

1-1-2000

## Multipliers between Orlicz Sequence Spaces

P. B. DJAKOV

M. S. RAMANUAN

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

DJAKOV, P. B. and RAMANUAN, M. S. (2000) "Multipliers between Orlicz Sequence Spaces," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 24: No. 3, Article 11. Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol24/iss3/11>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact [academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr](mailto:academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr).

## Multipliers between Orlicz Sequence Spaces \*

*P. B. Djakov & M. S. Ramanujan*

### Abstract

Let  $M, N$  be Orlicz functions, and let  $D(\ell_M, \ell_N)$  be the space of all diagonal operators (that is multipliers) acting between the Orlicz sequence spaces  $\ell_M$  and  $\ell_N$ . We prove that the space of multipliers  $D(\ell_M, \ell_N)$  coincides with (and is isomorphic to) the Orlicz sequence space  $\ell_{M_N^*}$ , where  $M_N^*$  is the Orlicz function defined by  $M_N^*(\lambda) = \sup\{N(\lambda x) - M(x), x \in (0, 1)\}$ .

**Key words and phrases.** Orlicz sequence space, multipliers.

Let  $M(t), t \geq 0$ , be an Orlicz function, that is a non-decreasing convex function such that  $M(0) = 0$  and  $M(t) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Orlicz sequence space  $\ell_M$  defined by the function  $M(t)$  is the linear space of all sequences of scalars  $x = (x_i)_1^\infty$  such that  $\sum_i M(x_i) < \infty$ . Equipped with the norm

$$\|x\|_M = \inf\{\rho : \sum_i M(|x_i|/\rho) \leq 1\}$$

it is a Banach space.

An Orlicz function  $M(t)$  is said to satisfy the  $\Delta_2$ -condition near 0 if  $M(2t) \leq CM(t)$ ,  $t \in (0, 1)$  for some constant  $C > 0$ . The following facts are known:

**Proposition 1** *Let  $M$  be an Orlicz function. Then the subspace*

$$h_M = \{x = (x_i) : \sum M(|x_i|/\rho) < \infty \quad \forall \rho > 0\}$$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46B45.

\*The first author thanks the University of Michigan and B. Alan Taylor for their hospitality during academic 98/99. His research was supported in part by NRF of Bulgaria, grant no. MM-808/98.

is a closed subspace of  $\ell_M$ , and the vectors  $(e_n)_1^\infty$  (where  $e_n = (e_{ni})$ ,  $e_{ni} = 0$  if  $i \neq n$ , and  $e_{nn} = 1$ ) form a basis in it.

Moreover,  $h_m = \ell_M$  if and only if  $M$  satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -condition.

We refer to [1] for a proof of this proposition and the basic theory of Orlicz sequence spaces.

Let  $M(t)$  and  $N(t)$  be two Orlicz functions. A sequence of scalars  $\lambda = (\lambda_i)$  is called a *multiplier* between the Orlicz spaces  $\ell_M$  and  $\ell_N$  if for each  $x = (x_i) \in \ell_M$  we have  $\lambda x := (\lambda_i x_i) \in \ell_N$ . It is easy to see by the Closed Graph Theorem that each multiplier  $\lambda$  defines a continuous *diagonal* operator

$$T_\lambda : \ell_M \rightarrow \ell_N.$$

Therefore we identify multipliers with diagonal operators and denote by  $D(\ell_M, \ell_N)$  the space of all multipliers between  $\ell_M$  and  $\ell_N$ . Regarded with the usual operator norm it is a Banach space.

Consider the function

$$M_N^*(s) = \max(0, \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \{N(st) - M(t)\}), \quad s \geq 0. \tag{1}$$

Evidently it is an Orlicz function, and by its definition we have

$$N(ts) \leq M(t) + M_N^*(s), \tag{2}$$

which generalizes the classical Young inequality.

Two Orlicz functions  $M(t)$  and  $\bar{M}(t)$  are *equivalent*, if

$$\exists c > 0, t_0 > 0 : \quad c^{-1}M(c^{-1}t) \leq \bar{M}(t) \leq cM(ct), \quad \forall t \in [0, t_0].$$

Equivalent Orlicz functions generate one and the same Orlicz sequence space and define equivalent norms on it. It is easy to see that if one replaces the functions  $M$  and  $N$  with equivalent Orlicz functions  $\bar{M}$  and  $\bar{N}$ , then the functions  $M_N^*$  and  $\bar{M}_N^*$  will be equivalent.

**Proposition 2** *If  $\lambda \in \ell_{M_N^*}$  then it is a multiplier from  $\ell_M$  into  $\ell_N$ . Moreover, the following generalization of the Hölder inequality holds:*

$$\|\lambda x\|_N \leq 2\|\lambda\|_{M_N^*} \|x\|_M \quad \forall \lambda \in \ell_{M_N^*}, \forall x \in \ell_M. \tag{3}$$

**Proof.** First, observe that if  $S$  is an Orlicz function then

$$\|(y_i)\|_S > 1 \Rightarrow \|(y_i)\|_S \leq \sum_i S(|y_i|). \quad (4)$$

Indeed, since  $S$  is a convex function and  $S(0) = 0$  we have for every  $\beta > 1$  that  $S(\beta^{-1}t) = S(\beta^{-1}t + (1 - \beta^{-1}) \cdot 0) \leq \beta^{-1}S(t)$ . Therefore, from the definition of the norm  $\|(y_i)\|_S$ , it follows that for every  $\beta$  such that  $1 < \beta < \|(y_i)\|_S$  we have

$$1 < \sum_i S(|y_i|/\beta) \leq \beta^{-1} \sum_i S(|y_i|).$$

So, letting  $\beta \rightarrow \|(y_i)\|_S$  we obtain  $\|(y_i)\|_S \leq \sum_i S(|y_i|)$ .

Fix  $\lambda = (\lambda_i) \in \ell_{M_N^*}$  and  $x = (x_i) \in \ell_M$ , and let

$$\rho > \|\lambda\|_{M_N^*}, \quad r > \|x\|_M.$$

Consider the sequences  $\tilde{\lambda} = \lambda/\rho$  and  $\tilde{x} = x/r$ .

Then

$$\sum_i N(|\tilde{\lambda}_i \tilde{x}_i|) \leq \sum_i M(|\tilde{x}_i|) + \sum_i M_N^*(|\tilde{\lambda}_i|) \leq 2,$$

and from (4) it follows that  $\|\tilde{\lambda} \tilde{x}\|_N \leq 2$ , thus  $\|\lambda x\|_N \leq 2\rho r$ . Letting  $\rho \uparrow \|\lambda\|_{M_N^*}$  and  $r \uparrow \|x\|_M$  we obtain the claim.

**Theorem 3** *For every pair of Orlicz functions  $M, N$  the sequence spaces  $D(\ell_M, \ell_N)$  and  $\ell_{M_N^*}$  coincide as sets, and moreover, they are isomorphic as Banach spaces.*

**Proof.** First, observe that if  $S$  is an Orlicz function then

$$\|(y_i)\|_S < 1 \Rightarrow \sum_i S(|y_i|) \leq \|(y_i)\|_S. \quad (5)$$

Indeed, since  $S$  is a convex function and  $S(0) = 0$  we have for  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  that  $S(\alpha t) \leq \alpha S(t)$ . Therefore for every  $\alpha$  such that  $\|(y_i)\|_S < \alpha < 1$

$$\|(y_i)\|_S < \alpha \Rightarrow \sum_i S(|y_i|/\alpha) \leq 1 \Rightarrow \sum_i S(|y_i|) \leq \alpha \sum_i S(|y_i|/\alpha) \leq \alpha,$$

so letting  $\alpha \rightarrow \|(y_i)\|_S$  we obtain  $\sum_i S(|y_i|) \leq \|(y_i)\|_S$ .

Consider, in the space of multipliers  $D(\ell_M, \ell_N)$ , the operator norm

$$\|\lambda\|_0 = \sup\{\|\lambda x\|_N : \|x\|_M = 1\}.$$

From Proposition 2 it follows immediately that

$$D(\ell_M, \ell_N) \supset \ell_{M_N^*}$$

and

$$\|\mu\|_0 \leq 2\|\mu\|_{M_N^*} \quad \forall \mu \in \ell_{M_N^*}.$$

Further we show that

$$D(\ell_M, \ell_N) \subset \ell_{M_N^*}$$

and

$$\|\mu\|_{M_N^*} \leq 2\|\mu\|_0 \quad \forall \mu \in D(\ell_M, \ell_N).$$

We may assume without loss of generality that  $M(1) = 1$  and  $N(1) = 1$ . Then we have

$$\forall i \quad \|e_i\|_M = 1, \quad \|e_i\|_N = 1.$$

Fix a multiplier  $\lambda = (\lambda_i) \in D(\ell_M, \ell_N)$  such that  $\|\lambda\|_0 = 1/2$ . Then  $|\lambda_i| = \|\lambda e_i\|_N \leq 1/2 \|e_i\|_M = 1/2$ .

Since  $M$  and  $N$  are Orlicz functions they are continuous. Thus for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots$  there exists an  $x_i \in [0, 1]$  such that

$$M_N^*(|\lambda_i|) = N(|\lambda_i|x_i) - M(x_i),$$

that is

$$N(|\lambda_i|x_i) = M(x_i) + M_N^*(|\lambda_i|). \tag{6}$$

Consider the sequence  $(x_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ . Since by our assumption  $\|\lambda\|_0 = 1/2$ , we have by (5)

$$\forall i \quad N(|\lambda_i|x_i) \leq \|\lambda_i x_i e_i\|_N \leq 1/2 \|x_i e_i\|_M \leq 1/2,$$

therefore

$$M(x_i) = N(|\lambda_i|x_i) - M_N^*(|\lambda_i|) < 1/2, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots \tag{7}$$

We shall prove by induction that  $\sum_1^n M(x_i) \leq 1/2$ . It was shown that the statement is true for  $n = 1$ .

Consider the sequences  $\xi^{(n)} = \sum_1^n x_i e_i$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Assume that the claim is true for some  $n$ . Then

$$\sum_1^{n+1} M(x_i) = \sum_1^n M(x_i) + M(x_{n+1}) \leq 1/2 + 1/2 \leq 1,$$

so  $\|\xi^{n+1}\|_M \leq 1$ . Therefore we obtain by (6) and (5)

$$\sum_1^{n+1} M(x_i) \leq \sum_1^{n+1} N(|\lambda_i| x_i) \leq \|\lambda \xi^{n+1}\|_N \leq 1/2,$$

which proves the claim.

Since  $\sum_1^n M(x_i) < 1/2$  for every  $n$  we have  $\sum_1^\infty M(x_i) \leq 1/2$ , thus  $x \in \ell_M$  and  $\|x\|_M < 1$ . Now from (6) and (5) it follows

$$\sum_1^\infty M_N^*(\lambda_i) \leq \sum_1^\infty N(|\lambda_i| x_i) \leq \|\lambda x\|_N \leq 1/2 \|x\|_M \leq 1/2,$$

hence  $\lambda \in \ell_{M_N^*}$  and  $\|\lambda\|_{M_N^*} \leq 1$ .

Suppose  $\mu \in D(\ell_M, \ell_N)$  is an arbitrary multiplier. Consider the sequence  $\lambda = \mu/\rho$ , where  $\rho = 2\|\mu\|_0$ . Then we have  $\lambda \in \ell_{M_N^*}$  and  $\|\lambda\|_{M_N^*} = \|\mu/\rho\|_{M_N^*} \leq 1$ , hence  $\mu \in \ell_{M_N^*}$  and

$$\|\mu\|_{M_N^*} \leq 2\|\mu\|_0.$$

The theorem is proved.

*Remark 1.* An Orlicz function  $S$  is called degenerate, if  $S(t) = 0$  for some  $t > 0$ ; then the corresponding Orlicz sequence space  $\ell_S$  coincides with  $\ell_\infty$ . In view of the theorem  $D(\ell_M, \ell_N) = \ell_\infty$  if and only if the Orlicz function  $M_N^*$  is degenerate.

*Example.* It is well known that for  $p, q \geq 1$

$$D(\ell_p, \ell_q) = \begin{cases} \ell_r, & 1/r = 1/q - 1/p, & \text{if } p > q; \\ \ell_\infty, & & \text{if } p \leq q. \end{cases}$$

Let us see how this result follows from Theorem 2. Consider  $M(t) = t^p/p$  and  $N(t) = t^q/q$ . If  $p > q$  then it is easy to see that for each fixed  $s \in (0, 1)$  the expression  $N(st) - M(t) = (st)^q/q - t^p/p$  attains its maximum for  $t \in [0, 1]$  at  $t = s^{q/(p-q)}$ . Thus for  $s \in [0, 1]$

$$M_N^*(s) = (1/q - 1/p)s^{pq/(p-q)} = s^r/r$$

with  $1/r = 1/q - 1/p$ , hence  $D(\ell_q, \ell_p) = \ell_r$ . In the case  $p \leq q$ , if  $s^q \leq q/p$ , then

$$N(st) - M(t) = (st)^q/q - t^p/p \leq 0, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Therefore  $M_N^*(s) = 0$  for  $s \leq (q/p)^{1/q}$ , that is  $M_N^*$  is a degenerate Orlicz function, hence  $D(\ell_p, \ell_q) = \ell_\infty$ .

*Remark 2.* Let  $D_c(\ell_M, \ell_N)$  be the space of all compact multipliers between the spaces  $\ell_M$  and  $\ell_N$ . It is easy to see by Proposition 1 that each multiplier from the subspace  $h_{M_N^*}$  is compact (as limit of finitely-supported multipliers), thus

$$h_{M_N^*} \subset D_c(\ell_M, \ell_N).$$

In particular, if the function  $M_N^*$  satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -condition near zero, then each multiplier between the spaces  $\ell_M$  and  $\ell_N$  is compact, that is

$$D(\ell_M, \ell_N) = D_c(\ell_M, \ell_N).$$

Up to our knowledge the following question is open:

*Question.* Is it true that every compact multiplier between the spaces  $\ell_M$  and  $\ell_N$  is a limit of finitely-supported multipliers ?

Obviously, a positive answer to that question would imply that

$$D_c(\ell_M, \ell_N) = h_{M_N^*},$$

so we would have

$$D(\ell_M, \ell_N) = D_c(\ell_M, \ell_N).$$

if and only if the function  $M_N^*$  satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -condition.

**References**

- [1] J. Lindenstrauss, L. Tzafriri, Classical Banach spaces I, Berlin, 1977.

P. B. DJAKOV

Received 21.06.2000

Department of Mathematics,

Sofia University,

1164 Sofia-BULGARIA

e-mail: djakov@fmi.uni-sofia.bg

&

M. S. RAMANUJAN

Department of Mathematics,

University of Michigan, Ann Arbor,

Michigan 48109-1109-USA

e-mail: msram@math.lsa.umich.edu