1| + |s_2| \in V_\epsilon + V_\epsilon$ so $s \in V_\epsilon + V_\epsilon$ and that

$$f(x), y - s \leq g(x), y + s.$$

If we set $u = f(x) \vee (y-s)$, it follows from $f(x), y-s \leq u \leq g(x), y+s$ that $u \in G(\epsilon)$. Since $|u-y| \leq |s| \leq |s_1| + |s_2| \epsilon V_\epsilon + V_\epsilon$, $u - y \in V_\epsilon + V_\epsilon$ $y = u - (u-y) \in G(x) + V_\epsilon + V_\epsilon \subseteq G(x) + B_\epsilon$. We have now established that $G(x) \subseteq G_\epsilon(x) \subseteq G(x) + B_\epsilon$, so we may apply Theorem 1.2 to find a continuous selection $h : X \rightarrow E$ to G which will satisfy our requirements, i.e., $f(x) \leq h(x) \leq g(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Hence (X, E) has the separation property. \square

We do not know whether the paracompactness of X can be replaced with normality of X in the above proposition. It is affirmative if $E = \mathbb{R}$.

3. Extention of Functions

The following theorem is a generalization of Tietze's extension Theorem.

Proposition 3.1: *Let A be a closed subset of a topological space X and E a locally solid Riesz space such that (X, E) has the separation property. If $f : X \rightarrow E$ is an upper semicontinuous function, $g : X \rightarrow E$ is a lower semicontinuous function and $h : A \rightarrow E$ a continuous function with $f(x) \leq g(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and $f(y) \leq h(y) \leq g(y)$ for all $y \in A$. Then there is a continuous extension of h to $\bar{h} : X \rightarrow E$ such that $f(x) \leq \bar{h}(x) \leq g(x)$ for all $x \in X$.*

Proof. Define $f', g' : X \rightarrow E$ by

$$f'(x) = \begin{cases} -f(x) & \text{if } x \in X \setminus A \\ -h(x) & \text{if } x \in A \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad g'(x) = \begin{cases} g(x) & \text{if } x \in X \setminus A \\ h(x) & \text{if } x \in A \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that $-f', g'$ are lower semicontinuous functions, so $-f'$ is upper semicontinuous and $(-f')(x) \leq g'(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Since (X, E) has the separation property there exists a continuous function $\bar{h} : X \rightarrow E$ such that $(-f')(x) \leq \bar{h}(x) \leq g'(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Obviously \bar{h} is an extension of h and $f(x) \leq \bar{h}(x) \leq g(x)$ for all $x \in X$. \square

Another class of extension results are of importance in the study of $C(K)$ spaces from an order theoretic viewpoint. These are those which involve the continuous extension of functions defined on open subsets of spaces with some strong disconnectedness property.

For real case the proof of the following theorem can be found in [9].

Theorem 3.2. *Let X be a nondiscrete locally compact extremally disconnected Hausdorff space, E a Fréchet lattice. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) E has compact order intervals.
- (ii) $C_0(X, E)$ is Dedekind complete.
- (iii) If U is an open subset of X , $f \in C(U, E)$ and $g, h \in C_0(X, E)$ with $h(x) \leq f(x) \leq g(x)$ for all $x \in U$ then f has a continuous extension $\bar{f} \in C_0(X, E)$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) $C_0(X)$ is an ideal in $C(\beta X)$ where βX is the stone-Cech compactification of X . Since $C(\beta X)$ is Dedekind complete $C_0(X)$ is also Dedekind complete. Hence Theorem 3.2.6. of [2] implies that $C_0(X, E)$ is Dedekind complete.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) We may suppose that $0 \leq f$ in $C(U, E)$ and $0 \leq g$ in $C_0(X, E)$. The set $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathcal{B} \leq S(U) : \mathcal{B} \text{ is a family of disjoint open-closed subsets of } U\}$ is partially ordered under the ordering $\mathcal{B}_1 \leq \mathcal{B}_2 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{B}_2$. Clearly $\{\emptyset\} \in \mathcal{A}$ and every chain in \mathcal{A} has an upper bound, so by Zorn's lemma \mathcal{A} has a maximal element, say $(W_\gamma)_{\gamma \in I}$ and for each $\gamma \in I$ define

$$f_\gamma(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } x \in W_\gamma \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin W_\gamma \end{cases}$$

then $\{f_\gamma : \gamma \in I\}$ is a subset of $C_0(X, E)$ which is bounded above by g . By (ii), $\sup_{\gamma \in I} f_\gamma = \bar{f}$ exists in $C_0(X, E)$. Note that $U \subset \overline{\bigcup_{\gamma \in I} W_\gamma}$.

Let $\gamma \in I$ be fixed. If $x_0 \in W_\gamma$ then $f(x_0) = f_\gamma(x_0) \leq \bar{f}(x_0)$. We wish to show $f(x_0) = \bar{f}(x_0)$. Suppose $f(x_0) < \bar{f}(x_0)$. Let $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}d(\bar{f}(x_0), f(x_0))$ and $A = \{x \in X : \alpha < d(f(x), \bar{f}(x))\} \cap W_\gamma$ which is open. Choose an open set V with $x_0 \in V \leq \bar{V} \leq A \subseteq W_\gamma$ and define

$$f'(x) = \begin{cases} f(x), & \text{if } x \in \bar{V} \\ \bar{f}(x), & \text{if } x \notin \bar{V} \end{cases}$$

f' is an upper bound of the set $\{f_\gamma : \gamma \in I\}$ so we must have $\bar{f} \leq f'$. Since $\bar{f}(x_0) \leq f'(x_0) = f(x_0) < \bar{f}(x_0)$, the assumption implies a contradiction. Hence $f|_{W_\gamma} = \bar{f}|_{W_\gamma}$ for all $\gamma \in I$. If $x \in U$ then there is a net $\{x_\gamma\}$ in $\bigcup_{\gamma \in I} W_\gamma$ such that

$x_\gamma \rightarrow x$. Since U is open we may suppose that $x_\gamma \in U$ for all γ , so $\bar{f}(x_\gamma) \rightarrow \bar{f}(x)$ and $\bar{f}(x_\gamma) = f(x_\gamma) \rightarrow f(x)$ which shows that \bar{f} is a continuous extension of f in $C_0(X, E)$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) If (i) does not hold then choose $e \in E_+$ such that the order interval $[0, e]$ is not compact and let $\{e_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\} \subseteq [0, e]$ not be totally bounded. Since X is nondiscrete there exists a compact subset A of X and sequence $\{U_n\}$ of disjoint non-empty open and closed sets U_n with $U_n \subset A$ for all n . Define f on $U = \cup_n U_n$ by $f(x) = e_n$ if $x \in U_n$ and define $g : X \rightarrow E$ by $g(x) = \begin{cases} e, & \text{if } x \in \bar{U} \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin \bar{U} \end{cases}$ Then $0 \leq g$ and $0 \leq f(x) \leq g(x)$ for all $x \in U$. By (iii) f has a continuous extension $\bar{f} \in C_0(X, E)$. Since, $\{e_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq f(A) = \bar{f}(A) \subseteq \bar{f}(\bar{A})$ it implies that $\{e_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is totally bounded. This contradiction shows that (iii) \Rightarrow (i), completing the proof \square

Similar argument yield the following theorem. We note that for the real case the proof can be found in [9]. See also [3] for Banach lattice case.

Theorem 3.3. *Let X be a non-discrete, locally compact, quasi-extremally disconnected Hausdorff space, E a Fréchet lattice such that (X, E) has the separation property. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) E has compact order intervals.
- (ii) $C_0(X, E)$ is Dedekind σ -complete
- (iii) if U is an open F_σ subset of X , $f \in C(U, E)$ and $g, h \in C_0(X, E)$ such that $h(x) \leq f(x) \leq g(x)$ for all $x \in U$ then f has a continuous extension $f^\sim \in C_0(X, E)$.

We do not know whether the condition (X, E) has the separation property is dispensable in the above theorem. The answer is affirmative if X is extremally disconnected by Theorem 3.2.

We shall say that a topological space is non-anticompact if there is no infinity compact subset of X .

Theorem 3.4. *Let X be a non-anticompact completely regular, extremally disconnected, Hausdorff space and E a Fréchet lattice. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

ERCAN

- (i) E has compact order intervals.
- (ii) $C^0(X, E)$ is Dedekind complete.
- (iii) $C^r(X, E)$ is Dedekind complete.
- (iv) If U is an open subset of X , $f \in C^r(U, E)$, $g, h \in C^r(X, E)$ such that $h(x) \leq f(x) \leq g(x)$ for all $x \in U$ then f has a continuous extension $f^\sim \in C^r(X, E)$.
- (v) If U is an open subset of X , $f \in C^0(U, E)$, $g, h \in C^0(X, E)$ such that $h(x) \leq f(x) \leq g(x)$ for all $x \in U$ then f has a continuous extension $f^\sim \in C^0(X, E)$.

Proof. We refer [4] for equivalence of (i) to (iii). Rest of the proof is very similar to the proof of Theorem 3.2. □

References

- [1] C.D.Aliprantis and O.Burkinshaew, *Locally solid Riesz spaces*, Academic Press, New York-San Francisco-London, 1978.
- [2] Z.Ercan, Riesz spaces of Riesz space valued functions, Ph.D. thesis, The Queens University of Belfast, 1993.
- [3] Z.Ercan and A.W.Wickstead, Banach lattices of continuous Banach lattice-valued functions, *J.Math.Anal. and App.*, 121-136, 1996.
- [4] Z.Ercan, A characterization of Dedekind α -completeness of two Riesz subspaces of $C(X, E)$, *VII. Ulusal Matematik Sempozyumu, Bilkent Üniversitesi*, 122-124, 1994.
- [5] L.Gillman and M.Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, *Graduate texts in Math*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1976.
- [6] M.Kaketov, On real-valued functions in topological spaces, *Fund.Math.*, **(38)** 1951, 85-91.
- [7] E.M.Michael, Continuous selections 1, *Ann.Math.* **(63)**, 1959, 361-382.
- [8] H.Tong, Some characterizations of normal and perfectly normal spaces, *Duke Math.* **(19)**, 1952, 289-292.

ERCAN

- [9] H.H.Schafer, *Banach lattices and positive operators*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1974.

Zafer ERCAN
Middle East Technical University,
Department of Mathematics,
06531 Ankara-TURKEY

Received 13.06.1996