

1-1-2002

## On Some Class of Hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$ Satisfying Chen's Equality

CİHAN ÖZGÜR

KADRİ ARSLAN

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

ÖZGÜR, CİHAN and ARSLAN, KADRİ (2002) "On Some Class of Hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$  Satisfying Chen's Equality," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 26: No. 3, Article 4. Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol26/iss3/4>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact [academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr](mailto:academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr).

## On Some Class of Hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$ Satisfying Chen's Equality

*Cihan Özgür and Kadri Arslan*

### Abstract

In this paper we study pseudosymmetry type hypersurfaces in the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$  satisfying B. Y. Chen's equality.

**Key Words:** Chen's equality, semisymmetric, pseudosymmetric manifold, hypersurface.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $(M, g)$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , be a connected Riemannian manifold of class  $C^\infty$ . We denote by  $\nabla, R, C, S$  and  $\kappa$  the Levi-Civita connection, the Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor, the Weyl conformal curvature tensor, the Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature of  $(M, g)$ , respectively. The Ricci operator  $\mathcal{S}$  is defined by  $g(\mathcal{S}X, Y) = S(X, Y)$ , where  $X, Y \in \chi(M)$ ,  $\chi(M)$  being Lie algebra of vector fields on  $M$ . We next define endomorphisms  $X \wedge Y$ ,  $\mathcal{R}(X, Y)$  and  $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)Z$  of  $\chi(M)$  by

$$(X \wedge Y)Z = g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y, \quad (1.1)$$

$$\mathcal{R}(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z, \quad (1.2)$$

$$\mathcal{C}(X, Y)Z = \mathcal{R}(X, Y)Z - \frac{1}{n-2}(X \wedge SY + SX \wedge Y - \frac{\kappa}{n-1}X \wedge Y)Z, \quad (1.3)$$

respectively, where  $X, Y, Z \in \chi(M)$ .

The Riemannian Christoffel curvature tensor  $R$  and the Weyl curvature tensor  $C$  of  $(M, g)$  are defined by

$$R(X, Y, Z, W) = g(\mathcal{R}(X, Y)Z, W), \quad (1.4)$$

$$C(X, Y, Z, W) = g(\mathcal{C}(X, Y)Z, W), \quad (1.5)$$

respectively, where  $W \in \chi(M)$ .

For a  $(0, k)$ -tensor field  $T$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , on  $(M, g)$  we define the tensors  $R \cdot T$  and  $Q(g, T)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} (R(X, Y) \cdot T)(X_1, \dots, X_k) &= -T(\mathcal{R}(X, Y)X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k) \\ &\quad - \dots - T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, \mathcal{R}(X, Y)X_k), \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} Q(g, T)(X_1, \dots, X_k; X, Y) &= (X \wedge Y)T(X_1, \dots, X_k) - T((X \wedge Y)X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k) \\ &\quad - \dots - T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, (X \wedge Y)X_k), \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

respectively.

If the tensors  $R \cdot R$  and  $Q(g, R)$  are linearly dependent then  $M$  is called *pseudosymmetric*. This is equivalent to

$$R \cdot R = L_R Q(g, R) \quad (1.8)$$

holding on the set  $U_R = \{x \mid Q(g, R) \neq 0 \text{ at } x\}$ , where  $L_R$  is some function on  $U_R$ . If  $R \cdot R = 0$  then  $M$  is called *semisymmetric*. (see [11], Section 3.1; [19]).

If the tensors  $R \cdot S$  and  $Q(g, S)$  are linearly dependent then  $M$  is called *Ricci-pseudosymmetric*. This is equivalent to

$$R \cdot S = L_S Q(g, S) \quad (1.9)$$

holding on the set  $U_S = \{x \mid S \neq \frac{\kappa}{n}g \text{ at } x\}$ , where  $L_S$  is some function on  $U_S$ . Every pseudosymmetric manifold is Ricci pseudosymmetric but the converse statement is not true. If  $R \cdot S = 0$  then  $M$  is called *Ricci-semisymmetric*. (see [10], [14]).

If the tensors  $R \cdot C$  and  $Q(g, C)$  are linearly dependent then  $M$  is called *Weyl-pseudosymmetric*. This is equivalent to

$$R \cdot C = L_C Q(g, C) \tag{1.10}$$

holding on the set  $U_C = \{x \mid C \neq 0 \text{ at } x\}$ . Every pseudosymmetric manifold is Weyl pseudosymmetric but the converse statement is not true. If  $R \cdot C = 0$  then  $M$  is called *Weyl-semisymmetric*. (see [13]).

The manifold  $M$  is a *manifold with pseudosymmetric Weyl tensor* if and only if

$$C \cdot C = L_C Q(g, C) \tag{1.11}$$

holds on the set  $U_C$ , where  $L_C$  is some function on  $U_C$  (see [12]). The tensor  $C \cdot C$  is defined in the same way as the tensor  $R \cdot R$ .

## 2. Submanifolds Satisfying Chen's Equality

Let  $M^n$  be an  $n \geq 3$  dimensional connected submanifold immersed isometrically in the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{E}^m$ . We denote by  $\tilde{\nabla}$  and  $\nabla$  the Levi-Civita connections corresponding to  $\mathbb{E}^m$  and  $M$ , respectively. Let  $\xi$  be a local unit normal vector field on  $M$  in  $\mathbb{E}^m$ . We can present the Gauss formula and the Weingarten formula of  $M$  in  $\mathbb{E}^m$  in the form  $\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y)$  and  $\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi = -A_\xi(X) + D_X \xi$ , respectively, where  $X, Y$  are vector fields tangent to  $M$  and  $D$  is the normal connection of  $M$ . (see [4]).

Let  $M^n$  be a submanifold of  $\mathbb{E}^m$  and  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  be an orthonormal tangent frame field on  $M^n$ . For the plane section  $e_i \wedge e_j$  of the tangent bundle  $TM$  spanned by the vectors  $e_i$  and  $e_j$  ( $i \neq j$ ) the scalar curvature of  $M$  is defined by  $\kappa = \sum_{i,j=1}^n K(e_i \wedge e_j)$  where  $K$  denotes the sectional curvature of  $M$ . Consider the real function  $\inf K$  on  $M^n$  defined for every  $x \in M$  by

$$(\inf K)(x) := \inf\{K(\pi) \mid \pi \text{ is a plane in } T_x M^n\}.$$

Note that since the set of planes at a certain point is compact, this infimum is actually a minimum. Then in [6], B. Y. Chen proved the following basic inequality between the intrinsic scalar invariants  $\inf K$  and  $\kappa$  of  $M^n$ , and the extrinsic scalar invariant  $|H|$ , being the length of the mean curvature vector field  $H$  of  $M^n$  in  $\mathbb{E}^m$ .

**Lemma 2.1** [6]. *Let  $M^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , be any submanifold of  $\mathbb{E}^m$ ,  $m = n + p$ ,  $p \geq 1$ . Then*

$$\inf K \geq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \kappa - \frac{n^2(n-2)}{n-1} |H|^2 \right\}. \tag{2.12}$$

*Equality holds in (2.12) at a point  $x$  if and only if with respect to suitable local orthonormal frames  $e_1, \dots, e_n \in T_x M^n$ , the Weingarten maps  $A_t$  with respect to the normal sections  $\xi_t = e_{n+t}$ ,  $t = 1, \dots, p$  are given by*

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \mu & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \mu \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_t = \begin{bmatrix} c_t & d_t & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ d_t & -c_t & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (t > 1),$$

where  $\mu = a + b$  for any such frame,  $\inf K(x)$  is attained by the plane  $e_1 \wedge e_2$ .

The relation (2.12) is called Chen’s inequality. Submanifolds satisfying Chen’s inequality have been studied with many authors. For more details see ([18],[8],[15] and recently [2] and [3]).

**Remark 2.2** *For dimension  $n = 2$  (2.12) is trivially satisfied.*

From now on we assume that  $M^n$  is a hypersurface in  $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$ . We denote shortly  $K_{rs} = K(e_r \wedge e_s)$ .

By the use of Lemma 2.1 we get the following corollaries;

**Corollary 2.3** *Let  $M$  be a hypersurface of  $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , satisfying Chen’s equality then*

$$K_{12} = ab, \quad K_{1j} = a\mu, \quad K_{2j} = b\mu, \quad K_{ij} = \mu^2, \tag{2.13}$$

where  $i, j > 2$ . Furthermore,  $\mathcal{R}(e_i, e_j)e_k = 0$  if  $i, j$  and  $k$  are mutually different.

**Corollary 2.4** *Let  $M$  be a hypersurface of  $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , satisfying Chen's equality then*

$$\begin{aligned} S(e_1, e_1) &= [(n-2)a\mu + ab], \\ S(e_2, e_2) &= [(n-2)b\mu + ab], \\ S(e_3, e_3) &= \dots = S(e_n, e_n) = (n-2)\mu^2, \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

and  $S(e_i, e_j) = 0$  if  $i \neq j$ .

**Remark 2.5** *Hypersurface  $M$  with three distinct principal curvatures, their multiplicities are 1, 1 and  $n-2$ , is said to be 2-quasi umbilical. Therefore hypersurfaces satisfying B. Y. Chen equality is a special type of 2-quasi umbilical hypersurfaces.*

**Theorem 2.6** [16]. *Any 2-quasi-umbilical hypersurface  $M$ ,  $\dim M \geq 4$ , immersed isometrically in a semi-Riemannian conformally flat manifold  $N$  is a manifold with pseudosymmetric Weyl tensor.*

**Corollary 2.7** [15]. *Every hypersurface  $M$  immersed isometrically in a Riemannian space of constant curvature  $N^{n+1}(c)$ ,  $n \geq 4$ , realizing Chen's equality is a hypersurface with pseudosymmetric Weyl tensor.*

On the other hand, it is known that in a hypersurface  $M$  of a Riemannian space of constant curvature  $N^{n+1}(c)$ ,  $n \geq 4$ , if  $M$  is a Ricci-pseudosymmetric manifold with pseudosymmetric Weyl tensor then it is a pseudosymmetric manifold (see [15]). Moreover from [1], we know that, in a hypersurface  $M$  of a Riemannian space of constant curvature  $N^{n+1}(c)$ ,  $n \geq 4$ , the Weyl pseudosymmetry and the pseudosymmetry conditions are equivalent. So using the previous facts and Theorem 2.6 one can obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.8** *In the class of 2-quasiumbilical hypersurfaces of the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$ ,  $n \geq 4$ , the conditions of the pseudosymmetry, the Ricci-pseudosymmetry and the Weyl pseudosymmetry are equivalent.*

In [18] the authors gave the classification of semisymmetric submanifolds satisfying B. Y. Chen equality.

**Theorem 2.9** [18]. *Let  $M^n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , be a submanifold of  $\mathbb{E}^m$  satisfying Chen's equality. Then  $M^n$  is semisymmetric if and only if  $M^n$  is a minimal submanifold (in which case  $M^n$  is  $(n-2)$ -ruled), or  $M^n$  is a round hypercone in some totally geodesic subspace  $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$  of  $\mathbb{E}^m$ .*

Now our aim is to give an extension of Theorem 2.9 for the case  $M$  is a pseudosymmetric hypersurface in the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$ . Since hypersurfaces satisfying Chen's equality is a special type of 2-quasiumbilical hypersurfaces, it is enough to investigate only the pseudosymmetry condition. By Corollary 2.8, this will include all types of the pseudosymmetry (1.8)-(1.10). Firstly we give the following lemmas;

**Lemma 2.10** *Let  $M$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , be a hypersurface of  $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$  satisfying Chen's equality. Then*

$$(\mathcal{R}(e_1, e_3) \cdot \mathcal{R})(e_2, e_3)e_1 = a\mu b^2 e_2, \quad (2.15)$$

$$(\mathcal{R}(e_2, e_3) \cdot \mathcal{R})(e_1, e_3)e_2 = b\mu a^2 e_1. \quad (2.16)$$

**Proof.** Using (1.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{R}(e_1, e_3) \cdot \mathcal{R})(e_2, e_3)e_1 &= \mathcal{R}(e_1, e_3)(\mathcal{R}(e_2, e_3)e_1) - \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}(e_1, e_3)e_2, e_3)e_1 \\ &\quad - \mathcal{R}(e_2, \mathcal{R}(e_1, e_3)e_3)e_1 - \mathcal{R}(e_2, e_3)(\mathcal{R}(e_1, e_3)e_1) \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{R}(e_2, e_3) \cdot \mathcal{R})(e_1, e_3)e_2 &= \mathcal{R}(e_2, e_3)(\mathcal{R}(e_1, e_3)e_2) - \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}(e_2, e_3)e_1, e_3)e_2 \\ &\quad - \mathcal{R}(e_1, \mathcal{R}(e_2, e_3)e_3)e_2 - \mathcal{R}(e_1, e_3)(\mathcal{R}(e_2, e_3)e_2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

Since

$$\mathcal{R}(e_i, e_j)e_k = (A_\xi e_i \wedge A_\xi e_j)e_k$$

then using (2.13) one can get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(e_1, e_3)e_1 &= -K_{13}e_1 \quad , \quad \mathcal{R}(e_1, e_3)e_3 = K_{13}e_1 \\ \mathcal{R}(e_2, e_1)e_1 &= K_{12}e_2 \quad , \quad \mathcal{R}(e_2, e_1)e_2 = -K_{12}e_1 \\ \mathcal{R}(e_2, e_3)e_2 &= -K_{23}e_2 \quad , \quad \mathcal{R}(e_2, e_3)e_3 = K_{23}e_2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

Therefore substituting (2.19), (2.13) into (2.17) and (2.18) respectively we get the result.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.11** *Let  $M$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , be a hypersurface of  $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$  satisfying Chen's equality. Then*

$$Q(g, \mathcal{R})(e_2, e_3, e_1; e_1, e_3) = b^2 e_2, \quad (2.20)$$

$$Q(g, \mathcal{R})(e_1, e_3, e_2; e_2, e_3) = a^2 e_1. \quad (2.21)$$

**Proof.** Using the relation (1.7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Q(g, \mathcal{R})(e_2, e_3, e_1; e_1, e_3) &= (e_1 \wedge e_3)\mathcal{R}(e_2, e_3)e_1 - \mathcal{R}((e_1 \wedge e_3)e_2, e_3)e_1 \\ &\quad - \mathcal{R}(e_2, (e_1 \wedge e_3)e_3)e_1 - \mathcal{R}(e_2, e_3)((e_1 \wedge e_3)e_1) \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Q(g, \mathcal{R})(e_2, e_3, e_2; e_2, e_3) &= (e_2 \wedge e_3)\mathcal{R}(e_1, e_3)e_2 - \mathcal{R}((e_2 \wedge e_3)e_1, e_3)e_2 \\ &\quad - \mathcal{R}(e_1, (e_2 \wedge e_3)e_3)e_2 - \mathcal{R}(e_1, e_3)((e_2 \wedge e_3)e_2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

So substituting respectively (2.19) and (2.13) into (2.22) and (2.23) we obtain (2.20)-(2.21).  $\square$

**Theorem 2.12** *Let  $M$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , be a hypersurface of  $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$  satisfying Chen's equality. Then  $M$  is pseudosymmetric if and only if*

- (i)  $M = \mathbb{E}^n$ , or
- (ii)  $M$  is a round hypercone in  $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$ , or
- (iii)  $M$  is a minimal hypersurface in  $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$  (in which case  $M$  is  $(n-2)$ -ruled), or



(iv) The shape operator of  $M$  in  $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$  is of the form

$$A_\xi = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2a & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2a & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 2a \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.24)$$

**Proof.** Let  $M$  be a pseudosymmetric hypersurface in  $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$ . Then by definition one can write

$$(\mathcal{R}(e_1, e_3) \cdot \mathcal{R})(e_2, e_3)e_1 = L_R Q(g, \mathcal{R})(e_2, e_3, e_1; e_1, e_3) \quad (2.25)$$

and

$$(\mathcal{R}(e_2, e_3) \cdot \mathcal{R})(e_1, e_3)e_2 = L_R Q(g, \mathcal{R})(e_1, e_3, e_2; e_2, e_3). \quad (2.26)$$

Since  $M$  satisfies B. Y. Chen equality then by Lemma 2.10 and Lemma 2.11 the equations (2.25) and (2.26) turns, respectively, into

$$(a\mu - L_R)b^2 = 0 \quad (2.27)$$

and

$$(b\mu - L_R)a^2 = 0. \quad (2.28)$$

**i)** Firstly, suppose that  $M$  is semisymmetric, i.e.,  $M$  is trivially pseudosymmetric then  $L_R = 0$ . So the equations (2.27) and (2.28) can be written as the following:

$$ab\mu = 0.$$

Now suppose  $a = 0, b \neq 0$  then  $\mu = b$  and by [9]  $M$  is a round hypercone in  $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$ . If  $a \neq 0, b = 0$  then  $\mu = a$  and similarly  $M$  is a round hypercone in  $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$ . If  $\mu = 0$  then  $M$  is minimal. If  $a = 0, b = 0$  then  $\mu = 0$  so  $M = \mathbb{E}^n$ .

**ii)** Secondly, suppose  $M$  is not semisymmetric, i.e.,  $R \cdot R \neq 0$ . For the subcases  $a = b = 0, a = 0, b \neq 0$  or  $a \neq 0, b = 0$  we get  $R \cdot R = 0$  which contradicts the fact that

$R \cdot R \neq 0$ . Therefore the only remaining possible subcase is  $a \neq 0, b \neq 0$ . So by the use of (2.27) and (2.28) we have  $(a - b)\mu = 0$ . Since  $\mu = a + b \neq 0$  then  $a = b$  and by Lemma 2.1 the shape operator of  $M$  is of the form (2.24).

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

**Theorem 2.13** *Let  $M, n \geq 3$ , be a hypersurface of  $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$  satisfying Chen's equality. If  $M$  is pseudosymmetric then  $rankS = 0$  or  $2$  or  $n - 1$  or  $n$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $M$  is a hypersurface of  $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}, n \geq 3$ , satisfying Chen equality. If  $M$  is semisymmetric then  $M = \mathbb{E}^n$  or  $M$  is a round hypercone or  $M$  is minimal. It is easy to check that if  $M = \mathbb{E}^n$  then  $rankS = 0$ , if  $M$  is a round hypercone then  $rankS = n - 1$ , if  $M$  is minimal then  $rankS = 2$ . Now suppose  $M$  is not semisymmetric but it is pseudosymmetric. Then by Theorem 2.12 the principal curvatures of  $M$  are  $a, a, 2a, \dots, 2a$ . So by Corollary 2.4,  $S(e_1, e_1) = S(e_2, e_2) = (2n - 3)a^2$  and  $S(e_3, e_3) = \dots = S(e_n, e_n) = 2(n - 2)a^2$ , which gives  $rankS = n$ .

Hence we get the result, as required. □

### References

- [1] Arslan, K., Deszcz, R. and Yaprak, Ş.: On Weyl pseudosymmetric hypersurfaces, Colloquium Math., 72, 353-360, (1997).
- [2] Arslan, K., Ezentaş, R., Mihai, I., Murathan, C. and Özgür, C.: Certain inequalities for submanifolds in  $(k, \mu)$ -contact space forms, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 64, 201-212, (2001).
- [3] Arslan, K., Ezentaş, R., Mihai, I., Murathan, C. and Özgür, C.: Chen inequalities for submanifolds in locally conformal almost cosymplectic manifolds, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica 29, no. 3, 231-242, (2001).
- [4] Chen, B.Y.: Geometry of submanifolds and its applications, Science University of Tokyo 1981.
- [5] Chen, B.Y.: Some pinching and classification theorems for minimal submanifolds, Archiv for Math. 60, 568-578, (1993).

- [6] Chen, B.Y.: A Riemannian invariant for submanifolds in space forms and its applications, in: *Geometry and Topology of Submanifolds VI*, World Scientific, Singapore, 58-81, 1994.
- [7] Chen, B. Y., Dillen, F., Verstraelen, L. and Vrancken, L.: Totally real submanifolds of  $CP^n$  satisfying a basic equality, *Arc. Math.* 63, 553-564, (1994).
- [8] Defever, F., Mihai, I. and Verstraelen, L.: B. Y. Chen's inequality for C-totally real submanifolds in Sasakian space forms, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.* 11, 365-374, (1997).
- [9] Deprez, J.: Semi-parallel hypersurfaces, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univers. Politecn. Torino*, 44, 303-316, (1986).
- [10] Deszcz, R.: On Ricci pseudosymmetric warped products, *Demonstratio Math.*, 22, 1053-1065, (1989).
- [11] Deszcz, R.: On pseudosymmetric spaces, *Bull. Soc. Belg. Math., Ser. A*, 44, 1-34, (1992).
- [12] Deszcz, R. and Grycak, W.: On some class of warped product manifolds, *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica*, 15, 311-322, (1987).
- [13] Deszcz, R. and Grycak, W.: On manifolds satisfying some curvature conditions, *Colloquium Math.*, 57, 89-92, (1989).
- [14] Deszcz, R. and Hotlos, M.: Remarks on Riemannian manifolds satisfying a certain curvature condition imposed on the Ricci tensor, *Prace Nauk. Pol. Szczec.*, 11, 23-34, (1989).
- [15] Deszcz, R., Verstraelen, L. and Yaprak, Ş.: On hypersurfaces with pseudosymmetric Weyl tensor, in: *Geometry and Topology of Submanifolds, VIII*, World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 111-120, 1996.
- [16] Deszcz, R., Verstraelen, L. and Yaprak, Ş.: On 2-quasi-umbilical hypersurfaces in conformally flat spaces, *Acta Math. Hungarica*, 78, 45-57, (1998).
- [17] Deszcz, R., Verstraelen, L. and Yaprak, Ş.: Hypersurfaces with pseudosymmetric Weyl tensor in conformally flat manifolds, *Geometry and Topology of Submanifolds, IX*, World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 108-117, 1999.
- [18] Dillen, F., Petrovic, M. and Verstraelen, L.: Einstein, conformally flat and semi-symmetric submanifolds satisfying Chen's equality, *Israel J. Math.* 100, 163-169, (1997).

ÖZGÜR, ARSLAN

- [19] Verstraelen, L: Comments on pseudo-symmetry in the sense of Ryszard Deszcz, in: Geometry and Topology of Submanifolds, VI, World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 199–209, 1994.

Cihan ÖZGÜR

Received 28.11.2001

Department of Mathematics

Balıkesir University

10100, Balıkesir, TURKEY

e-mail: cozgur@balikesir.edu.tr

Kadri ARSLAN

Department of Mathematics

Uludağ University

16059 Bursa, TURKEY

e-mail: arslan@uludag.edu.tr