

1-1-2005

On the Nilpotency Class of Lie Rings With Fixed-Point-Free Automorphisms

PAVEL SHUMYATSKY

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

SHUMYATSKY, PAVEL (2005) "On the Nilpotency Class of Lie Rings With Fixed-Point-Free Automorphisms," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 29: No. 1, Article 6. Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol29/iss1/6>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.

On the Nilpotency Class of Lie Rings With Fixed-Point-Free Automorphisms

Pavel Shumyatsky

Abstract

Let L be a solvable Lie ring with derived length s . Assume that L admits an automorphism ϕ of prime order $p \geq 11$ such that $C_L(\phi) = 0$. It is proved that the class of L is less than $\frac{(p-2)^{s+1}}{(p-3)^2}$.

Key words and phrases: automorphisms, Lie rings

1. Introduction

An automorphism ϕ of a Lie ring L is called fixed-point-free if $C_L(\phi) = 0$. Here, as usual, $C_L(\phi)$ denotes the set $\{x \in L; x^\phi = x\}$. In [1] Higman showed that there exists a function $h(p)$ depending only on p such that if L is any Lie ring admitting a fixed-point-free automorphism ϕ of prime order p , then L is nilpotent and the nilpotency class of L is at most $h(p)$. He also showed that the class of a nilpotent group with a fixed-point-free automorphism of order p is at most $h(p)$. The minimal function satisfying the above condition is now called the Higman function. It is well-known elementary results that $h(2) = 1$ and $h(3) = 2$. Higman proved that $h(p) \geq \frac{p^2-1}{4}$ for $p > 2$ and that $h(5) = 6$. Scimemi showed that $h(7) = 12$. The question about exact values of $h(p)$ for $p \geq 11$ still is open.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 17B70.

The author was supported by CNPq-Brazil

An upper bound for $h(p)$ was given by Kreknin and Kostrikin in [3]. They showed that

$$h(p) \leq \frac{(p-1)^{2^{p-1}-1} - 1}{p-2}.$$

One of the crucial steps in the result of Kreknin and Kostrikin is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 *Let L be a solvable Lie ring with derived length s . Assume that L admits an automorphism ϕ of prime order p such that $C_L(\phi) = 0$. Then L is nilpotent and the class of L is at most $\frac{(p-1)^s - 1}{p-2}$.*

In [5] Meixner strengthened Theorem 1.1 by showing that under the above hypothesis L is of class at most $(p-1)^{s-1}$. Our goal in the present paper is to obtain a further improvement of Theorem 1.1. Since the precise values of $h(p)$ with $p \leq 7$ are known, we consider the case $p \geq 11$. It will be shown (see Theorem 3.7) that in this case the class of L is less than $\frac{(p-2)^{s+1}}{(p-3)^2}$. Combining this with Kreknin's theorem [4], that says that the derived length of a Lie ring with a fixed-point-free automorphism of finite order is bounded by a function of the order of the automorphism, it is immediate that

$$h(p) \leq \frac{(p-2)^{2^{p-1}} - 1}{(p-3)^2}.$$

A yet better bound for $h(p)$ can be obtained by analysing the proof of Kreknin's theorem, but this is beyond the purpose of the paper.

2. Some elementary lemmas

Given elements l_1, l_2, \dots, l_m of a Lie ring L , we denote by $[l_1, l_2, \dots, l_m]$ the element $[\dots[[l_1, l_2], \dots, l_{m-1}], l_m]$. Let L_{i_1}, \dots, L_{i_m} be some not necessarily distinct subsets of L . We denote by $[L_{i_1}, \dots, L_{i_m}]$ the subgroup of the additive group of L generated by all elements of the form $[l_1, l_2, \dots, l_m]$, where each l_j belongs to L_{i_j} . The symbols $L^{(k)}$ and $\gamma_k(L)$ denote the k th term of the derived series of L and the k th term of the lower central series of L . As usual, we write L' in place of $L^{(1)}$. The centralizer $C_L(R)$ of a subset R in L is defined by $C_L(R) = \{x \in L; [R, x] = 0\}$.

Throughout the paper \mathbb{Z}_p denotes the additively written cyclic group of prime order $p \geq 11$. Let t, i_1, \dots, i_k be not necessarily distinct non-zero elements in \mathbb{Z}_p . We say that

i_1, \dots, i_k produce t if there exists a subset S of $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ such that $t = \sum_{j \in S} i_j$. We assume here that the sum of the empty set of elements of \mathbb{Z}_p is zero. Our first lemma is taken from [3]. For the reader's convenience we include the proof.

Lemma 2.1 *Let i_1, \dots, i_k be not necessarily distinct non-zero elements of \mathbb{Z}_p (p a prime). Then either they produce at least $k+1$ pairwise distinct elements of \mathbb{Z}_p or else they produce all elements of \mathbb{Z}_p .*

Proof. Let M_s denote the set of all elements produced by i_1, \dots, i_s . We prove the lemma by induction on k . If $k = 1$ then M_k consists of two elements, namely 0 and i_1 . Thus in the case $k = 1$ the lemma is true. Assume that $k \geq 2$. Note that $M_k = M_{k-1} \cup M_{k-1} + i_k$. By induction, M_{k-1} either consists of at least k elements or $M_{k-1} = \mathbb{Z}_p$. Clearly the lemma fails to be true if and only if $|M_{k-1}| = k < p$ and $M_{k-1} + i_k = M_{k-1}$. The condition $M_{k-1} + i_k = M_{k-1}$ implies that M_{k-1} contains the subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_p generated by i_k . Therefore $M_{k-1} = \mathbb{Z}_p$, a contradiction against the assumption that $|M_{k-1}| = k < p$. \square

Lemma 2.2 *Let i_1, \dots, i_k be non-zero elements of \mathbb{Z}_p which produce exactly $k+1 < p$ pairwise distinct elements of \mathbb{Z}_p . Then for any $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ we have either $i_j = i_1$ or $i_j = -i_1$.*

Proof. We use induction on k . Let $k = 2$. If $i_2 \neq \pm i_1$, then the elements $0, i_1, i_2, i_1 + i_2$ are pairwise distinct. Hence i_1, i_2 produce at least $k+2 = 4$ elements. Therefore, if $k \leq 2$, the lemma holds.

Assume that $k \geq 3$. As in the proof of Lemma 2.1 let M_s denote the set of all elements produced by i_1, \dots, i_s . We have $M_k = M_{k-1} \cup M_{k-1} + i_k$.

By Lemma 2.1 M_{k-1} contains at least k elements and, certainly, our hypothesis implies that M_{k-1} contains at most $k+1$ elements. If $|M_{k-1}| = k+1$ then $M_{k-1} = M_k$ and therefore M_{k-1} contains the subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_p generated by i_k . In this case $|M_{k-1}| = p$ which, contradicts the assumption that $k+1 < p$. Thus, $|M_{k-1}| = k$ and we are in a position to apply the induction hypothesis. So for each $j \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$ we have either $i_j = i_1$ or $i_j = -i_1$.

We certainly can reverse the rôles of i_k and of i_{k-1} . Since $k \geq 3$, we obtain that either $i_k = i_1$ or $i_k = -i_1$. The lemma follows. \square

Lemma 2.3 *Let t, i_1, \dots, i_{p-1} be not necessarily distinct non-zero elements of \mathbb{Z}_p such that i_1, \dots, i_{p-2} do not produce $-t$. Then for any $j = 1, \dots, p-2$, satisfying the condition that $i_j + i_{p-1} \neq 0$, the elements $i_1, \dots, i_{j-1}, i_j + i_{p-1}, i_{j+1}, \dots, i_{p-2}$ produce $-t$.*

Proof. Since the ordering of i_1, \dots, i_{p-2} plays no rôle, it suffices to prove the lemma only for $j = p-2$. We have to show that $i_1, \dots, i_{p-3}, i_{p-2} + i_{p-1}$ produce $-t$. Let M_s denote the set of all elements produced by i_1, \dots, i_{s-1}, i_s . Then

$$M_{p-2} = M_{p-3} \cup M_{p-3} + i_{p-2}.$$

Also, if M^* is the set of all elements produced by $i_1, \dots, i_{p-3}, i_{p-2} + i_{p-1}$, then

$$M^* = M_{p-3} \cup M_{p-3} + i_{p-2} + i_{p-1}.$$

By Lemma 2.1 M_{p-3} contains at least $p-2$ elements. Since

$$-t \notin M_{p-2} = M_{p-3} \cup M_{p-3} + i_{p-2},$$

we conclude that $-t - i_{p-2} \notin M_{p-3}$. Hence, $M_{p-3} = \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{-t, -t - i_{p-2}\}$. Now the assumption that $i_{p-2} + i_{p-1} \neq 0$ implies that $-t - i_{p-2} \in M_{p-3}$. We see that

$$-t \in M_{p-3} + i_{p-2} + i_{p-1} \subseteq M^*.$$

The proof is complete. □

3. \mathbb{Z}_p -graded Lie rings

Recall that, for an additively written abelian group A , a Lie ring L is said to be A -graded if the additive group of L is presented as a sum $L = \sum_{i \in A} L_i$ of subgroups L_i indexed by elements of A in such a way that $[L_i, L_j] \subseteq L_{i+j}$ for all $i, j \in A$. Given an A -graded Lie ring $L = \sum_{i \in A} L_i$, an ideal N of L is called homogeneous if $N = \sum_{i \in A} N_i$, where $N_i = N \cap L_i$. It is easy to see that the members of the derived series and the members of the lower central series of an A -graded Lie ring are homogeneous.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $L = \sum L_i$ be a \mathbb{Z}_p -graded Lie ring with $L_0 = 0$. Let t, i_1, \dots, i_k be not necessarily distinct non-zero elements in \mathbb{Z}_p such that i_1, \dots, i_k produce $-t$. Assume R_{i_1}, \dots, R_{i_k} are subsets of L_{i_1}, \dots, L_{i_k} respectively, and denote by R the subring generated by the R_{i_j} . Let $M = L_t \cap C_L(R')$. Then $[M, R_{i_1}, \dots, R_{i_k}] = 0$.*

Proof. Let us choose arbitrary elements $m \in M$ and $l_j \in R_{i_j}; j = 1, \dots, k$. Since for any $x \in C_L(R')$ and any $a, b \in R$ we have $[x, a, b] = [x, b, a]$, it follows that

$$[m, l_1, l_2, \dots, l_j, l_{j+1}, \dots, l_k] = [m, l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{j+1}, l_j, \dots, l_k].$$

Thus the above commutator does not change under any permutation of the the l_j . By the hypothesis there exist several indices $i_{a_1}, i_{a_2}, \dots, i_{a_r}$, among the i_1, \dots, i_k , whose sum equals $-t$. Then

$$[m, l_1, \dots, l_k] = \underline{[m, l_{a_1}, \dots, l_{a_r}, l_{b_1}, \dots, l_{b_{r_1}}]}, \quad (r + r_1 = k).$$

The underlined subcommutator lies in $L_0 = 0$ so the commutator $[m, l_1, \dots, l_k]$ is zero as well. Since $[M, R_{i_1}, \dots, R_{i_k}]$ is generated by commutators of the form $[m, l_1, \dots, l_k]$, the lemma follows. \square

Lemma 3.2 *Let $L = \sum L_i$ be a \mathbb{Z}_p -graded Lie ring such that $L_0 = 0$. Let $r \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $H = \langle L_r, L_{-r} \rangle$. Then $[L, \underbrace{H, \dots, H}_{p-1}] = 0$.*

Proof. Since $L = \sum L_i$, it is sufficient to show that for any $t \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and any $i_1, \dots, i_{p-1} = \pm r$ we have

$$[L_t, L_{i_1} \dots L_{i_{p-1}}] = 0.$$

By Lemma 2.1 i_1, \dots, i_{p-1} produce p elements. In particular, they produce $-t$. Let J be some minimal subset of $\{1, \dots, p-1\}$ such that $-t = \sum_{j \in J} i_j$. We claim that either $i_j = r$

for any $j \in J$ or $i_j = -r$ for any $j \in J$. Indeed, suppose $i_{j_1} = r$ and $i_{j_2} = -r$. Then $i_{j_1} + i_{j_2} = 0$. Put $J_1 = J \setminus \{j_1, j_2\}$. Obviously we have $-t = \sum_{j \in J_1} i_j$. This contradicts the

minimality of J .

Thus without any loss of generality we may assume that $i_j = r$ for any $j \in J$. So if $s = |J|$, then $-t = s \cdot r$. Since $[L_{-r}, L_r] \leq L_0 = 0$, it is clear that $[L, L_{-r}, L_r] = [L, L_r, L_{-r}]$.

We now easily derive that $[L_t, L_{i_1}, \dots, L_{i_{p-1}}] = [L_t, \underbrace{L_r, \dots, L_r}_s, L_{k_1}, \dots, L_{k_{p-1-s}}]$, where $\{k_1, \dots, k_{p-1-s}\} = \{i_1, \dots, i_{p-1}\} \setminus J$. Since $[L_t, \underbrace{L_r, \dots, L_r}_s] \leq L_0 = 0$, it follows that $[L_t, L_{i_1} \dots L_{i_{p-1}}] = 0$. \square

The next theorem was first proved by Meixner [5]. Our proof is quite different, though.

Theorem 3.3 *Let $L = \sum L_i$ be a \mathbb{Z}_p -graded Lie ring such that $L_0 = 0$. Assume L is metabelian. Then L is nilpotent of class at most $p - 1$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that for any $i_1, \dots, i_p \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}$ and any $l_k \in L_{i_k}$, $k = 1, \dots, p$, we have $[l_1, l_2, \dots, l_p] = 0$. If i_3, \dots, i_p produce $-i_1 - i_2$ then, since $[l_1, l_2] \in L_{i_1+i_2}$, Lemma 3.1 tells that $[l_1, l_2, \dots, l_p] = 0$. Suppose that i_3, \dots, i_p do not produce $-i_1 - i_2$. In this case by Lemma 2.2 there exists $r \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that $i_j = \pm r$ for any $j = 3, \dots, p$. Set $H = \langle L_r, L_{-r} \rangle$. If one of i_1, i_2 equals $\pm r$ then obviously

$$[l_1, \dots, l_p] \in [L, \underbrace{H, \dots, H}_{p-1}]$$

and it follows from Lemma 3.2 that $[l_1, l_2, \dots, l_p] = 0$.

Thus, assume that none of i_1, i_2 equals $\pm r$ and write

$$[l_1, \dots, l_p] = [l_1, l_3, l_2, l_4, \dots, l_p] - [l_2, l_3, l_1, l_4, \dots, l_p].$$

Since $i_2 \neq \pm r$, Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 yield that i_2, i_4, \dots, i_p produce any element in \mathbb{Z}_p . In particular they produce $-(i_1 + i_3)$. Note that $[l_1, l_3] \in L' \cap L_{i_1+i_3}$. As L' is abelian, Lemma 3.1 implies that $[l_1, l_3, l_2, l_4, \dots, l_p] = 0$. Similarly, one can derive that $[l_2, l_3, l_1, l_4, \dots, l_p] = 0$. This shows that $[l_1, l_2, \dots, l_p] = 0$ for any $l_k \in L_{i_k}$; $k = 1, \dots, p$. The lemma follows. \square

Lemma 3.4 *Let $L = \sum L_i$ be a \mathbb{Z}_p -graded Lie ring with $L_0 = 0$. Let M and N be homogeneous ideals of L such that $M \leq C_L(N')$. Then*

$$[M, \underbrace{N, \dots, N}_{p-2}] \leq Z(L).$$

Proof. For any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ we let $M_i = M \cap L_i$ and $N_i = N \cap L_i$. Choose arbitrary elements

$$m \in M_t, n_k \in N_{i_k}; k = 1, \dots, p-2, l \in L_{i_{p-1}}.$$

Since $M = \sum M_i$ and $N = \sum N_i$, it suffices to show that

$$[m, n_1, \dots, n_{p-2}, l] = 0.$$

If i_1, \dots, i_{p-2} produce $-t$, then $[m, n_1, \dots, n_{p-2}, l] = 0$ by Lemma 3.1. Assume that i_1, \dots, i_{p-2} do not produce $-t$. We have

$$[m, n_1, \dots, n_{p-2}, l] = [m, n_1, \dots, n_{p-3}, l, n_{p-2}] + [m, n_1, \dots, n_{p-3}, [n_{p-2}, l]].$$

Note that $[n_{p-2}, l] \in N_{i_{p-2}+i_{p-1}}$. Lemmas 3.1 and 2.3 now tell us that if $i_{p-2} + i_{p-1} \neq 0$ then

$$[m, n_1, \dots, n_{p-3}, [n_{p-2}, l]] = 0.$$

Obviously this is true also when $i_{p-2} + i_{p-1} = 0$ because, in this case, $[n_{p-2}, l] = 0$. Therefore we can conclude that

$$[m, n_1, \dots, n_{p-2}, l] = [m, n_1, \dots, n_{p-3}, l, n_{p-2}].$$

The above argument allows us to transfer l in the commutator $[m, n_1, \dots, n_{p-3}, l, n_{p-2}]$ further on the left, so we obtain

$$[m, n_1, \dots, n_{p-2}, l] = [m, l, n_1, \dots, n_{p-2}].$$

Note that $[m, l] \in M_{t+i_{p-1}}$ and, since $i_{p-1} \neq 0$, it follows that $t + i_{p-1} \neq t$. Taking into account that i_1, \dots, i_{p-2} do not produce $-t$ and using Lemma 2.1 we conclude that i_1, \dots, i_{p-2} produce any element of \mathbb{Z}_p except $-t$. In particular, they produce $-t - i_{p-1}$. Now the equality $[m, n_1, \dots, n_{p-2}, l] = 0$ follows from Lemma 3.1. The lemma is established. \square

Let for the rest of the paper $\sigma(s)$ stand for the number

$$\frac{(p-2)^s - 1}{p-3}.$$

We denote by $Z_i(L)$ the i th term of the upper central series of L .

Lemma 3.5 *Let $L = \sum L_i$ be a \mathbb{Z}_p -graded Lie ring with $L_0 = 0$. Suppose that M is a homogeneous ideal of L such that $L/C_L(M)$ is solvable of derived length s . Then $M \leq Z_{\sigma(s+1)}(L)$.*

Proof. We will use induction on s , the case $s \leq 1$ being obvious from Lemma 3.4. Assume that $s \geq 2$. Let $N = L^{(s-1)}$. Then N is a homogeneous ideal of L and $M \leq C_L(N')$. By Lemma 3.4,

$$[M, \underbrace{N, \dots, N}_{p-2}] \leq Z(L).$$

Let $\bar{L} = L/Z(L)$, and let \bar{X} denote the image in \bar{L} of a subset X of L . Set $\bar{K} = [M, \underbrace{N, \dots, N}_{p-3}]$. Then $\bar{L}/C_{\bar{L}}(\bar{K})$ is of derived length at most $s-1$ and so, by the induction hypothesis,

$$\bar{K} \leq Z_{\sigma(s)}(\bar{L}).$$

Considering now $\bar{L}/Z_{\sigma(s)}(\bar{L})$ and repeating the argument, we obtain

$$[\bar{M}, \underbrace{\bar{N}, \dots, \bar{N}}_{p-4}] \leq Z_{2\sigma(s)}(\bar{L})$$

and, more generally,

$$[\bar{M}, \underbrace{\bar{N}, \dots, \bar{N}}_{p-i}] \leq Z_{(i-2)\sigma(s)}(\bar{L}).$$

It becomes clear that $\bar{M} \leq Z_{(p-2)\sigma(s)}(\bar{L})$. Therefore $M \leq Z_{(p-2)\sigma(s)+1}(L)$. Remark that $(p-2)\sigma(s) + 1 = \sigma(s+1)$. The lemma follows. \square

Theorem 3.6 *Let $L = \sum L_i$ be a \mathbb{Z}_p -graded Lie ring such that $L_0 = 0$. If L is of derived length s then L is nilpotent and the class of L is at most $1 + (p-2) \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \sigma(i)$. In particular, the class of L is less than $\frac{(p-2)^{s+1}}{(p-3)^2}$.*

Proof. Assume that $s \geq 2$ and use induction on s . Let N be the metabelian term of the derived series of L and let $M = N'$. The induction hypothesis will be that L/M

is nilpotent and the class of L/M is at most $1 + (p-2) \sum_{i=0}^{s-2} \sigma(i)$. Theorem 3.3 tells us that $M \leq Z_{p-2}(N)$. Set $M_i = [M, \underbrace{N, \dots, N}_{p-2-i}]$. Then $M_i \leq Z_i(N)$ and $M_{p-2} = M$. Since

$M_1 \leq Z(N)$, it follows that the derived length of $L/C_L(M_1)$ is at most $s-2$. Now Lemma 3.5 yields $M_1 \leq Z_{\sigma(s-1)}(L)$. Considering $L/Z_{\sigma(s-1)}(L)$ and applying Lemma 3.5 again we obtain

$$M_2 \leq Z_{2\sigma(s-1)}.$$

Eventually, we derive

$$M = M_{p-2} \leq Z_{(p-2)\sigma(s-1)}(L).$$

Therefore, L is of class at most

$$1 + (p-2) \sum_{i=0}^{s-2} \sigma(i) + (p-2)\sigma(s-1) = 1 + (p-2) \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \sigma(i).$$

A direct calculation shows that

$$1 + (p-2) \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \sigma(i) = 1 - \frac{(p-2)}{(p-3)^2} - \frac{(p-2)(s-1)}{(p-3)} + \frac{(p-2)^{s+1}}{(p-3)^2},$$

which is less than $\frac{(p-2)^{s+1}}{(p-3)^2}$. □

Theorem 3.7 *Let L be a solvable Lie ring with derived length s . Assume that L admits an automorphism ϕ of prime order p such that $C_L(\phi) = 0$. Then L is nilpotent and the class of L is less than $\frac{(p-2)^{s+1}}{(p-3)^2}$.*

Proof. Let ω be a primitive n th root of unity, and let us set $K = L \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$. Then, in a natural way, ϕ can be regarded as an automorphism of K with the property that $C_K(\phi) = 0$. Put $K_i = \{l \in K \mid l^\phi = \omega^i l\}$ and $R = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_p} K_i$. Then $[K_i, K_j] \leq K_{i+j}$ for

any $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Thus, the ring R (viewed as an algebra over $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$) becomes \mathbb{Z}_p -graded. Since $C_K(\phi) = 0$, it is clear that $K_0 = 0$. Furthermore, the derived length of K equals that of L so that R is solvable and has derived length at most s . Set $f = \frac{(p-2)^{s+1}}{(p-3)^2}$. Now Theorem 3.6 tells us that R is nilpotent with class at most f .

On the other hand, the subring R contains pK [2, Lemma 4.1.1] so it follows that $\gamma_{f+1}(pK) = 0$. However $\gamma_{f+1}(pK)$ is the same as $p^{f+1}\gamma_{f+1}(K)$. It follows that the additive group of $\gamma_{f+1}(K)$ is a p -group. Since $\gamma_{f+1}(K)$ admits a fixed-point-free automorphism of order p , we conclude that $\gamma_{f+1}(K) = 0$, as required. \square

References

- [1] G. Higman, Groups and Lie rings having automorphisms without non-trivial fixed points, J. London Math. Soc. **32** (1957), 321–334.
- [2] E.I. Khukhro, “Nilpotent Groups and their Automorphisms”, de Gruyter-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [3] V.A. Kreknin, A.I. Kostrikin, Lie algebras with regular automorphisms, Soviet Math. Dokl, **4**(1963), 355–358.
- [4] V.A. Kreknin, Solvability of Lie algebras with a regular automorphism of finite period, Soviet Math. Dokl, **4**(1963), 683–685.
- [5] Th. Meixner, *Über endliche Gruppen mit Automorphismen, deren Fixpunktgruppen beschränkt sind*, Dissertation, Univ. Erlangen-Nürnberg, 1979.

Pavel SHUMYATSKY
 Department of Mathematics,
 University of Brasilia,
 Brasilia-DF, 70910-900 BRAZIL
 e-mail: pavel@mat.unb.br

Received 03.10.2003