

1-1-2000

On the Efficiency of Finite Simple Semigroups

H. AYIK

C. M. CAMPBELL

J. J. O'CONNOR

N. RUSKUC

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

AYIK, H.; CAMPBELL, C. M.; O'CONNOR, J. J.; and RUSKUC, N. (2000) "On the Efficiency of Finite Simple Semigroups," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 24: No. 2, Article 2. Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol24/iss2/2>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.

On the Efficiency of Finite Simple Semigroups

*H. Ayık, C. M. Campbell, J.J.O'Connor, N. Ruškuc**

Abstract

Let S be a finite simple semigroup, given as a Rees matrix semigroup $\mathcal{M}[G; I, \Lambda; P]$ over a group G .

We prove that the second homology of S is $H_2(S) = H_2(G) \times \mathbb{Z}^{(|I|-1)(|\Lambda|-1)}$.

It is known that for any finite presentation $\langle A \mid R \rangle$ of S we have $|R| - |A| \geq \text{rank}(H_2(S))$; we say that S is efficient if equality is attained for some presentation. Given a presentation $\langle A_1 \mid R_1 \rangle$ for G , we find a presentation $\langle A \mid R \rangle$ for S such that $|R| - |A| = |R_1| - |A_1| + (|I| - 1)(|\Lambda| - 1) + 1$. Further, if R_1 contains a relation of a special form, we show that $|R| - |A|$ can be reduced by one. We use this result to prove that S is efficient whenever G is finite abelian or dihedral of even degree.

1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to investigate the efficiency of finite simple semigroups.

It is well known that a finite semigroup S is simple if and only if it is isomorphic to a finite Rees matrix semigroup $\mathcal{M}[G; I, \Lambda; P]$. Here G is a group, I and Λ are non-empty sets, and $P = (p_{\lambda i})$ is a $\Lambda \times I$ matrix with entries from G . Then the *Rees matrix semigroup* $\mathcal{M}[G; I, \Lambda; P]$ is the set $I \times G \times \Lambda = \{ (i, a, \lambda) \mid i \in I, a \in G, \lambda \in \Lambda \}$ with the multiplication

$$(i, a, \lambda)(j, b, \mu) = (i, ap_{\lambda j}b, \mu).$$

It is known that the matrix P can be chosen to be *normal*, that is $p_{\lambda 1} = p_{1i} = 1_G$ for all

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 20M05, 20M50

*The corresponding author

$\lambda \in \Lambda, i \in I$, where 1_G is the identity of G ; see for example [7] or [4].

Let A be an alphabet and let A^+ denote the *free semigroup* on A . A *presentation* is an ordered pair $\langle A \mid R \rangle$, where $R \subseteq A^+ \times A^+$. A semigroup S is said to be *defined* by $\langle A \mid R \rangle$ if $S \cong A^+/\rho$ where ρ is the congruence generated by R . If both A and R are finite sets then $\langle A \mid R \rangle$ is said to be a *finite presentation* and S is said to be *finitely presented*. The *deficiency of a finite presentation* $\mathcal{P} = \langle A \mid R \rangle$ is defined to be $|R| - |A|$ and is denoted by $\text{def}(\mathcal{P})$. The *deficiency of a finitely presented semigroup* S is defined by

$$\text{def}(S) = \min\{ \text{def}(\mathcal{P}) \mid \mathcal{P} \text{ is a finite presentation for } S \}.$$

For a semigroup S , let S^1 denote the monoid S with an identity adjoined to it. For a finite semigroup S , it is well-known that $\text{def}(S) \geq 0$. Recently it has been shown by S. J. Pride (unpublished) that there exists a better lower bound for the deficiency of finite semigroups, namely

$$\text{def}(S) \geq \text{rank}(H_2(S)),$$

where $H_2(S)$ is the second integral homology of S^1 .

We call a finite semigroup S *efficient* if S has a presentation $\mathcal{P} = \langle A \mid R \rangle$ such that $\text{def}(\mathcal{P}) = \text{rank}(H_2(S))$ and *inefficient* otherwise. Examples of both efficient semigroups and of inefficient semigroups are given in [1], where it is also shown that finite rectangular bands are efficient. Of course rectangular bands are simple. In this paper we first compute the second integral homology of a general finite simple semigroup $S = \mathcal{M}[G; I, \Lambda; P]$. If G is efficient, then we find a presentation \mathcal{P} for S with $\text{def}(\mathcal{P}) = \text{rank}(H_2(S)) + 1$. We are able to modify this presentation to reduce the deficiency by one and hence show that S is efficient when G is a finite abelian group or a dihedral group D_{2n} with even n . It is not known whether this can be done for an arbitrary finite group, or whether there exists a finite group G such that $\text{def}(S) = \text{rank}(H_2(S)) + 1$. Finally, we show that there exist non-simple efficient semigroups which have non-trivial second homology.

2. A rewriting system for Rees matrix semigroups

In [1] the bar resolution was used to compute the second homology of rectangular bands $R_{m,n}$ to be $\mathbb{Z}^{(m-1)(n-1)}$, and the n th ($n \geq 1$) homology of semigroups with a left or a right zero to be trivial. Here we use another resolution which is described by Squier

in [8]. Since this resolution is defined by using a presentation in which the set of relations is a uniquely terminating rewriting system, we first find a presentation for a Rees matrix semigroup in which the set of relations forms such a system. We begin by introducing some elementary concepts about rewriting systems.

Let A be a set and let A^* be the free monoid on A . A *rewriting system* R on A is a subset of $A^* \times A^*$. For $w_1, w_2 \in A^*$, we write $w_1 \equiv w_2$ if they are identical words. We say that w_1 *rewrites to* w_2 if there exist $b, c \in A^*$ and $(u, v) \in R$ such that $w_1 \equiv buc$ and $w_2 \equiv bvc$ and we write $w_1 \rightarrow w_2$. We denote by $\overset{*}{\rightarrow}$ the reflexive transitive closure of \rightarrow and by \sim the equivalence relation generated by \rightarrow .

For a word w we say that w is *reducible* if there is a word z such that $w \rightarrow z$; otherwise we call w *irreducible*. If $w \overset{*}{\rightarrow} y$ and y is irreducible, then we say that y is an *irreducible form* of w . A rewriting system R is said to be *terminating* if there is no infinite sequence (w_n) such that $w_n \rightarrow w_{n+1}$ for all $n \geq 1$. We denote by $|w|$ the length of the word w . We call R *length-reducing* if $|u| > |v|$ for all $(u, v) \in R$. It is clear that if R is a length-reducing rewriting system, then R is a terminating rewriting system.

We say that R is *confluent* if, for any $x, y, z \in A^*$ such that $x \overset{*}{\rightarrow} y$, $x \overset{*}{\rightarrow} z$, there exists $w \in A^*$ such that $y \overset{*}{\rightarrow} w$, $z \overset{*}{\rightarrow} w$. A rewriting system R is *complete* if it is both terminating and confluent. For a given R , define $R_1 \subseteq A^*$ to consist of all $r \in A^*$ such that there exists $(r, s) \in R$ for some $s \in A^*$. The system R is said to be *reduced* provided that, for each $(r, s) \in R$, we have $R_1 \cap A^*rA^* = \{r\}$ and s is R -irreducible. A reduced complete rewriting system $R \subseteq A^* \times A^*$ is called a *uniquely terminating rewriting system*.

Lemma 2.1 *Let R be a terminating rewriting system. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) R is confluent (and hence complete);
- (ii) for any $(r_1r_2, s_{12}), (r_2r_3, s_{23}) \in R$, where r_2 is non-empty, there exists a word $w \in A^*$ such that $s_{12}r_3 \overset{*}{\rightarrow} w$, $r_1s_{23} \overset{*}{\rightarrow} w$; for any $(r_1r_2r_3, s_{12}), (r_2, s_{23}) \in R$, there exists a word $w \in A^*$ such that $s_{12} \overset{*}{\rightarrow} w$, $r_1s_{23}r_3 \overset{*}{\rightarrow} w$;
- (iii) any word $w \in A^*$ has exactly one irreducible form. Moreover $w \sim w'$ if and only if w and w' have the same irreducible form. □

For a proof see [3] or [8].

We define the *overlaps* to be the ordered pairs of the form $[(r_1r_2, s_{12}), (r_2r_3, s_{23})]$

and $[(r_4r_5r_6, s_{45}), (r_5, s_{56})]$ where $(r_1r_2, s_{12}), (r_2r_3, s_{23}), (r_4r_5r_6, s_{45}), (r_5, s_{56}) \in R$, and r_2 and r_5 are non-empty.

First, we give a presentation for a Rees matrix semigroup with a normal matrix. For ease of notation we assume that I and Λ contain a distinguished element denoted by 1.

Theorem 2.2 *Let $S = \mathcal{M}[G; I, \Lambda; P]$ be a Rees matrix semigroup, where G is a group and $P = (p_{\lambda i})$ is a normal $\Lambda \times I$ matrix with entries from G . Let $\langle X | R \rangle$ be a semigroup presentation for G , let $e \in X^+$ be a non-empty word representing the identity of G , and let $Y = X \cup \{y_i \mid i \in I - \{1\}\} \cup \{z_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda - \{1\}\}$. Then the presentation*

$$\langle Y \mid R, y_i e = y_i, e y_i = e, z_\lambda e = e, e z_\lambda = z_\lambda, z_\lambda y_i = p_{\lambda i} \\ (i \in I - \{1\}, \lambda \in \Lambda - \{1\}) \rangle$$

defines S in terms of the generating set $\{(1, x, 1) \mid x \in X\} \cup \{(i, e, 1) \mid i \in I - \{1\}\} \cup \{(1, e, \lambda) \mid \lambda \in \Lambda - \{1\}\}$.

Proof. The result is a special case of Theorem 6.2 in [5]. □

In the previous presentation, there are some overlaps, for example $[y_i e = y_i, e y_i = e]$, which show that the set of the relations is not a uniquely terminating rewriting system. Now we construct a new presentation with a uniquely terminating rewriting system of relations. We can take the presentation $\langle X | R \rangle$ to be the Cayley table, that is $X = G$ and $R = \{(x_1 x_2, x_3) \mid x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X, x_1 x_2 = x_3 \text{ in } G\}$. It is clear that R is a uniquely terminating rewriting system on X . Let $x_0 \in X$ represent the identity of G . Then, taking $e \equiv x_0$ and adding the new relations $x y_i = x, z_\lambda x = x, y_i y_{i'} = y_i$ and $z_\lambda z_{\lambda'} = z_{\lambda'}$ ($x \in X - \{x_0\}; i, i' \in I - \{1\}; \lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda - \{1\}$), which are easily seen to hold in S , yields the presentation

$$\langle Y \mid R, y_i x_0 = y_i, x y_i = x, y_i y_{i'} = y_i, z_\lambda x = x, x_0 z_\lambda = z_\lambda, z_\lambda z_{\lambda'} = z_{\lambda'}, \\ z_\lambda y_i = p_{\lambda i} (i, i' \in I - \{1\}, \lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda - \{1\}, x \in X) \rangle$$

which defines $S = \mathcal{M}[G; I, \Lambda; P]$.

For ease of notation, we assume that G is finite and $X = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m\}$. We further assume that the entries $p_{\lambda i}$ of the matrix P are represented by the words of length one.

Theorem 2.3 *Let $\langle X | R \rangle$ be the Cayley table of the finite group G and let $x_0 \in X$ be the representative of the identity. With the above notation, the presentation*

$$\mathcal{P} = \langle Y | R, y_i x_0 = y_i, x_k y_i = x_k, y_i y_{i'} = y_i, z_\lambda x_k = x_k, x_0 z_\lambda = z_\lambda, \\ z_\lambda z_{\lambda'} = z_{\lambda'}, z_\lambda y_i = p_{\lambda i} \ (0 \leq k \leq m, i, i' \in I - \{1\}, \lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda - \{1\}) \rangle,$$

which defines $S = \mathcal{M}[G; I, \Lambda; P]$, has a uniquely terminating rewriting system of relations on Y .

Proof. Let Q denote the set of relations of \mathcal{P} . Recall that all rewriting rules in R have the form $(x_1 x_2, x_3)$ ($x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$) so that all the rewriting rules in Q are length-reducing. Therefore Q is terminating. It is clear that Q is reduced. To prove that Q is confluent, we list the overlaps:

$$\begin{aligned} U_{1,k,k',k''} &= [(x_k x_{k'}, x_l), (x_{k'} x_{k''}, x_{l'})], & U_{2,k,k',i} &= [(x_{k'} x_k, x_l), (x_k y_i, x_k)], \\ U_{3,k,\lambda} &= [(x_k x_0, x_k), (x_0 z_\lambda, z_\lambda)], & U_{4,k,i} &= [(y_i x_0, y_i), (x_0 x_k, x_k)], \\ U_{5,i,i'} &= [(y_i x_0, y_i), (x_0 y_{i'}, x_0)], & U_{6,i,\lambda} &= [(y_i x_0, y_i), (x_0 z_\lambda, z_\lambda)], \\ U_{7,k,i} &= [(x_k y_i, x_k), (y_i x_0, y_i)], & U_{8,k,i,i'} &= [(x_k y_i, x_k), (y_i y_{i'}, y_i)], \\ U_{9,i,i'} &= [(y_i y_{i'}, y_i), (y_{i'} x_0, y_{i'})], & U_{10,i,i',i''} &= [(y_i y_{i'}, y_i), (y_{i'} y_{i''}, y_{i'})], \\ U_{11,k,k',\lambda} &= [(z_\lambda x_k, x_k), (x_k x_{k'}, x_l)], & U_{12,k,i,\lambda} &= [(z_\lambda x_k, x_k), (x_k y_i, x_k)], \\ U_{13,\lambda,\lambda'} &= [(z_\lambda x_0, x_0), (x_0 z_{\lambda'}, z_{\lambda'})], & U_{14,k,\lambda} &= [(x_0 z_\lambda, z_\lambda), (z_\lambda x_k, x_k)], \\ U_{15,\lambda,\lambda'} &= [(x_0 z_\lambda, z_\lambda), (z_\lambda z_{\lambda'}, z_{\lambda'})], & U_{16,i,\lambda} &= [(x_0 z_\lambda, z_\lambda), (z_\lambda y_i, p_{\lambda i})], \\ U_{17,k,\lambda,\lambda'} &= [(z_\lambda z_{\lambda'}, z_{\lambda'}), (z_{\lambda'} x_k, x_k)], & U_{18,\lambda,\lambda',\lambda''} &= [(z_\lambda z_{\lambda'}, z_{\lambda'}), (z_{\lambda'} z_{\lambda''}, z_{\lambda''})], \\ U_{19,i,\lambda,\lambda'} &= [(z_\lambda z_{\lambda'}, z_{\lambda'}), (z_{\lambda'} y_i, p_{\lambda' i})], & U_{20,i,\lambda} &= [(z_\lambda y_i, p_{\lambda i}), (y_i x_0, y_i)], \\ U_{21,i,i',\lambda} &= [(z_\lambda y_i, p_{\lambda i}), (y_i y_{i'}, y_i)], \end{aligned}$$

($i, i', i'' \in I - \{1\}$; $\lambda, \lambda', \lambda'' \in \Lambda - \{1\}$; $1 \leq k, k', k'' \leq m$), and then apply Lemma 2.1(ii), which is straightforward. \square

3. The second homology of Rees matrix semigroups

Now we describe the resolution of \mathbb{Z} given by Squier in [8], which we use to compute the second homology of a finite Rees matrix semigroup.

Let S be a monoid and let $\langle A \mid R \rangle$ be a presentation for S in which R is a uniquely terminating rewriting system. Then Squier defined the free resolution of \mathbb{Z} as follows:

$$P_3 \xrightarrow{\partial_3} P_2 \xrightarrow{\partial_2} P_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0$$

where P_0 is the free $\mathbb{Z}S$ -module on a single formal symbol $[]$, the augmentation map $\varepsilon : P_0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is defined by $\varepsilon([]) = 1$, P_1 is the free $\mathbb{Z}S$ -module on the set of formal symbols $[x]$ for all $x \in A$ and $\partial_1 : P_1 \longrightarrow P_0$ is defined by

$$\partial_1([x]) = (x - 1)[]$$

where $x \in A$. Further P_2 is the free $\mathbb{Z}S$ -module on the set of formal symbols $[r, s]$, one for each $(r, s) \in R$. For $x \in A$, we define a function $\partial/\partial_x : A^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}A^*$ inductively by

$$\begin{aligned} \partial/\partial_x(1) &= 0 \\ \partial/\partial_x(wx) &= \partial/\partial_x(w) + w \quad (w \in A^*) \\ \partial/\partial_x(wy) &= \partial/\partial_x(w) \quad (w \in A^* \text{ and } y \neq x). \end{aligned}$$

This function is called a *derivation*.

Now we define $\partial_2 : P_2 \longrightarrow P_1$ by

$$\partial_2([r, s]) = \sum_{x \in A} \phi(\partial/\partial_x(r) - \partial/\partial_x(s))[x]$$

where $\phi : \mathbb{Z}A^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}S$ is induced by the natural homomorphism from A^* to S .

Next, P_3 is the free $\mathbb{Z}S$ -module on the set of overlaps $[(r_1r_2, s_{12}), (r_2r_3, s_{23})]$ from R . Let w be in A^* and let u be the irreducible form of w . Then we have a sequence $w \equiv b_1r_1c_1, b_1s_1c_1 \equiv b_2r_2c_2, \dots, b_qs_qc_q \equiv u$ where $b_i, c_i \in A^*$ and $(r_i, s_i) \in R$ for all $i = 1, \dots, q$. Define $\Phi : A^* \longrightarrow P_2$ by

$$\Phi(w) = \sum_{i=1}^q \phi(b_i)[r_i, s_i].$$

Now we define $\partial_3 : P_3 \longrightarrow P_2$ by

$$\partial_3\left([(r_1r_2, s_{12}), (r_2r_3, s_{23})]\right) = r_1[r_2r_3, s_{23}] - [r_1r_2, s_{12}] + \Phi(r_1s_{23}) - \Phi(s_{12}r_3).$$

Squier [8] showed that $P_3 \xrightarrow{\partial_3} P_2 \xrightarrow{\partial_2} P_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence when R is a uniquely terminating rewriting system.

We now use this resolution to compute the second homology of a finite Rees matrix semigroup $\mathcal{M}[G; I, \Lambda; P]$.

Theorem 3.4 *Let $S = \mathcal{M}[G; I, \Lambda; P]$ be a finite Rees matrix semigroup. Then the second integral homology of S is*

$$H_2(S) = H_2(G) \times \mathbb{Z}^{(|I|-1)(|\Lambda|-1)}.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that P is normal. We consider the uniquely terminating rewriting system Q on Y given in Theorem 2.3 and the resolution of \mathbb{Z} arising from it. By applying the functor $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}S^1} -$ to this resolution, we obtain the chain complex of abelian groups

$$\mathbb{Z} \otimes P_3 \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \partial_3} \mathbb{Z} \otimes P_2 \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \partial_2} \mathbb{Z} \otimes P_1 \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \partial_1} \mathbb{Z} \otimes P_0 \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \varepsilon} \mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0,$$

or simply

$$\bar{P}_3 \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}_3} \bar{P}_2 \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}_2} \bar{P}_1 \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}_1} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where \bar{P}_1 , \bar{P}_2 and \bar{P}_3 are the free abelian groups on the sets of formal symbols $[x]$ ($x \in Y$), $[r, s]$ ($(r, s) \in Q$) and $[(r_1 r_2, s_{12}), (r_2 r_3, s_{23})]$, one for each overlap from Q , respectively. The mappings $\bar{\partial}_2 : \bar{P}_2 \rightarrow \bar{P}_1$ and $\bar{\partial}_3 : \bar{P}_3 \rightarrow \bar{P}_2$ are defined respectively by

$$\bar{\partial}_2([r, s]) = \sum_{x \in Y} ((\text{the number of } x\text{'s in } r) - (\text{the number of } x\text{'s in } s))[x]$$

and

$$\bar{\partial}_3([(r_1 r_2, s_{12}), (r_2 r_3, s_{23})]) = [r_2 r_3, s_{23}] - [r_1 r_2, s_{12}] + \bar{\Phi}(r_1 s_{23}) - \bar{\Phi}(s_{12} r_3),$$

where $\bar{\Phi}$ is defined by

$$\bar{\Phi}(w) = \sum_{i=1}^q [r_i, s_i]$$

if $\Phi(w) = \sum_{i=1}^q \phi(b_i)[r_i, s_i]$.

Before we compute the second homology of S , $H_2(S) \cong \ker \bar{\partial}_2 / \text{im } \bar{\partial}_3$, we assume that $H_2(G) \cong \ker \bar{\partial}_2^G / \text{im } \bar{\partial}_3^G$ where $\ker \bar{\partial}_2^G$ is the free abelian group on $\{W_j \mid j \in J\}$ and $\text{im } \bar{\partial}_3^G$ is the free abelian group on $\{V_l \mid l \in L\}$ which are found by using the Squier resolution on the Cayley table of G . Notice that since G is a finite group, $H_2(G)$ is finite, and so $|J| = |L|$. Moreover, since

$$\bar{\partial}_2^G([x^2, u_1] + [u_1x, u_2] + \cdots + [u_{n_x-1}x, x]) = n_x[x] \quad (1)$$

where $x \in X$, $u_i = x^{i+1}$ and n_x is the order of x , we have $\text{rank}(\text{im } \bar{\partial}_2^G) = |X| = |G|$, and so $|J| = |L| = |G|^2 - |G|$.

Now we find a generating set for $\text{im } \bar{\partial}_3$ by using the overlaps from the proof of Theorem 2.3. First observe that $\bar{\partial}_3(U_{1,k,k',k''})$ gives a generating set which may be reduced to the basis $\{V_l \mid l \in L\}$ for $\text{im } \bar{\partial}_3^G$. Next we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\partial}_3(U_{2,k,k',i}) &= [x_k y_i, x_k] - [x_{k'} x_k, x_l] + \bar{\Phi}(x_{k'} x_k) - \bar{\Phi}(x_l y_i) \\ &= [x_k y_i, x_k] - [x_l y_i, x_l] \end{aligned}$$

since $\bar{\Phi}(x_{k'} x_k) = [x_{k'} x_k, x_l]$ and $\bar{\Phi}(x_l y_i) = [x_l y_i, x_l]$. Similarly, we compute that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\partial}_3(U_{3,k,\lambda}) &= [x_0 z_\lambda, z_\lambda] - [x_k x_0, x_k], \\ \bar{\partial}_3(U_{4,k,i}) &= [x_0 x_k, x_k] - [y_i x_0, y_i], \\ \bar{\partial}_3(U_{5,i,i'}) &= [x_0 y_{i'}, x_0] - [y_i y_{i'}, y_i], \\ \bar{\partial}_3(U_{6,i,\lambda}) &= [x_0 z_\lambda, z_\lambda] - [y_i x_0, y_i], \\ \bar{\partial}_3(U_{7,k,i}) &= [y_i x_0, y_i] - [x_k x_0, x_k], \\ \bar{\partial}_3(U_{8,k,i,i'}) &= [y_i y_{i'}, y_i] - [x_k y_{i'}, x_k], \\ \bar{\partial}_3(U_{9,i,i'}) &= [y_{i'} x_0, y_{i'}] - [y_i x_0, y_i], \\ \bar{\partial}_3(U_{10,i,i',i''}) &= [y_{i'} y_{i''}, y_{i'}] - [y_i y_{i''}, y_i], \\ \bar{\partial}_3(U_{11,k,k',\lambda}) &= [z_\lambda x_l, x_l] - [z_\lambda x_k, x_k], \\ \bar{\partial}_3(U_{12,k,i,\lambda}) &= 0, \\ \bar{\partial}_3(U_{13,\lambda,\lambda'}) &= [z_\lambda z_{\lambda'}, z_{\lambda'}] - [z_\lambda x_0, x_0], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{\partial}_3(U_{14,k,\lambda}) &= -[x_0 z_\lambda, z_\lambda] + [x_0 x_k, x_k], \\
 \bar{\partial}_3(U_{15,\lambda,\lambda'}) &= [x_0 z_{\lambda'}, z_{\lambda'}] - [x_0 z_\lambda, z_\lambda], \\
 \bar{\partial}_3(U_{16,i,\lambda}) &= -[x_0 z_\lambda, z_\lambda] + [x_0 p_{\lambda i}, p_{\lambda i}], \\
 \bar{\partial}_3(U_{17,k,\lambda,\lambda'}) &= [z_\lambda x_k, x_k] - [z_\lambda z_{\lambda'}, z_{\lambda'}], \\
 \bar{\partial}_3(U_{18,\lambda,\lambda',\lambda''}) &= [z_\lambda z_{\lambda''}, z_{\lambda''}] - [z_\lambda z_{\lambda'}, z_{\lambda'}], \\
 \bar{\partial}_3(U_{19,i,\lambda,\lambda'}) &= -[z_\lambda z_{\lambda'}, z_{\lambda'}] + [z_\lambda p_{\lambda' i}, p_{\lambda' i}], \\
 \bar{\partial}_3(U_{20,i,\lambda}) &= [y_i x_0, y_i] - [p_{\lambda i} x_0, p_{\lambda i}], \\
 \bar{\partial}_3(U_{21,i,i',\lambda}) &= [y_i y_{i'}, y_i] - [p_{\lambda i} y_{i'}, p_{\lambda i}].
 \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that we have a smaller generating set for $\text{im } \bar{\partial}_3$: the generating set $\{V_l \mid l \in L\}$ for $\text{im } \bar{\partial}_3^G$ together with

$$V_{k,i} = [y_i x_0, y_i] - [x_k x_0, x_k], \quad V_{k,i,i'} = [y_i y_{i'}, y_i] - [x_k y_{i'}, x_k],$$

$$V_{k,\lambda} = [x_0 z_\lambda, z_\lambda] - [x_0 x_k, x_k], \quad V_{k,\lambda,\lambda'} = [z_\lambda z_{\lambda'}, z_{\lambda'}] - [z_\lambda x_k, x_k]$$

($0 \leq k \leq m$; $i, i' \in I - \{1\}$; $\lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda - \{1\}$). For example, observe that $\bar{\partial}_3(U_{2,k,k',i}) = V_{i,i',i} - V_{k,i',i}$ and $\bar{\partial}_3(U_{3,k,\lambda}) = V_{k,\lambda} - ([x_k x_0, x_k] - [x_0 x_k, x_k])$ where, of course, $([x_k x_0, x_k] - [x_0 x_k, x_k]) \in \text{im } \bar{\partial}_3^G$. The remaining proofs are similar. Therefore

$$B = \{V_l, V_{k,i}, V_{k,i,i'}, V_{k,\lambda}, V_{k,\lambda,\lambda'} \mid l \in L; 0 \leq k \leq m; i, i' \in I - \{1\}; \lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda - \{1\}\}$$

generates $\text{im } \bar{\partial}_3$.

Next we find a basis for $\ker \bar{\partial}_2$. First notice that since $\bar{\partial}_2([y_{i'} y_i, y_{i'}]) = [y_i]$ and $\bar{\partial}_2([z_\lambda z_{\lambda'}, z_{\lambda'}]) = [z_\lambda]$, it follows from (1) that

$$\text{rank}(\text{im } \bar{\partial}_2) = \text{rank}(\bar{P}_1) = |G| + (|\Lambda| - 1) + (|I| - 1).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{rank}(\ker \bar{\partial}_2) &= \text{rank}(\bar{P}_2) - \text{rank}(\bar{P}_1) = (|G|^2 - |G|) + |G| \left((|\Lambda| - 1) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + (|I| - 1) \right) + (|\Lambda| - 1)^2 + (|I| - 1)^2 + (|\Lambda| - 1)(|I| - 1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since each $\alpha \in \bar{P}_2$ has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha = & \sum_{k,k'=0}^m \alpha_{x_k, x_{k'}} [x_k x_{k'}, x_l] + \sum_{i \in I - \{1\}} \left(\alpha_{1,i} [y_i x_0, y_i] + \sum_{i' \in I - \{1\}} \alpha_{2,i,i'} [y_{i'} y_i, y_{i'}] \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{k=0}^m \alpha_{3,k,i} [x_k y_i, x_k] \right) + \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda - \{1\}} \left(\beta_{1,\lambda} [x_0 z_\lambda, z_\lambda] + \sum_{\lambda' \in \Lambda - \{1\}} \beta_{2,\lambda,\lambda'} [z_\lambda z_{\lambda'}, z_{\lambda'}] \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{k=0}^m \beta_{3,k,\lambda} [z_\lambda x_k, x_k] + \sum_{i \in I - \{1\}} \gamma_{\lambda,i} [z_\lambda y_i, p_{\lambda i}] \right) \end{aligned}$$

where all the coefficients are integers, $\alpha \in \ker \bar{\partial}_2$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \bar{\partial}_2(\alpha) = & \sum_{k,k'=0}^m \alpha_{x_k, x_{k'}} ([x_k] + [x_{k'}] - [x_l]) \\ & + \sum_{i \in I - \{1\}} \left(\alpha_{1,i} [x_0] + \sum_{i' \in I - \{1\}} \alpha_{2,i,i'} [y_{i'}] + \sum_{k=0}^m \alpha_{3,k,i} [y_i] \right) \\ & + \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda - \{1\}} \left(\beta_{1,\lambda} [x_0] + \sum_{\lambda' \in \Lambda - \{1\}} \beta_{2,\lambda,\lambda'} [z_{\lambda'}] + \sum_{k=0}^m \beta_{3,k,\lambda} [z_\lambda] \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{i \in I - \{1\}} \gamma_{\lambda,i} ([z_\lambda] + [y_i] - [p_{\lambda i}]) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Equivalently, $\alpha \in \ker \bar{\partial}_2$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{x_0, x_0} = & - \sum_{k=1}^m (\alpha_{x_k, x_0} + \alpha_{x_0, x_k} - \alpha_{x_k, x_k^{-1}}) - \sum_{i \in I - \{1\}} \alpha_{1,i} - \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda - \{1\}} \beta_{1,\lambda} \quad (2) \\ & + \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \Lambda - \{1\}, i \in I - \{1\} \\ p_{\lambda i} \equiv x_0}} \gamma_{\lambda,i}, \end{aligned}$$

$$0 = 2\alpha_{x_k, x_k} + \sum_{\substack{k'=1 \\ k' \neq k}}^m (\alpha_{x_k, x_{k'}} + \alpha_{x_{k'}, x_k} - \alpha_{x_{k'}, x_{k'}^{-1} x_k}) \quad (3)$$

$$- \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \Lambda - \{1\}, i \in I - \{1\} \\ p_{\lambda i} = x_k}} \gamma_{\lambda, i} \quad (1 \leq k \leq m),$$

$$\alpha_{2, i, 2} = - \left(\sum_{i' \in I - \{1, 2\}} \alpha_{2, i, i'} + \sum_{k=0}^m \alpha_{3, k, i} + \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda - \{1\}} \gamma_{\lambda, i} \right) \quad (i \in I - \{1\}), \quad (4)$$

$$\beta_{2, \lambda, 2} = - \left(\sum_{\lambda' \in \Lambda - \{1, 2\}} \beta_{2, \lambda, \lambda'} + \sum_{k=0}^m \beta_{3, k, \lambda} + \sum_{i \in I - \{1\}} \gamma_{\lambda, i} \right) \quad (\lambda \in \Lambda - \{1\}). \quad (5)$$

We have assumed that $|I|, |\Lambda| \geq 2$ and that 2 is a common element. The cases $|I| = 1$ or $|\Lambda| = 1$ are treated similarly. By using the system of equations above, we find a basis for $\ker \bar{\partial}_2$. First, if we take all $\alpha_{1, i}, \alpha_{2, i, i'}, \alpha_{3, k, i}, \beta_{1, \lambda}, \beta_{2, \lambda, \lambda'}, \beta_{3, k, \lambda}$ and $\gamma_{\lambda, i}$ to be zero, we have

$$\sum_{x_k, x_{k'} \in X} \alpha_{x_k, x_{k'}} ([x_k] + [x_{k'}] - [x_l]) = 0,$$

which gives the basis $\{W_j \mid j \in J\}$ of $\ker \bar{\partial}_2^G$ where $H_2(G) = \ker \bar{\partial}_2^G / \text{im } \bar{\partial}_3^G$.

Now if we fix $\alpha_{1, i} = 1$ and all the other variables on the right-hand side in (2)–(5) to be zero, then we obtain $\alpha_{x_0, x_0} = -1$. Therefore we obtain the following generators:

$$W_i = [y_i x_0, y_i] - [x_0^2, x_0] \quad (i \in I - \{1\}).$$

By using similar arguments, we obtain certain other generators:

$$\begin{aligned} W_\lambda &= [x_0 z_\lambda, z_\lambda] - [x_0^2, x_0] && (\lambda \in \Lambda - \{1\}), \\ W_{i, k} &= [y_2 y_i, y_2] - [x_k y_i, x_k] && (0 \leq k \leq m, i \in I - \{1\}), \\ W_{\lambda, k} &= [z_\lambda z_2, z_2] - [z_\lambda x_k, x_k] && (0 \leq k \leq m, \lambda \in \Lambda - \{1\}), \\ W_{i, i'} &= [y_{i'} y_i, y_{i'}] - [y_2 y_i, y_2] && (i, i' \in I - \{1\}, i' \neq 2), \\ W_{\lambda, \lambda'} &= [z_\lambda z_{\lambda'}, z_{\lambda'}] - [z_\lambda z_2, z_2] && (\lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda - \{1\}, \lambda' \neq 2). \end{aligned}$$

We note that to construct a basis for $\ker \bar{\partial}_2$ we need a further $(|\Lambda| - 1)(|I| - 1)$ independent elements. We will see that we do not need to identify these remaining elements $W_{\lambda,i}$ ($\lambda \in \Lambda - \{1\}$; $i \in I - \{1\}$) of the basis:

$$Z = \{ W_j, W_i, W_\lambda, W_{i,k}, W_{\lambda,k}, W_{i,i'}, W_{\lambda,\lambda'}, W_{\lambda,i}, \mid j \in J; 0 \leq k \leq m; \\ i, i' \in I - \{1\} (i' \neq 2); \lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda - \{1\} (\lambda' \neq 2) \}.$$

Now we express the V 's in B in terms of the W 's in Z . First, for each $l \in L$, write $V_l(W)$ for the expression of V_l in terms of the W_j ($j \in J$) as in the calculation of $H_2(G)$. Now observe that

$$\begin{aligned} V_{0,i} &= W_i, & V_{k,i} &= W_i + \bar{\partial}_3([(x_k x_0, x_k), (x_0 x_0, x_0)]) \quad (k \neq 0), \\ V_{0,\lambda} &= W_\lambda, & V_{k,\lambda} &= W_\lambda - \bar{\partial}_3([(x_0 x_0, x_0), (x_0 x_k, x_k)]) \quad (k \neq 0), \\ V_{k,2,i} &= W_{i,k}, & V_{k,i',i} &= W_{i,i'} + W_{i,k} \quad (i' \neq 2), \\ V_{k,\lambda,2} &= W_{\lambda,k}, & V_{k,\lambda,\lambda'} &= W_{\lambda,\lambda'} + W_{\lambda,k} \quad (\lambda' \neq 2). \end{aligned}$$

We obtain the following abelian group presentation for $H_2(S)$:

$$\langle Z \mid V_l(W) = 0, W_i = 0, W_i + V_k(W) = 0 \ (k \neq 0), W_\lambda = 0, \\ W_\lambda + V'_k(W) = 0 \ (k \neq 0), W_{i,k} = 0, W_{i,i'} + W_{i,k} = 0, \\ W_{\lambda,k} = 0, W_{\lambda,\lambda'} + W_{\lambda,k} = 0 \ (l \in L; 0 \leq k \leq m; \\ \lambda \in \Lambda - \{1\}; \lambda' \in \Lambda - \{1, 2\}; i \in I - \{1\}; i' \in I - \{1, 2\}) \rangle$$

where $V_k(W)$ expresses $\bar{\partial}_3([(x_k x_0, x_k), (x_0 x_0, x_0)])$ in terms of the W_j , and similarly for $V'_k(W)$. It is clear that some of the generators in the above presentation are redundant. By eliminating these redundant generators, we obtain the abelian group presentation:

$$\langle V_j, W_{\lambda,i} \ (j \in J; \lambda \in \Lambda - \{1\}; i \in I - \{1\}) \mid V_l(W) = 0 \ (l \in L) \rangle$$

which defines the abelian group

$$H_2(G) \times \mathbb{Z}^{(|I|-1)(|\Lambda|-1)},$$

as required. □

4. A small presentation for Rees matrix semigroups

Consider the presentation for $S = \mathcal{M}[G; I, \Lambda; P]$, a Rees matrix semigroup with P normal, which is given in Theorem 2.2 by

$$\mathcal{P}_1 = \langle Y \mid R, y_i e = y_i, \quad e y_i = e \quad (2 \leq i \leq m), \quad (6)$$

$$z_\lambda e = e, \quad e z_\lambda = z_\lambda \quad (2 \leq \lambda \leq n), \quad (7)$$

$$z_\lambda y_i = p_{\lambda i} \quad (2 \leq i \leq m, \quad 2 \leq \lambda \leq n) \rangle$$

where e is a non-empty representative of the identity of G , and where $I = \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $\Lambda = \{1, \dots, n\}$. From now on, we write $S = \mathcal{M}[G; m, n; P]$ instead of $S = \mathcal{M}[G; I, \Lambda; P]$.

The deficiency of \mathcal{P}_1 is $\text{def}(\mathcal{P}_1) = \text{def}(\mathcal{P}_G) + (m-1)(n-1) + (m-1) + (n-1)$, where $\mathcal{P}_G = \langle X \mid R \rangle$ is a semigroup presentation for G . With the above notation, we give a presentation for S with deficiency $\text{def}(\mathcal{P}_G) + (m-1)(n-1) + 1$, which is one higher than the rank of $H_2(S)$ (see Theorem 3.4), provided that \mathcal{P}_G is an efficient presentation for G .

Proposition 4.5 *The presentation*

$$\mathcal{P}_2 = \langle Y \mid R, e y_2 = e, \quad y_i y_{i+1} = y_i \quad (2 \leq i \leq m-1), \quad (8)$$

$$e z_2 = z_2, \quad z_\lambda z_{\lambda+1} = z_{\lambda+1} \quad (2 \leq \lambda \leq n-1), \quad (9)$$

$$y_m z_n e = y_m, \quad (10)$$

$$z_\lambda y_i = p_{\lambda i} \quad (2 \leq i \leq m, \quad 2 \leq \lambda \leq n) \rangle$$

defines the Rees matrix semigroup $S = \mathcal{M}[G; m, n; P]$ with $m, n > 1$.

Proof. From (6), we have

$$y_i y_{i+1} = (y_i e) y_{i+1} \equiv y_i (e y_{i+1}) = y_i e = y_i \quad (2 \leq i \leq m-1).$$

Similarly, from (7), we have

$$z_\lambda z_{\lambda+1} = z_\lambda e = z_\lambda \quad (2 \leq \lambda \leq n-1).$$

Moreover, from (7) and (6), we have

$$y_m z_n e = y_m e = y_m.$$

Therefore, every relation in \mathcal{P}_2 holds in S . Now we show that every relation in \mathcal{P}_1 is a consequence of the relations in \mathcal{P}_2 .

By induction, it follows from (8) that $y_i y_{i'} = y_i$ ($2 \leq i < i' \leq m$). In particular,

$$y_i y_m = y_i \quad \text{and} \quad y_2 y_i = y_2 \quad (2 \leq i \leq m). \quad (11)$$

Similarly, from (9),

$$z_\lambda z_n = z_n \quad \text{and} \quad z_2 z_\lambda = z_\lambda \quad (2 \leq \lambda \leq n). \quad (12)$$

Since G is finite and e is a representative of the identity of G , there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the relation $p_{nm}^k = e$ holds in G , and so $(z_n y_m)^k = e$ is a consequence of the relations from $R \cup \{z_n y_m = p_{nm}\}$. It follows from (12), (9) and (10) that

$$z_n e = (z_2 z_n) e = (e z_2) z_n e = e z_n e = (z_n y_m)^{k-1} z_n (y_m z_n e) = (z_n y_m)^k = e. \quad (13)$$

Moreover, since $e^2 = e$ is a consequence of the relations from R , it follows from (10) that

$$y_m e = (y_m z_n e) e = y_m z_n e = y_m. \quad (14)$$

Next, from (11) and (14), we have

$$y_i e = (y_i y_m) e \equiv y_i (y_m e) = y_i y_m = y_i \quad (2 \leq i \leq m-1)$$

and, from (8) and (11), we have

$$e y_i = (e y_2) y_i \equiv e (y_2 y_i) = e y_2 = e \quad (3 \leq i \leq m).$$

Similarly, from (9), (12) and (13), we have $e z_\lambda = z_\lambda$ ($3 \leq \lambda \leq n$) and $z_\lambda e = e$ ($2 \leq \lambda \leq n-1$), as required. \square

5. Efficiency of Rees matrix semigroups

The presentation \mathcal{P}_2 is not efficient, but it proves useful in the following results. In [1] we proved that finite abelian groups and dihedral groups D_{2r} with r even are efficient (as semigroups). In particular, we found efficient semigroup presentations of the form $\langle X \mid R_1, x^{k+1} = x \rangle$ with identity x^k . In the following theorem we use semigroup presentations for groups of a similar form in \mathcal{P}_2 to obtain efficient semigroup presentations for Rees matrix semigroups.

Theorem 5.6 *Let $S = \mathcal{M}[G; m, n; P]$ be a finite Rees matrix semigroup with P normal. If G has a semigroup presentation of the form $\mathcal{P}_G = \langle X \mid R_1, xux = x \rangle$ with identity xu ($x \in X, u \in X^+$), then S has a semigroup presentation whose deficiency is $\text{def}(\mathcal{P}_G) + (m-1)(n-1)$.*

Proof. First assume that $m, n > 1$ and consider the presentation \mathcal{P}_2 for S . Take $e \equiv xu$. Since, from (8) and (13), the relations $xuy_2 = xu, z_nx = x$ and $xux = x$ hold in S , we have

$$xuy_2z_nx \equiv (xuy_2)z_nx = xu(z_nx) = xux = x.$$

Therefore, S is a homomorphic image of the semigroup T defined by the presentation obtained from \mathcal{P}_2 by adding the relation $xuy_2z_nx = x$ and removing the relations $xuy_2 = xu$ and $xux = x$:

$$\mathcal{P}_3 = \langle Y \mid R_1, xuy_2z_nx = x, \tag{15}$$

$$y_iy_{i+1} = y_i \quad (2 \leq i \leq m-1), \tag{16}$$

$$xuz_2 = z_2, \tag{17}$$

$$z_\lambda z_{\lambda+1} = z_{\lambda+1} \quad (2 \leq \lambda \leq n-1), \tag{18}$$

$$y_m z_n x u = y_m, \tag{19}$$

$$z_\lambda y_i = p_{\lambda i} \quad (2 \leq i \leq m, 2 \leq \lambda \leq n) \rangle.$$

Note that if $m = 2$, then (16) is absent and if $n = 2$, then (18) is absent. Now we show that the relations $xuy_2 = xu$ and $xux = x$ hold in T so that $S \cong T$.

As before, from (16), (18) and (17), we have

$$y_2y_m = y_2, \quad z_2z_n = z_n \quad \text{and} \quad xuz_n = z_n. \tag{20}$$

It follows from (20), (19) and (15) that

$$xuy_2 = xu(y_2y_m) = xuy_2(y_mz_nxu) = (xuy_2z_nx)u = xu \tag{21}$$

and also that

$$z_nx = (z_2z_n)x = (xuz_2)z_nx = xuz_nx = (xuy_2)z_nx = x. \tag{22}$$

Therefore, from (15), (21), (20) and (22), we have

$$xux = xu(xuy_2z_nx) = xu(xuz_n)x = (xuz_n)x = z_nx = x$$

and hence S is efficient, as required.

Similarly, it may be shown that if $m = 1$, then

$$\mathcal{P}'_3 = \langle X, z_2, \dots, z_n \mid R_1, uxz_2 = z_2, z_\lambda z_{\lambda+1} = z_{\lambda+1} \ (2 \leq \lambda \leq n-1), xz_n ux = x \rangle$$

is an efficient presentation for S . Similarly, if $n = 1$, then

$$\mathcal{P}''_3 = \langle X, y_2, \dots, y_m \mid R_1, xuy_2x = x, y_i y_{i+1} = y_i \ (2 \leq i \leq m-1), y_m xu = y_m \rangle$$

is an efficient presentation for S . The proof is now complete. \square

As we mentioned at the beginning of this section, finite abelian groups and dihedral groups D_{2r} with r even, have efficient semigroup presentations of the required form (see [1]). (For further examples of groups which are efficient as semigroups, see [2].) Therefore we have the following result.

Corollary 5.7 *Finite Rees matrix semigroups over finite abelian groups or dihedral groups with even degree are efficient.* \square

6. Efficient non-simple semigroups

All the efficient semigroups in [1] and in this paper so far are simple. In this section, we give a family of efficient non-simple semigroups which have non-trivial second homology. Consider the following presentation:

$$\langle a_1, \dots, a_r \mid a_i^{n_i+1} = a_i \ (1 \leq i \leq r), a_j a_i = a_i a_j \ (1 \leq i < j \leq r) \rangle$$

where $n_1 > 1$ and n_i divides n_{i+1} for $i = 1, \dots, r-1$.

This semigroup presentation is related to the standard group presentation of the abelian group $C_{n_1} \times \dots \times C_{n_r}$, where C_{n_i} is the cyclic group of order n_i . For $r \geq 2$, it is clear that this semigroup presentation defines a commutative semigroup S which is not a group. For $r \geq 2$, the subset $I = \{a_1^{m_1} \dots a_r^{m_r} \mid 1 \leq m_i \leq n_i \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, r\}$ is a proper (minimal) ideal of S , so that S is not simple.

Theorem 6.8 *Let S be the semigroup defined by the presentation*

$$\langle a_1, \dots, a_r \mid a_i^{n_i+1} = a_i \ (1 \leq i \leq r), \ a_j a_i = a_i a_j \ (1 \leq i < j \leq r) \rangle$$

where $n_1 > 1$ and n_i divides n_{i+1} for $i = 1, \dots, r-1$. Then the second homology of S is

$$H_2(S) = C_{n_1}^{(r-1)} \times C_{n_2}^{(r-2)} \times \dots \times C_{n_{r-1}}.$$

In particular, S is an efficient semigroup.

Proof. Since the system of relations is uniquely terminating, use the Squier resolution [8] to determine the second homology. \square

References

- [1] Ayık, H., Campbell, C.M., O'Connor, J.J., and Ruškuc, N.: Minimal presentations and efficiency of semigroups, *Semigroup Forum* **60**, 231–242 (2000).
- [2] Ayık, H., Campbell, C.M., O'Connor, J.J., and Ruškuc, N.: The semigroup efficiency of groups, *Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Sect. A*, to appear.
- [3] Guba, V.S., and Pride, S.J.: Low dimensional (co)homology of free Burnside monoids, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **108**, 61–79 (1996).
- [4] Howie, J.M.: *Fundamentals of Semigroup Theory*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1995.
- [5] Howie, J.M., and Ruškuc, N.: Constructions and presentations for monoids, *Comm. Algebra* **22**, 6209–6224 (1994).
- [6] Karpilovsky, G.: *The Schur Multiplier*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1987.
- [7] Rees, D.: On semi-groups, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **36**, 387–400 (1940).
- [8] Squier, C.: Word problems and a homological finiteness condition for monoids, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **49**, 201–217 (1987).

H. AYIK

Çukurova Üniversitesi,
Matematik Bölümü,
Adana-TURKEY

C. M. CAMPBELL, J. J. O'CONNOR, N. RUŠKUC

Mathematical Institute,
University of St Andrews,
St Andrews KY16 9SS, SCOTLAND

Received 20.01.2000