

1-1-2003

## On Invariant Submanifolds of Riemannian Warped Product Manifold

MEHMET ATÇEKEN

BAYRAM ŞAHİN

EROL KILIÇ

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

ATÇEKEN, MEHMET; ŞAHİN, BAYRAM; and KILIÇ, EROL (2003) "On Invariant Submanifolds of Riemannian Warped Product Manifold," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 27: No. 3, Article 5. Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol27/iss3/5>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact [academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr](mailto:academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr).

## On Invariant Submanifolds of Riemannian Warped Product Manifold

*M. Atçeken, B. Şahin, E. Kılıç*

### Abstract

In this paper, we generalize the geometry of the invariant submanifolds of Riemannian product manifold to the geometry of the invariant submanifolds of Riemannian warped product manifold. We investigate some properties of an invariant submanifolds of a Riemannian warped product manifold. We show that every invariant submanifold of the Riemannian warped product manifold is a Riemannian warped product manifold. Also, we give a theorem on the pseudo-umbilical invariant submanifold. Further, we obtain that integral manifolds on an invariant submanifold are curvature-invariant submanifolds. Finally, we give a necessary condition on a totally umbilical invariant submanifold to be totally geodesic.

**Key Words:** Riemannian Warped Product Manifold, Vertical and Horizontal Distributions, Pseudo-Umbilical Submanifold, Curvature-Invariant Submanifold.

### 1. Introduction

The geometry of a submanifold  $(\overline{M}, \overline{g})$  of a locally product Riemannian manifold  $(M_1 \times M_2, g_1 \otimes g_2)$  was widely studied by many geometers. In particular, K. Matsumoto has proved that  $(\overline{M}, \overline{g})$  is a locally product Riemannian manifold of Riemannian manifolds  $(\overline{M}_a, \overline{g}_a)$  and  $(\overline{M}_b, \overline{g}_b)$ , if it is an invariant submanifold of a Riemannian product manifold  $(M_1 \times M_2, g_1 \otimes g_2)$  (see [5]). Later, Xu, Senlin, and Ni, Yilong, ([6]) have updated X-Matsumotos and proved that  $\overline{M}_a \subset M_1$  and  $\overline{M}_b \subset M_2$ . Moreover, they have proved that  $(\overline{M}_a, \overline{g}_a)$  and  $(\overline{M}_b, \overline{g}_b)$  are pseudo-umbilical submanifolds of  $(M_1, g_1)$  and  $(M_2, g_2)$ , respectively, if  $(\overline{M}, \overline{g})$  is a pseudo-umbilical submanifold of  $(M, g) = (M_1 \times M_2, g_1 \otimes g_2)$ . They have also demonstrated that  $\overline{M}$  is isometric to the production of its two totally

geodesic submanifolds  $(\overline{M}_a, \overline{g}_a)$  and  $(\overline{M}_b, \overline{g}_b)$  which are submanifolds of  $(M_1, g_1)$  and  $(M_2, g_2)$ , respectively (see [6]).

Furthermore, semi-invariant submanifolds of locally product Riemannian manifolds were studied by A. Bejancu (see [2]).

Riemannian and Warped product structures are widely used in geometry to construct new examples of Riemannian manifolds with interesting curvature properties. Warped product metric tensor, as a generalization of Riemannian product metric tensor, have also been useful in the study of several aspect of submanifold theory.

An invariant submanifold of a semi-Riemannian product manifold has been considered by several authors; but , an invariant submanifold of the other product manifold (such as warped product, or twisted product) has not been widely considered so far.

In this work, we have studied the geometry of a submanifold  $(\overline{M}, \overline{g})$ , a warped product Riemannian manifold of a Riemannian manifold  $(M_1, g_1)$  and a Riemannian manifold  $(M_2, g_2)$ , if it is an invariant submanifold of a Riemannian warped product manifold  $(M_1 \times_f M_2, g_1 \otimes f^2 g_2)$ . We have also proved that  $(\overline{M}, \overline{g})$  is a pseudo-umbilical submanifold of  $(M, g) = (M_1 \times_f M_2, g_1 \otimes f^2 g_2)$  if and only if  $(\overline{M}_a, \overline{g}_a)$  and  $(\overline{M}_b, \overline{g}_b)$  are pseudo-umbilical submanifolds of  $(M_1, g_1)$  and  $(M_2, g_2)$ , respectively, where  $(\overline{M}, \overline{g})$  is the Riemannian warped product manifold of the Riemannian manifolds  $(\overline{M}_a, \overline{g}_a)$  and  $(\overline{M}_b, \overline{g}_b)$ . Moreover, we have shown that  $(\overline{M}_a, \overline{g}_a)$  and  $(\overline{M}_b, \overline{g}_b)$  are the curvature-invariant submanifolds of  $(M_1, g_1)$  and  $(M_2, g_2)$ , respectively, if  $(\overline{M}, \overline{g})$  is the curvature-invariant submanifold of  $(M, g)$ , and we give a theorem on a totally umbilical invariant submanifold to be totally geodesic.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we give some notations and terminology used throughout this paper. We recall some necessary facts and formulas from the theory of submanifolds. For an arbitrary submanifold  $\overline{M}$  of a Riemannian manifold  $M$ , Gauss and Weingarten formulas are given by

$$\nabla_X Y = \overline{\nabla}_X Y + h(X, Y)$$

and

$$\nabla_X \xi = -A_\xi X + \nabla_X^\perp \xi,$$

respectively, where  $\nabla$  and  $\overline{\nabla}$  are Levi-Civita connections on the Riemannian manifolds

$M$  and its submanifold  $\overline{M}$ , respectively;  $X, Y$  are vector fields tangent to  $\overline{M}$ ;  $\xi$  is a vector field normal to  $\overline{M}$ ;  $h : \Gamma(T\overline{M}) \times \Gamma(T\overline{M}) \longrightarrow \Gamma(T\overline{M}^\perp)$  is the second fundamental form of  $\overline{M}$ ,  $\nabla^\perp$  is the normal connection in the normal vector bundle  $\Gamma(T\overline{M}^\perp)$ ; and  $A_\xi$  is the shape operator of the second quadratic form for a normal vector  $\xi$ . From the above formulas it follows that

$$g(A_\xi X, Y) = g(h(X, Y), \xi),$$

where the symbol  $g$  denotes the Riemannian metric of  $M$ .

We denote the Riemannian curvature tensors of the Levi-Civita connections  $\nabla$  and  $\overline{\nabla}$  on  $M$  and  $\overline{M}$  by  $R$  and  $\overline{R}$ , respectively. The Gauss, Codazzi, and Ricci equations are given by

$$\begin{aligned} g(R(X, Y)Z, W) &= g(\overline{R}(X, Y)Z, W) + g(h(X, W), h(Y, Z)) - g(h(X, Z), h(Y, W)) \\ (R(X, Y)Z)^\perp &= (\nabla_X h)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y h)(X, Z), \\ g(R(X, Y)\xi, \eta) &= g(R^\perp(X, Y)\xi, \eta) - g([A_\xi, A_\eta]X, Y), \end{aligned}$$

respectively, where the vector fields  $X, Y, Z, W$  are tangent to  $\overline{M}$ , the vector fields  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  are orthogonal to  $\overline{M}$ ,  $(R(X, Y)Z)^\perp$  denotes the normal Component of  $R(X, Y)Z$  and the derivative  $\nabla h$  is defined by

$$(\nabla_X h)(Y, Z) = (\nabla_X^\perp h)(Y, Z) - h(\overline{\nabla}_X Y, Z) - h(\overline{\nabla}_X Z, Y).$$

$\overline{M}$  is called a curvature-invariant submanifold if it has

$$(R(X, Y)Z)^\perp = 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$(\nabla_X h)(Y, Z) = (\nabla_Y h)(X, Z)$$

for all  $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(T\overline{M})$ .

If the ambient space  $M$  is a space of constant sectional curvature  $c$ , the equations of Gauss, Codazzi and Ricci reduce to

$$\begin{aligned} K(X, Y, Z, W) &= c\{\overline{g}(X, W)\overline{g}(Y, Z) - \overline{g}(X, Z)\overline{g}(Y, W)\} \\ &+ g(h(X, W), h(Y, Z)) - g(h(X, Z), h(Y, W)), \end{aligned}$$

$$(\nabla_X h)(Y, Z) = (\nabla_Y h)(X, Z)$$

and

$$K^\perp(X, Y, \xi, \eta) = g([A_\xi, A_\eta]X, Y),$$

respectively, where  $K$  denotes the Riemannian-Christoffel curvature tensor of  $M$ [4].

**Definition 2.1** For a submanifold  $\overline{M} \subseteq M$  the mean-curvature vector field  $H$  is defined by the formula

$$H = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h(e_i, e_i),$$

where  $\{e_i\}$  is a local orthonormal basis in  $\Gamma(T\overline{M})$ . If a submanifold  $\overline{M} \subseteq M$  having one of the conditions

$$h = 0, g(h(X, Y), H) = \lambda g(X, Y), H = 0, \lambda \in C^\infty(M, R),$$

then it is called totally geodesic, pseudo-umbilical and minimal, respectively for all  $x, y \in \Gamma(T\overline{M})$  [3].

We recall that the length the mean curvature vector field of  $\overline{M}$  is constant if  $\overline{M}$  is a totally umbilical submanifold of a Riemannian manifold  $M$ [3].

Let  $(M_1, g_1)$  and  $(M_2, g_2)$  be Riemannian manifolds with dimension  $n_1$  and  $n_2$ , respectively, and  $f)0$  be a smooth function on  $M_1$ . The Riemannian warped product manifold  $M = M_1 \times_f M_2$  is the product manifold  $M$  furnished with metric tensor  $g = \pi^*g_1 + (f \circ \pi)^2 \sigma^*g_2$ , where  $\pi_* : \Gamma(T(M_1 \times_f M_2)) \rightarrow \Gamma(TM_1)$  and  $\sigma_* : \Gamma(T(M_1 \times_f M_2)) \rightarrow \Gamma(TM_2)$  are the projection mappings. The warped product manifold  $M_1 \times_f M_2$  is characterized by  $M_1$  is totally geodesic and  $M_2$  is totally umbilical submanifolds of  $M_1 \times_f M_2$ . We denote the Levi-Civita connection of the warped product metric tensor of  $g$  by  $\nabla$ . Then we give the following propositions for later use.

**Proposition 2.2 (O'Neill, [7])** Let  $(M_1 \times_f M_2, g)$  be a warped Riemannian product manifold with the warping function  $f)0$  on  $M_1$ . Then we have

- a)  $\nabla_{X_1} Y_1 \in \Gamma(TM_1)$
- b)  $\nabla_{X_1} Y_2 = \nabla_{Y_2} X_1 = \frac{X_1(f)}{f} Y_2$
- c)  $nor(\nabla_{X_2} Y_2) = -f g_2(X_2, Y_2) \text{grad} f$
- d)  $\tan(\nabla_{X_2} Y_2) = \nabla_{X_2}^2 Y_2 \in \Gamma(TM_2)$ ,

for all  $X_i, Y_i \in \Gamma(TM_i)$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ , respectively, where  $\nabla^2$  is the Levi-Civita connection of Riemannian metric tensor  $g_2$ .

**Proposition 2.3 (O’Neill, [7])** *Let  $(M_1 \times_f M_2, g)$  be a warped Riemannian product manifold with the warping function  $f$  and Riemannian curvature tensor  $R$ . Then we have*

- a)  $R(X_1, Y_1)Z_1 = R_1(X_1, Y_1)Z_1 \in \Gamma(TM_1)$ .
- b)  $R(X_2, X_1)Y_1 = \frac{1}{f}H^f(X_1, Y_1)X_2$ .
- c)  $R(X_1, Y_1)X_2 = R(X_2, Y_2)X_1 = 0$
- d)  $R(X_2, Y_2)Z_2 = R_2(X_2, Y_2)Z_2 - g_1(\text{grad}f, \text{grad}f)\{g_2(X_2, Z_2)Y_2 - g_2(Y_2, Z_2)X_2\}$ .
- e)  $R(X_1, Y_2)Z_2 = fg_2(Y_2, Z_2)\nabla_{X_1}\text{grad}f$ ,

for all  $X_i, Y_i, Z_i \in \Gamma(TM_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , respectively, where  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  denote the Riemannian curvature tensor of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ , respectively, and  $H^f$  is the Hessian form of warping function  $f$ .

### 3. Invariant Submanifold of a Riemannian Warped Product Manifold

Let  $(M_1 \times_f M_2, g)$  be a Riemannian warped product manifold with  $(M_1, g_1)$  and  $(M_2, g_2)$ . We denote by  $\pi_*$  and  $\sigma_*$  the projection mappings of  $\Gamma(T(M_1 \times_f M_2))$  to  $\Gamma(TM_1)$  and  $\Gamma(TM_2)$ , respectively. Then we have

$$\pi_*^2 = \pi_*, \sigma_*^2 = \sigma_*, \pi_* \times \sigma_* = \sigma_* \times \pi_* = 0, \pi_* + \sigma_* = I,$$

where  $I$  is the identity transformation of  $\Gamma(T(M_1 \times_f M_2))$ . If we put  $F = \pi_* - \sigma_*$ , then we can easily see that  $F^2 = I$ . It follows that

$$g(FX, Y) = g(X, FY),$$

which is equivalent to

$$g(FX, FY) = g(X, Y),$$

for all  $X, Y \in \Gamma(T(M_1 \times_f M_2))$ .

Now, let  $\overline{M}$  be a submanifold of  $M_1 \times_f M_2$  and  $B$  the differential of the imbedding  $i$  of  $\overline{M}$  into  $M_1 \times_f M_2$ , i.e.,  $B = i_*$ . Let  $X$  be a tangent vector field of  $\overline{M}$ . Then we can write  $FBX$  in the following way:

$$FBX = (FBX)^T + (FBX)^\perp = BSX + \xi,$$

where  $(FBX)^T = BSX \in \Gamma(T\overline{M})$ ,  $(FBX)^\perp = \xi \in \Gamma(T\overline{M})^\perp$  and  $S : \Gamma(T\overline{M}) \rightarrow \Gamma(T\overline{M})$  is a linear transformation.  $\overline{M}$  is said to be an invariant submanifold of  $M_1 \times_f M_2$ , if  $FBX = BSX$  always holds. In the rest of this paper we assume that the submanifold  $\overline{M}$  is invariant. In this case, we have  $S^2 = I$ .

Let  $\overline{g}$  be an induced Riemannian metric tensor on  $\overline{M}$  by the Riemannian warped metric tensor  $g$ , that is,  $\overline{g} = i^*g$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{g}(X, Y) &= i^*g(X, Y) = g(BX, BY) = g(FBX, FBY) = g(BSX, BSX) \\ &= \overline{g}(SX, SY) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $X, Y \in \Gamma(T\overline{M})$ . Thus  $S$  defines an almost Riemannian product structure on  $\overline{M}$ , that is,  $T\overline{M}$  has the vertical and horizontal distributions which are defined by

$$T_1 = \{X \in \Gamma(T\overline{M}) | SX = X\},$$

and

$$T_2 = \{X \in \Gamma(T\overline{M}) | SX = -X\},$$

respectively. Since  $S^2 = I$ , we know that  $\Gamma(T\overline{M}) = T_1 \oplus T_2$ . We denote the integral manifolds of the distributions  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  by  $\overline{M}_a$  and  $\overline{M}_b$ , respectively.

**Example 3.1** Let  $M = IR^3 \times_f IR^3$  be Riemannian warped product manifold with Riemannian warped metric tensor  $\langle, \rangle = \langle, \rangle_1 + f^2 \langle, \rangle_2$ , where  $\langle, \rangle_i$  denote the standard metric tensors of  $IR^3$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $f : IR^3 \rightarrow IR^+$  is a smooth function. We consider a submanifold

$$\overline{M} = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6) | x_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x_2 + \sin x_1), x_5 = \cos x_4\}$$

of  $M$ . By direct calculations we get

$$\Gamma(T\overline{M}) = \{U_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cos x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}, U_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}, U_3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4} - \sin x_4 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_5},$$

$U_4 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_6}\}$ . We can easily see that  $\overline{M}$  is an invariant submanifold of  $M$ . It follows that the vertical and horizontal distributions are spanned by  $T_1 = Sp\{U_1, U_2\}$  and  $T_2 = Sp\{U_3, U_4\}$ , respectively.

Now we can give the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.2** Every invariant submanifold  $\overline{M}$  of a Riemannian warped product manifold  $(M_1 \times_f M_2, g)$  is a mixed-geodesic submanifold of  $(M_1 \times_f M_2, g)$ .

**Proof.** We denote the integral manifolds of the vertical and horizontal distributions of  $\overline{M}$  by  $\overline{M}_a$  and  $\overline{M}_b$ , respectively. If  $h$  is the second fundamental form of  $\overline{M}$  in  $M_1 \times_f M_2$ , then we have to show that  $h(X_1, X_2) = 0$  for all  $X_1 \in \Gamma(T\overline{M}_a)$  and  $X_2 \in \Gamma(T\overline{M}_b)$ . Using the Gauss formula, we derive

$$\nabla_{X_1} X_2 = \overline{\nabla}_{X_1} X_2 + h(X_1, X_2) = \frac{X_1(f)}{f} X_2.$$

Restrict the above equation to  $\Gamma(T\overline{M})$  and  $\Gamma(T\overline{M}^\perp)$ , we have  $h(X_1, X_2) = 0$ , where  $\overline{\nabla}$  is the Levi-Civita Connection on  $\overline{M}$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3** *Let  $(M_1 \times_f M_2, g)$  be Riemannian warped product manifold with the warping function  $f$  and  $\overline{M}$  be an invariant submanifold of a Riemannian warped product manifold  $M_1 \times_f M_2$ . We denote the integral manifolds of the vertical and horizontal distributions of  $\overline{M}$  by  $\overline{M}_a$  and  $\overline{M}_b$ , respectively. Then  $\overline{M}_a$  and  $\overline{M}_b$  are totally geodesic and totally umbilical submanifolds of  $\overline{M}$ , respectively. Moreover,  $\overline{M}_a$  and  $\overline{M}_b$  are submanifolds of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ , respectively.*

**Proof.** Let  $h_a$  and  $h_b$  be the second fundamental forms of  $\overline{M}_a$  and  $\overline{M}_b$  in  $\overline{M}$ , respectively. Then

$$\overline{\nabla}_{X_1} Y_1 = \nabla_{X_1}^a Y_1 + h_a(X_1, Y_1),$$

for all  $X_1, Y_1 \in \Gamma(T\overline{M}_a)$ , where  $\nabla^a$  is the Levi-Civita connection on  $\overline{M}_a$ . Hence for all  $Z_2 \in \Gamma(T\overline{M}_b)$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} g(h_a(X_1, Y_1), Z_2) &= g(\overline{\nabla}_{X_1} Y_1, Z_2) = -g(Y_1, \overline{\nabla}_{X_1} Z_2) \\ &= -g(Y_1, \frac{X_1(f)}{f} Z_2) = \frac{X_1(f)}{f} g(Y_1, Z_2) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $h_a(X_1, Y_1) = 0$ , that is,  $\overline{M}_a$  is totally geodesic submanifold of  $\overline{M}$ . In the same way, for all  $X_2, Y_2 \in \Gamma(T\overline{M}_b)$  we have

$$\overline{\nabla}_{X_2} Y_2 = \nabla_{X_2}^b Y_2 + h_b(X_2, Y_2),$$



where  $\nabla^b$  is the Levi-Civita connection on  $\overline{M}_b$ . For all  $Z_1 \in \Gamma(T\overline{M}_a)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(h_b(X_2, Y_2), Z_1) &= g(\overline{\nabla}_{X_2} Y_2, Z_1) = -g(\overline{\nabla}_{X_2} Z_1, Y_2) \\
 &= -g\left(\frac{Z_1(f)}{f} X_2, Y_2\right) = -\frac{1}{f} g(g(Z_1, \text{grad}f) X_2, Y_2) \\
 &= -f g_1(X_1, \text{grad}f) g_2(X_2, Y_2) \\
 &= -f g_1(g_2(X_2, Y_2) \text{grad}f, Z_1),
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

that is,

$$h_b(X_2, Y_2) = -f g_2(X_2, Y_2) \text{grad}f,$$

which implies that  $\overline{M}_b$  is the totally umbilical submanifold of  $\overline{M}$  and  $\text{grad}f \in \Gamma(T\overline{M}_a)$ .

Now we define the distributions by

$$D_\pi = \{X \in \Gamma(T(M_1 \times_f M_2)) | \pi_* X = X\}$$

and

$$D_\sigma = \{X \in \Gamma(T(M_1 \times_f M_2)) | \sigma_* X = X\}.$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \pi_* B X_1 &= \frac{1}{2}(I + F) B X_1 = \frac{1}{2}(B X_1 + F B X_1) = \frac{1}{2}(B X_1 + B S X_1) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2}(B X_1 + B X_1) = B X_1,
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma_* B X_1 &= \frac{1}{2}(I - F) B X_1 = \frac{1}{2}(B X_1 - F B X_1) = \frac{1}{2}(B X_1 - B S X_1) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2}(B X_1 - B X_1) = 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $X_1 \in \Gamma(T\overline{M}_a)$ . In the same way, we get  $\pi_* B X_2 = 0$  and  $\sigma_* B X_2 = B X_2$ , for all  $X_2 \in \Gamma(T\overline{M}_b)$ . Because the integral manifolds of  $D_\pi$  and  $D_\sigma$  are manifolds  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ , respectively, we can easily see that  $\overline{M}_a$  and  $\overline{M}_b$  are submanifolds of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ , respectively.  $\square$

Since  $\overline{M}$  is a warped product manifold and Gauss formula we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{X_1} Y_1 + \nabla_{X_2} Y_2 &= \overline{\nabla}_{X_1} Y_1 + \overline{\nabla}_{X_2} Y_2 \\ &+ h(X_1, Y_1) + h(X_2, Y_2). \end{aligned}$$

Using the Proposition. 2.2(c), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{X_1} Y_1 + \nabla_{X_2}^2 Y_2 - fg_2(X_2, Y_2)\text{grad}f &= \nabla_{X_1}^a Y_1 + \nabla_{X_2}^b Y_2 + h_b(X_2, Y_2) \\ &+ h(X_1, Y_1) + h(X_2, Y_2) \end{aligned}$$

From (3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\nabla}_{X_1} Y_1 - \nabla_{X_1}^a Y_1 + \nabla_{X_2}^2 Y_2 - \nabla_{X_2}^b Y_2 &= fg_2(X_2, Y_2)\text{grad}f - fg_2(X_2, Y_2)\text{grad}f \\ &+ h(X_1, Y_1) + h(X_2, Y_2) \\ h_1(X_1, Y_1) + h_2(X_2, Y_2) &= h(X_1, Y_1) + h(X_2, Y_2). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $h_1(X_1, Y_1) = h(X_1, Y_1) \in \Gamma(T\overline{M}_a^\perp)$  we get  $h_2(X_2, Y_2) = h(X_2, Y_2)$ . It follows that  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  are the second fundamental forms of  $\overline{M}_a$  and  $\overline{M}_b$  in  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ , respectively. So we have

$$h(X, Y) = h_1(X_1, Y_1) + h_2(X_2, Y_2) \tag{3.2}$$

for all  $X_1, Y_1 \in \Gamma(TM_a)$  and  $X_2, Y_2 \in \Gamma(TM_b)$ .

The following corollary is quite easy.

**Corollary 3.4** *Let  $(M_1 \times_f M_2, g)$  be a Riemannian warped product manifold and  $\overline{M}$  be an invariant submanifold of  $(M_1 \times_f M_2, g)$ . We denote the vertical and horizontal distributions of  $\overline{M}$  by  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ , respectively. Then the distributions  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are always involutive, but they are not parallel.*

**Theorem 3.5** *Let  $(M_1 \times_f M_2, g)$  be a Riemannian warped product manifold with the warping function  $f$  and  $\overline{M}$  be an invariant submanifold of Riemannian warped product manifold  $M_1 \times_f M_2$ . We denote the integral manifolds of the vertical and horizontal distributions of  $\overline{M}$  by  $\overline{M}_a$  and  $\overline{M}_b$ , respectively. Then  $\overline{M}_a$  and  $\overline{M}_b$  are curvature-invariant submanifolds of  $\overline{M}$ .*

**Proof.** The curvature-invariant submanifold of  $\overline{M}_a$  in  $\overline{M}$  is trivial because it is totally geodesic submanifold of  $\overline{M}$ . We denote the Riemannian curvature tensor of  $\overline{M}$  and  $\overline{M}_b$  by  $\overline{R}$  and  $\overline{R}_b$ , respectively. Then from Proposition. 2.3 and Theorem 3.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{R}(X_2, Y_2)Z_2 = & \overline{R}_b(X_2, Y_2)Z_2 \\ - & \overline{g}(\text{grad}f, \text{grad}f)\{\overline{g}(X_2, Z_2)Y_2 - \overline{g}(Y_2, Z_2)X_2\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Moreover, it is well known that

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{R}(X_2, Y_2)Z_2 = & \overline{R}_b(X_2, Y_2)Z_2 - A_{h_b(Y_2, Z_2)}X_2 + A_{h_b(X_2, Z_2)}Y_2 \\ & + (\overline{\nabla}_{X_2}h_b)(Y_2, Z_2) - (\overline{\nabla}_{Y_2}h_b)(X_2, Z_2) \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

for all  $X_2, Y_2, Z_2 \in \Gamma(T\overline{M}_b)$ . Thus from the equations (3.3) and (3.4) we derive

$$A_{h_b(Y_2, Z_2)}X_2 - A_{h_b(X_2, Z_2)}Y_2 = \overline{g}(\text{grad}f, \text{grad}f)\{\overline{g}(X_2, Z_2)Y_2 - \overline{g}(Y_2, Z_2)X_2\},$$

and

$$(\overline{\nabla}_{X_2}h_b)(Y_2, Z_2) - (\overline{\nabla}_{Y_2}h_b)(X_2, Z_2) = 0,$$

which implies that  $\overline{M}_b$  is a curvature-invariant submanifold of  $\overline{M}$ . □

Now we choose a local field of adapted basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_a, e_{a+1}, \dots, e_{n_1}, e^1, \dots, e^b, e^{b+1}, \dots, e^{n_2}\}$  with respect to  $g$  so that when restricted locally to orthonormal basis over  $\Gamma(T\overline{M})$ ,  $\{e_1, \dots, e_a\}$  are tangent vectors to  $\Gamma(T\overline{M}_a)$  with respect to  $g_1$ ,  $\{e^1, \dots, e^b\}$  are tangent vectors to  $\Gamma(T\overline{M}_b)$  with respect to  $g_2$  and  $\{e_{a+1}, \dots, e_{n_1}, e^{b+1}, \dots, e^{n_2}\}$  are normal vectors to  $\Gamma(T\overline{M})$ . Let  $H$  be the mean curvature vector field of  $\overline{M}$  in  $M_1 \times_f M_2$ . Then we consider equation (3.2) by a direct calculation we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} mH &= \sum_{i=a+1}^{n_1} \text{tr}h_1e_i + \sum_{j=b+1}^{n_2} \text{tr}h_2e^j \\ &= aH_1 + bH_2, m = a + b, \end{aligned}$$

where  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  denote the mean curvature vector fields of  $\overline{M}_a$  and  $\overline{M}_b$  in  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ , respectively.

The following lemma is quite easy.

**Lemma 3.6**  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are constants if and only if  $H$  is constant

**Theorem 3.7** *Let  $(M_1 \times_f M_2, g)$  be a Riemannian warped product manifold with the warping function  $f$  and  $\overline{M}$  be an invariant submanifold of Riemannian warped product manifold  $M_1 \times_f M_2$ . We denote the integral manifolds of the vertical and horizontal distributions of  $\overline{M}$  by  $\overline{M}_a$  and  $\overline{M}_b$ , respectively. Then  $\overline{M}$  is a pseudo-umbilical submanifold of  $M_1 \times_f M_2$  if and only if  $\overline{M}_a$  and  $\overline{M}_b$  are pseudo-umbilical submanifolds of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ , respectively. Moreover,  $a\|H_1\|^2 = bf^2\|H_2\|^2$ .*

**Proof.** We suppose that  $\overline{M}$  is a pseudo-umbilical submanifold of  $M_1 \times_f M_2$ . Then there exists a smooth function  $\lambda \in C^\infty(M, IR)$  such that

$$g(h(X, Y), H) = \lambda \overline{g}(X, Y) \quad (3.5)$$

for all  $X, Y \in \Gamma(T\overline{M})$ . If we take  $e_1, \dots, e_a$  for  $X = Y$  in the equation (3.5), then we have

$$\begin{aligned} g\left(\sum_{i=1}^a h(e_i, e_i), H\right) &= \lambda \sum_{i=1}^a \overline{g}(e_i, e_i) \\ g(aH_1, H) &= \lambda a \\ g\left(aH_1, \frac{a}{m}H_1 + \frac{b}{m}H_2\right) &= \lambda a \\ \frac{a}{m}g_1(H_1, H_1) &= \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, taking  $e^1, \dots, e^b$  for  $X = Y$  in the equation (3.5) we get

$$\lambda = g(H_2, H) = \frac{b}{m}g(H_2, H_2) = f^2 \frac{b}{m}g_2(H_2, H_2).$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(H, H) &= \frac{a^2}{m^2}g_1(H_1, H_1) + f^2 \frac{b^2}{m^2}g_2(H_2, H_2) \\ &= \frac{a^2}{m^2}g_1(H_1, H_1) + \frac{ab}{m^2}g_1(H_1, H_1) \\ &= \frac{a}{m}g_1(H_1, H_1), \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

and similarly, we obtain

$$g(H, H) = f^2 \frac{b}{m}g_2(H_2, H_2). \quad (3.7)$$

Hence, taking  $X_1, Y_1$  for  $X, Y$  in equation (3.5), respectively, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(h_1(X_1, Y_1), H) &= \frac{a}{m} g_1(H_1, H_1) \bar{g}(X_1, Y_1) \\ g_1(h_1(X_1, Y_1), \frac{a}{m} H_1) &= \frac{a}{m} g_1(H_1, H_1) \bar{g}(X_1, Y_1) \\ g_1(h_1(X_1, Y_1), H_1) &= g_1(H_1, H_1) \bar{g}(X_1, Y_1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

In the same way, if we take  $X_2, Y_2$  for  $X, Y$  in the equation (3.5), respectively, then we obtain

$$g_2(h_2(X_2, Y_2), H_2) = g_2(H_2, H_2) \bar{g}(X_2, Y_2). \quad (3.9)$$

The equations (3.8) and (3.9) imply that  $\bar{M}_a$  and  $\bar{M}_b$  are pseudo-umbilical submanifolds of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ , respectively. We note that  $g_1(H_1, H_1)$  and  $g_2(H_2, H_2)$  are the smooth functions on  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ , respectively. Moreover, we know that they are also constants.

Conversely, we suppose that  $\bar{M}_a$  and  $\bar{M}_b$  are pseudo-umbilical submanifolds of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ , respectively. Then we have

$$g_1(h_1(X_1, Y_1), H_1) = g_1(H_1, H_1) \bar{g}(X_1, Y_1) \quad (3.10)$$

for all  $X_1, Y_1 \in \Gamma(T\bar{M}_a)$  and

$$g_2(h_2(X_2, Y_2), H_2) = g_2(H_2, H_2) \bar{g}(X_2, Y_2) \quad (3.11)$$

for all  $X_2, Y_2 \in \Gamma(T\bar{M}_b)$ . Then using the projections

$$\pi_* : \Gamma(T(M_1 \times_f M_2)) \longrightarrow \Gamma(TM_1),$$

and

$$\sigma_* : \Gamma(T(M_1 \times_f M_2)) \longrightarrow \Gamma(TM_2),$$

$H = \frac{a}{m} H_1 + \frac{b}{m} H_2$  and  $h(X, Y) = h_1(X_1, Y_1) + h_2(X_2, Y_2)$ , we obtain

$\pi_* H = \frac{a}{m} H_1$ ,  $\sigma_* H = \frac{b}{m} H_2$ . Thus we derive

$$\frac{m}{a} g_1(h_1(X_1, Y_1), \pi_* H) = \frac{m^2}{a^2} g_1(\pi_* H, \pi_* H) \bar{g}(X_1, Y_1)$$

and

$$\frac{m}{b} g_2(h_2(X_2, Y_2), \sigma_* H) = \frac{m^2}{b^2} g_2(\sigma_* H, \sigma_* H) \bar{g}(X_2, Y_2).$$

Hence we have

$$g_1(\pi_*h(X, Y), \pi_*H) = \frac{m}{a}g_1(\pi_*H, \pi_*H)\bar{g}(X_1, Y_1) \quad (3.12)$$

and

$$f^2g_2(\sigma_*h(X, Y), \sigma_*H) = f^2\frac{m}{b}g_2(\sigma_*H, \sigma_*H)\bar{g}(X_2, Y_2). \quad (3.13)$$

If we add the equations (3.12), (3.13) and using  $g(H, H) = \frac{a}{m}g_1(H_1, H_1) = \frac{b}{m}f^2g_2(H_2, H_2)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g(h(X, Y), H) &= \frac{m}{a}g_1\left(\frac{a}{m}H_1, \frac{a}{m}H_1\right)\bar{g}(X_1, Y_1) \\ &+ f^2\frac{m}{b}g_2\left(\frac{b}{m}H_2, \frac{b}{m}H_2\right)\bar{g}(X_2, Y_2) \\ &= \frac{a}{m}g_1(H_1, H_1)\bar{g}(X_1, Y_1) + f^2\frac{b}{m}g_2(H_2, H_2)\bar{g}(X_2, Y_2) \\ &= g(H, H)\{\bar{g}(X_1, Y_1) + \bar{g}(X_2, Y_2)\} \\ &= g(H, H)\bar{g}(X, Y), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\bar{M}$  is a pseudo-umbilical submanifold of  $M_1 \times_f M_2$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.8** *Let  $(M_1 \times_f M_2, g)$  be the Riemannian warped product manifold with the warping function  $f$  and  $\bar{M}$  be an invariant submanifold of  $M_1 \times_f M_2$ . We denote the integral manifolds of the vertical and horizontal distributions of  $\bar{M}$  by  $\bar{M}_a$  and  $\bar{M}_b$ , respectively. If  $\bar{M}$  is a curvature-invariant submanifold of  $M_1 \times_f M_2$ , then  $\bar{M}_a$  and  $\bar{M}_b$  are curvature-invariant submanifolds of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ , respectively.*

**Proof.** We denote the Riemannian curvature tensor fields of Riemannian manifolds  $M_1 \times_f M_2$ ,  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  by  $R$ ,  $R_1$  and  $R_2$ , respectively. Then using the Proposition. 2.3, by direct calculations we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 R(X, Y)Z &= R_1(X_1, Y_1)Z_1 + R_2(X_2, Y_2)Z_2 - g_1(\text{grad}f, \text{grad}f)\{g_2(X_2, Z_2)Y_2 \\
 &\quad - g_2(Y_2, Z_2)X_2\} - \frac{1}{f}H^f(X_1, Z_1)Y_2 + \frac{1}{f}H^f(Y_1, Z_1)X_2 \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{f}g(Y_2, Z_2)\nabla_{X_1}\text{grad}f - \frac{1}{f}g(X_2, Z_2)\nabla_{Y_1}\text{grad}f \\
 &= R_1(X_1, Y_1)Z_1 + R_2(X_2, Y_2)Z_2 - g_1(\text{grad}f, \text{grad}f)\{g_2(X_2, Z_2)Y_2 \\
 &\quad - g_2(Y_2, Z_2)X_2\} - \frac{1}{f}H^f(Z_1, X_1)Y_2 + \frac{1}{f}H^f(Y_1, Z_1)X_2 \\
 &\quad + fg_2(Y_2, Z_2)\nabla_{X_1}^a\text{grad}f + fg_2(Y_2, Z_2)h_1(X_1, \text{grad}f) \\
 &\quad - fg_2(X_2, Z_2)\nabla_{Y_1}^a\text{grad}f - fg_2(X_2, Z_2)h_1(Y_1, \text{grad}f), \tag{3.14}
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $X_1, Y_1, Z_1 \in \Gamma(T\overline{M}_a)$  and  $X_2, Y_2, Z_2 \in \Gamma(T\overline{M}_b)$ . From the Gauss equation we have

$$R(X, Y)Z = R^2(X, Y)Z + (\nabla_X h)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y h)(X, Z) + A_{h(X, Z)}Y - A_{h(Y, Z)}X$$

for all  $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(T\overline{M})$ , where  $R^2$  and  $A$  denote the Riemannian curvature tensor and the shape operator of  $\overline{M}$ , respectively. Thus from the Codazzi Equation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\nabla_X h)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y h)(X, Z) &= (\nabla_{X_1}h_1)(Y_1, Z_1) - (\nabla_{Y_1}h_1)(X_1, Z_1) \\
 &\quad + (\nabla_{X_2}^2h_2)(Y_2, Z_2) - (\nabla_{Y_2}^2h_2)(X_2, Z_2) \\
 &\quad + fg_2(Y_2, Z_2)h_1(X_1, \text{grad}f) \\
 &\quad - fg_2(X_2, Z_2)h_1(Y_1, \text{grad}f), \tag{3.15}
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\nabla^2$  and  $\nabla$  are the Levi-Civita connections on  $M_2$  and  $M_1 \times_f M_2$ , respectively.

If  $\overline{M}$  is a curvature-invariant submanifold of the Riemannian warped product manifold  $M_1 \times_f M_2$ , from the equation (3.15) we have

$$(\nabla_X h)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y h)(X, Z) = 0,$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\nabla_{X_1}h_1)(Y_1, Z_1) - (\nabla_{Y_1}h_1)(X_1, Z_1) + fg_2(Y_2, Z_2)h_1(X_1, \text{grad}f) \\
 - fg_2(X_2, Z_2)h_1(Y_1, \text{grad}f) = 0, \tag{3.16}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(\nabla_{X_2}^2 h_2)(Y_2, Z_2) - (\nabla_{Y_2}^2 h_2)(X_2, Z_2) = 0. \quad (3.17)$$

So the equation (3.17) implies that  $\overline{M}_b$  is a curvature-invariant submanifold of  $M_2$ .

If we take  $FZ$  for  $Z$  in the equation (3.16), then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{X_1} h_1)(Y_1, Z_1) - (\nabla_{Y_1} h_1)(X_1, Z_1) - fg_2(Y_2, Z_2)h_1(X_1, \text{grad}f) \\ + fg_2(X_2, Z_2)h_1(Y_1, \text{grad}f) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

So from the equations (3.16) and (3.18) we get

$$(\nabla_{X_1} h_1)(Y_1, Z_1) - (\nabla_{Y_1} h_1)(X_1, Z_1) = 0,$$

which implies that  $\overline{M}_a$  is a curvature-invariant submanifold of  $M_1$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.9** *Let  $(M_1 \times_f M_2, g)$  be a Riemannian warped product manifold and  $\overline{M}$  be an invariant submanifold of  $(M_1 \times_f M_2, g)$ . If  $M_1 \times_f M_2$  has constant sectional curvature and  $\overline{M}$  is the totally umbilical submanifold of  $M_1 \times_f M_2$ , then  $\overline{M}$  is a totally geodesic submanifold of  $M_1 \times_f M_2$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are totally geodesic and totally umbilical submanifold of  $M_1 \times_f M_2$ , respectively, if  $M_1 \times_f M_2$  has constant sectional curvature  $c$  then  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  have also constant sectional curvatures  $c$  and  $c + \|\text{grad}f\|^2$ , respectively. We have

$$h(X, Y) = \overline{g}(X, Y)H \quad (3.19)$$

for all  $X, Y \in \Gamma(T\overline{M})$  because  $\overline{M}$  is a totally umbilical submanifold of  $M_1 \times_f M_2$ . In this case,  $\overline{M}$  has also constant sectional curvature  $c + \|H\|^2$ . Moreover,  $\overline{M}_a$  and  $\overline{M}_b$  have constant sectional curvatures

$$c + \|H\|^2, c + \|H\|^2 + \|\text{grad}f\|^2,$$

respectively, according to Theorem. 3.3.

We take  $X = X_1, Y = Y_1 \in \Gamma(T\overline{M}_a)$  in equation (3.19) and using the projection mapping  $\pi_*$ , we get

$$h_1(X_1, Y_1) = \overline{g}(X_1, Y_1) \frac{a}{m} H_1. \quad (3.20)$$



In the same way, we take  $X = X_2, Y = Y_2 \in \Gamma(T\overline{M}_b)$  in equation (3.19) and using the projection mapping  $\sigma_*$  we have

$$h_2(X_2, Y_2) = \overline{g}(X_2, Y_2) \frac{b}{m} H_2. \quad (3.21)$$

Thus we derive that  $\overline{M}_a$  and  $\overline{M}_b$  have also constant sectional curvatures

$$c + \frac{a^2}{m^2} \|H_1\|^2, c + \frac{b^2}{m^2} \|H_2\|^2 + \|\text{grad}f\|^2,$$

respectively, that is,

$$\frac{a^2}{m^2} \|H_1\|^2 = \|H\|^2, \|H\|^2 + \|\text{grad}f\|^2 = \frac{b^2}{m^2} \|H_2\|^2.$$

It follows that  $H = 0$ .  $\overline{M}$  is a totally geodesic submanifold of  $M_1 \times_f M_2$  because  $\overline{M}$  is the totally umbilical submanifold of  $M_1 \times_f M_2$ .

### References

- [1] Atceken, M., and Keles, S., On the Product Riemannian Manifold. Differential Geometry-Dynamical Systems. Vol.5/2003. pp.1-7.
- [2] Bejancu, A., Semi-Invariant Submanifolds of Locally Product Riemannian Manifolds, An. Univ. Timișoara Ser. Științ. Mat. 22(1984)3-11.
- [3] Chen, B.Y., Geometry of Submanifolds. Marcel Dekker Inc., New York(1973).
- [4] Chen, B.Y., Total Mean Curvature and Submanifolds of Finite Type. World Scientific Publishing Co Pte Ltd. (1984).
- [5] Matsumoto, K., On Submanifolds of Locally Product Riemannian Manifolds, TRU Mathematics 18-2(1982),145-157.
- [6] Senlin, X., and Yilong, N., Submanifolds of Product Riemannian Manifold. Acta Mathematica Scientia 2000,20(B)213-218.
- [7] O'Neill, B., Semi-Riemannian Geometry, Academic Press. Inc(1983).

ATÇEKEN, ŞAHİN, KILIÇ

M. ATÇEKEN, B. ŞAHİN, E. KILIÇ  
İnönü University,  
Faculty of Science and Art,  
Department of Mathematics,  
Malatya, 44069, TURKEY  
e-mail: matceken@inonu.edu.tr,  
e-mail: bsahin@inonu.edu.tr,  
e-mail: ekilic@inonu.edu.tr

Received 08.10.2002