

1-1-2007

A Non-Linear Locally Finite Simple Group with a p-Group as Centralizer

U. MEIERFRANKENFELD

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

MEIERFRANKENFELD, U. (2007) "A Non-Linear Locally Finite Simple Group with a p-Group as Centralizer," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 31: No. 5, Article 7. Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol31/iss5/7>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.

A Non-Linear Locally Finite Simple Group with a p -Group as Centralizer

U. Meierfrankenfeld

Abstract

We show that there exists a non-linear, locally finite, simple group such that the centralizer of every non-trivial element is (locally solvable)-by-finite.

In [1, Problem. 3.8] Brian Hartley asked the following question:

Does there exist a non-linear infinite simple locally finite group in which the centralizer of every non-trivial element is almost soluble, that is, has a soluble subgroup of finite index?

In this note we will give a partial answer to Brian's question: We will show that the answer is affirmative if soluble is replaced by "locally solvable". More precisely we prove:

Theorem A (a) *There exists a non-linear, locally finite, simple group such that the centralizer of every non-trivial element is (locally solvable)-by-finite.*

(b) *Let p be a prime. Then there exists a non-linear, locally finite, simple group with an element whose centralizer is a p -group.*

This theorem and its proof first appeared in my lecture notes on locally finite simple groups [2].

If W and I are sets, then W^I denotes the set of functions from I to W . If X is a group, Y a subgroup of X and W a Y -set we define

$$W \uparrow_Y^X = \{f : X \rightarrow W \mid f(xy) = f(x)^y \text{ for all } x \in X, y \in Y\}.$$

Note that, if we view X as a Y -set by right multiplication, then $W \uparrow_Y^X$ just consists of the Y -equivariant maps from X to W .

If W is a Y -module and X/Y is finite, then the following lemma shows that $W \uparrow_Y^X$ is the induced module for X . And if W is a group with Y acting trivially on W , then $W \uparrow_Y^X$ is the base group of the wreath product $W \wr_{X/Y} X$.

Lemma 1 *Let X be a group, Y a subgroup of X and W a Y -set. Put $V = W \uparrow_Y^X$. Then*

(a) *X acts on V by $f^h(x) = f(hx)$ for all $x, h \in X$.*

(b) *Let I be a left transversal to Y in X . Then the restriction map*

$$\rho_I : V \rightarrow W^I, f \rightarrow f|_I$$

is a bijection. In particular, V and $W^{X/Y}$ are isomorphic as sets.

(c) *Define*

$$\pi : V \rightarrow W, \pi(f) = f(1).$$

Then π is an onto Y -equivariant map.

(d) *Suppose that t is a fixed-point for Y on W . Let $w \in W$ and define*

$$\kappa_t(w) : X \rightarrow W, x \rightarrow \begin{cases} w^x & \text{if } x \in Y \\ t & \text{if } x \notin Y \end{cases}.$$

Then

(a) *$\kappa_t(w) \in V$ and $\kappa_t : W \rightarrow V, w \rightarrow \kappa_t(w)$ is a 1-1 Y -equivariant map.*

(b) *$\pi(\kappa_t(w)) = w$.*

(c) *$\pi(\kappa_t(w)^x) = t$ for all $x \in X \setminus Y$.*

(e) *Suppose in addition that W is a Y -group, that is, W is a group and for each $y \in Y$ the map $W \rightarrow W, w \rightarrow w^y$ is a homomorphism of groups. Then the maps ρ_I, π and κ_1 all are homomorphism of groups.*

Proof. (a): We need to verify that $f^h \in V$ and $f^{hl} = (f^h)^l$ for all $f \in V, h, l \in X$. Let $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. Since $f^h(xy) = f(h(xy)) = f((hx)y) = f(hx)^y = (f^h(x))^y, f^h \in V$. Also $f^{hl}(x) = f((hl)x) = f(h(lx)) = f^h(lx) = (f^h)^l(x)$ and so (a) holds.

(b): Let $x \in X$. Then $x = iy$ for some unique $i \in I, y \in Y$.

Let $f \in V$. Then $f(x) = f(iy) = f(i)^y$ and so f is uniquely determined by f_I . Thus ρ_I is 1-1.

Let $g \in W^I$. Define $f : X \rightarrow W$ by $f(x) = f(i)^y$. It is easy to verify that $f \in V$ and $f_I = g$. Hence ρ_I is onto.

(c): Let $f \in V, y \in Y$. Then $\pi(f^y) = f^y(1) = f(y \cdot 1) = f(1 \cdot y) = f(1)^y = \pi(f)^y$.

So π is Y -equivariant. Choose a left transversal containing 1. Then (b) implies that π is onto.

(d): Let $w \in W, y \in Y$ and $x \in X$. Then $x \in Y$ if and only if $xy \in Y$. Also by assumption $t = t^y$ and so

$$\kappa_t(w)(xy) = \begin{cases} w^{xy} & \text{if } xy \in Y \\ t & \text{if } xy \notin Y \end{cases} = \begin{cases} (w^x)^y & \text{if } x \in Y \\ t^y & \text{if } x \notin Y \end{cases} = (\kappa_t(w)(x))^y.$$

Thus $\kappa_t(w) \in V$.

Also $yx \in Y$ if and only if $x \in Y$. So

$$\kappa_t(w^y)(x) = \begin{cases} (w^y)^x & \text{if } x \in Y \\ t & \text{if } x \notin Y \end{cases} = \begin{cases} w^{yx} & \text{if } yx \in Y \\ t & \text{if } yx \notin Y \end{cases} = \kappa_t(w)(yx) = \kappa_t(w)^y(x).$$

Thus $\kappa_t(w^y) = \kappa_t(w)^y$ and (d:a) holds.

Since $1 \in Y$, $\pi(\kappa_t(w)) = \kappa_t(w)(1) = w^1 = w$ and so (d:b) holds.

Let $x \in X \setminus Y$. Then $\pi(\kappa_t(w)^x) = \kappa_t(w)^x(1) = \kappa_t(w)(x \cdot 1) = \kappa_t(w)(x) = t$. So also (d:c) holds.

It remains to prove (e). So suppose that W is a Y -group. Clearly W^X is a group via $(fg)(x) = f(x)g(x)$. Moreover, for $f, g \in W \uparrow_Y^X$, $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$ we have

$$(fg)(xy) = f(xy)g(xy) = f(x)^y g(x)^y = (f(x)g(x))^y = (fg)(x)^y.$$

So $fg \in W \uparrow_Y^X$. Similarly $f^{-1} \in W \uparrow_Y^X$ and clearly $1 \in W \uparrow_Y^X$. Hence $W \uparrow_Y^X$ is a subgroup of W^X .

For any $J \subseteq X$, the restriction map $W^X \rightarrow W^J, f \rightarrow f|_J$ is a homomorphism. Thus ρ_I and π are homomorphism. $W \rightarrow W, w \rightarrow w^y$ and $W \rightarrow W, w \rightarrow 1$ are homomorphisms and so also κ_1 is a homomorphism. \square

Statement (c:a) in the following lemma is crucial for this paper. It allows us to enlarge a group Y to a group H while controlling some of the centralizers.

Lemma 2 *Let X, Y, W, G be groups with $Y \leq X$, $G \leq Y$, $W \trianglelefteq Y$, $Y = WG$ and $W \cap G = 1$. Then there exists a semidirect product $H = V \rtimes X$ and an embedding $\beta : Y \rightarrow H$ such that*

(a) $V \cong W^{Y/X}$ as groups.

(b) $V\beta(y) = Vy$ for all $y \in Y$.

(c) Let $y \in Y$, $w \in W$ and $g \in G$ with $y = wg$ and $y \notin g^W$. Then

$$(a) \quad VC_H(\beta(y)) = VC_Y(y).$$

$$(b) \quad \beta(y) \notin y^V.$$

Proof. Let $y \in Y$ and $y = wg$ with $w \in W, g \in G$. Let ρ be the projection of Y onto G , that is $\rho(wg) = g$. Note that W is a Y -group via $w \rightarrow w^{\rho(y)}$. We denote this Y -group by W_ρ . Put $V = W_\rho \uparrow_Y^X$. Then by Lemma 1 X acts on V and we can form the semidirect product, $H = V \rtimes X = \{(v, x) | v \in V, x \in X\}$. We view V and X as subgroups of H . So $H = VX$ and $V \cap X = 1$. Let $\pi : V \rightarrow W$ and $\kappa = \kappa_1 : W \rightarrow V$ be as in Lemma 1. Let $v \in V$.

$$1^\circ \quad \pi(v^y) = \pi(v)^{\rho(y)} = \pi(v)^g \quad \text{and} \quad \pi(v^{y^{-1}}) = \pi(v)^{g^{-1}}.$$

The first statement follows from 1(c) and the definition of action of Y on W_ρ . Since $\rho(y^{-1}) = \rho(y)^{-1} = g^{-1}$, the second statement follows from the first.

2° *Define*

$$\beta : Y \rightarrow H, y \rightarrow (\kappa(w), y).$$

Then β is a monomorphism and $V\beta(y) = Vy$.

Clearly β is 1-1 and $V\beta(y) = Vy$. For $i = 1, 2$ let $y_i \in Y$ and $y_i = w_i g_i$ with $w_i \in W, g_i \in G$. Then

$$y_1 y_2 = w_1 g_1 w_2 g_2 = w_1 w_2^{g_1^{-1}} g_1 g_2,$$

and so

$$\beta(y_1 y_2) = (\kappa(w_1 w_2^{g_1^{-1}}), y_1 y_2).$$

On the other hand,

$$\beta(y_1)\beta(y_2) = (\kappa(w_1), y_1)(\kappa(w_2), y_2) = (\kappa(w_1)\kappa(w_2)^{y_1^{-1}}, y_1 y_2).$$

As κ is a Y -equivariant homomorphism,

$$\beta(y_1)\beta(y_2) = (\kappa(w_1 w_2^{g_1^{-1}}), y_1 y_2)$$

and so β is a homomorphism.

$$3^\circ \quad \text{Let } (v, x) \in C_H(\beta(y)). \text{ Then } \kappa(w)v^{y^{-1}} = v\kappa(w)^{x^{-1}} \text{ and } xy = yx.$$

We compute

$$\beta(y)(v, x) = (\kappa(w), y)(v, x) = (\kappa(w)v^{y^{-1}}, yx)$$

and

$$(v, x)\beta(y) = (v, x)(\kappa(w), y) = (v\kappa(w)^{x^{-1}}, xy)$$

Thus (3°) holds.

4° *Suppose that $VC_H(\beta(y)) \neq VC_Y(y)$. Then $y \in g^W$.*

Since $\beta(C_Y(y)) \leq C_H(\beta(y))$ we have $VC_Y(y) \stackrel{(2^\circ)}{=} V\beta(C_Y(y)) \leq VC_H(\beta(y))$ and so there exists $(v, x) \in C_H(\beta(y))$ with $x \notin Y$.

By Lemma 1(d:b), $\pi(\kappa(w)) = w$. By (1°), $\pi(v^{y^{-1}}) = \pi(v)^{k^{-1}}$. Also since $x \notin Y$ and $\kappa = \kappa_1$, Lemma 1(d:c) implies $\pi(\kappa(w)^{x^{-1}}) = 1$. So applying π to both sides of the first equation in (3°) we obtain

$$w\pi(v)^{g^{-1}} = \pi(v).$$

Put $r = \pi(v)$. Then $wgrg^{-1} = r$, $wg = rgr^{-1}$ and $y = wg = g^{r^{-1}} \in g^W$.

5° *If $\beta(y) \in y^V$, then $y \in g^W$.*

Suppose that $\beta(y) = (1, y)^{(v,1)}$ for some $v \in V$. Then

$$(\kappa(w), y) = (v^{-1}, 1)(1, y)(v, 1) = (v^{-1}, y)(v, 1) = (v^{-1}v^{y^{-1}}, y)$$

and so $\kappa(w) = v^{-1}v^{y^{-1}}$. Applying π to both sides we conclude $w = \pi(v^{-1})\pi(v)^{g^{-1}}$. Put $r = \pi(v)$ then $w = r^{-1}grg^{-1}$ and $y = wg = r^{-1}gr = g^r$. Thus (5°) holds.

We are now in a position to prove the lemma: (a) follows from Lemma 1(b),(e); (b) from (2°); (c:a) from (4°); and (c:b) from (5°). \square

The preceding Lemma allows to control centralizers under the condition $y \notin g^W$. The next lemma provides us with a tool to achieve this condition:

Lemma 3 *Let G be a finite group and Π a set of primes. Then there exist a finite abelian $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module W and a monomorphism $\alpha : G \rightarrow W \rtimes G$ such that*

- (a) W is a Π -group.
 (b) $W\alpha(g) = Wg$ for all $g \in G$.
 (c) $\alpha(g) \notin g^W$ for all non-trivial Π -elements g in G .
 (d) If G is perfect, then $W = [W, G]$ and $W \rtimes G$ is perfect.

Proof. Let m be the Π -part of $|G|$. Put $B = (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^G$ and $H = \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \wr G$, where the wreathed product is formed with respect to regular action of G on G . Then B is the base group of H and $H = BG$. For $f \in B$ put $\|f\| = \sum_{g \in G} f(g)$. Put $W = [B, G]$ and note that $W = \{f \in B \mid \|f\| = 0\}$. Then W is a Π -group and (a) holds. Also if $G = G'$, the Three Subgroups Lemma implies $[B, G, G] = [B, G]$ and so $W = [W, G]$ and WG is perfect. Thus (d) holds.

Let $b \in B$ be defined by $b(1) = 1$ and $b(g) = 0$ for all $g \in G^\#$. Define $\alpha : G \rightarrow WG, g \rightarrow g^b = [b, g^{-1}]g$. Then α is monomorphism and (b) holds. It remains to prove (c). So let g be a non-trivial Π -element in G and suppose that $g^b = g^a$ for some $a \in W$. Put $n = |g|$ and $c = ba^{-1}$. Then $c \in C_B(g)$. Let I be a left transversal to $\langle g \rangle$. Then each element of G can be uniquely written as ig^k for some $i \in I$ and some $0 \leq k < n$. Since $c^g = c$, $c(i) = c(ig^k)$. Let $s = \sum_{i \in I} c(i)$. We conclude that $\|c\| = ns$. Thus

$$1 = \|b\| = \|ac\| = \|a\| + \|c\| = 0 + ns = ns$$

in $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$, a contradiction, as n divides m . □

We now combine the embeddings from the two preceding lemmas into one:

Lemma 4 *Let G and F be finite groups and Π a set of primes. Then there exist a finite group G^* with $G \leq G^*$ and normal subgroup V of G^* such that:*

- (a) V is an abelian Π -group and G^*/V is simple.
 (b) $G \cap V = 1$.
 (c) Let x be a nontrivial Π -element in G . Then $C_{G^*}(x)$ has a normal solvable Π -subgroup M_x with $C_{G^*}(x) = M_x C_G(x)$.
 (d) G^* has a subgroup isomorphic to F .
 (e) If G is perfect, G^* is perfect.

Proof. Let α and $Y = W \rtimes G$ be as in Lemma 3. Let X be any finite simple group containing Y as a subgroup and such that X has a subgroup isomorphic to F . Let β and V be as in Lemma 2. Put $G^* = V \rtimes X$. Let x be a Π -element in G and put $\gamma = \beta \circ \alpha$. Let $y \in C_Y(\alpha(x))$. Then $Wy = Wg$ for some $g \in G$. By Lemma 3(b), $W\alpha(x) = Wx$. From $[\alpha(x), y] = 1$ we conclude that $[x, g] \in W \cap G = 1$. Hence $g \in C_G(x)$ and so $C_Y(\alpha(x)) \leq WC_G(x) = W\alpha(C_G(x))$. By Lemma 3(c) $\alpha(x) \notin x^W$ and so by Lemma 2(c:a), $C_{G^*}(\beta(\alpha(x))) \leq VC_Y(\alpha(x))$. Thus

$$C_{G^*}(\gamma(x)) \leq VC_Y(\alpha(x)) \leq VW\alpha(C_G(x)).$$

By Lemma 2(b), $V\beta(y) = Vy$ for all $y \in Y$ and so $V\alpha(C_G(x)) = V\gamma(C_G(x))$. Thus

$$C_{G^*}(\gamma(x)) \leq VW\gamma(C_G(x)) = VWC_{\gamma(G)}(\gamma(x)).$$

Put $M_x = C_{VW}(\gamma(x))$. Then $C_{G^*}(\gamma(x)) = M_x C_{\gamma(G)}(\gamma(x))$. Identifying G with its image in G^* under γ we see that all parts of the lemma hold. \square

Let G be a locally finite group. Recall that a Kegel-cover for G is a set \mathcal{K} of pairs of subgroups of G such that

- (i) If $(H, M) \in \mathcal{K}$, then H is a finite subgroup of G , $M \trianglelefteq H$ and H/M is simple.
- (ii) For each finite subgroup F of G there exists $(H, M) \in \mathcal{K}$ with $F \leq H$ and $F \cap M = 1$.

Otto Kegel proved that every locally finite, simple group has a Kegel cover. The following well-known Lemma is a partial converse:

Lemma 5 *Let G be a locally finite group with a Kegel cover \mathcal{K} . Suppose that for all $(H, M) \in \mathcal{K}$, H is perfect and M is solvable. Then G is simple.*

Proof. Let L be a non-trivial normal subgroup of G . Let $g \in G$. It suffices to show that $g \in L$. For this let $1 \neq l \in L$ and put $F = \langle l, g \rangle$. Then F is finite and so there exists $(H, M) \in \mathcal{K}$ with $F \leq H$ and $F \cap M = 1$. Since $l \in H \setminus M$ we have $L \cap H \not\leq M$. Since $L \cap H$ is normal in H and H/M is simple this implies $H = (L \cap H)M$. Thus $H/L \cap H \cong M/M \cap L$ and since M is solvable, $H/L \cap H$ is solvable. As H is perfect we conclude that $H = L \cap H$. Thus $g \in F \leq H \leq L$. \square

Proposition 6 *Let G_0 be a finite, perfect group, Π a non-empty set of primes and for each positive integer n let F_n be a finite group. Then there exists a locally, finite simple group G with $G_0 \leq G$ and such that:*

- (a) *If x is a nontrivial Π -element of G , then $C_G(x)$ has a locally solvable, normal Π -subgroup M_x of finite index.*
- (b) *If x is a nontrivial Π -element in G_0 , then $C_G(x) = M_x C_{G_0}(x)$.*
- (c) *F_n is isomorphic to a subgroup of G .*

Proof. We will produce finite groups $G_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and normal subgroups M_n of G_n such that for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$

1°

- (a) *G_n is perfect.*
- (b) *M_n is abelian and G_n/M_n is simple.*
- (c) *$G_{n-1} \leq G_n$ and $G_{n-1} \cap M_n = 1$.*
- (d) *If x is a nontrivial Π -element in G_{n-1} , then there exists a solvable normal Π -subgroup M_{nx} of $C_{G_n}(x)$ with $C_{G_n}(x) = M_{nx} C_{G_{n-1}}(x)$.*
- (e) *G_n has a subgroup isomorphic to F_n .*

Let $i \geq 0$ and suppose we already found G_1, \dots, G_i such that (a) -(e) hold for $1 \leq n \leq i$. Then G_i is perfect and so we can apply Lemma 4 to $G = G_i$ and $F = F_{i+1}$. Put $G_{i+1} = G^*$, $M_i = V$ and $M_{ix} = M_x$. Then by Lemma 4 we conclude that (a) to (e) hold for $n = i + 1$.

Put $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} G_n$. Then $\{(G_n, M_n) \mid n \geq 1\}$ is a Kegel cover for G and by Lemma 5, G is simple. Let $x \in G$ be a nontrivial Π -element. Then $x \in G_n$ for some n . Put $M_x^n = 1$ and inductively, $M_x^{m+1} = M_x^m M_{(m+1)x}$. It follows from (1°)(d) and induction that:

2° *Let $m \geq n$. Then M_x^m is a solvable, normal Π -subgroup of $C_{G_m}(x)$ and $C_{G_m}(x) = M_x^m C_{G_n}(x)$.*

Put $M_x = \bigcup_{m=n}^{\infty} M_x^m$. Then by (2°), M_x is a locally solvable, normal Π -subgroup of $C_G(x)$ and $C_G(x) = M_x C_{G_n}(x)$. Thus the proposition is proved. \square

Corollary 7 *Let Π be a non-empty set of primes. Then there exists a non-linear, locally finite, simple group G such that*

(a) *The centralizer of every non-trivial Π -element has a locally solvable Π -subgroup of finite index.*

(b) *There exists an element whose centralizer is a locally solvable Π -group.*

Proof. Fix $p \in \Pi$ and put $G_0 = \text{Alt}(2p + 1)$. Let x the product of two disjoint p -cycle in $\text{Sym}(2p + 1)$. Then $x \in G_0$, G_0 is perfect and $C_{G_0}(x) \cong C_p \times C_p$. In particular, $C_{G_0}(x)$ is solvable Π -group. Apply Lemma 6 to this G_0 and with $F_n = \text{Sym}(n)$. The resulting G is not linear and fulfills (a). Moreover, (b) holds for the element $x \in G_0 \leq G$. \square

Proof of Theorem A:

Apply Corollary 7(a) with Π the set of all primes and Corollary 7(b) with $\Pi = \{p\}$.

References

- [1] Hartley, B.: Simple locally finite groups in *Finite and Locally Finite Groups* (B. Hartley et al, Eds.) Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, **1995**, 1-44.
- [2] Meierfrankenfeld, U.: *Lecture Notes on Locally Finite Simple Groups*, Michigan State University **1997**, http://www.math.msu.edu/~meier/Classnotes/LFG/LFG_abstract.html

U. MEIERFRANKENFELD
Michigan State University
Department of Mathematics, USA
e-mail:meier@math.msu.edu

Received 19.10.2007