

1-1-1999

Alternative Polynomial and Holomorphic Dunford-Pettis Properties

WALDEN FREEDMAN

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

FREEDMAN, WALDEN (1999) "Alternative Polynomial and Holomorphic Dunford-Pettis Properties," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 23: No. 3, Article 6. Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol23/iss3/6>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.

ALTERNATIVE POLYNOMIAL AND HOLOMORPHIC DUNFORD-PETTIS PROPERTIES*

Walden Freedman

Abstract

Alternatives to the Polynomial Dunford-Pettis property and the Holomorphic Dunford-Pettis property, called the PDP1 and HDP1 properties, respectively, are introduced. These are shown to be equivalent to the DP1 property, an alternative Dunford-Pettis property previously introduced by the author, thus mirroring the equivalence of the three original properties.

Introduction

In [4], R. Ryan proved that the Dunford-Pettis property, the Polynomial Dunford-Pettis property, and the Holomorphic Dunford-Pettis property are all equivalent. In [1], a property closely related to the Dunford-Pettis property, called the DP1 property, is introduced and defined as follows:

A Banach space X has the DP1 property if for any Banach space Y and any weakly compact linear operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$, if $x_n \rightarrow x$ weakly in X with $\|x_n\| = \|x\| = 1$ for all n , then $Tx_n \rightarrow Tx$ in norm in Y .

We will consider two alternative properties, the PDP1 property and the HDP1 property, in the same spirit as [4], and show that like the original properties, DP1, PDP1 and HDP1 are all equivalent. Some applications to Banach algebras are also given.

Notation and Background

Throughout the paper, X and Y will denote Banach spaces over the field of complex numbers. We identify X with its image in X^{**} under its canonical embedding in X^{**} . The Banach space of all bounded linear operators from X to Y will be denoted $\mathcal{L}(X; Y)$. Given $x_0 \in X$ and $r > 0$, the open and closed balls centered at x_0 with radius r will be denoted $\Delta(x_0, r)$ and $\overline{\Delta(x_0, r)}$, respectively. By the term ‘operator’, we will always

*This paper is a part of the author’s doctoral dissertation at the University of California, Santa Barbara.

mean a bounded linear operator. Given $f \in X$ and $a \in X^*$ we often write $f(a)$ or $\langle f, a \rangle$ for the evaluation of a on f . The Banach space of all sequences in Y that are norm convergent to 0 will be denoted by $c_0(Y)$, and $c(Y)$ will denote the Banach space of all convergent sequences in Y . For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\pi_n : c(Y) \rightarrow Y$ be the n th coordinate map, i.e., $\pi_n(y_j) = y_n$. If $T : X \rightarrow c(Y)$, the composition $\pi_n T$ will be denoted by T_n . Let $\pi : c(Y) \rightarrow Y$ be the operator defined by $\pi(y_n) = \lim_n y_n$, and let $\iota : Y \rightarrow c(Y)$ be the operator defined by $\iota(y) = (y, y, \dots)$.

Recall that a Banach space X is said to have the Dunford-Pettis Property (DP) if for any Banach space Y , every weakly compact operator from X to Y maps weakly convergent sequences to norm convergent sequences.

The PDP1 property

Recall the following standard result regarding weakly compact operators: An operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is weakly compact if and only if $T^{**}(X^{**}) \subseteq Y$. The following lemma gives a useful characterization of weakly compact operators $T : X \rightarrow c_0(Y)$.

Lemma ([4, Lemma 1.2]) $T : X \rightarrow c_0(Y)$ is weakly compact if and only if

- (a) For all n , $T_n : X \rightarrow Y$ is weakly compact, and
- (b) For all $g \in X^{**}$, one has $\lim_n \|T_n^{**}g\| = 0$.

We use this result to prove the following lemma which characterizes weakly compact operators $T : X \rightarrow c(Y)$.

Lemma 1.1 Let $T : X \rightarrow c(Y)$. Then T is weakly compact if and only if

- (a) For all n , $T_n : X \rightarrow Y$ is weakly compact, and
- (b) For all $g \in X^{**}$, one has $\lim_n \|(T_n^{**} - \pi^{**}T^{**})g\| = 0$.

Proof. If T is weakly compact, then clearly T_n and $T - \iota\pi T : X \rightarrow c_0(Y)$ are weakly compact. Since $\pi_n(T - \iota\pi T) = T_n - \pi T$, the lemma above implies that $\|(T_n^{**} - \pi^{**}T^{**})g\| \rightarrow 0$ for all $g \in X^{**}$.

For the converse, suppose that (a) and (b) hold. It follows from (a) that for all $g \in X^{**}$, we have $T_n^{**}g \in Y$, and so by (b) we have that $\pi^{**}T^{**}g \in Y$ as well. It follows that πT is weakly compact and hence $T_n - \pi T$ is weakly compact for all n . The previous

lemma then implies that $T - \iota\pi T$ is weakly compact, and hence T is weakly compact as well. \square

We now state and prove the main result.

Theorem 1.2 Assume that X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k are Banach spaces with the DP1 property. Let $(x_n^i)_{n=1}^\infty$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ be weakly convergent norm-one sequences in X_i with norm-one limits $x_i \in X_i$, and set $X = X_1 \widehat{\otimes} X_2 \widehat{\otimes} \dots \widehat{\otimes} X_k$. For any Banach space Y , if $T \in \mathcal{L}(X; Y)$ is weakly compact then the sequence

$$(T(x_n^1 \otimes x_n^2 \otimes \dots \otimes x_n^k))_{n=1}^\infty$$

is norm convergent to $T(x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \dots \otimes x_k)$ in Y .

Proof. Suppose that X_i has DP1 for each $1 \leq i \leq k$. We use a technique similar to that used in [3] and [4], proceeding by induction on k , the case of $k = 1$ holding by definition of DP1. Assume the statement is true for k ; suppose that X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{k+1} are Banach spaces having DP1; let $X = X_1 \widehat{\otimes} X_2 \widehat{\otimes} \dots \widehat{\otimes} X_{k+1}$, and $W = X_1 \widehat{\otimes} X_2 \widehat{\otimes} \dots \widehat{\otimes} X_k$; suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(X; Y)$ is weakly compact, and that for all $1 \leq i \leq k+1$, (x_n^i) is a weakly convergent norm-one sequence in X_i with norm-one limit $x_i \in X_i$.

It is easy to see that for fixed $z_i \in X_i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, the operator

$$x \mapsto T(z_1 \otimes z_2 \otimes \dots \otimes z_k \otimes x)$$

which maps X_{k+1} to Y is weakly compact since T is weakly compact, and so since X_{k+1} has DP1, the sequence

$$(T(z_1 \otimes \dots \otimes z_k \otimes x_n^{k+1}))_n$$

is norm convergent in Y to $T(z_1 \otimes \dots \otimes z_k \otimes x_{k+1})$. We can thus define an operator $t : W \rightarrow c(Y)$ by setting

$$t(z_1 \otimes \dots \otimes z_k) = (T(z_1 \otimes \dots \otimes z_k \otimes x_n^{k+1}))_n,$$

and extending linearly. Assuming t is weakly compact, it then follows from the induction hypothesis that the sequence

$$(t(x_i^1 \otimes x_i^2 \otimes \dots \otimes x_i^k))_{i=1}^\infty = ((T(x_i^1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_i^k \otimes x_n^{k+1}))_n)_{i=1}^\infty$$

is norm convergent to $(T(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_k \otimes x_n^{k+1}))_{n=1}^\infty \in c(Y)$. It follows easily that the sequence of “diagonal” elements

$$(T(x_n^1 \otimes x_n^2 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n^{k+1}))_{n=1}^\infty$$

of the above sequence is norm convergent to $T(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{k+1})$ in Y , which then completes the proof.

Now, since $t_n(z_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes z_k) = T(z_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes z_k \otimes x_n^{k+1})$, and T is weakly compact, it is easy to see that t_n is weakly compact.

Given $S \in X^*$, and $x \in X_{k+1}$, define $S' : X_{k+1} \rightarrow W^*$ by

$$S'(x)(z_1 \otimes z_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes z_k) = S(z_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes z_k \otimes x).$$

For any $\phi \in W^{**}$, define $\tilde{\phi} : X_{k+1} \rightarrow X^{**}$ by

$$\langle S, \tilde{\phi}(x) \rangle = \langle S'(x), \phi \rangle, \text{ for all } x \in X_{k+1}, S \in W^*.$$

For all $z_i \in X_i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, and $\psi \in Y^*$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} T^*(\psi)'(x_n^{k+1})(z_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes z_k) &= T^*(\psi)(z_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes z_k \otimes x_n^{k+1}) \\ &= \psi(T(z_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes z_k \otimes x_n^{k+1})) \\ &= \psi(t_n(z_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes z_k)) \\ &= t_n^*(\psi)(z_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes z_k) \end{aligned}$$

so that $T^*(\psi)'(x_n^{k+1}) = t_n^*(\psi)$.

It follows that for all $\phi \in W^{**}$, and $\psi \in Y^*$, we have

$$\langle \psi, t_n^{**}(\phi) \rangle = \langle t_n^*(\psi), \phi \rangle = \langle T^*(\psi), \tilde{\phi}(x_n^{k+1}) \rangle = \langle \psi, T^{**}(\tilde{\phi}(x_n^{k+1})) \rangle,$$

and hence for all n we have

$$t_n^{**}(\phi) = T^{**}(\tilde{\phi}(x_n^{k+1})) \in Y^{**}.$$

As T is weakly compact, the map $T^{**}\tilde{\phi} : X_{k+1} \rightarrow Y^{**}$ is weakly compact. Hence, since X_{k+1} has DP1,

$$\|T^{**}(\tilde{\phi}(x_n^{k+1})) - T^{**}(\tilde{\phi}(x_{k+1}))\| = \|t_n^{**}(\phi) - T^{**}(\tilde{\phi}(x_{k+1}))\| \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus to complete the proof, we need only show that

$$T^{**}(\tilde{\phi}(x_{k+1})) = \pi^{**}t^{**}(\phi),$$

and apply Lemma 1.1.

For any $\psi \in Y^*$, we have

$$\langle \psi, T^{**}(\tilde{\phi}(x_{k+1})) \rangle = \langle T^*(\psi), \tilde{\phi}(x_{k+1}) \rangle = \langle (T^*(\psi))'(x_{k+1}), \phi \rangle,$$

but for all $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (T^*(\psi))'(x_{k+1})(z_1 \otimes \dots \otimes z_k) &= (T^*(\psi))(z_1 \otimes \dots \otimes z_k \otimes x_{k+1}) \\ &= \psi(T(z_1 \otimes \dots \otimes z_k \otimes x_{k+1})) \\ &= \psi(\pi(t(z_1 \otimes \dots \otimes z_k))) \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\langle \psi, T^{**}(\tilde{\phi}(x_{k+1})) \rangle = \langle (T^*(\psi))'(x_{k+1}), \phi \rangle = \langle \psi \pi t, \phi \rangle = \langle \psi, \pi^{**}t^{**}(\phi) \rangle.$$

Thus $T^{**}(\tilde{\phi}(x_{k+1})) = \pi^{**}t^{**}(\phi)$, completing the proof. \square

We now review some concepts from the references. Given Banach spaces X_j , $1 \leq j \leq k$, and Y , a k -linear operator $T : X_1 \times X_2 \times \dots \times X_k \rightarrow Y$ is a k -linear mapping which is continuous in all variables x_i simultaneously. The set of all k -linear operators forms a Banach space with the usual vector space operations and the norm

$$\|T\| = \sup\{\|T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)\| : \sup_i \|x_i\| \leq 1\}.$$

We will denote this Banach space by $\mathcal{L}^{(k)}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k; Y)$. If all $X_i = X$, for some Banach space X , we write $\mathcal{L}^{(k)}(X^k; Y)$ for $\mathcal{L}^{(k)}(X, \dots, X; Y)$. Letting $W = X_1 \widehat{\otimes} X_2 \widehat{\otimes} \dots \widehat{\otimes} X^k$, where $\widehat{\otimes}$ denotes the projective tensor product, we make careful note that there is a canonical isometric isomorphism of $\mathcal{L}^{(k)}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k; Y)$ with $\mathcal{L}(W; Y)$ associating the k -linear operator $T \in \mathcal{L}^{(k)}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k; Y)$ with the operator $\widehat{T} \in \mathcal{L}(W; Y)$ where $\widehat{T}(z_1 \otimes z_2 \otimes \dots \otimes z_k) = T(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k)$ for $z_i \in X_i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$.

A k -linear operator $T \in \mathcal{L}^{(k)}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X^k; Y)$ is called weakly compact if for any k bounded sequences (z_n^i) in X_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, the sequence

$$(T(z_n^1, z_n^2, \dots, z_n^k))_{n=1}^\infty$$

has a weakly convergent subsequence. As shown in [4, p. 374], a k -linear operator $T \in \mathcal{L}^{(k)}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k; Y)$ is weakly compact if and only if the associated operator \widehat{T} is weakly compact. Applying these facts to the previous result, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 1.3 X has DP1 if and only if for every Banach space Y and any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, if $T \in \mathcal{L}^{(k)}(X^k; Y)$ is weakly compact, and $(x_n^i)_{n=1}^\infty$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ are weakly convergent norm-one sequences with norm-one limits x_i , then the sequence $(T(x_n^1, x_n^2, \dots, x_n^k))_{n=1}^\infty$ is norm convergent to $T(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ in Y .

Continuing with the review, let X be a Banach space. A map $p : X \rightarrow Y$ is called a k -homogeneous polynomial, ($k \geq 1$), if there exists a k -linear operator $T \in \mathcal{L}^{(k)}(X^k; Y)$, called a generator of p , such that for all $x \in X$,

$$p(x) = T(x, \dots, x).$$

The space of all k -homogeneous polynomials from X into Y becomes a Banach space with the norm

$$\|p\| = \sup\{\|p(x)\| : \|x\| \leq 1\}.$$

A map $p : X \rightarrow Y$ is called a polynomial if there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and k -homogeneous polynomials p_k , with $0 \leq k \leq n$, such that $p = p_0 + p_1 + \dots + p_n$. (By definition, a 0-homogeneous polynomial is any constant map from X to Y .) A polynomial $p : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be weakly compact if for every bounded sequence (x_n) in X , the sequence $(p(x_n))$ has a weakly convergent subsequence in Y .

A Banach space X is said to have the Polynomial Dunford-Pettis property (PDP) if for any Banach space Y , every weakly compact polynomial from X into Y maps weakly Cauchy sequences onto norm convergent sequences. We will say that X has PDP1 if for any Y , and for every weakly compact polynomial $p : X \rightarrow Y$, if (x_n) is a sequence in X such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ weakly and $\|x\| = 1 = \|x_n\|$ for all n , then the sequence $(p(x_n))$ is norm convergent in Y . In [4], a question posed by Pełczyński is answered, namely it is shown that X has DP if and only if X has PDP. The next result mirrors the equivalence of DP and PDP.

Corollary 1.4 Let X be a Banach space. Then X has DP1 if and only if X has PDP1.

Proof. For the forward implication, let $p : X \rightarrow Y$ be a weakly compact polynomial, where $p = p_0 + p_1 + \dots + p_n$, for k -homogeneous polynomials p_k , $0 \leq k \leq n$, and let

$x_n \rightarrow x$ weakly with $\|x_n\| = \|x\| = 1$. As p is weakly compact, p_k is weakly compact and it follows that p_k has a weakly compact generator [3, Proposition 3]. Hence by Corollary 1.3, for each k , the sequence $(p_k(x_n))$ is norm convergent in Y , and hence $(p(x_n))$ is norm convergent in Y , whence X has PDP1.

The backward implication follows immediately from the fact that every operator is a 1-homogeneous polynomial. □

From the theorem, we also obtain an alternative proof of (one direction of) [1, Theorem 3.1].

Corollary 1.5 Let A be a C^* -algebra. Then A has DP1 if and only if whenever $a_n \rightarrow a$ weakly in A , with $\|a_n\| = \|a\| = 1$ for all n , then $a_n \rightarrow a$ σ -strong* in A^{**} .

Proof. We prove only the necessity of the condition. Suppose A has DP1 and let $a_n \rightarrow a$ weakly in A with $\|a_n\| = \|a\| = 1$ for all n . Let $f \in A^*$. The map $\Phi : A \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $\Phi(x, y) = f(xy)$ is obviously bounded, 2-linear, and weakly compact. Hence, the sequences $(\Phi(a_n, a_n^*))$ and $(\Phi(a_n^*, a_n))$ are both convergent to $\Phi(a, a^*)$ and $\Phi(a^*, a)$, respectively, so that $f(a_n^*a_n + a_n a_n^*) \rightarrow f(a^*a + aa^*)$, as desired. □

The HDP1 property

A map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called holomorphic if for every $\xi \in X$ there exists a sequence p_k of k -homogeneous polynomials, $k = 0, 1, \dots$, (depending on ξ), and a constant $r > 0$ such that the series

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k(x - \xi)$$

converges uniformly to $f(x)$ for all $x \in \Delta(\xi, r)$. The sequence (p_k) is then unique at each ξ by [2, Proposition 4.2], and this series is called the Taylor series of f at ξ .

As defined in [4], a holomorphic map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be weakly compact if for each $\xi \in X$ there exists $s > 0$ such that f maps $\Delta(\xi, s)$ into a relatively weakly compact subset of Y . When f is holomorphic and weakly compact, $r(f; \xi)$ will denote the supremum of all $r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the Taylor series of f at ξ converges uniformly for $z \in \overline{\Delta(\xi, s)}$ for all $0 < s < r$, and such that f maps $\Delta(\xi, r)$ into a relatively weakly compact subset of Y .

A Banach space X is said to have the Holomorphic Dunford-Pettis Property (HDP),

as defined in [4], if for every Banach space Y and every weakly compact holomorphic map $f : X \rightarrow Y$, if (x_n) is a weakly Cauchy sequence in X and there exists $x \in X$ and $\rho > 0$ such that $\|x_n - x\| \leq \rho < r(f; x)$ for all n , then the sequence $(f(x_n))$ is norm convergent in Y . We will say that X has HDP1 if for any Y , and any weakly compact holomorphic map $f : X \rightarrow Y$, if $x_n \rightarrow x$ weakly such that there exists $\xi \in X$ and a constant $s > 0$ with $\|x_n - \xi\| = \|x - \xi\| = s < r(f; \xi)$ for all n , then the sequence $(f(x_n))$ is norm convergent in Y .

As shown in [4], DP and HDP are equivalent. The next result mirrors that equivalence.

Theorem 1.6 X has DP1 if and only if X has HDP1.

Proof. Suppose X has DP1. Let Y be a Banach space, let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a weakly compact holomorphic map, and let $x_n \rightarrow x$ weakly such that there exists $\xi \in X$ and a constant $s > 0$ with $\|x_n - \xi\| = \|x - \xi\| = s < r(f; \xi)$ for all n . Let

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k(z - \xi)$$

be the Taylor series of f at ξ . Let $\epsilon > 0$, and choose $N > 0$ such that for all $z \in \overline{\Delta(\xi, s)}$,

$$\left\| \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} p_k(z - \xi) \right\| < \epsilon.$$

Since X has DP1, X has PDP1 by Corollary 1.4, so it follows that for all $0 \leq k \leq N$, the sequence $(p_k(x_n - \xi))$ is norm convergent. Hence there exists $M > 0$ such that for all $0 \leq k \leq N$, and $n, m \geq M$, we have

$$\|p_k(x_n - \xi) - p_k(x_m - \xi)\| < \frac{\epsilon}{N}.$$

It follows easily that $\|f(x_n) - f(x_m)\| < 3\epsilon$, so that the sequence $(f(x_n))$ is norm convergent in Y , whence X has HDP1.

The converse follows immediately from the fact that operators are holomorphic maps [2, Proposition 5.1]. □

Now, let A be a unital Banach algebra. It is easily seen that the exponential map

$$x \mapsto e^x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!}, \quad x \in A$$

is holomorphic. In particular, if A is reflexive then this mapping is also weakly compact, while if A is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(H)$, the operators on a Hilbert space H , then for any $\xi \in H$, the map $x \mapsto e^x \xi$ is holomorphic and weakly compact. Using these facts, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary .7 Let A be a unital Banach algebra with DP1 and let $a_n \rightarrow a$ weakly in A with $\|a_n\| = \|a\| = 1$.

- (a) If A is reflexive, then $e^{a_n} \rightarrow e^a$ in norm.
- (b) If $A \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ is a subalgebra of the operators on a Hilbert space H , then $e^{a_n} \rightarrow e^a$ in the strong operator topology of $\mathcal{B}(H)$.

References

- [1] Freedman, W.: An alternative Dunford-Pettis property. *Studia Math.* 125, 143–159 (1997)
- [2] Nachbin, L.: *Topology on spaces of holomorphic mappings.* *Ergebnisse der Mathematik* 47, Springer-Verlag New York 1969.
- [3] Pelczyński, A.: On weakly compact polynomial operators on B-spaces with Dunford-Pettis property. *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Sér. sci. math. astr. et phys.* 11, 371–378 (1963)
- [4] Ryan, R. A., Dunford-Pettis properties. *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Sér. sci. math. astr. et phys.* 27, 373–379 (1979)

Walden FREEDMAN
 Department of Mathematics,
 U.C. Santa Barbara,
 Santa Barbara, California 93101 USA
 Koç University,
 İstinye - 80860,
 İstanbul, Turkey
 wfreedman@ku.edu.tr

Received 02.02.1998