

1-1-2004

Splitting of Sharply 2-Transitive Groups of Characteristic 3

SEYFİ TÜRKELLİ

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

TÜRKELLİ, SEYFİ (2004) "Splitting of Sharply 2-Transitive Groups of Characteristic 3," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 28: No. 3, Article 7. Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol28/iss3/7>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.

Splitting of Sharply 2-Transitive Groups of Characteristic 3

Seyfi Türkelli

Abstract

We give a group theoretic proof of the splitting of sharply 2-transitive groups of characteristic 3.

Key Words: Sharply 2-transitive groups, Permutation groups.

A *sharply 2-transitive group* is a pair (G, X) , where G is a group acting on the set X in such a way that for all $x, y, z, t \in X$ such that $x \neq y$ and $z \neq t$ there is a unique $g \in G$ for which $gx = z$ and $gy = t$. From now on, (G, X) will stand for a sharply 2-transitive group with $|X| \geq 3$. We fix an element $x \in X$. We let $H := \{g \in G : gx = x\}$ denote the stabilizer of x . Finally we let I denote the set of involutions (elements of order 2) of G .

It follows easily from the definition that the group G has an involution; in fact any element of G that sends a distinct pair (y, z) of X to the pair (z, y) is an involution by sharp transitivity. It is also known that I is one conjugacy class and the nontrivial elements of I^2 cannot fix any point (See Lemma 1 and Lemma 4). Then one can see that I^2 cannot have an involution if H has an involution.

In case H has no involution, one says that $\text{char}(G) = 2$.

Let us assume that $\text{char}(G) \neq 2$. Then $I^2 \setminus \{1\}$ is one conjugacy class [1, Lemma 11.45]. Since I^2 is closed under power taking, either the nontrivial elements of I^2 all have order p for some prime $p \neq 2$ or I^2 has no nontrivial torsion element. One writes $\text{char}(G) = p$ or $\text{char}(G) = 0$ depending on the case.

One says that G *splits* if the one point stabilizer H has a normal complement in G . It is not known whether or not an infinite sharply 2-transitive group splits, except for those

of characteristic 3. Results in this direction for some special cases can be found in [1, §11.4] and [2, ch 2]. We will prove that if $\text{char}(G) = 3$ then G splits, a result of W. Kerby [2, Theorem 8.7]. But Kerby's proof is in the language of near domains and is not easily accessible. Here, we give a much simpler proof of this fact, in fact an experienced reader can directly go to the proof the Theorem, which contains only a simple computation (all the lemmas are well-known facts).

All the results of this short and elementary paper can be found in [1, §11.4], except for the final theorem.

Lemma 1 *I is one conjugacy class.*

Proof. Let $i, j \in I$ and $x \in X$ be such that $jx \neq x$ and $ix \neq x$. Since G is 2-transitive, there exists a $g \in G$ such that $gx = x$ and $gix = ix$. Then $i^g jx = x$ and $i^g j(jx) = jx$. By double sharpness of G , $i^g j = 1$. Hence, $i^g = j$ and we are done. \square

Lemma 2 *If N is a nontrivial normal subgroup of G then $G = NH$.*

Proof. Let $g \in G \setminus H$, $a \in N$, $y \in X \setminus \{x\}$ be such that $ay \neq y$ and $h \in G$ be such that $hx = y$ and $hgx = ay$. Then $(a^{-1})^h g \in H$ and $g \in NH$. Since $1 \in N$, it holds for all $g \in G$. \square

Lemma 3 *H has at most one involution.*

Proof. Let $i, j \in H \cap I$, $y \in X \setminus \{x\}$, $g \in G$ be such that $gij = iy$ and $gy = y$. Then $ji^g(y) = y$ and $ji^g(jy) = jy$. Since ji^g fixes two different points and G is sharply 2-transitive, $ji^g = 1$ and $j = i^g$. One can easily see that $H \cap H^z \neq \{1\}$ if and only if $z \in H$. Therefore $g \in H$ as $j \in H \cap H^g$. Since g fixes two points, namely x and y , $g = 1$. Hence $i = j$ and we are done. \square

Lemma 4 *A nontrivial element of I^2 cannot fix any element of X .*

Proof. Assume not. Then, there are distinct involutions i, j such that ij fixes a point. Since G is transitive, we may assume $ij \in H$. It follows from Lemma 3 that $j \notin H$ otherwise $i \in H$, hence a contradiction. On the other hand, $(ij)^{-1} = (ji) = (ij)^j$ and

$(ij)^j \in H \cap H^j$. Therefore, $j \in H$, a contradiction. \square

Lemma 5 *If the elements of Ii commute with each other for some $i \in I$, then I^2 is a normal subgroup of G .*

Proof. It suffices to prove that I^2 is closed under multiplication. Let $i, j, k, w \in I$. We claim that $ijkw \in I^2$. By Lemma 1, we may assume that the elements of Ii commute with each other. Noting that $Ii = iI$, we have $(ijk)^2 = ijkijk = kiijjk = 1$. So, $ijk \in I \cup \{1\}$. If $ijk \in I$, we are done. Assume $ijk = 1$. If H has an involution, by Lemma 1, $(ij)^g = k^g \in H$ for some $g \in G$, i.e. $(ij)^g$ fixes x , contradicting Lemma 4. If H has no involution, $ij = k \in I$ and, by Lemma 1, $I \subseteq I^2$. Therefore, $ijkw = w \in I^2$. \square

Lemma 6 *If H has an involution, then the action of G on X is equivalent to the action of G on I by conjugation.*

Proof. Let $i \in H$ be an involution. It is easy to see that the action of G on X is equivalent to the action of G on the left coset space G/H . So we may assume that the set X is the left coset space G/H . Consider the map from G/H to I defined as $\bar{g} \mapsto i^{g^{-1}}$ for $g \in G$. One can easily see that this is the required equivalence. \square

Theorem 1 *If $\text{char}(G) = 3$ then G splits.*

Proof. We claim that $G = I^2 \rtimes H$. If I^2 is a normal subgroup of G , then we know that $H \cap I^2 = \{1\}$ by Lemma 4 and $G = I^2H$ by Lemma 2. Therefore, we just need to prove that I^2 is a normal subgroup of G . By lemma 5, it is enough to show that the elements of Ii commute with each other for some $i \in I$. Let $i \in H \cap I$ be the (unique) involution of H and let $ji, ki \in Ii$. We may assume that $j \neq k$. By double sharpness of G , it suffices to prove that $jiki$ and $kiji$ agree on two different points. By Lemma 6, we can take X to be I and the action to be the conjugation. We now claim that $jiki$ and $kiji$ agree on j and k i.e. that $j^{jiki} = j^{kiji}$ and $k^{jiki} = k^{kiji}$. By symmetry of the situation, it is enough to prove one of the equalities. Since $\text{char}(G) = 3$, $i^j = j^i$ for all $i, j \in I$ and so we have

$$j^{jiki} = j^{(k^i)} = (k^i)^j = k^{ij} = k^{jiji} = (k^j)^{iji} = (j^k)^{ij^i} = j^{kiji}.$$

\square

TÜRKELLİ

References

- [1] Alexander V. Borovik and Ali Nesin, *Groups of Finite Morley Rank*, Oxford University Press, London, 1994.
- [2] William Kerby, *On Infinite Sharply Multiply Transitive Groups*, Hamburger Mathematische Einzelschriften Neue Folge. Heft 6, Göttingen, 1974.

Seyfi TÜRKELLİ
Park Rheyngaerde 100 D 16
3545 NE Utrecht The Netherlands
e-mail: turkelli@wisc.edu

Received 05.05.2003