

1-1-2006

The Effects of Bacterial Inoculants on the Fermentation, Aerobic Stability and Rumen Degradability Characteristics of Wheat Silages

EKİN SUCU

İSMAİL FİLYA

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/veterinary>



Part of the [Animal Sciences Commons](#), and the [Veterinary Medicine Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

SUCU, EKİN and FİLYA, İSMAİL (2006) "The Effects of Bacterial Inoculants on the Fermentation, Aerobic Stability and Rumen Degradability Characteristics of Wheat Silages," *Turkish Journal of Veterinary & Animal Sciences*: Vol. 30: No. 2, Article 6. Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/veterinary/vol30/iss2/6>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Veterinary & Animal Sciences by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.

The Effects of Bacterial Inoculants on the Fermentation, Aerobic Stability and Rumen Degradability Characteristics of Wheat Silages*

Ekin SUCU, İsmail FİLYA**

Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Uludağ University, 16059 Bursa - TURKEY

Received: 14.04.2005

Abstract: This study was carried out to determine the effects of lactic acid bacteria (LAB) inoculants on the fermentation, aerobic stability and in situ rumen degradability characteristics of wheat silages.

Wheat was harvested at the early dough stage. Inoculant-1188 (Pioneer®, USA) and Sil-All (Alltech, UK) were used as LAB inoculants. Inoculants were applied to silages at 1.5×10^6 cfu/g levels. Wheat material was ensiled in 1.5-l special anaerobic jars, equipped with a lid enabling gas release only. Three jars from each group were sampled for chemical and microbiological analysis 2, 4, 8, 15 and 50 days after ensiling. At the end of the ensiling period all silages were subjected to an aerobic stability test for 5 days. In addition, in situ rumen degradability characteristics were determined in the silages.

Both homofermentative LAB inoculants improved the fermentation characteristics of wheat silages. At the end of the ensiling period inoculants increased the lactobacilli and decreased yeast and mold numbers of the silages. However, both LAB inoculants led to higher CO₂ production and impaired the aerobic stability of the silages. The in situ degradability characteristics of the wheat silages were not affected by the inoculant treatment.

Key Words: Aerobic stability, fermentation, inoculant, rumen degradability, silage, wheat

Bakteriyal İnokulantların Buğday Silajlarının Fermantasyon, Aerobik Stabilite ve Rumen Parçalanabilirlik Özellikleri Üzerine Etkileri

Özet: Bu çalışma laktik asit bakterisi (LAB) inokulantlarının buğday silajlarının fermantasyon, aerobik stabilite ve in situ rumen parçalanabilirlik özelliklerini saptamak amacıyla düzenlenmiştir.

Araştırmada, buğday hamur olum döneminde hasat edilmiştir. LAB inokulantı olarak İnokulant-1188 (Pioneer®, USA) ve Sil-All (Alltech, UK) kullanılmıştır. İnokulantlar silajlara $1,5 \times 10^6$ cfu/g düzeyinde katılmışlardır. Buğday materyali yalnızca gaz çıkışına olanak tanıyan 1,5-litrelik özel anaerobik kavanozlara silolanmıştır. Silolamadan sonraki 2, 4, 8, 15 ve 50. günlerde her gruptan 3'er kavanoz açılarak kimyasal ve mikrobiyolojik analizler yapılmıştır. Silolama döneminin sonunda açılan tüm silajlara 5 gün süre ile aerobik stabilite testi uygulanmıştır. Ayrıca silajların in situ rumen parçalanabilirlik özellikleri saptanmıştır.

Her iki homofermantatif LAB inokulantı da buğday silajlarının fermantasyon özelliklerini geliştirmiştir. Silolama dönemi sonunda inokulantlar buğday silajlarının lactobacilli sayılarını artırırken, maya ve küf sayılarını düşürmüşlerdir. Ayrıca her iki LAB inokulantı da, yüksek bir CO₂ üretimine yol açarak buğday silajlarının aerobik stabilitesini düşürmüştür. Buğday silajlarının in situ rumen parçalanabilirlik özellikleri inokulant uygulamasından etkilenmemiştir.

Anahtar Sözcükler: Aerobik stabilite, fermantasyon, inokulant, rumen parçalanabilirliği, silaj, buğday

Introduction

Silage is the feedstuff produced by the fermentation of a crop, forage or agricultural by-product of generally greater than 50% moisture content. In the ensiling process lactic acid bacteria (LAB) convert water-soluble

carbohydrates (WSCs) into organic acids, mainly lactic acid, under anaerobic conditions. As a result, pH decreases and the moist forage is protected from spoilage microorganisms (1). In order to improve the ensiling process various chemical and biological additives have

* This study is a part of the author's MSc thesis.

** E-mail: ifilya@uludag.edu.tr

been developed. Biological additives are advantageous because they are safe and easy to use, are non-corrosive to machinery, do not pollute the environment, and are natural products. Bacterial inoculants are added to silage in order to stimulate lactic acid fermentation, accelerating the decrease in pH, and thus improving silage preservation (2). Most available inoculants consist of selected strains of homofermentative LAB, such as *Lactobacillus plantarum*, and *Pediococcus* and *Enterococcus* species (3). Many studies have shown the advantages of such LAB inoculants (4-6). However, some studies under laboratory conditions (7-10) indicated that the addition of homofermentative LAB inoculants impaired the aerobic stability of silages of mature cereal crops (wheat, sorghum, corn). This was suggested by a rise in pH, visible mold growth and intensive production of CO₂ during aerobic exposure. Similar problems caused by the use of homofermentative LAB inoculants have also been observed in other studies (11-13). Earlier observations had suggested the opposite, that homofermentative LAB inoculants improved the aerobic stability of silages (14,15). A possible explanation for the instability of inoculated silages upon aeration is that under strict anaerobic conditions homofermentative LAB inoculants produce solely lactic acid, whereas in natural fermentation various volatile fatty acids (VFAs) are produced, e.g., as acetic, propionic and butyric acids. These short-chain fatty acids possess antimycotic activity, and thus inhibit yeasts and molds upon aerobic exposure (16).

The purpose of the present work was to study the effects of homofermentative LAB inoculants on the fermentation, aerobic stability and in situ rumen degradability characteristics of wheat silages.

Material and Methods

Materials and silage preparation

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) was harvested at the early dough of maturity. After harvest the wheat was wilted for 2 h in the field (35.3 ± 0.24% dry matter (DM)) and chopped to about 1.5 cm and ensiled in 1.5-l special anaerobic jars (Le Parfait, France), equipped with a lid enabling gas release only. Three jars from each group were sampled for chemical and microbiological analysis 2, 4, 8, 15 and 50 days after ensiling. At the end of the ensiling period the silages were subjected to an

aerobic stability test for 5 days in a system developed by Ashbell et al. (17). In this system, numbers of yeasts and molds, change in pH and amount of CO₂ produced during the test are used as aerobic deterioration indicators.

The following treatments were used in the experiment:

Control (no additive)

Inoculum A (IA): Inoculant-1188 (Pioneer®, USA) containing *Lactobacillus plantarum* and *Enterococcus faecium*. Final application rate of 1.5 x 10⁶ cfu/g of fresh forage.

Inoculum B (IB): Sil-All (Alltech, UK) containing *Pediococcus acidilactici*, *Lactobacillus plantarum*, *Streptococcus faecium* and cellulase, hemicellulase, pentosanase and amylase enzymes. Final application rate of 1.5 x 10⁶ cfu/g of fresh forage.

The application rate determined by the manufacturers stated the level of LAB in the products. On the day of the experiment, inoculants were suspended in 20 ml of tap water and the whole suspension was sprayed over 10 kg (wet weight) of chopped forage spread over a 1 x 4 m area. All inoculants were applied to the forages in a uniform manner with constant mixing.

Analytical procedures

Chemical analyses were performed in triplicate. The DM, crude ash and crude protein contents of the fresh material and silages were determined by the Weende method (18). Wet samples stored at -20 °C were extracted for 3 min in a Stomacher® blender in water (1:9) for WSC analyses. WSCs were determined by the phenol sulfuric acid method (19). Lactic acid and VFAs were determined by the Lepper method (18). Ammonia-N was determined by the Kjeldahl method without a digestion step but with the addition of base (20). Fermentation losses were evaluated according to weight loss (8).

Microbiological analysis was performed on pooled samples of the 3 replicate silos per treatment per time point except for replicate samples that differed considerably in appearance. The microbiological evaluation included enumeration of lactobacilli on pour-plate Rogosa agar (Oxoid CM627, Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK), and yeast and molds on spread-plate malt extract agar (Difco, Detroit, MI, USA) acidified with lactic acid to pH 4.0. Plates were incubated for 3 days at 30 °C. Since

microbiological analysis was performed on a single sample per time point, no statistical analysis was possible. All microbiological data were transformed to \log_{10} . Visual appraisal was performed as described by Filya et al. (2).

Rumen degradability characteristics of the silages were measured by the in situ method reported by Mehrez and Ørskov (21). Air-dried forage samples were ground through a 2.5 mm screen using a laboratory-type mill. The milled samples were placed in 9 x 14 cm Dacron bags (pore size 40-60 μm), which were inserted into rumen of 3 cannulated male merino sheep fed a concentrate and alfalfa hay diet. The Dacron bags were incubated in the rumen for 48 h.

The statistical analysis of the results included one-way analysis of variance and Duncan's multiple range test, which were applied to the results using the Statistical Analysis System (22).

Results

The chemical composition of the fresh and ensiled whole crop wheat is given in Table 1. All silages were well preserved. LAB inoculants improved the fermentation parameters of wheat silages, with increasing lactic acid levels, and decreasing acetic acid and pH values ($P < 0.05$). The pH of all inoculated silages decreased faster and to a greater extent compared to the control silage. The WSCs in all silages increased with the decrease in pH. Both LAB inoculants decreased significantly the ammonia-N concentrations of the silages ($P < 0.05$). No butyric acid was present in any of the silages.

The microbiological composition of the silages is given in Table 2. Lactobacilli numbers increased during the fermentation period. At the end of the ensiling period both LAB inoculants decreased yeast and mold numbers.

Table 3 gives the results of the aerobic exposure test. Silage deterioration indicators are pH change, CO_2 production and an increase in yeast and mold numbers. Both inoculants increased significantly pH and CO_2 production in the wheat silages compared to the control silage ($P < 0.05$).

Values for in situ rumen DM and organic matter (OM) degradability after 48 h of incubation are given in Table 4. Inoculation with the homofermentative LAB did not affect in situ rumen DM or OM degradability ($P > 0.05$).

Discussion

To date, bacterial inoculants have been added to silage in order to stimulate lactic acid fermentation, accelerating the decrease in pH and thus improving silage preservation. The same trend was shown in this experiment. Both homofermentative LAB inoculants ensured rapid and vigorous fermentation that resulted in faster accumulation of lactic acid, lower pH values at an earlier stage of ensiling, and improved forage preservation. Many studies have shown the efficacy of such inoculants (4-6). In the present study, both LAB inoculants decreased concentrations of ammonia-N and acetic acid and weight losses of wheat silages compared with the control silage. However, at the end of the ensiling period both inoculants improved microbiological composition of wheat silages compared with the control silage. These findings are agreement with those reported by Spoelstra (4), Williams et al. (5), Saarisalo et al. (6) and Filya and Sucu (23).

The results in the current study clearly indicated that both homofermentative LAB inoculants impaired the aerobic stability of wheat silages. Both inoculated silages were more susceptible to aerobic exposure than the control silage. In this regard, there were no differences between the effects of the 2 inoculants used. This was evident from intensive CO_2 production and development of yeasts. A high level of lactic acid and yeasts impaired the aerobic stability of homofermentative LAB inoculated wheat silages. These findings are agreement with those published by Rust et al. (9), Kennedy (10), Weinberg et al. (12) and Weinberg et al. (13), and our previous experiments (7,8,11,23,24). Weinberg et al. (12) hypothesized that high levels of residual WSCs, combined with high lactic acid concentrations and a lack of protective VFAs in the silage inoculated with homofermentative LAB were associated with aerobic spoilage. This is because both WSCs and lactic acid are substrates for fungi and yeasts, and VFAs often inhibits these organisms. The use of homofermentative LAB inoculants might lead to such conditions in some sugar-rich silages. This is because the homolactic fermentation is more efficient and utilizes less WSCs than heterolactic fermentation, which results in a higher content of WSCs and lactic acid in the silage. When the crop contains less sugar, not much sugar will be left in the silage to encourage yeasts and molds upon aerobic exposure. This difference between our results and those reported by

Table 1. Chemical analyses of the wheat silages (DM %)*.

Days of ensiling	Treatment	DM	pH	WSCs	NH ₃ -N	Crude protein	Crude ash	Lactic acid	Acetic acid	Butyric acid	Weight losses
Fresh											
0	Wheat	35.3 ± 0.24	6.4 ± 0.02	5.1 ± 0.03	4.0 ± 0.25	8.8 ± 0.25	7.3 ± 0.03	1.2 ± 0.03	0	0	0
Silage											
2	Control	34.5 ± 0.22	6.3 ± 0.04 ^a	3.0 ± 0.03 ^b	4.3 ± 0.42 ^a	8.5 ± 0.03 ^b	7.0 ± 0.03	1.3 ± 0.06 ^c	0	0	2.4 ± 0.02 ^a
	IA	34.6 ± 0.23	4.3 ± 0.01 ^b	3.4 ± 0.06 ^a	3.2 ± 0.53 ^b	8.9 ± 0.12 ^a	7.0 ± 0.66	1.8 ± 0.03 ^b	0	0	0.9 ± 0.01 ^b
	IB	34.7 ± 0.21	4.0 ± 0.09 ^b	3.3 ± 0.0 ^a	3.5 ± 0.06 ^b	8.9 ± 0.12 ^a	7.2 ± 0.03	2.3 ± 0.03 ^a	0	0	0.7 ± 0.01 ^c
4	Control	34.3 ± 0.43	5.2 ± 0.05 ^a	2.3 ± 0.09 ^b	7.0 ± 0.89 ^a	7.9 ± 0.09 ^b	7.4 ± 0.03	1.5 ± 0.03 ^c	0.3 ± 0.34	0	6.7 ± 0.02 ^a
	IA	34.0 ± 0.13	4.0 ± 0.02 ^b	2.8 ± 0.09 ^a	2.8 ± 0.52 ^b	8.8 ± 0.09 ^a	7.5 ± 0.06	2.0 ± 0.03 ^b	0	0	0.9 ± 0.02 ^b
	IB	34.9 ± 0.08	3.8 ± 0.03 ^c	2.9 ± 0.12 ^a	2.7 ± 0.57 ^b	8.4 ± 0.12 ^a	7.5 ± 0.06	2.4 ± 0.03 ^a	0	0	0.6 ± 0.01 ^c
8	Control	34.5 ± 0.21	4.7 ± 0.01 ^a	1.1 ± 0.03 ^b	7.0 ± 0.73 ^a	7.3 ± 0.15 ^b	7.4 ± 0.06	2.0 ± 0.06 ^c	0.4 ± 0.36	0	9.6 ± 0.21 ^a
	IA	34.4 ± 0.25	3.8 ± 0.02 ^b	1.9 ± 0.0 ^a	2.7 ± 0.10 ^b	8.6 ± 0.12 ^a	7.5 ± 0.03	2.9 ± 0.03 ^b	0	0	1.0 ± 0.01 ^b
	IB	34.2 ± 0.21	3.7 ± 0.03 ^c	2.1 ± 0.06 ^a	2.7 ± 0.17 ^b	8.4 ± 0.12 ^a	7.5 ± 0.09	3.2 ± 0.13 ^a	0	0	0.7 ± 0.05 ^c
15	Control	35.6 ± 0.77	4.5 ± 0.00 ^a	1.0 ± 0.06 ^b	9.4 ± 0.44 ^a	6.9 ± 0.15 ^b	7.6 ± 0.03	2.0 ± 0.06 ^c	0.6 ± 0.03	0	6.9 ± 0.17 ^a
	IA	35.3 ± 0.80	3.7 ± 0.01 ^b	1.8 ± 0.03 ^a	2.1 ± 0.24 ^b	8.2 ± 0.15 ^a	7.6 ± 0.06	3.3 ± 0.07 ^b	0	0	1.1 ± 0.03 ^b
	IB	35.4 ± 0.36	3.7 ± 0.01 ^b	2.1 ± 0.03 ^a	2.2 ± 0.55 ^b	8.1 ± 0.12 ^a	7.7 ± 0.09	3.5 ± 0.03 ^a	0	0	0.9 ± 0.01 ^c
50	Control	35.2 ± 0.31	4.4 ± 0.03 ^a	0.9 ± 0.03 ^b	11.5 ± 1.44 ^a	6.4 ± 0.09 ^b	7.7 ± 0.09	3.0 ± 0.06 ^c	1.1 ± 0.06 ^a	0	9.5 ± 0.24 ^a
	IA	35.5 ± 0.07	3.7 ± 0.01 ^b	1.8 ± 0.06 ^a	1.2 ± 0.67 ^b	8.1 ± 0.12 ^a	7.8 ± 0.06	3.9 ± 0.30 ^b	0.3 ± 0.10 ^b	0	4.2 ± 0.02 ^b
	IB	35.5 ± 0.17	3.7 ± 0.01 ^b	2.0 ± 0.0 ^a	1.5 ± 0.77 ^b	7.9 ± 0.90 ^a	7.9 ± 0.09	4.3 ± 0.03 ^a	0.3 ± 0.10 ^b	0	4.2 ± 0.23 ^b

* Except DM, pH and weight losses, all the chemical analyses' results are given in DM.

DM, dry matter; WSC, water-soluble carbohydrates; NH₃-N, ammonia-nitrogen; IA, inoculum A; IB, inoculum B.

^{a,b,c} Within a column means followed by a different letter differ significantly (P < 0.05).

Table 2. Microbiological analysis of the wheat silages (log cfu/g DM).

Days of ensiling	Treatment	Lactobacilli	Yeast	Mold
	Fresh			
0	Wheat	3.5	5.7	4.2
	Silage			
2	Control	3.6	6.3	0
	IA	4.0	6.1	0
	IB	4.0	5.9	0
4	Control	4.1	6.1	0
	IA	4.9	5.9	0
	IB	5.0	6.4	0
8	Control	4.8	7.0	0
	IA	5.8	7.2	0
	IB	5.7	6.5	0
15	Control	5.3	6.8	3.6
	IA	6.5	6.6	1.3
	IB	6.5	6.6	1.1
50	Control	5.5	7.7	2.8
	IA	7.4	7.3	0.8
	IB	7.2	7.0	1.0

Log cfu, logarithmic colony forming unit; DM, dry matter; IA, inoculum A; IB, inoculum B. Microbiological analysis was performed on a single sample each time.

Table 3. Results of the aerobic stability test of the wheat silages.

Treatment	pH	CO ₂ (g/kg DM)	Yeasts*	Molds*	Visual appraisal**
Control	3.7 ± 0.03 ^b	1.1 ± 0.22 ^b	5.0	4.5	1
IA	4.2 ± 0.01 ^a	27.8 ± 1.04 ^a	9.8	8.6	2
IB	4.1 ± 0.01 ^a	25.3 ± 0.61 ^a	9.5	7.9	3

DM, dry matter; IA, inoculum A; IB, inoculum B.

* Microbiological analysis was performed on a single sample each time.

** Visual appraisal is expressed using a scale of 1 to 5 where 1: good quality silage with no visible molding, 2: a few small mold spots, 3: scattered mold spots, 4: silage with partially covered molds, lumpy silage, 5: completely mold-covered samples, unpleasant odor and silage particles sticking together.

^{a-b} Within a column means followed by a different letter differ significantly (P < 0.05).

Table 4. In situ rumen degradability characteristics of the wheat silages (%).

Treatment	DM	OM
Control	56.8 ± 2.23	54.0 ± 2.24
IA	56.6 ± 3.50	54.3 ± 3.33
IB	57.8 ± 2.65	56.7 ± 2.45

DM, dry matter; OM, organic matter; IA, inoculum A; IB, inoculum B. ^{a-b}Within a column means followed by a different letter differ significantly ($P < 0.05$).

Ohyama et al. (14) and Pahlow (15) is probably that these researchers infiltrated air into the silage during the ensiling period from the beginning. However, Filya et al. (2) reported that the presence of low concentrations of oxygen in silage results in a shift of homolactic fermentation to heterolactic metabolism, leading to

production of organic acids such as acetic and butyric acids, which possess antimycotic activity and inhibit the development of yeasts and molds.

Neither homofermentative LAB affected the in situ rumen DM or OM degradability of wheat silages. These results show that when wheat silages are inoculated with homofermentative LAB containing high levels of lactic and low levels of acetic acid DM and OM degradability are not depressed. Meeske et al. (25) showed that homofermentative LAB inoculants did not affect the in vitro OM degradability of sorghum silages ensiled at 2 stages of maturity. These findings agree with our previous experiments (8,11,23,26).

In conclusion, the results of this study show that homofermentative LAB inoculants accelerate the initial lactic acid fermentation rate, reducing pH and giving lower protein degradation and fermentation losses. The results also show that homofermentative LAB inoculants impair the aerobic stability of wheat silages.

References

- McDonald, P., Henderson, A.R., Heron, S.J.E.: Microorganisms. In: McDonald, P., Henderson, A.R., Heron, S.J.E., Eds. The Biochemistry of Silage. Chapter 4, 2nd ed., Chalcombe Publications, Aberystwyth, UK. 1991; 81-151.
- Filya, I., Ashbell, G., Hen, Y., Weinberg, Z.G.: The effect of bacterial inoculants on the fermentation and aerobic stability of whole crop wheat silage. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol., 2000; 88: 39-46.
- Weinberg, Z.G., Muck, R.E.: New trends and opportunities in the development and use of inoculants for silage. FEMS Microbiol. Rev., 1996; 19: 53-68.
- Spoelstra, S.F.: Chemical and biological additives in forage conservation. In: Pahlow, G., Honig, H., Eds. Forage Conservation towards 2000. Inst. Grassl. Forage Res., Braunschweig, Germany. 1991; 48-70.
- Williams, C.C., Froetschel, M.A., Ely, L.O., Amos, H.E.: Effects of inoculation and wilting on the preservation and utilization of wheat forage. J. Dairy Sci., 1995; 78:1755-1765.
- Saarisalo, E., Jaakkola, S., Skyttä, E.: Effect of lactic acid bacteria inoculant on fermentation quality of wilted silage and on milk production. In: Gechie, L.M., Thomas, C., Eds. The XIIIth International Silage Conference. 11-13 September, Auchincruive, Scotland, UK. 2002; 214-216.
- Filya, I.: Laktik asit bakterii inokulantlarının mısır ve sorgum silajlarının fermantasyon, aerobik stabilite ve in situ rumen parçalanabilirlik özellikleri üzerine etkileri. Turk J. Vet. Anim. Sci., 2002; 26: 815-823.
- Filya, I.: The effect of *Lactobacillus buchneri* and *Lactobacillus plantarum* on the fermentation, aerobic stability, and ruminal degradability of low dry matter corn and sorghum silages. J. Dairy Sci., 2003; 86: 3575-3581.
- Rust, S.R., Kim, H.S., Enders, G.L.: Effects of a microbial inoculant on fermentation characteristics and nutritional value of corn silage. J. Prod. Agric., 1989; 2: 235-241.
- Kennedy, S.J.: Evaluation of the three bacterial inoculants and formic acid as additives for first harvest grass. Grass Forage Sci., 1990; 45: 153-165.
- Filya, I.: The effect of *Lactobacillus buchneri*, with or without homofermentative lactic acid bacteria, on the fermentation, aerobic stability and ruminal degradability of wheat, sorghum and maize silages. J. Appl. Microbiol., 2003; 95: 1080-1086.
- Weinberg, Z.G., Ashbell, G., Hen, Y., Azrieli, A.: The effect of applying lactic acid bacteria at ensiling on the aerobic stability of silages. J. Appl. Bacteriol., 1993; 75: 512-518.
- Weinberg, Z.G., Ashbell, G., Azrieli, A., Brukental, I.: Ensiling peas, ryegrass and wheat with additives of lactic acid bacteria (LAB) and cell wall degrading enzymes. Grass Forage Sci., 1993; 48: 70-78.
- Ohyama, Y., Morichi, T., Masahi, S.: The effect of inoculation with *Lactobacillus plantarum* and the addition of glucose at ensiling on the quality of aerated silages. J. Sci. Food Agric., 1975; 26: 1001-1008.

15. Pahlow, G.: Verbesserung der aeroben stabilitat von silagen durc impfpreparate. Wirtsschaftseig. Futte., 1982; 28: 107-122.
16. Moon, N.J.: Fermentation of wheat, corn and alfalfa silages inoculated with *L. acidophilus* and *Candida* sp. at ensiling. J. Dairy Sci., 1983; 64: 807 (Abstr.).
17. Ashbell, G., Weinberg, Z.G., Azrieli, A., Hen, Y., Horev, B.: A simple system to study the aerobic deterioration of silages. Can. Agric. Eng., 1991; 33: 391-393.
18. Akyıldız, A.R.: Yemler Bilgisi Laboratuvar Kılavuzu. A.Ü. Zir. Fak., Ankara, Ankara Üniversitesi Basımevi, Uygulama Kılavuzu, 236 s., 1984.
19. Dubois, M., Giles, K.A., Hamilton, J.K., Rebes, P.A., Smith, F.: Colorimetric method for determination of sugars and related substances. Anal. Chem., 1956; 28: 350-356.
20. Weinberg, Z.G., Ashbell, G., Hen, Y., Azrieli, A., Szakacs, G., Filya, I.: Ensiling whole-crop wheat and corn in large scale containers with *Lactobacillus plantarum* and *Lactobacillus buchneri*. J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol., 2002; 28: 7-11.
21. Mehrez, A.Z., Ørskov, E.R.: A study of the artificial fibre bag technique for determining the digestibility of feeds in the rumen. J. Agric. Sci., 1977; 88: 645-650.
22. SAS.: Statistical Analysis System®. User's Guide: Statistics, Version 6 Edition. 1988. SAS Inst. Inc. Cary, NC.
23. Filya, I., Sucu, E.: Effects of enzyme-lactic acid bacteria mixture silage inoculants on the fermentation, aerobic stability, cell-wall content, and in situ rumen degradability of wheat, sorghum, and maize silages in Turkey. In: Gechie, L.M., Thomas, C., Eds. The XIIIth International Silage Conference. 11-13 September, Auchincruive, Scotland, UK. 2002; 202-204.
24. Filya, I., Sucu, E., Karabulut, A.: The effect of *Propionibacterium acidipropionici*, with or without *Lactobacillus plantarum*, on the fermentation and aerobic stability of wheat, sorghum, and maize silages. J. Appl. Microbiol., 2004; 97: 818-826.
25. Meeske, R., Ashbell, G., Weinberg, Z.G., Kipnis, T.: Ensiling forage sorghum at two stages of maturity with the addition of lactic acid bacterial inoculants. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol., 1993; 43: 165-175.
26. Filya, İ., Karabulut, A., Kalkan, H., Sucu, E.: Bakteriyal inokulantların sorgum silajlarının fermantasyon, aerobik stabilite ve rumen parçalanabilirlik özellikleri üzerine etkileri. Ankara Üniv. Zir. Fak. Tarım Bilim. Derg., 2001; 7: 112-119.