

1-1-2002

On Derivations of Prime Gamma Rings

MEHMET ALİ ÖZTÜRK

YOUNG BAE JUN

KYUNG HO KIM

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

ÖZTÜRK, MEHMET ALİ; JUN, YOUNG BAE; and KIM, KYUNG HO (2002) "On Derivations of Prime Gamma Rings," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 26: No. 3, Article 7. Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol26/iss3/7>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.

On Derivations of Prime Gamma Rings

Mehmet Ali Öztürk, Young Bae Jun and Kyung Ho Kim

Abstract

We consider some results in a Γ -ring M with derivation which is related to Q , and the quotient Γ -ring of M .

Key words and phrases: Derivation, gamma ring, prime gamma ring, quotient gamma ring.

1. Introduction

Nobusawa [3] introduced the notion of a Γ -ring, an object more general than a ring. Barnes [1] slightly weakened the conditions in the definition of Γ -ring in the sense of Nobusawa. Öztürk et al. [4, 5] studied extended centroid of prime Γ -rings. In this paper, we consider the main results as follows. (1) Let M be a prime Γ -ring of characteristic 2, U a non-zero ideal of M , and d_1 and d_2 two non-zero derivations of M . If $d_1d_2(U) = (0)$, there exists $\lambda \in C_\Gamma$ such that $d_2 = \lambda\alpha d_1$ for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$ where C_Γ is the extended centroid of M . (2) Let M be a prime Γ -ring, U a non-zero right ideal of M and d a non-zero derivation of M . If $d(U)\Gamma a = (0)$ where a is a fixed element of M , then there exists an element q of Q such that $q\gamma a = 0$ and $q\gamma u = 0$ for all $u \in U$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. (3) Let M be a prime Γ -ring with $\text{char} M \neq 2$, U a non-zero right ideal of M and d_1 and d_2 two non-zero derivations of M . If $d_1d_2(U) = (0)$, then there exists two elements p, q of Q such that $q\Gamma U = (0)$ and $p\Gamma U = (0)$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 03F55, 06F05, 20M12, 03B52.

2. Preliminaries

Let M and Γ be (additive) abelian groups. If for all $a, b, c \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ the conditions

- (1) $a\alpha b \in M$,
- (2) $(a + b)\alpha c = a\alpha c + a\alpha b$,
 $a(\alpha + \beta)b = a\alpha b + a\beta b$,
 $a\alpha(b + c) = a\alpha b + a\alpha c$,
- (3) $(a\alpha b)\beta c = a\alpha(b\beta c)$.

are satisfied, then we call M a Γ -ring. Let M be a Γ -ring. The subset

$$Z = \{x \in M \mid x\gamma m = m\gamma x \text{ for all } m \in M \text{ and } \gamma \in \Gamma\}$$

is called the *center* of M . By a *right* (resp. *left*) *ideal* of a Γ -ring M we mean an additive subgroup U of M such that $U\Gamma M \subseteq U$ (resp. $M\Gamma U \subseteq U$). If U is both a right and a left ideal, then we say that U is an *ideal* of M . For each a of a Γ -ring M the smallest right ideal containing a is called the *principal right ideal generated by a* and is denoted by $\langle a \rangle_r$. Similarly we define $\langle a \rangle_l$ (resp. $\langle a \rangle$), the *principal left* (resp. *two sided*) *ideal generated by a* . An ideal P of a Γ -ring M is said to be *prime* if for any ideals U and V of M , $UTV \subseteq P$ implies $U \subseteq P$ or $V \subseteq P$. A Γ -ring M is said to be *prime* if the zero ideal is prime.

Theorem 2.1 ([2, Theorem 4]). *If M is a Γ -ring, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) M is a prime Γ -ring.
- (ii) If $a, b \in M$ and $a\Gamma M\Gamma b = (0)$, then $a = 0$ or $b = 0$.
- (iii) If $\langle a \rangle$ and $\langle b \rangle$ are principal ideals of M such that $\langle a \rangle\Gamma\langle b \rangle = (0)$, then $a = 0$ or $b = 0$.
- (iv) If U and V are right ideals of M such that $UTV = (0)$, then $U = (0)$ or $V = (0)$.
- (v) If U and V are left ideals of M such that $UTV = (0)$, then $U = (0)$ or $V = (0)$.

Let M be a prime Γ -ring such that $M\Gamma M \neq M$. Denote

$$\mathcal{M} := \{(U, f) \mid U (\neq 0) \text{ is an ideal of } M \text{ and } f : U \rightarrow M \text{ is a right } M\text{-module homomorphism}\}.$$

Define a relation \sim on \mathcal{M} by

$$(U, f) \sim (V, g) \Leftrightarrow \exists W (\neq 0) \subset U \cap V \text{ such that } f = g \text{ on } W.$$

Since M is a prime Γ -ring, it is possible to find a non-zero W and so " \sim " is an equivalence relation. This gives a chance for us to get a partition of \mathcal{M} . We then denote the equivalence class by $Cl(U, f) = \hat{f}$, where

$$\hat{f} := \{g : V \rightarrow M \mid (U, f) \sim (V, g)\},$$

and denote by Q the set of all equivalence classes. Then Q is a Γ -ring, which is called the *quotient Γ -ring* of M (see [4]). The set

$$C_\Gamma := \{g \in Q \mid g\gamma f = f\gamma g \text{ for all } f \in Q \text{ and } \gamma \in \Gamma\}$$

is called the *extended centroid* of M (See [4]).

Lemma 2.2 ([4, p. 476]). *Let M be a prime Γ -ring such that $M\Gamma M \neq M$ and C_Γ the extended centroid of M . If a_i and b_i are non-zero elements of M such that $\sum a_i \gamma_i x \beta_i b_i = 0$ for all $x \in M$ and $\gamma_i, \beta_i \in \Gamma$, then the a_i 's (also b_i 's) are linearly dependent over C_Γ . Moreover, if $a\gamma x \beta b = b\gamma x \beta a$ for all $x \in M$ and $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$ where $a (\neq 0), b \in M$ are fixed, then there exists $\lambda \in C_\Gamma$ such that $b = \lambda \alpha a$ for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$.*

Theorem 2.3 ([6, Theorem 3.5]). *The Γ -ring Q satisfies the following properties :*

(i) *For any element $q \in Q$, there exists an ideal $U_q \in F$ such that $q(U_q) \subseteq M$ (or $q\gamma U_q \subseteq M$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$).*

(ii) *If $q \in Q$ and $q(U) = (0)$ for some $U \in F$ (or $q\gamma U_q = (0)$ for some $U \in F$ and for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$), then $q = 0$.*

(iii) *If $U \in F$ and $\Psi : U \rightarrow M$ is a right M -module homomorphism, then there exists an element $q \in Q$ such that $\Psi(u) = q(u)$ for all $u \in U$ (or $\Psi(u) = q\gamma u$ for all $u \in U$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$).*

(iv) *Let W be a submodule (an (M, M) -subbimodule) in Q and $\Psi : W \rightarrow Q$ a right M -module homomorphism. If W contains the ideal U of the Γ -ring M such that $\Psi(U) \subseteq M$ and $Ann U = Ann_r W$, then there is an element $q \in Q$ such that $\Psi(b) = q(b)$ for any $b \in W$ (or $\Psi(b) = q\gamma b$ for any $b \in W$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$) and $q(a) = 0$ for any $a \in Ann_r W$ (or $q\gamma a = 0$ for any $a \in Ann_r W$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$).*

Let M be a Γ -ring. A map $d : M \rightarrow M$ is called a *derivation* if

$$d(x + y) = d(x) + d(y) \text{ and } d(x\gamma y) = d(x)\gamma y + x\gamma d(y)$$

for all $x, y \in M$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Lemma 2.4 ([8, Lemma 3]). *Let M be a prime Γ -ring, U a non-zero ideal of M , and d a derivation of M . If $a\Gamma d(U) = (0)$ ($d(U)\Gamma a = (0)$) for all $a \in M$, then $a = 0$ or $d = 0$.*

Lemma 2.5 ([8, Lemma 1]). *Let M be a prime Γ -ring and Z the center of M .*

(i) *If $a, b, c \in M$ and $\beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$, then*

$$[a\gamma b, c]_\beta = a\gamma[b, c]_\beta + [a, c]_\beta\gamma b + a\gamma(c\beta b) - a\beta(c\gamma b)$$

where $[a, b]_\gamma$ is $a\gamma b - b\gamma a$ for all $a, b \in M$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

(ii) *If $a \in Z$, then $[a\gamma b, c]_\beta = a\gamma[b, c]_\beta$ where $[a, b]_\gamma$ is $a\gamma b - b\gamma a$ for all $a, b \in M$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$.*

Lemma 2.6 ([8, Lemma 2]). *Let M be a prime Γ -ring, U a non-zero right (resp. left) ideal of M and $a \in M$. If $U\Gamma a = (0)$ (resp. $a\Gamma U = (0)$), then $a = 0$.*

3. Main results

In what follows, let M denote a prime Γ -ring such that $M\Gamma M \neq M$, Z is the center of M , C_Γ is the extended centroid of M and $[a, b]_\gamma = a\gamma b - b\gamma a$ for all $a, b \in M$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let M be a prime Γ -ring of characteristic 2. Let d_1 and d_2 two non-zero derivations of M and right M -module homomorphisms. If*

$$d_1d_2(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in M, \tag{3.1}$$

then there exists $\lambda \in C_\Gamma$ such that $d_2(x) = \lambda\alpha d_1(x)$ for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and $x \in M$

Proof. Let $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Replacing x by $x\gamma y$ in (3.1), it follows from $\text{char}M = 2$ that for all $x, y \in M$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$

$$d_1(x)\gamma d_2(y) = d_2(x)\gamma d_1(y). \tag{3.2}$$

Replacing x by $x\beta z$ in (3.2), we get

$$d_1(x)\beta z\gamma d_2(y) = d_2(x)\beta z\gamma d_1(y) \quad (3.3)$$

for all $x, y \in M$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Now, if we replace y by x in (3.3), then we obtain

$$d_1(x)\beta z\gamma d_2(x) = d_2(x)\beta z\gamma d_1(x) \quad (3.4)$$

for all $x \in M$ and $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$. If $d_1(x) \neq 0$, then there exists $\lambda(x) \in C_\Gamma$ such that $d_2(x) = \lambda(x)\alpha d_1(x)$ for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$ by Lemma 2.2. Thus, if $d_1(x) \neq 0 \neq d_1(y)$, then (3.3) implies that

$$(\lambda(y) - \lambda(x))\alpha d_1(x)\beta z\gamma d_2(x) = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

Since M is a prime Γ -ring, we conclude by using Lemma 2.4 that $\lambda(y) = \lambda(x)$ for all $x, y \in M$. Hence we proved that there exists $\lambda \in C_\Gamma$ such that $d_2(x) = \lambda\alpha d_1(x)$ for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$ with $d_1(x) \neq 0$. On the other hand, if $d_1(x) = 0$, then $d_2(x) = 0$ as well. Therefore, $d_2(x) = \lambda\alpha d_1(x)$ for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 3.2. *Let M be a prime Γ -ring of characteristic 2 and d a non-zero derivation of M . If*

$$d(x) \in Z \text{ for all } x \in M, \quad (3.6)$$

then there exists $\lambda(m) \in C_\Gamma$ such that $d(m) = \lambda(m)\alpha d(z)$ for all $m, z \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$ or M is commutative.

Proof. From (3.6), we have

$$[d(x), y]_\beta = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in M \text{ and } \beta \in \Gamma. \quad (3.7)$$

Replacing x by $x\gamma z$ in (3.7), it follows from Lemma 2.5 that

$$d(x)\gamma[z, y]_\beta + d(z)\gamma[x, y]_\beta = 0 \quad (3.8)$$

for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$. Replacing z by $d(z)$ in (3.8), we obtain

$$d^2(z)\gamma[x, y]_\beta = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in M \text{ and } \gamma, \beta \in \Gamma. \quad (3.9)$$

Now, substituting $z\alpha m$ for z in (3.9), it follows from $\text{char}M = 2$ that

$$d^2(z)\alpha m\gamma[x, y]_\beta = 0 \tag{3.10}$$

for all $x, y, z, m \in M$ and $\gamma, \beta, \alpha \in \Gamma$. Since M is a prime Γ -ring, we obtain

$$d^2(z) = 0 \quad \forall z \in M \text{ or } [x, y]_\beta = 0 \quad \forall x, y \in M \text{ and } \forall \beta \in \Gamma. \tag{3.11}$$

From (3.11), if $d^2(z) = 0$ for all $z \in M$, then replacing z by $z\gamma m$ in this last relation, it follows from $d(x) \in Z$ that

$$d(z)\gamma d(m) = d(m)\gamma d(z) \text{ for all } z, m \in M \text{ and } \gamma \in \Gamma. \tag{3.12}$$

Replacing z by $z\alpha n$ in (3.12), it follows from (3.6) that for all $z, m, n \in M$ and $\gamma, \alpha \in \Gamma$

$$d(z)\alpha n\gamma d(m) = d(m)\alpha n\gamma d(z). \tag{3.13}$$

If $d(z) \neq 0$, then there exists $\lambda(m) \in C_\Gamma$ such that $d(m) = \lambda(m)\alpha d(z)$ for all $z, m \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$ by Lemma 2.2. On the other hand, it follows from (3.11) that if $[x, y]_\beta = 0$ for all $x, y \in M$ and $\beta \in \Gamma$, then M is commutative. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Let M be a prime Γ -ring of characteristic 2, d_1 and d_2 two non-zero derivations of M and U a non-zero ideal of M . If*

$$d_1 d_2(u) = 0 \text{ for all } u \in U \tag{3.14}$$

then there exists $\lambda \in C_\Gamma$ such that $d_2(x) = \lambda \alpha d_1(x)$ for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and $x \in M$.

Proof. Let $u, v \in U$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Replacing u by $d_2(u)\gamma v$ in (3.14), we get

$$d_2^2(u)\gamma d_1(v) = 0 \text{ for all } u, v \in U \text{ and } \gamma \in \Gamma. \tag{3.15}$$

Since $d_1 \neq 0$, it follows from Lemma 2.4 that $d_2^2(u) = 0$ for all $u \in U$, so from $\text{char}M = 2$ that $d_2^2 = 0$. Now, substituting $u\gamma d_2(x)$ for u in (3.14), we get

$$d_2(u)\gamma d_1(d_2(x)) = 0 \text{ for all } u \in U, x \in M \text{ and } \gamma \in \Gamma. \tag{3.16}$$

Since $d_2 \neq 0$, we get $d_1(d_2(x)) = 0$ for all $x \in M$ by Lemma 2.4. Hence there exists $\lambda \in C_\Gamma$ such that $d_2 = \lambda\alpha d_1$ for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$ by Lemma 3.1. \square

Theorem 3.4. *Let M be a prime Γ -ring, U a non-zero right ideal of M and d a non-zero derivation of M . If*

$$d(u)\gamma a = 0 \text{ for all } u \in U \text{ and } \gamma \in \Gamma \quad (3.17)$$

where a is a fixed element of M , then there exists an element q of Q such that $q\gamma a = 0$ and $q\gamma u = 0$ for all $u \in U$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Proof. Let $u \in U$, $x \in M$ and $\beta \in \Gamma$. Since U is a right ideal of M , we have $u\beta x \in U$. Replacing u by $u\beta x$ in (3.17), we get

$$d(u)\beta x\gamma a + u\beta d(x)\gamma a = 0 \quad (3.18)$$

for all $u \in U$, $x \in M$ and $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$. Hence $d(u)\beta x\gamma a\alpha m + u\beta d(x)\gamma a\alpha m = 0$ for any $m \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, and so $d(u)\beta(\sum x\gamma a\alpha m) = -(u\beta(\sum d(x)\gamma a\alpha m))$. Therefore, for any $v \in V = M\Gamma a\Gamma M$ which is a non-zero ideal of M , we have

$$d(u)\beta v = u\beta f(v) \quad (3.19)$$

for all $u \in U$. $f(v)$ is independent of u but it is dependent on v . Since M is a prime Γ -ring, $f(v)$ is well-defined and unique for all $v \in V$. Note that $v\alpha y \in V$ for any $y \in M$, $v \in V$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Replacing v by $v\alpha y$ in (3.19) we get

$$d(u)\beta(v\alpha y) = u\beta f(v\alpha y) \text{ for all } y \in M, \quad (3.20)$$

and so by using (3.19) and (3.20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (d(u)\beta v)\alpha y = u\beta f(v\alpha y) &\Rightarrow (u\beta f(v))\alpha y = u\beta f(v\alpha y) \\ &\Rightarrow u\beta f(v)\alpha y = u\beta f(v\alpha y) \\ &\Rightarrow u\beta(f(v)\alpha y - f(v\alpha y)) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies from Lemma 2.6 that

$$f(v\alpha y) = f(v)\alpha y \quad (3.21)$$

for all $y \in M$, $v \in V$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. It follows from (3.21) that $f : V \rightarrow M$ is a right M -module homomorphism. In this case, $q = Cl(V, f) \in Q$. Moreover, $f(v) = q\beta v$ for all $v \in V$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$ by Theorem 2.3. Let $x \in M$, $v \in V$, $u \in U$ and $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$. Replacing v by $x\gamma v$ in (3.19), we get

$$d(u)\beta(x\gamma v) = u\beta f(x\gamma v) = u\beta(q\beta x\gamma v). \quad (3.22)$$

Also, replacing u by $u\gamma x$ in (3.19), we get

$$d(u)\gamma x\beta v = u\gamma x\beta q\beta v - u\gamma d(x)\beta v. \quad (3.23)$$

Now, replacing β by γ and replacing γ by β in (3.23), we get

$$d(u)\beta x\gamma v = u\beta x\gamma q\gamma v - u\beta d(x)\gamma v. \quad (3.24)$$

Thus, from (3.22) and (3.24) we obtain

$$u\beta(q\beta x - x\gamma q + d(x))\gamma v = 0 \quad (3.25)$$

for all $x \in M$, $v \in V$, $u \in U$ and $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$. Hence $d(x) = x\gamma q - q\beta x$ for all $x \in M$ and $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$ by Lemma 2.6. Now, we shall prove that q can be chosen in Q such that $q\gamma a = 0$ and $q\gamma u = 0$ for all $u \in U$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Let $u \in U$ and $x \in M$, $d(u) = q\alpha u - u\beta q$ and $d(x) = q\beta x - x\alpha q$. Then we have $0 = d(u\beta x)\gamma a = (q\alpha(u\beta x) - (u\beta x)\alpha q)\gamma a$. Thus, $q\alpha u\beta x\gamma a = u\beta x\alpha q\gamma a$. If $q\gamma a = 0$, then $q\alpha u\beta x\gamma a = 0$, and so since M is prime Γ -ring, we get $q\Gamma U = (0)$. On the other hand, if $q\gamma a \neq 0$, then $q\gamma u \neq 0$. In fact, if $q\gamma u = 0$, then $q\gamma a = 0$ since $q\alpha u\beta x\gamma a = u\beta x\alpha q\gamma a$. Thus, we may suppose that $q\gamma a \neq 0$ and $q\gamma u \neq 0$ for all $u \in U$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. In this case, we get

$$q\alpha u\beta x\gamma a = u\beta x\alpha q\gamma a \quad (3.26)$$

for all $x \in M$, $u \in U$ and $\gamma, \beta, \alpha \in \Gamma$. It follows from Lemma 2.2 that there exists $\lambda \in C_\Gamma$ such that $q\gamma a = \lambda\delta a$ and $q\gamma u = \lambda\delta u$ for all $u \in U$ and $\gamma, \delta, \alpha \in \Gamma$. Hence, if $q' = q - \lambda$, then $q'\Gamma a = 0$ and $q'\Gamma U = (0)$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.5. *Let M be a prime Γ -ring with $\text{char} M \neq 2$, U a non-zero right ideal of M and d a non-zero derivation of M . Then the subring of M generated by $d(U)$ contains no non-zero right ideals of M if and only if $d(U)\Gamma U = (0)$.*

Proof. Let A be the subring generated by $d(U)$. Let $S = A \cap U$, $u \in U$, $s \in S$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then $d(s\gamma u) = d(s)\gamma u + s\gamma d(u) \in A$, and so we have $d(s)\gamma u \in S$. Thus $d(S)\Gamma U$ is a right ideal of M . In this case, $d(S)\Gamma U = (0)$ by hypothesis. $d(u\gamma a) = d(u)\gamma a + u\gamma d(a) \in S$ and $d(u)\gamma a \in S$ where $u \in U, a \in A$. Thus, we have $u\gamma d(a) \in S$. Therefore, $0 = d(u\gamma d(a))\beta u = (u\gamma d^2(a) + d(u)\gamma d(a))\beta u$. Since M is a prime Γ -ring, it follows from Lemma 2.6 that

$$u\gamma d^2(a) + d(u)\gamma d(a) = 0 \tag{3.27}$$

for all $u \in U, \gamma \in \Gamma$ and $a \in A$. Replacing u by $u\beta v$ where $v \in U, \beta \in \Gamma$ in (3.27), we get, for all $u, v \in U, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$ and $a \in A$

$$d(u)\beta v\gamma d(a) = 0. \tag{3.28}$$

Since M is a prime Γ -ring, we get $d(U)\Gamma U = (0)$ or $d(A)\Gamma U = (0)$. If $d(A)\Gamma U = (0)$, then $d^2(U)\Gamma U = (0)$. Let $u, v \in U$ and $\beta \in \Gamma$. Then $0 = d(d(u\beta v)) = u\beta d^2(v) + d(u)\beta d(v) + d(v)\beta d(u) + d^2(u)\beta v$, and so we have $d(u)\beta d(v) = 0$ for all $u, v \in U$ and $\beta \in \Gamma$ by $\text{char}M \neq 2$. Replacing u by $u\gamma w$ where $w \in U, \gamma \in \Gamma$ in last relation, we have $d(u)\gamma w\beta d(v) = 0$ which yields $d(u)\gamma v = 0$ for all $u, v \in U$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Conversely assume that $d(U)\Gamma U = (0)$. Then $A\Gamma U = (0)$. Since M is a prime Γ -ring, A contains no non-zero right ideals. □

Theorem 3.6. *Let M be a prime Γ -ring with $\text{char}M \neq 2$, U a non-zero right ideal of M and d_1 and d_2 two non-zero derivations of M . If $d_1d_2(U) = (0)$, then there exists two elements p, q of Q such that $q\Gamma U = (0)$ and $p\Gamma U = (0)$.*

Proof. If $d_1d_2(U) = (0)$, then $d_1(A) = (0)$ where A is a subring generated by $d_2(U)$. Since $d \neq 0$, A contains no non-zero right ideals of M . Thus, from Theorem 3.5, we have $d_2(u)\gamma v = 0$ for all $u, v \in U$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Also, there exists $q \in Q$ such that $q\Gamma U = (0)$ by Theorem 3.4. Therefore $d_2(u\gamma v) = u\gamma d_2(v)$ for all $u, v \in U$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. In this case, $0 = d_1d_2(u\gamma v) = d_1(u\gamma d_2(v)) = d_1(u)\gamma d_2(v)$, and since M is a prime Γ -ring, we get $d_2(u)\gamma v = 0$ for all $u, v \in U$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Again, by Theorem 3.4, there exists $p \in Q$ such that $p\Gamma U = (0)$. This completes the proof. □

Remark 3.7. (a) Consider the following example. Let R be a ring. A derivation $d : R \rightarrow R$ is called an *inner derivation* if there exists $a \in R$ such that $d(x) = [a, x] = ax - xa$ for all $x \in R$. Let S be the 2×2 matrix ring over Galois field $\{0, 1, w, w^2\}$, with inner derivations d_1 and d_2 defined by

$$d_1(x) := \left[\left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), x \right], \quad d_2(x) := \left[\left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & w \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), x \right]$$

for all $x \in S$. Then the characteristic of S is 2 and we have $d_1 \neq 0$, $d_2 \neq 0$, $d_1 d_2 = 0$ and $d_2^2 = 0$. Also, if we take

$$M := M_{1 \times 2}(S) = \{(a, b) \mid a, b \in S\} \text{ and } \Gamma := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c} n \\ 0 \end{array} \right) \mid n \text{ is an integer} \right\},$$

then M is a prime Γ -ring of characteristic 2. Define an additive map $D_1 : M \rightarrow M$ by $D_1(x, y) = (d_1(x), d_1(y))$. Since $(x, y) \left(\begin{array}{c} n \\ 0 \end{array} \right) (a, b) = (nxa, nxb)$, therefore D_1 is a derivation on M . Similarly $D_2 : M \rightarrow M$ given by $D_2(x, y) = (d_2(x), d_2(y))$ is a derivation. In this case, we have $D_1 \neq 0$, $D_2 \neq 0$, $D_1 D_2 = 0$ and $D_2^2 = 0$ (see [7]). Thus we know that there exist two derivations D_1, D_2 of M such that $D_1 D_2(M) = (0)$ but $D_1(M)\Gamma M \neq (0)$ and $D_2(M)\Gamma M \neq (0)$. Therefore the condition of $\text{char} M \neq 2$ in Theorems 3.5 and 3.6 is necessary.

(b) In Theorems 3.4 and 3.6, if $a\gamma(c\beta b) = a\beta(c\gamma b)$ for all $a, b, c \in M$ and $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$, then $d(x) = [q, x]_\gamma = q\gamma x - x\gamma q$ for all $x \in M$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and for some $q \in Q$ is inner derivation and also $d_1(x) = [q, x]_\gamma$ and $d_2(x) = [q, x]_\beta$ for all $x \in M$, $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$ and for some elements $q, p \in Q$ are inner derivations by Lemma 2.5(i).

References

- [1] Barnes, W. E.: *On the Γ -rings of Nobusawa*, Pacific J. Math. 18, 411-422, (1966).
- [2] Kyuno, S.: *On prime gamma rings*, Pacific J. Math. 75(1), 185-190, (1978).
- [3] Nobusawa, N.: *On a generalization of the ring theory*, Osaka J. Math. 1, 81-89, (1964).

- [4] Öztürk, M. A. and Jun, Y. B.: *On the centroid of the prime gamma rings*, Comm. Korean Math. Soc. 15(3), 469-479, (2000).
- [5] Öztürk, M. A. and Jun, Y. B.: *On the centroid of the prime gamma rings II*, Tr. J. of Math. (to appear).
- [6] Öztürk, M. A.: *On the quotient gamma ring of the semi-prime gamma rings* (to appear).
- [7] Sapanci M. and Öztürk, M. A.: *A note on gamma rings* , Atatürk University 40th foundation year Math. Symposium, Special Press (20-22 May 1998), Erzurum, 48-51.
- [8] Soytürk, M.: *The commutativity in prime gamma rings with derivation*, Tr. J. of Math. 18(4), 149-155, (1994).

M. Ali ÖZTÜRK

Received 17.01.2002

Department of Mathematics

Faculty of Arts and Sciences

Cumhuriyet University

58140 Sivas-TURKEY

Young Bae JUN

Department of Mathematics Education,

Gyeongsang National University,

Chinju 660-701-KOREA

e-mail: ybjun@nongae.gsnu.ac.kr

Kyung Ho KIM

Department of Mathematics,

Chungju National University,

Chungju 380-702-KOREA

e-mail: ghkim@gukwon.chungju.ac.kr