

1-1-2000

Multipliers between Orlicz Sequence Spaces

P. B. DJAKOV

M. S. RAMANUAN

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

DJAKOV, P. B. and RAMANUAN, M. S. (2000) "Multipliers between Orlicz Sequence Spaces," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 24: No. 3, Article 11. Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol24/iss3/11>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.

Multipliers between Orlicz Sequence Spaces *

P. B. Djakov & M. S. Ramanujan

Abstract

Let M, N be Orlicz functions, and let $D(\ell_M, \ell_N)$ be the space of all diagonal operators (that is multipliers) acting between the Orlicz sequence spaces ℓ_M and ℓ_N . We prove that the space of multipliers $D(\ell_M, \ell_N)$ coincides with (and is isomorphic to) the Orlicz sequence space $\ell_{M_N^*}$, where M_N^* is the Orlicz function defined by $M_N^*(\lambda) = \sup\{N(\lambda x) - M(x), x \in (0, 1)\}$.

Key words and phrases. Orlicz sequence space, multipliers.

Let $M(t), t \geq 0$, be an Orlicz function, that is a non-decreasing convex function such that $M(0) = 0$ and $M(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Orlicz sequence space ℓ_M defined by the function $M(t)$ is the linear space of all sequences of scalars $x = (x_i)_1^\infty$ such that $\sum_i M(x_i) < \infty$. Equipped with the norm

$$\|x\|_M = \inf\{\rho : \sum_i M(|x_i|/\rho) \leq 1\}$$

it is a Banach space.

An Orlicz function $M(t)$ is said to satisfy the Δ_2 -condition near 0 if $M(2t) \leq CM(t)$, $t \in (0, 1)$ for some constant $C > 0$. The following facts are known:

Proposition 1 *Let M be an Orlicz function. Then the subspace*

$$h_M = \{x = (x_i) : \sum M(|x_i|/\rho) < \infty \quad \forall \rho > 0\}$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46B45.

*The first author thanks the University of Michigan and B. Alan Taylor for their hospitality during academic 98/99. His research was supported in part by NRF of Bulgaria, grant no. MM-808/98.

is a closed subspace of ℓ_M , and the vectors $(e_n)_1^\infty$ (where $e_n = (e_{ni})$, $e_{ni} = 0$ if $i \neq n$, and $e_{nn} = 1$) form a basis in it.

Moreover, $h_m = \ell_M$ if and only if M satisfies the Δ_2 -condition.

We refer to [1] for a proof of this proposition and the basic theory of Orlicz sequence spaces.

Let $M(t)$ and $N(t)$ be two Orlicz functions. A sequence of scalars $\lambda = (\lambda_i)$ is called a *multiplier* between the Orlicz spaces ℓ_M and ℓ_N if for each $x = (x_i) \in \ell_M$ we have $\lambda x := (\lambda_i x_i) \in \ell_N$. It is easy to see by the Closed Graph Theorem that each multiplier λ defines a continuous *diagonal* operator

$$T_\lambda : \ell_M \rightarrow \ell_N.$$

Therefore we identify multipliers with diagonal operators and denote by $D(\ell_M, \ell_N)$ the space of all multipliers between ℓ_M and ℓ_N . Regarded with the usual operator norm it is a Banach space.

Consider the function

$$M_N^*(s) = \max(0, \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \{N(st) - M(t)\}), \quad s \geq 0. \tag{1}$$

Evidently it is an Orlicz function, and by its definition we have

$$N(ts) \leq M(t) + M_N^*(s), \tag{2}$$

which generalizes the classical Young inequality.

Two Orlicz functions $M(t)$ and $\bar{M}(t)$ are *equivalent*, if

$$\exists c > 0, t_0 > 0 : \quad c^{-1}M(c^{-1}t) \leq \bar{M}(t) \leq cM(ct), \quad \forall t \in [0, t_0].$$

Equivalent Orlicz functions generate one and the same Orlicz sequence space and define equivalent norms on it. It is easy to see that if one replaces the functions M and N with equivalent Orlicz functions \bar{M} and \bar{N} , then the functions M_N^* and \bar{M}_N^* will be equivalent.

Proposition 2 *If $\lambda \in \ell_{M_N^*}$ then it is a multiplier from ℓ_M into ℓ_N . Moreover, the following generalization of the Hölder inequality holds:*

$$\|\lambda x\|_N \leq 2\|\lambda\|_{M_N^*} \|x\|_M \quad \forall \lambda \in \ell_{M_N^*}, \forall x \in \ell_M. \tag{3}$$

Proof. First, observe that if S is an Orlicz function then

$$\|(y_i)\|_S > 1 \Rightarrow \|(y_i)\|_S \leq \sum_i S(|y_i|). \quad (4)$$

Indeed, since S is a convex function and $S(0) = 0$ we have for every $\beta > 1$ that $S(\beta^{-1}t) = S(\beta^{-1}t + (1 - \beta^{-1}) \cdot 0) \leq \beta^{-1}S(t)$. Therefore, from the definition of the norm $\|(y_i)\|_S$, it follows that for every β such that $1 < \beta < \|(y_i)\|_S$ we have

$$1 < \sum_i S(|y_i|/\beta) \leq \beta^{-1} \sum_i S(|y_i|).$$

So, letting $\beta \rightarrow \|(y_i)\|_S$ we obtain $\|(y_i)\|_S \leq \sum_i S(|y_i|)$.

Fix $\lambda = (\lambda_i) \in \ell_{M_N^*}$ and $x = (x_i) \in \ell_M$, and let

$$\rho > \|\lambda\|_{M_N^*}, \quad r > \|x\|_M.$$

Consider the sequences $\tilde{\lambda} = \lambda/\rho$ and $\tilde{x} = x/r$.

Then

$$\sum_i N(|\tilde{\lambda}_i \tilde{x}_i|) \leq \sum_i M(|\tilde{x}_i|) + \sum_i M_N^*(|\tilde{\lambda}_i|) \leq 2,$$

and from (4) it follows that $\|\tilde{\lambda} \tilde{x}\|_N \leq 2$, thus $\|\lambda x\|_N \leq 2\rho r$. Letting $\rho \uparrow \|\lambda\|_{M_N^*}$ and $r \uparrow \|x\|_M$ we obtain the claim.

Theorem 3 *For every pair of Orlicz functions M, N the sequence spaces $D(\ell_M, \ell_N)$ and $\ell_{M_N^*}$ coincide as sets, and moreover, they are isomorphic as Banach spaces.*

Proof. First, observe that if S is an Orlicz function then

$$\|(y_i)\|_S < 1 \Rightarrow \sum_i S(|y_i|) \leq \|(y_i)\|_S. \quad (5)$$

Indeed, since S is a convex function and $S(0) = 0$ we have for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ that $S(\alpha t) \leq \alpha S(t)$. Therefore for every α such that $\|(y_i)\|_S < \alpha < 1$

$$\|(y_i)\|_S < \alpha \Rightarrow \sum_i S(|y_i|/\alpha) \leq 1 \Rightarrow \sum_i S(|y_i|) \leq \alpha \sum_i S(|y_i|/\alpha) \leq \alpha,$$

so letting $\alpha \rightarrow \|(y_i)\|_S$ we obtain $\sum_i S(|y_i|) \leq \|(y_i)\|_S$.

Consider, in the space of multipliers $D(\ell_M, \ell_N)$, the operator norm

$$\|\lambda\|_0 = \sup\{\|\lambda x\|_N : \|x\|_M = 1\}.$$

From Proposition 2 it follows immediately that

$$D(\ell_M, \ell_N) \supset \ell_{M_N^*}$$

and

$$\|\mu\|_0 \leq 2\|\mu\|_{M_N^*} \quad \forall \mu \in \ell_{M_N^*}.$$

Further we show that

$$D(\ell_M, \ell_N) \subset \ell_{M_N^*}$$

and

$$\|\mu\|_{M_N^*} \leq 2\|\mu\|_0 \quad \forall \mu \in D(\ell_M, \ell_N).$$

We may assume without loss of generality that $M(1) = 1$ and $N(1) = 1$. Then we have

$$\forall i \quad \|e_i\|_M = 1, \quad \|e_i\|_N = 1.$$

Fix a multiplier $\lambda = (\lambda_i) \in D(\ell_M, \ell_N)$ such that $\|\lambda\|_0 = 1/2$. Then $|\lambda_i| = \|\lambda e_i\|_N \leq 1/2 \|e_i\|_M = 1/2$.

Since M and N are Orlicz functions they are continuous. Thus for every $i = 1, 2, \dots$ there exists an $x_i \in [0, 1]$ such that

$$M_N^*(|\lambda_i|) = N(|\lambda_i|x_i) - M(x_i),$$

that is

$$N(|\lambda_i|x_i) = M(x_i) + M_N^*(|\lambda_i|). \tag{6}$$

Consider the sequence $(x_i)_{i=1}^\infty$. Since by our assumption $\|\lambda\|_0 = 1/2$, we have by (5)

$$\forall i \quad N(|\lambda_i|x_i) \leq \|\lambda_i x_i e_i\|_N \leq 1/2 \|x_i e_i\|_M \leq 1/2,$$

therefore

$$M(x_i) = N(|\lambda_i|x_i) - M_N^*(|\lambda_i|) < 1/2, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots \tag{7}$$

We shall prove by induction that $\sum_1^n M(x_i) \leq 1/2$. It was shown that the statement is true for $n = 1$.

Consider the sequences $\xi^{(n)} = \sum_1^n x_i e_i$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Assume that the claim is true for some n . Then

$$\sum_1^{n+1} M(x_i) = \sum_1^n M(x_i) + M(x_{n+1}) \leq 1/2 + 1/2 \leq 1,$$

so $\|\xi^{n+1}\|_M \leq 1$. Therefore we obtain by (6) and (5)

$$\sum_1^{n+1} M(x_i) \leq \sum_1^{n+1} N(|\lambda_i| x_i) \leq \|\lambda \xi^{n+1}\|_N \leq 1/2,$$

which proves the claim.

Since $\sum_1^n M(x_i) < 1/2$ for every n we have $\sum_1^\infty M(x_i) \leq 1/2$, thus $x \in \ell_M$ and $\|x\|_M < 1$. Now from (6) and (5) it follows

$$\sum_1^\infty M_N^*(\lambda_i) \leq \sum_1^\infty N(|\lambda_i| x_i) \leq \|\lambda x\|_N \leq 1/2 \|x\|_M \leq 1/2,$$

hence $\lambda \in \ell_{M_N^*}$ and $\|\lambda\|_{M_N^*} \leq 1$.

Suppose $\mu \in D(\ell_M, \ell_N)$ is an arbitrary multiplier. Consider the sequence $\lambda = \mu/\rho$, where $\rho = 2\|\mu\|_0$. Then we have $\lambda \in \ell_{M_N^*}$ and $\|\lambda\|_{M_N^*} = \|\mu/\rho\|_{M_N^*} \leq 1$, hence $\mu \in \ell_{M_N^*}$ and

$$\|\mu\|_{M_N^*} \leq 2\|\mu\|_0.$$

The theorem is proved.

Remark 1. An Orlicz function S is called degenerate, if $S(t) = 0$ for some $t > 0$; then the corresponding Orlicz sequence space ℓ_S coincides with ℓ_∞ . In view of the theorem $D(\ell_M, \ell_N) = \ell_\infty$ if and only if the Orlicz function M_N^* is degenerate.

Example. It is well known that for $p, q \geq 1$

$$D(\ell_p, \ell_q) = \begin{cases} \ell_r, & 1/r = 1/q - 1/p, & \text{if } p > q; \\ \ell_\infty, & & \text{if } p \leq q. \end{cases}$$

Let us see how this result follows from Theorem 2. Consider $M(t) = t^p/p$ and $N(t) = t^q/q$. If $p > q$ then it is easy to see that for each fixed $s \in (0, 1)$ the expression $N(st) - M(t) = (st)^q/q - t^p/p$ attains its maximum for $t \in [0, 1]$ at $t = s^{q/(p-q)}$. Thus for $s \in [0, 1]$

$$M_N^*(s) = (1/q - 1/p)s^{pq/(p-q)} = s^r/r$$

with $1/r = 1/q - 1/p$, hence $D(\ell_q, \ell_p) = \ell_r$. In the case $p \leq q$, if $s^q \leq q/p$, then

$$N(st) - M(t) = (st)^q/q - t^p/p \leq 0, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Therefore $M_N^*(s) = 0$ for $s \leq (q/p)^{1/q}$, that is M_N^* is a degenerate Orlicz function, hence $D(\ell_p, \ell_q) = \ell_\infty$.

Remark 2. Let $D_c(\ell_M, \ell_N)$ be the space of all compact multipliers between the spaces ℓ_M and ℓ_N . It is easy to see by Proposition 1 that each multiplier from the subspace $h_{M_N^*}$ is compact (as limit of finitely-supported multipliers), thus

$$h_{M_N^*} \subset D_c(\ell_M, \ell_N).$$

In particular, if the function M_N^* satisfies the Δ_2 -condition near zero, then each multiplier between the spaces ℓ_M and ℓ_N is compact, that is

$$D(\ell_M, \ell_N) = D_c(\ell_M, \ell_N).$$

Up to our knowledge the following question is open:

Question. Is it true that every compact multiplier between the spaces ℓ_M and ℓ_N is a limit of finitely-supported multipliers ?

Obviously, a positive answer to that question would imply that

$$D_c(\ell_M, \ell_N) = h_{M_N^*},$$

so we would have

$$D(\ell_M, \ell_N) = D_c(\ell_M, \ell_N).$$

if and only if the function M_N^* satisfies the Δ_2 -condition.

References

- [1] J. Lindenstrauss, L. Tzafriri, Classical Banach spaces I, Berlin, 1977.

P. B. DJAKOV

Received 21.06.2000

Department of Mathematics,

Sofia University,

1164 Sofia-BULGARIA

e-mail: djakov@fmi.uni-sofia.bg

&

M. S. RAMANUJAN

Department of Mathematics,

University of Michigan, Ann Arbor,

Michigan 48109-1109-USA

e-mail: msram@math.lsa.umich.edu