

1-1-2002

Evidence for a conjecture of Pandharipande

JIM BRYAN

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

BRYAN, JIM (2002) "Evidence for a conjecture of Pandharipande," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 26: No. 1, Article 4. Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol26/iss1/4>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.

Evidence for a conjecture of Pandharipande

Jim Bryan

Abstract

In his paper “Hodge integrals and degenerate contributions”, Pandharipande studied the relationship between the enumerative geometry of certain 3-folds and the Gromov-Witten invariants. In some good cases, enumerative invariants (which are manifestly integers) can be expressed as a rational combination of Gromov-Witten invariants. Pandharipande speculated that the same combination of invariants should yield integers even when they do not have any enumerative significance on the 3-fold. In the case when the 3-fold is the product of a complex surface and an elliptic curve, Pandharipande has computed this combination of invariants on the 3-fold in terms of the Gromov-Witten invariants of the surface. This computation yields surprising conjectural predictions about the genus 0 and genus 1 Gromov-Witten invariants of complex surfaces. The conjecture states that certain rational combinations of the genus 0 and genus 1 Gromov-Witten invariants are always integers. Since the Gromov-Witten invariants for surfaces are often enumerative (as opposed to 3-folds), this conjecture can often also be interpreted as giving certain congruence relations among the various enumerative invariants of a surface.

In this note, we state Pandharipande’s conjecture and we prove it for an infinite series of classes in the case of \mathbf{CP}^2 blown-up at 9 points. In this case, we find generating functions for the numbers appearing in the conjecture in terms of quasi-modular forms. We then prove the integrality of the numbers by proving a certain congruence property of modular forms that is reminiscent of Ramanujan’s mod 5 congruences of the partition function.

1. The conjecture

Let X be a smooth complex projective surface (or more generally, a symplectic 4-manifold), let K be its canonical class, and let $\chi(X)$ be its Euler characteristic. Let $\beta \in H_2(X, \mathbf{Z})$ and let $g(\beta)$ be defined by $2g(\beta) - 2 = \beta \cdot (K + \beta)$. Define $c(\beta)$ to be $-\beta \cdot K$ and assume that $c(\beta) > 0$. Let $N^r(\beta)$ be the genus r Gromov-Witten invariant of X in the class β where we have imposed $c(\beta) + r - 1$ point constraints. By convention we will say $N^r(0) = 0$.

The author is supported by an Alfred P. Sloan Research Fellowship and NSF grant DMS-0072492.

Conjecture 1.1 (Pandharipande). *Define $a(\beta)$ by*

$$a(\beta) = -\frac{1}{12}g(\beta)N^0(\beta)$$

and define $b(\beta)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} b(\beta) = & \frac{1}{2880} (12g(\beta)^2 + g(\beta)c(\beta) - 24g(\beta)) N^0(\beta) \\ & + \frac{1}{240} \chi(X) N^1(\beta) \\ & + \frac{1}{240} \sum_{\beta' + \beta'' = \beta} \binom{c(\beta) - 1}{c(\beta')} (\beta' \cdot \beta'') (\beta'' \cdot \beta'') N^1(\beta') N^0(\beta''). \end{aligned}$$

Then $a(\beta)$ and $b(\beta)$ are integers.

Remark 1.1. This conjecture is related to the proposal of Gopakumar and Vafa that relates the Gromov-Witten invariants of Calabi-Yau 3-folds to conjecturally integer valued invariants (“BPS state counts”, or “BPS invariants”). Pandharipande has generalized the Gopakumar-Vafa formula to Fano classes in non-Calabi-Yau 3-folds (see [3]). In this formulation, the numbers $a(\beta)$ and $b(\beta)$ are respectively genus 1 and genus 2 “BPS invariants” for the surface cross an elliptic curve. The reason that these are expressible in terms of ordinary Gromov-Witten invariants of the surface is that the Hodge class in \overline{M}_g (which appears in the computation of the virtual class) is readily expressible in terms of boundary classes for $g = 1$ and $g = 2$. For arbitrary g there will also be predictions for the invariants of the surface, but they will involve gravitational descendants in general.

2. The case of \mathbf{CP}^2 blown-up at 9 points

Let X be \mathbf{CP}^2 blown up at nine points. Let $F = -K$ be the anti-canonical class and let S be the exceptional divisor of one of the blow-ups (so if X is elliptically fibered, then F is the fiber and S is a section). Let $\beta_n = S + nF$. Then $N^r(\beta_n)$ was computed in [1]. We will find nice generating functions for the numbers $a(\beta_n)$ and $b(\beta_n)$ and will prove that they are integers thus verifying Pandharipande’s conjecture for X for this infinite series of classes.

Note that $c(\beta_n) = 1$, $g(\beta_n) = n$, and $\chi(X) = 12$. Since for $N^0(\beta'')$ to be non-zero, we need $c(\beta'') = 1$, the sum must have $c(\beta'') = 1$ and $c(\beta') = 0$. It follows that β'' and β'

are of the form $S + kF$ and $(n - k)F$ respectively. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} a(\beta_n) &= -\frac{1}{12}nN^0(\beta_n) \\ b(\beta_n) &= \frac{1}{2880}(12n^2 - 23n)N^0(\beta_n) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{20}N^1(\beta_n) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{240}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(n-k)(2k-1)N^1((n-k)F)N^0(\beta_k). \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} A(q) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(\beta_n)q^n, \\ B(q) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b(\beta_n)q^n. \end{aligned}$$

We will find an expression for $A(q)$ and $B(q)$ in terms of quasi-modular forms. Let $\sigma(k) = \sum_{d|k} d$ and let $p(k)$ be the number of partitions of k . Define

$$\begin{aligned} G(q) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sigma(k)q^k, \\ P(q) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p(k)q^k \\ &= \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^m)^{-1}, \\ P_\alpha(q) &= (P(q))^\alpha, \\ D &= q \frac{d}{dq}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that G and P are closely related to well known (quasi-) modular forms: $G - 1/24$ is the Eisenstein series G_2 and $q^{1/24}P_{-1}$ is the Dedekind η function.

With this notation, the results of [1] (Theorem 1.2) give

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N^0(\beta_n)q^n &= P_{12} \\ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} N^1(\beta_n)q^n &= P_{12}DG. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, one can show that

$$N^1(lF) = \frac{1}{l}\sigma(l)$$

(when the blow-up points are generic, this comes from the multiple covers of the unique elliptic curve in the class F). We thus have

$$\begin{aligned} A(q) &= -\frac{1}{12}DP_{12} \\ B(q) &= \frac{1}{2880}(12D^2 - 23D)P_{12} + \frac{1}{20}P_{12}DG \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{240} \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (2k-1)\sigma(n-k)N^0(\beta_k)q^{n-k}q^k \\ &= \frac{1}{240}D^2P_{12} - \frac{23}{2880}DP_{12} + \frac{1}{20}P_{12}DG \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{240} \sum_{m \geq 1} \sum_{k \geq 0} (2k-1)\sigma(m)N^0(\beta_k)q^kq^m \\ &= \frac{1}{240}D^2P_{12} - \frac{23}{2880}DP_{12} + \frac{1}{20}P_{12}DG + \frac{1}{240}G(2DP_{12} - P_{12}) \end{aligned}$$

Now, by a standard calculation, $G = P_{-1}DP$ and so $DP_{12} = 12P_{12}G$. Substituting and simplifying we arrive at:

Theorem 2.1. *The following equations holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} A(q) &= -P_{12} \cdot G \\ B(q) &= \frac{1}{10}P_{12} \{7G^2 - G + DG\}. \end{aligned}$$

This theorem immediately shows that the coefficients of A are integers. On the other hand, the integrality of the coefficients of B requires the following theorem:

Theorem 2.2. *The following equation holds:*

$$7G^2 - G + DG \equiv 0 \pmod{10}.$$

PROOF: By a simple calculation mod 5, we have:

$$7G^2 - G + DG \equiv 3P_{-2}(D^2 - D)P_2 \pmod{5}$$

and so to prove that the above expression is 0 mod 5, it suffices to prove that $(D^2 - D)P_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$. Using the Jacobi triple product formula and the Euler inversion formula, it is easy to show that the k th coefficient of $P_2 = P_{-3}P_5$ is divisible by 5 unless k is 0 or 1 mod 5 (see [2]). In other words:

$$P_2(q) \equiv r(q^5) + qs(q^5) \pmod{5}.$$

It follows that $DP_2 \equiv qs(q^5) \pmod{5}$ and so $D^2P_2 \equiv DP_2 \pmod{5}$ as desired.

BRYAN

On the other hand, it is easy to compute that

$$7G^2 - G + DG \equiv P_{-1}(D^2 + D)P \pmod{2}.$$

This expression is 0 mod 2 since the k th coefficient of $(D^2 + D)P$ is $k(k+1)p(k)$.

Thus we have established that $7G^2 - G + DG$ is 0 mod 2 and mod 5 and so the theorem is proved. \square

References

- [1] Jim Bryan and Naichung Conan Leung. The enumerative geometry of $K3$ surfaces and modular forms. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 13(2):371–410, 2000.
- [2] John L. Drost. A shorter proof of the Ramanujan congruence modulo 5. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 104(10):963–964, 1997.
- [3] R. Pandharipande. Hodge integrals and degenerate contributions. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 208(2):489–506, 1999.
- [4] R. Pandharipande. Personal communication, 2000.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TULANE UNIVERSITY
E-mail address: `jbryan@math.tulane.edu`