

1-1-2000

Strongly Prime Ideals in CS-Rings

GONCA GÜNGÖROĞLU

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

GÜNGÖROĞLU, GONCA (2000) "Strongly Prime Ideals in CS-Rings," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 24: No. 3, Article 2. Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol24/iss3/2>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.

Strongly Prime Ideals in CS-Rings

Gonca Güngöroğlu

Abstract

We study and characterize strongly prime right ideals in CS-rings.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper all rings will be associative with identity and modules will be unital right modules. A ring R is called right *CS-ring*(or *extending ring*) if every right ideal I in R is essentially contained in a direct summand of R , (see for example [9]). Every right self-injective ring is right CS-ring. A right ideal I of a ring R is called *strongly prime* if for $a, b \in R$, $aIb \leq I$ and $ab \in I$ imply $a \in I$ or $b \in I$. Every maximal right ideal is strongly prime right ideal. Let M be a module and N a submodule of M . N is called *prime submodule* of M if $N \neq M$ and whenever $r \in R$, $m \in M$ and $mr \in N$ then $m \in N$ or $Mr \leq N$. Prime submodules have been extensively studied (see for example[1]-[3]). For a commutative ring R it is well known that a submodule N of M is prime if and only if $P = \{r \in R : Mr \leq N\}$ is a prime ideal of R and the $(R/P) - module$ M/N is torsion free [3, Lemma 1]. Let M be a module. We write $N \leq M$ for a submodule N of M . $N \ll M$ will stand for N is small submodule of M , equivalently $N + K = M$ for submodule K of M implies $K = M$. A regular ring will mean a von Neumann regular ring [5]. In [10], it is proved that a maximal right ideal, which is projective in a self-injective regular ring, is a direct summand. This result is generalized to strongly prime right ideals in self-injective regular rings in [7]. Let M be a module. If every submodule of M is contained in a maximal submodule in M then M is

called coatomic module. By [6, Exercise 9(c),page 239] a module M is semisimple if and only if M is a coatomic and every maximal submodule is direct summand. Since every ring R is a coatomic R -module then a ring R is semisimple if and only if every maximal right ideal in R is direct summand. There is a self-injective regular ring having maximal right ideal which is not projective(see namely [11]). Every maximal submodule N of any module M is essential or direct summand. In this vein we prove the following.

2. Results

Lemma 1. *Let R be a right CS-ring. Then any strongly prime right ideal P of R is either essential or a direct summand.*

Proof. Let P be a strongly prime right ideal in R . Then there exists an idempotent $e \in R$ such that $eP = P \leq eR$. Now $(1 - e)Pe \leq P$ and $(1 - e)e = 0$ imply $1 - e \in P$ or $e \in P$. Assume $1 - e \in P$ then $(1 - e)R \leq P$ and hence $P = R$. If $e \in P$ then $P = eR$. \square

The ring R as in [11] which is (commutative) self-injective regular that is not semi-simple contains a maximal, therefore strongly prime, right ideal which is not projective. In such a ring not all strongly prime right ideals are a direct summand.

The next Lemma generalises [10,Proposition 1] and [7,Proposition 2].

Lemma 2. *Let R be a regular right CS-ring. Then any projective strongly prime right ideal in R is a direct summand.*

Proof. Let P be a projective strongly prime right ideal of R . Then by Lemma 1, P is either essential or direct summand. Assume P is essential. By [8] and hypothesis $P = \bigoplus_{i \in \Lambda} (e_i R); e_i^2 = e_i \in R$ for all $i \in \Lambda$ for some index set Λ . By [4, Lemma 3.8], there exist orthogonal idempotents $f_i (i \in \Lambda)$ such that $e_i R = f_i R (i \in \Lambda)$. Hence $P = \bigoplus_{i \in \Lambda} (f_i R)$ and $f_i^2 = f_i, f_i f_j = f_j f_i = 0$ for $i \neq j; i, j \in \Lambda$. Let $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \cup \Lambda_2$ be a decomposition of Λ into infinite disjoint subsets Λ_1 and Λ_2 and write $P = P_1 \oplus P_2$ where $P_1 = \bigoplus_{i \in \Lambda_1} (f_i R)$ and $P_2 = \bigoplus_{i \in \Lambda_2} (f_i R)$. Since R is a right CS-ring and regular there exist orthogonal idempotents e, f such that $K_1 = eR, K_2 = fR$ and $P_1 \leq_e K_1, P_2 \leq_e K_2, R = K_1 \oplus K_2$. Hence $ef = fe = 0$ and $eP = eP_1 + eP_2 = eP_1 = P_1 \leq P$. Since P is strongly prime $e \in P$ or $f \in P$. Assume $e \in P$. Then $eR = K_1 \leq P$ and $e = \sum_{i \in A} f_i r_i$, for some finite subset

A of Λ . Hence $f_i e = 0$ for all $i \in \Lambda_1 \setminus A$, and since $eR = K_1 = \bigoplus_{i \in \Lambda_1} (f_i R)$, $ef_i = f_i$ for all $i \in \Lambda_1$. Thus $f_i = f_i^2 = (ef_i)^2 = 0$ for all $i \in \Lambda_1 \setminus A$. Hence Λ_1 is finite. This is a contradiction. \square

A ring R is called right continuous if R is a right CS-ring and for any right ideal isomorphic to a direct summand of R is also direct summand (or equivalently generated by an idempotent.)

Lemma 3. *Let R be a right continuous ring. Then for any projective strongly prime right ideal I containing the Jacobson radical J of R , there exists an idempotent e such that $I = eR + J$.*

Proof. Let R be a right continuous ring and I a projective strongly prime right ideal containing J . Then it is easy to check that I/J is strongly prime right ideal in R/J . By [4, Prop.3.11] R/J is a right continuous ring and by [4, Prop.3.5] $J = \{a \in R : r(a) \text{ is essential right ideal in } R\}$, where $r(a)$ denotes the right annihilator of a in R . Let $f \in \text{Hom}(I, R)$. Since for any $x \in R$, $r(x) \subseteq r(f(x))$, then $f(J) \subseteq J$. By the dual basis Lemma it follows that I/J is a projective right ideal in R . By lemma 2, I/J is a direct summand of R/J . Since idempotents of R/J lift to R by [4, Lemma 3.7], an idempotent e exists in R such that $I = eR + J$. This completes the proof. \square

Example 4. Let R be a principal ideal domain which is not a field. Let P be a nonzero maximal ideal in R . Then P is a projective and essential ideal. R is not a regular ring but a CS-ring. Every projective proper ideal is isomorphic to R and is not a direct summand of R .

Proposition 5 generalises 4.1 in [12].

Proposition 5. *Let R be a non-singular commutative CS-ring with maximal quotient ring Q . Let I be a nonzero ideal in R . Then I is projective if and only if there exists $a_1, \dots, a_t \in I$ and $g_1, \dots, g_t \in \text{Hom}(I, R)$ such that $a = \sum_{i=1}^t a_i g_i(a)$ for all $a \in I$.*

Proof. Let I be a projective ideal in R . Then there are $\{a_\lambda \in I : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ and $\{f_\lambda \in \text{Hom}(I, R) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ such that $a = \sum a_\lambda f_\lambda(a)$ and $f_\lambda(a)$ is zero for all but a finite

number of $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Let g_λ denote the extension of f_λ from R to Q . Since Q_R is an injective R -module, g_λ always exists for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Let a be a nonzero element in I . Then $a = \sum_{i=1}^t a_i f_i(a) = \sum_{i=1}^t a_i g_i(a) = (\sum_{i=1}^t a_i g_i(1))a$, and so $(1 - \sum_{i=1}^t a_i g_i(1))a = 0$. Since R is commutative and I is essential in R , and then in Q we have $1 = \sum_{i=1}^t a_i g_i(1)$. Let $a \in I$ be any element. Then $a = \sum_{i=1}^t a_i g_i(a)$. Conversely, assume that there exist $a_1, \dots, a_t \in I, g_1, \dots, g_t \in \text{Hom}(R, I)$ such that $a = \sum_{i=1}^t a_i g_i(a)$ for all $a \in I$. By the dual basis Lemma I is projective. \square

Lemma 6. *Let M be a projective module and N a submodule of M such that M/N has a projective cover. Let $S = \text{End}_R M$ and $F(N) = \{\alpha \in S : \alpha N \leq N\}$. Then there exists $\alpha \in F(N)$ such that $\alpha^2 = \alpha$ and $\alpha N \ll M$.*

Proof. Let M/N have a projective cover (P, f) with $f : P \rightarrow M/N$ and $\text{Ker}(f) \ll P$. Since M is projective module there exists $g \in \text{Hom}(M, P)$ such that $P = g(M)$ and $M = (\text{Ker}(g)) \oplus K$ for some $K \leq M$. Assume $N \leq \text{Ker}(g)$. Let α denote the natural projection of M on K then $\alpha N = 0 \leq N$ and $\alpha N \ll M$. If $N \not\leq \text{Ker}(g)$, then $M = \text{Ker}(g) \oplus K$, $g(N) \leq \text{Ker}(f)$ and $g(N)$ is small in P . Since $g(M) = g(K) = P$ is projective there exists $\phi \in \text{Hom}(g(M), M)$ such that $g\phi = 1_{g(M)}$, the identity map of $g(M)$, and $\phi g(N)$ is small in M . For all $m \in M$, $\pi\phi g(m) = \pi(m)$. It follows that $\phi g(N) \leq N$. Set $\phi g = \alpha$, then $\alpha^2 = \alpha$ and $\alpha(N) \ll M$ and $\alpha \in F(N)$. \square

Let R be a ring and I a right ideal in R . In the following $N(I)$ will denote the set $\{r \in R : rI \subseteq I\}$. Note that if e is a nonzero idempotent with $e \in N(I) \setminus I$ and I is a strongly prime right ideal in a ring R such that eI is small in R then R/I has a projective cover. The proof of this fact is known. We give the proof for the sake of completeness.

Proof. Let I be a strongly prime ideal in a ring R and $e \in N(I) \setminus I$ such that eI is small in R . Then $eI \leq I$ so $eI(1-e) \leq I$ and $e(1-e) = 0 \in I$. Since I is a strongly prime right ideal then $e \in I$ or $(1-e) \in I$. Assume $e \in I$ then $eR \leq I$. Since $eR \oplus (1-e)R = R$ $eI + (1-e)R = R$ and so $(1-e)R = R$. Thus $eR = 0$ and so $e = 0$. It follows that $1-e \in I$, then $(1-e)R \leq I$. Now we define $f : eR \rightarrow R/I$ by $f(er) = r + I$. Since $(1-e)R \leq I$, then f is well defined and clearly an R -module homomorphism and also

$\text{Ker}(f) = eI$. Since eR is projective R -module and eI is small in R then R/I has projective cover (eR, f) . \square

Definition. Let M be a module and S denote the ring of R -endomorphisms of M . Let N be a submodule of M . We call N an S -prime submodule of M if whenever $f(m) \in N$, for some $f \in S$ and $m \in M$, then $f(M) \leq N$ or $m \in N$. N is called an S -strongly prime submodule of M if whenever $f(m) \in N$ for some $f \in S$ and $m \in M$ then $m \in N$. Any S -strongly prime submodule is S -prime, and for $M = R$ and $I_R \leq R_R$, being I R -(strongly)prime submodule of R in the same as being I (strongly) prime right ideal of R . Note that any S -prime submodule N of M is prime submodule ([see 3 or 11]) over a commutative ring.

Lemma 7. *Let N be an S -prime submodule of a projective module M . Assume that there exists $0 \neq f \in S$ such that $f^2 = f$, $f(N) \leq N$ and $f(N) \ll M$. Then M/N has a projective cover.*

Proof. Let N be an S -prime submodule of M and $f \in S$ such that $f^2 = f$ and $f(N) \leq N$ and $f(N) \ll M$. Let $m \in M$. Since $f(1-f)(m) = 0 \in N$ and N is S -prime $f(M) \leq N$ or $(1-f)(m) \in N$. Assume that $(1-f)(m) \notin N$ for some $m \in M$. Then $f(M) \leq N$ and so $f(M) \leq f(N)$ and $M = f(N) + (1-f)(M)$. Hence $(1-f)(M) = M$ since $f(N) \ll M$. Thus $f = 0$. If $(1-f)(m) \in N$ for all $m \in M$ then we define $h : f(M) \rightarrow M/N$ by $h(f(m)) = m + N$ for $m \in M$. Since $f(m) = 0$ implies $m = (1-f)(m) \in N$, h is well-defined. Clearly h is an R -homomorphism and $\text{Ker}(h) = f(N)$. Since $f(M)$ is projective and $f(N)$ is small in M , $(f(M), h)$ is a projective cover of M/N . \square

Corollary 8 *Let I be a right ideal of R . Assume that I is a prime submodule of R -module R and a nonzero idempotent e exists in R such that $eI \leq I$ and eI is small in R . Then R/I has a projective cover.*

References

- [1] R.L. McCasland and M.E. Moore Prime submodules *Comm.Algebra* **20** 1992 1803-1817.
- [2] R.L. McCasland and P.F. Smith Prime submodules of Noetherian modules *Rocky Mtn.J.* **23** 1993 1041-1062.
- [3] J. Jenkins and P.F. Smith On prime radical of a module over commutative ring *Comm.Algebra* **20** 1992 3593-3602.
- [4] S.H. Mohamed and B.J. Müller *Continuous and Discrete Modules* London Math.Soc.LN 147,Cambridge Univ.Press 1990.
- [5] J. von Neumann On regular rings *Proc.Mat.Acad.Sci.U.S.A* **22** 1936 707-713.
- [6] F. Kasch *Modules and Rings* Academic Press 1982
- [7] A. Kaya and F. Kuzucuoglu Some Module properties of Strongly prime right ideals preprint
- [8] I. Kaplansky Projective Modules *Ann.Math.* **68** 1958 372-377
- [9] A.W. Chatters and C.R. Hajarnavis Rings in which every complement right ideal is a direct summand *Quart.J.Math.Oxford* **28** 1977 61-80
- [10] A.S. Karamzadeh Projective maximal right ideals of self-injective regular rings *Proc.AMS.* **48** 1975 286-288
- [11] P. F.Smith Multiplication Modules and Projective Modules *Perid.Math.Hung.* **29** 1994 163-168
- [12] R. Wisbauer *Foundations of Module and Ring Theory* Gordon and Breach 1991

Gonca GÜNGÖROĞLU
 Hacettepe University,
 Faculty of Education,
 Part of Mathematics Education,
 Beytepe, Ankara-TURKEY

Received 28.01.1999