

1-1-2005

Corrigendum Uniqueness of Primary Decompositions [Turkish J. Math. 27 (2003), 425–434]

PATRICK F. SMITH

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

SMITH, PATRICK F. (2005) "Corrigendum Uniqueness of Primary Decompositions [Turkish J. Math. 27 (2003), 425–434]," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 29: No. 4, Article 8. Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol29/iss4/8>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.

Corrigendum Uniqueness of Primary Decompositions [Turkish J. Math. 27 (2003), 425–434]

P. F. Smith

In [1], the statement of Theorem 6 needs to be amended and also the comment following the proof of Theorem 15. We also take this opportunity to give a clearer proof of Theorem 6. I am grateful to Mr. A. R. Woodward for bringing these matters to my attention.

Theorem 6 *Let R be any ring and let N be a submodule of an R -module M such that N has a primary decomposition. Then the following statements are equivalent for a prime ideal P of R .*

- (i) P is an associated prime ideal of N .
- (ii) $P = (N : L)$ for some submodule L of M with $L \not\subseteq N$.
- (iii) $P = \{r \in R : rRm \subseteq N\}$ for some element $m \in M \setminus N$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (iii) Let $N = K_1 \cap \dots \cap K_n$ be a normal decomposition of N where K_i is a P_i -primary submodule of M for some prime ideal P_i of R for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $1 \leq i \leq n$ and let $H_i = K_1 \cap \dots \cap K_{i-1} \cap K_{i+1} \cap \dots \cap K_n$. There exists a positive integer $k(i)$ such that $P_i^{k(i)}M \subseteq K_i$ and hence $P_i^{k(i)}H_i \subseteq N$. Since $H_i \not\subseteq N$ there exists an integer $1 \leq t(i) \leq k(i)$ such that $P_i^{t(i)}H_i \subseteq N$ but $P_i^{t(i)-1}H_i \not\subseteq N$. Let $L_i = P_i^{t(i)-1}H_i$. Then L_i is a submodule of M such that $L_i \not\subseteq N$ and $P_iL_i \subseteq N$.

Let $m \in L_i \setminus N$ and let $A = \{r \in R : rRm \subseteq N\}$. Then A is an ideal of R and $P_i \subseteq A$. On the other hand, $Am \subseteq N \subseteq K_i$. If $m \in K_i$ then $m \in N$, a contradiction. Thus $A \subseteq P_i$ and it follows that $P_i = A$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii) Clear.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) As before. □

In the remark after Theorem 15 it is claimed that if P is a prime ideal of a PI -ring then for any ideal A of R such that $A \not\subseteq P$ there exist a finitely generated left ideal C and an ideal $B \not\subseteq P$ such that $B \subseteq C \subseteq A$. Although this is clearly true for commutative rings and for left Noetherian PI -rings, it is not true in general, as the following example shows.

Example *There exists a PI -ring R which contains a prime ideal P such that for some ideal $A \not\subseteq P$ there do not exist a finitely generated left ideal C and an ideal $B \not\subseteq P$ such that $B \subseteq C \subseteq A$.*

Proof. Let \mathbb{Z} denote the ring of rational integers and X the Prüfer p -group for any prime p . Let

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{Z} & X \\ 0 & \mathbb{Z} \end{bmatrix}$$

denote the ring of “matrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix},$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $x \in X$, and addition and multiplication in R are the usual matrix addition and multiplication, respectively. Let

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & X \\ 0 & \mathbb{Z} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{Z} & X \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then A is an ideal of R which is not contained in the prime ideal P of R . Let C be any finitely generated left ideal of R such that $C \subseteq A$. It is easy to check that

$$C \subseteq \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{Z} & Y \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

for some finitely generated (and so finite) submodule Y of X . Let B be any ideal of R such that $B \subseteq A$ and $B \not\subseteq P$. There exists an element

SMITH

$$b = \begin{bmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in B$$

where $0 \neq a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $x \in X$. Now $X = aX$ gives that

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & X \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \subseteq bR \subseteq B.$$

Hence $B \not\subseteq C$. Thus R has the required properties.

Finally note that in Corollary 16, $H_n = M$ or H_n is a P -primary submodule containing N for each positive integer n . \square

References

- [1] P.F. Smith, Uniqueness of primary decompositions, Turkish J. Math. 27 (2003), 425-434.

P. F. SMITH
Department of Mathematics
University of Glasgow
Glasgow, G12 8QW, Scotland, UK
e-mail: pfs@maths.gla.ac.uk

Received 17.02.2004