

1-1-1998

On the Action of Steenrod Operations on Polynomial Algebras

İ. KARACA

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

KARACA, İ. (1998) "On the Action of Steenrod Operations on Polynomial Algebras," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 22: No. 2, Article 4. Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol22/iss2/4>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.

ON THE ACTION OF STEENROD OPERATIONS ON POLYNOMIAL ALGEBRAS

İsmet Karaca

Abstract

Let \mathbb{A} be the mod- p Steenrod Algebra. Let p be an odd prime number and $Z_p = \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$. Let $P_s = Z_p[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_1]$. A polynomial $N \in P_s$ is said to be hit if it is in the image of the action $A \otimes P_s \rightarrow P_s$. In [10] for $p = 2$, Wood showed that if $\alpha(d + s) > s$ then every polynomial of degree d in P_s is hit where $\alpha(d + s)$ denotes the number of ones in the binary expansion of $d + s$. Latter in [6] Monks extended a result of Wood to determine a new family of hit polynomials in P_s . In this paper we are interested in determining the image of the action $A \otimes P_s \rightarrow P_s$. So our results which determine a new family of hit polynomials in P_s for odd prime numbers generalize cononical antiautoomorphism of formulas of Davis [2], Gallant [3] and Monks [6].

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} be a mod- p Steenrod algebra. Let p be an odd prime number and $\mathbf{Z}_p = \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$. Let $P_s = \mathbf{Z}_p[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s]$. A polynomial $N \in P_s$ is said to be **hit** if it is in the image of the action $A \otimes P_s \rightarrow P_s$, i.e. $N \in AP_s$ where A is the augmentation ideal of \mathcal{A} , i.e. $N = \sum_i P^i M_i$ for some $M_i \in P_s$.

We are interested in determining the image of the aciton $A \otimes P_s \rightarrow P_s$: the space of elements in P_s that are hit by positive dimensional Steenrod operations. In [10], when $p = 2$ Wood showed that if $\alpha(d + s) > s$ then every polynomial of degree d in P_s is hit where $\alpha(d + s)$ denotes the number of ones in the binary expansion of $d + s$. In [9] Singer generalized Wood's result conjectured by Peterson and identified a larger class of hit polynomials. In [8] Silverman generalized a result of Wood and proved a conjecture of Singer. In [6] Monks extended a result of Wood to determine a new family of hit polynomials in P_s .

In order to state our result we need to introduce some notation. For $m \geq 0$ and $t \geq 1$,

$$\gamma_t(m) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} p^{it}, \quad (1)$$

where $\gamma_t(0) = 0$. A sequence of nonnegative integers $L = (l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n)$ is called a t -decomposition of a positive integer m if $m = \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_t(l_i)$. We define $\mu_t(m)$ to be the number of terms in the shortest t -decomposition of m , i.e.

$$\mu_t(m) = \min\{n \mid m = \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_t(l_i)\}. \quad (2)$$

The following results are odd-primary analogues of results of Monks [6].

Theorem 1.1. *Let H and K be polynomials of degree $2h$, $2k$ respectively. If $h < \mu_t(k)$, then $H P^{p^t}$ is hit.*

Let $P_t(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m)$ be the Milnor basis element $P(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{tm})$ where $s_{ti} = r_i$ and $s_j = 0$ if t does not divide j . In particular $P_t(p^s) = P_t^s$ and $P_1(n) = P(n)$.

If $R = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m)$ is a sequence of nonnegative integers, we will write $P_t(R)$ for the corresponding Milnor basis element. The degree of $P_t(R)$ is $2|R|_t$ where $|R|_t = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (p^{it} - 1)r_i$ and the excess of $P_t(R)$ is $2e(R)$ where $e(R) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} r_i$. For a fixed t let B_t be the vector subspace of A with basis the set of all $P_t(R)$. For $P_t^s \in B_t$ write \widehat{T}_t^s for $(-1)^s \chi(P_t^s)$ where χ denotes the canonical antiautomorphism of B_t .

Theorem 1.2. *For $s, t \geq 1, 0 \leq k < s$, and $k \leq t$,*

$$\widehat{P}_t(p^s - p^k) = P_t((p-1)p^{s-1})P_t((p-1)p^{s-2}) \cdots P_t((p-1)p^k) \quad (3)$$

2. Some Tools

In this section we list some properties of the Steenrod algebra we need to prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2

Lemma 2.1. *For $m \geq 0$,*

$$\mu_t(m) = \min\{e(P_t(R))\}.$$

Proof. These is a 1-1 correspondence between Milnor basis elements $P_t(R)$ satisfying $|R|_t = (p^t - 1)$ and t -representations of m given by

$$P_t(R) \longleftrightarrow m = \sum_i r_i \gamma_t(i).$$

Under this correspondence, $e(P_t(R))$ corresponds to the number $\sum_i r_i$ which is used in determining $\mu_t(m)$. The lemma follows immediately from this observation. \square

Following lemma is analoguos to [6, Lemma 2.1].

Lemma 2.2. For all $t, m \geq 1$, $\mu_t(m) \leq \frac{p^t-1}{p-1}\mu_1(m)$.

Proof. There exists positive integers $l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{\mu_1(m)}$ that such

$$m = \sum_{i=1}^{\mu_1(m)} \gamma_1(l_i). \tag{4}$$

For each l_i let $l_i = tq_i + r_i$ where q_i and r_i are non-negative integers and $x \leq r_i < t$.

$$\begin{aligned} m &= \sum_{i=1}^{\mu_1(m)} \gamma_1(l_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\mu_1(m)} \gamma_1(tq_i + r_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\mu_1(m)} \sum_{j=1}^{tq_i+r_i-1} p^j = \sum_{i=1}^{\mu_1(m)} q^{tq_i+r_i} - 1 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\mu_1(m)} \frac{p^t - 1}{(p^t - 1)(p - 1)} (q^{tq_i+r_i} - 1) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\mu_1(m)} \left[\frac{p^t - p^{r_i}}{p - 1} \frac{p^{tq_i} - 1}{p^t - 1} + \frac{p^{r_i} - 1}{p - 1} \frac{p^{t(q_i+1)} - 1}{p^t - 1} \right] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{p^t-p^{r_i}}{p-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{\mu_1(m)} \gamma_t(q_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{p^{r_i}-1}{p-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{\mu_1(m)} \gamma_t(q_i + 1) \end{aligned}$$

This yields a t -decomposition of m with $\frac{p^t-1}{p-1}\mu_1(m)$ terms. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.3. If $m \leq p^t$ then $\mu_t(m) = m$.

Proof. Let $m \leq p^t$. Then $m \leq p^t < p^t + 1 = \gamma_t(2)$. The only possible t -decomposition of m is a sequence of m ones because γ_t is strictly increasing \square

Let $L = (l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n)$ be any sequence of nonnegative integers. Define

$$|L| = \sum_{i=1}^n l_i \tag{5}$$

$$\nu(L) = \max_i l_i \tag{6}$$

and

$$Y_t(L) = \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_t(l_i). \quad (7)$$

Suppose that $l_1 \geq l_2 \geq \dots \geq l_n$ and that $|L| \geq 1$. For this sequence, we can define

$$\delta(L) = (l'_1, l'_2, \dots, l'_n), \quad (8)$$

where

$$l'_i = \begin{cases} l_i - 1 & \text{if } l_i = l_1 \text{ and } (l_{i+1} \neq l_1 \text{ or } i = n) \\ l_i & \text{if otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} l'_1 &\geq l'_2 \geq \dots \geq l'_n \\ |\delta(L)| &= |L| - 1 \\ \nu(\delta(L)) &\geq \nu(L) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$Y_t(\delta(L)) = Y_t(L) - p^{t(\nu(L)-1)}.$$

We can define δ^r to be the r -fold composition of δ with itself (δ^0 is the identity function) for $0 \leq r \leq |L|$. Let $F_L = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{|L|})$ be the sequence given by

$$f_i = Y_t(\delta^{i-1}(L)) - Y_t(\delta^i(L)). \quad (9)$$

Since $\delta^{|L|}(L) = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $Y_t(\delta^{|L|}(L)) = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |F_L| &= \sum_{i=1}^{|L|} [Y_t(\delta^{i-1}(L)) - Y_t(\delta^i(L))] = Y_t(\delta^0(L)) - Y_t(\delta^{|L|}(L)) \\ &= Y_t(L) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Lemma 2.4. *If $m < (p-1)p^s$, then $\mu_t(m) \leq \mu_t(m + (p-1)p^s)$.*

Proof. Assume that $L = (l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n)$ is a t -decomposition of $m + (p-1)p^s$. Without loss of generality we can also assume that $l_1 \geq l_2 \geq \dots \geq l_n$. By definition we have $Y_t(L) = m + (p-1)p^s$, and so by (10)

$$\sum_{i=0}^{|L|} f_i = m + (p-1)p^s.$$

So F_K is a non-increasing sequence whose power is $m + (p-1)p^s$. Hence we need following lemma: \square

Lemma 2.5. *If $(p-1)p^b \leq a < p^{b+1}$, $\sum_{i=1}^r p^{x_i} = a$, and $p^{x_1} \geq p^{x_2} \geq \dots \geq p^{x_r}$ then there is a $q \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^q p^{x_i} = (p-1)p^b$.*

Proof. If $a = (p-1)p^b$ then we can take $q = r$ and we are done. Assume that $(p-1)p^b < a$. Since $p^{b+1} > a$, we have $p^b \geq p^{x_1} \geq p^{x_2} \dots \geq p^{x_{q+1}}$. Let q be the largest integer such that $\sum_{i=1}^q p^{x_i} \leq (p-1)p^b$. Then $(p-1)p^b - \sum_{i=1}^q p^{x_i} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{x_{q+1}}}$ and $(p-1)p^b < \sum_{i=1}^{q+1} p^{x_i}$ and hence $\sum_{i=1}^q p^{x_i} = (p-1)p^b$. \square

For Lemma 2.5 there exists $q \in \{1, \dots, |L|\}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^q f_i = (p-1)p^s$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^q [Y_t(\delta^{i-1}(L)) - Y_t(\delta^i(L))] &= Y_t(L) + Y_T(\delta^q(L)) \\ &= m + (p-1)p^s - Y_t(\delta_q(L)) = (p-1)p^s \end{aligned}$$

and hence $Y_t(\delta^q(L)) = m$. Therefore $\mu_t(m) \leq \mu_t(m + (p-1)p^s)$ \square

Using this result we can prove the following lemma:

Lemma 2.6. *$\mu_t(p^s - p^k) \geq (p-1)p^k$ where s, t , and k are any integers such that $s, t \geq 1, 0 \leq k < s$, and $k < t$.*

Proof. We will prove this by induction on s . If $s = k + 1$ then $\mu_t(p^s - p^k) = \mu_t((p-1)p^k) = (p-1)p^k$ by Lemma 2.3. Assume that it is true for $s-1$. Then by Lemma 2.4, $\mu_t(p^s - p^k) = \mu_t((p-1)p^{s-1} + p^{s-1} + p^k) \geq \mu_t(p^{s-1} - p^k)$. By inductive hypothesis, $\mu_t(p^{s-1} - p^k) \geq (p-1)p^k$. Hence $\mu_t(p^s - p^k) \geq (p-1)p^k$. \square

The Proof of the Main results

The key idea in Wood's argument is that for any $u, w \in P_s$ and any $\theta \in \mathcal{A}$, we have $u \cdot \theta w \equiv \tilde{\theta}u \cdot m$ module hit elements. In particular if $e(\hat{\theta}) > \deg(u)$, then $u \cdot \theta w$ is hit. Using this We will prove Theorem 1.1. We accomplish this with the aid of the following lemma.

Lemma 3.7. *If $N \in P_s$ is any element of degree $2k$, then for any $t \geq 1$,*

$$P_t(k) \cdot N = N^{p^t} \quad (11)$$

Proof. We will prove this by induction on the number of variables in N . Suppose

$$N = q_{i_1}^{h_1} x_{i_2}^{h_2} \cdots x_{i_n}^{h_n}$$

Let $n = 1$. Then

$$P_t(k)x^k = (X^k)p^t. \quad (12)$$

So the result holds for $n = 1$. Assume that the result holds for all monomials comprised of less than n variables. Let $N_1 = x_{i_1}^{h_1} x_{i_2}^{h_2} \cdots x_{i_{n-1}}^{h_{n-1}}$ so that $N = N_1 x_{i_n}^{h_n}$. Let $\psi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ be the diagonal map of \mathcal{A} . Then $\psi(P_t(k)) = \sum_{i=0}^k P_t(k-i) \otimes P_t(i)$. So

$$\begin{aligned} P_k(k) \cdot N &= \sum_{i=0}^k P_t(k-i) N_1 \cdot P_t(i) x_{i_n}^{h_n} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{h_n-1} P_t(k-i) N_1 \cdot P_t(i) x_{i_n}^{h_n} + P_t(k-h_n) N_1 \cdot P_t(h_n) x_{i_n}^{h_n} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=h_n+1}^k P_t(k-i) N_1 \cdot P_t(i) x_{i_n}^{h_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $e(P_t(k-i)) > \frac{1}{2} \deg(N_1)$, $\sum_{i=0}^{h_n-1} P_t(k-i) N_1 \cdot P_t(i) x_{i_n}^{h_n} = 0$. Similarly $\sum_{i=h_n+1}^k P_t(k-i) N_1 \cdot P_t(i) x_{i_n}^{h_n} = 0$ because $e(P_t(i)) > \frac{1}{2} \deg(x_{i_n}^{h_n})$. By induction, we have

$$P_t(k) \cdot N = P_t(h_n - i) N_1 \cdot P_t(i) x_{i_n}^{h_n} = N_1^{p^t} (x_{i_n}^{h_n}) p^t.$$

Hence we obtain $P_t(k) \cdot N = N^{p^t}$. □

Wood's argument shows that $HK^{p^t} \equiv \widehat{P}_t(k)H \cdot K$ module hit elements. Hence if $e(\widehat{P}_t(k)) > h$, then $\widehat{P}_t(k)H = 0$ and hence HK^{p^t} is hit. Therefore it remains to show that $e(\widehat{P}_t(k)) = \mu_t(k)$. The following lemma was proved by Gallant [3, Proposition 1].

Lemma 3.8.

$$\widehat{P}_t(k) = \sum_R P_t(R),$$

where $|R|_t = (p^t - 1)k$.

By Lemma 2.1, $\mu_t(k)$ is exactly the minimum excess of the element $P_t(R)$ where $|R|_t = (p^t - 1)k$. On the other hand, $\widehat{P}_t(k)$ is the summand of all $P_t(R)$ where $|R|_t = (p^t - 1)k$. By Lemma 3.8. Hence $e(\widehat{P}_t(k)) = \mu_t(k)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We will prove this by induction on s . Suppose that $s = k + 1$. Then since for $k < t$ the only nonzero element $P_t(R)$ of B_t with $|R|_t = (p^t - 1)(p - 1) \cdot p^k$ is $P((p - 1)p^k)$, $\widehat{P}_t(p^s - p^k) = \widehat{P}_t((p - 1)p^k) = P_t((p - 1) \cdot p^k)$. This proves theorem for $s = k + 1$.

Assume that it is true for $s - 1$. Using induction hypothesis and [3, Corollary 1.a], we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_t((p - 1)p^{s-1})P_t((p - 1)p^{s-2}) \cdot P_t((p - 1)p^k) &= P_t((p - 1)p^{s-1})\widehat{P}_t(p^{s-1} - p^k) \\ &= \sum_R \binom{\sum_i p^{it}r_i}{(p - 1)p^{t+s-1}} P_t(R). \end{aligned}$$

where the sum is taken over all R such that $|R|_t = (p^t - 1)(p^s - p^k)$. Since $\widehat{P}_t(p^s - p^k)$ is the sum of all $P_t(R)$ where $|R|_t = (p^t - 1)(p^s - p^k)$, it is sufficient to show that

$$\binom{\sum_i p^{it}r_i}{(p - 1)p^{t+s-1}} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

By Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.6, $\sum_i r_i \geq \mu_t(p^s - p^k) \geq (p - 1)p^k$. For $s > k$ and $t \geq 1$ we have

$$(p - 1)(p^k - p^{s+t-1}) + (p^t - 1)(p^s - p^k) = (p^s - p^{k+1})(p^{t-1} - 1) \geq 0.$$

Hence

$$\sum_i p^{it}r_i = \sum_i (p^{it} - 1)r_i + \sum_i r_i \geq (p^t - 1)(p^s - p^k) + (p - 1)p^k \geq (p - 1)p^{s+t-1}$$

On the other hand,

$$(p^t - 1) \sum_i r_i \leq \sum_i (p^{it} - 1)r_i = (p^t - 1)(p^s - p^k).$$

So $\sum_i r_i \leq p^s - p^k$. Using this inequality, we have

$$\sum_i p^{it} r_i = \sum_{\substack{i \\ \leq p^{s+t}}} (p^{it} - 1)r_i + \sum_i r_i \leq (p^t - 1)(p^s - p^k) + p^s - p^k$$

Hence $\left(\frac{\sum_i p^{it} r_i}{(p-1)p^{t+s-1}} \right) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ by Lucas's theorem [4]. This completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] E.H. Brown, D.M. Davis, and F.P. Peterson, *The Homology of BO and Some Results About Steenrod Algebra*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **81** (1977), 393-398.
- [2] D.M. Davis, *The Antiautomorphism of the Steenrod Algebra*, Proc. A.M.S. **44** (1974), 235-236.
- [3] A.M. Gallant, *Excess and Conjugation in the Steenrod Algebra*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **76**, (1979), 161-166.
- [4] E. Local, *Théorie des Fonctions Numériques Simplement Périodiques*, American J. Math. **1** (1978), 184-240, 289-321.
- [5] J. Milnor, *The Steenrod Algebra and its Dual*, Ann. of Math. **67** (1958), 150-171.
- [6] K.G. Monks, *Polynomial Modules Over the Steenrod Algebra*, Preprint (1993).
- [7] F.P. Peterson, *A-generators for Certain Polynomial Algebras*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **105** (1989), 311-312.
- [8] J.H. Silverman, *Hit Polynomials and The Canonical Antiautomorphism of the Steenrod Algebra*, Preprint (1993).
- [9] R.M.W. Wood, *Steenrod Squares of Polynomials and the Peterson Conjecture*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **105** (1989), 307-309.

İsmet KARACA
Lehigh University,
Bethlenem, PA 18015-USA

Received 19.11.1996