

1-1-2007

Induced Mappings on Boolean Algebras of Clopen Sets and on Projections of the C^* -Algebra $C(X)$

AHMED AL-RAWASHDEH

WASFI SHATANAWI

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

AL-RAWASHDEH, AHMED and SHATANAWI, WASFI (2007) "Induced Mappings on Boolean Algebras of Clopen Sets and on Projections of the C^* -Algebra $C(X)$," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 31: No. 4, Article 11. Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol31/iss4/11>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.

Induced Mappings on Boolean Algebras of Clopen Sets and on Projections of the C^* -Algebra $C(X)$

Ahmed Al-Rawashdeh and Wasfi Shatanawi

Abstract

For a compact space X , any group automorphism φ of $C(X, \mathbb{S}^1)$ induces a mapping Θ on the Boolean algebra of the clopen subsets of X . We prove that the disjointness of Θ equivalent to θ_φ is an orthoisomorphism on the sets of projections of the C^* -algebra $C(X)$, when $\varphi(-1) = -1$. Indeed, Θ is a Boolean isomorphism iff θ_φ preserves the product of projections. If X is equipped with a probability measure μ , on a certain σ -algebra of X , we show (under some condition) that Θ preserves the disjoint of clopen subsets, up to sets of measure zero, or equivalently, the mapping θ_φ is μ -orthoisomorphism on the projections of the C^* -algebra $C(X)$.

Key Words: Unitary, Projections, Almost Isomorphisms, Boolean Algebra, Clopen Subset.

1. Introduction

For a unital C^* -algebra \mathfrak{A} , $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{A})$, $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{A})$ denotes the sets of projections, unitaries of \mathfrak{A} respectively. If X is a compact Hausdorff space, then $C(X)$ denotes the C^* -algebra of continuous complex-valued functions on X , and $C(X, \mathbb{S}^1)$ is the set of all continuous functions on X with values in the unit circle \mathbb{S}^1 . The set of all closed and open subsets of X (the clopen subsets) is denoted by $CO(X)$. For any set B , by B^c we mean the complement of B .

Let A and B be two unital C^* -algebras. A projection orthoisomorphism mapping is defined by H. Dye in [5], as a one-to-one correspondense θ between the projections of A

and B , which preserves the orthogonality, i.e. if p and q are projections of A such that $pq = 0$, then $\theta(p)\theta(q) = 0$.

If φ is a group isomorphism between the unitary groups of A and B , then φ maps the self-adjoint unitaries of A onto the self-adjoint unitaries of B , and therefore H. Dye in [5] defines a natural mapping θ_φ between the sets of projections of A and B via

$$1 - 2\theta_\varphi(p) = \varphi(1 - 2p).$$

Then a natural question arises here; for which C^* -algebra have we that the induced map θ_φ is an orthoisomorphism [1, Introd. Q.3]? This problem was already solved in the case of von Neumann factors by H. Dye, when he proved the following main lemma.

Lemma 1.1 [5, Lemma 13] *Let M and N be two factors. If φ is an isomorphism between $\mathcal{U}(M)$, $\mathcal{U}(N)$ and M is not of type I_{2n} ($n \geq 1$), then θ_φ is an orthoisomorphism.*

Another positive answer is given in [1, Chapt. 5], where the author shows that for a large class of simple, unital C^* -algebras, the map θ_φ is always an orthoisomorphism. This class includes in particular, the Cuntz algebras \mathcal{O}_n , $2 \leq n \leq \infty$, and the simple unital AF-algebras having 2-divisible K_0 -group.

In this paper, we study the case of the non-simple, commutative C^* -algebra $C(X)$ of continuous complex-valued functions on a compact subset X of \mathbb{R} .

Remark 1.2 *Recall that if A is a simple, unital C^* -algebra, and φ is a group automorphism of the unitary group of A , then by the simplicity of A , we must have $\varphi(-1) = -1$, as also same holds in the case of factors discussed (see [5]). As we are working on the non-simple C^* -algebra $C(X)$, we shall only consider automorphisms φ of $C(X, \mathbb{S}^1)$, which satisfy $\varphi(-1) = -1$.*

Let φ be an automorphism of the unitary group $\mathcal{U}(C(X)) = C(X, \mathbb{S}^1)$ of $C(X)$. Suppose $\varphi(-1) = -1$, as in Remark (1.2). This automorphism φ induces a bijective map θ_φ on the sets of projections $\mathcal{P}(C(X))$ of the C^* -algebra $C(X)$. If $p \in \mathcal{P}(C(X))$, then $p = \chi_o$, where o is a clopen subset of X (see [4, IX.3]). Therefore, we define a map Θ^φ (induced by θ_φ) on the Boolean algebras (for more details about Boolean algebras, see [6]) of the clopen subsets $CO(X)$ of X . This gives the link between the C^* -algebra $C(X)$ and the Boolean algebra $CO(X)$, which is studied in the first part of this paper. We prove

that θ_φ is an orthoisomorphism if and only if the mapping Θ preserves the disjointness of clopen subsets of X . Moreover, that Θ is a Boolean isomorphism is equivalent to θ_φ preserving the product of projection $\mathcal{P}(C(X))$.

If X is a connected space (i.e. $C(X)$ has only the trivial projections 0 and 1), then Θ preserves the disjoint of the clopen subsets. Therefore, we consider the case where X is not a connected space. Recall that, if X is the Cantor ternary set, then $C(X)$ is an AF-algebra; more generally, if X is a second countable space, then $C(X)$ is an AF-algebra iff X is a totally disconnected space [7, 5.B]. Moreover, let us recall Stone's representation theorem:

Theorem 1.3 [8] *If B is a Boolean algebra, then there exists a totally disconnected compact Hausdorff space X such that B is isomorphic to the Boolean algebra of clopen subsets of X .*

In the second part of this paper (Section 3), we consider X to be a compact subset of \mathbb{R} , a σ -algebra \mathcal{A} of subsets of X , which contains the Borel subsets of X , and μ to be any probability measure on \mathcal{A} . We define the μ -orthoisomorphism map (or almost orthoisomorphism) on $\mathcal{P}(C(X))$; we find a condition on φ , in order that θ_φ becomes μ -orthoisomorphism, by imitating the technique used in proving the following theorem proved by the author.

Theorem 1.4 [1, Theorem (5.1.0.2)] *Let A, B be simple, unital C^* -algebras, and φ be an isomorphism from $\mathcal{U}(A)$ to $\mathcal{U}(B)$. If there exist faithful, normalized traces τ_A and τ_B on A and B , respectively, such that*

$$\tau_A(u) = \tau_B(\varphi(u)), \text{ for every self-adjoint } u \in \mathcal{U}(A),$$

then θ_φ is a projection orthoisomorphism.

So finally, we prove (under some conditions on φ) that if o_1 and o_2 are disjoint clopen subsets of X , then $\Theta(o_1)\Theta(o_2) = \chi_o$, such that $\mu(o) = 0$, i.e. Θ preserves disjoint of clopen subsets of X , up to sets of measure zero.

2. On The Boolean Algebra $CO(X)$

By direct computation, one can easily deduce the following: If o, o_1 and o_2 are clopen subsets of X , then $\chi_{o_1 \cap o_2} = \chi_{o_1} \chi_{o_2}$, $\chi_{o^c} = 1 - \chi_o$, $\chi_{o_1 \cup o_2} = \chi_{o_1} + \chi_{o_2} - \chi_{o_1 \cap o_2}$; and hence if o_1 and o_2 are disjoint clopen sets, then $\chi_{o_1 \cup o_2} = \chi_{o_1} + \chi_{o_2}$.

Let φ be a group automorphism of $\mathcal{U}(C(X))$. Therefore φ induces a natural mapping θ_φ on the set of projections $\mathcal{P}(C(X))$ via

$$1 - 2\theta_\varphi(p) = \varphi(1 - 2p).$$

Then θ_φ induces a mapping Θ on the Boolean algebra of the clopen subsets $CO(X)$ of X , which is defined as follows.

Definition 2.1 *If φ is a group automorphism of $\mathcal{U}(C(X))$, then the following commutative diagram defines the map Θ^φ (or simply, Θ) on the Boolean algebra $CO(X)$:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{P}(C(X)) & \xrightarrow{\theta_\varphi} & \mathcal{P}(C(X)) \\ \uparrow & & \downarrow \\ CO(X) & \xrightarrow{\Theta} & CO(X) \end{array}$$

i.e. $o \in CO(X) \iff \chi_o \in \mathcal{P}(C(X))$, and $\chi_{\Theta(o)} = \theta_\varphi(\chi_o)$.

Now let us establish some basic properties of the induced map Θ^φ on the Boolean algebras $CO(X)$, which are similar to those results established for θ_φ in [3]. As the induced map θ_φ is a bijective map from its definition, consequently, we have the following result.

Proposition 2.2 *The induced Θ is a bijective map on the Boolean algebra $CO(X)$.*

Proof Is obvious.

In the following proposition, we establish a functorial property of the map $\varphi \mapsto \Theta$.

Proposition 2.3 *(i) If φ and ψ are two automorphisms of $C(X, \mathbb{S}^1)$, then $\Theta^{\psi\varphi} = \Theta^\psi\Theta^\varphi$.
(ii) If ι is the identity map of $C(X, \mathbb{S}^1)$, then Θ^ι is the identity map on $CO(X)$.
(iii) If φ is an automorphisms of $C(X, \mathbb{S}^1)$, then $(\Theta^\varphi)^{-1} = \Theta^{\varphi^{-1}}$.*

Proof (i) Let φ and ψ be two automorphisms of $C(X, \mathbb{S}^1)$. It is enough to prove $\chi_{\Theta^{\psi\varphi}} = \chi_{\Theta^{\psi}\Theta^{\varphi}}$. If $o \in CO(X)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{\Theta^{\psi\varphi}(o)} &= \theta_{\psi\varphi}(\chi_o) \\ &= \theta_{\psi}(\theta_{\varphi}(\chi_o)) \quad \text{by [3, Prop. (3.1.0.4)(i)]} \\ &= \theta_{\psi}(\chi_{\Theta^{\varphi}(o)}) \\ &= \chi_{\Theta^{\psi}\Theta^{\varphi}(o)}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) If $o \in CO(X)$, then $\chi_{\Theta^i(o)} = \theta_i(\chi_o) = \chi_o$ as θ_i is the identity map on the sets of projections by [3, Prop. (3.1.0.4); (ii)], therefore $\Theta^i(o) = o$.

(iii) If $o \in CO(X)$, then also by [3, Prop. (3.1.0.4); (iii)] we have

$$\chi_{(\Theta^{\varphi})^{-1}(o)} = (\theta_{\varphi})^{-1}(\chi_o) = \theta_{\varphi^{-1}}(\chi_o) = \chi_{\Theta^{\varphi^{-1}}(o)}.$$

Hence the proposition has been checked. \square

Consequently, we have proved the following corollary.

Corollary 2.4 *The group of all automorphisms φ of $C(X, \mathbb{S}^1)$ induces a group of mappings θ^{φ} of the Boolean algebra $CO(X)$.*

Remark 2.5 *For the rest of this paper, if φ is an automorphism of the unitary group $C(X, \mathbb{S}^1)$ of $C(X)$, then as mentioned in Remark (1.2), φ is assumed to satisfy $\varphi(-1) = -1$. Therefore, from [2] or [3, Lemma (3.1.0.3)(6)] we have that $\theta_{\varphi}(1) = 1$ and $\theta_{\varphi}(1-p) = 1 - \theta_{\varphi}(p)$, for any projection p of $C(X)$. In the case of factors which is discussed in [5], $\varphi(-1) = -1$ is already satisfied, as well as in the case of simple C^* -algebras.*

Now we discuss the question whether the map Θ is a Boolean isomorphism, or under what conditions it becomes so? Also we give a characterization of Θ being a Boolean isomorphism in term of the induced map θ_{φ} on the projections of $C(X)$. Also a characterization of Θ preserving the disjointness of the clopen sets.

As the induced map θ_{φ} on the set of projections preserves the partition of the unity (i.e. if $pq = 0$ and $p + q = 1$, then $\theta_{\varphi}(p)\theta_{\varphi}(q) = 0$), then consequently, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.6 *Let φ be as in Remark (2.5). If o_1 and o_2 are two clopen subsets of X which form a partition of X , then $\Theta(o_1) \cap \Theta(o_2) = \emptyset$.*

Proof Let $p = \chi_{o_1}$ and $q = \chi_{o_2}$. Then p and q are projections of $C(X)$ such that $p + q = 1$ and $pq = 0$. Therefore,

$$\theta_\varphi(p)\theta_\varphi(q) = \theta_\varphi(p)\theta_\varphi(1 - p) = \theta_\varphi(p)(1 - \theta_\varphi(p)) = 0.$$

Then we have

$$\chi_{\Theta(o_1) \cap \Theta(o_2)} = \chi_{\Theta(o_1)}\chi_{\Theta(o_2)} = 0,$$

and hence $\Theta(o_1) \cap \Theta(o_2) = \emptyset$, which ends the proof. \square

Proposition 2.7 *Let φ be as in Remark (2.5). If o is a clopen subset of X , then $\Theta(o^c) = (\Theta(o))^c$.*

Proof Let $o \in CO(X)$. It's enough to prove $\chi_{\Theta(o^c)} = \chi_{(\Theta(o))^c}$. This is equivalent to prove $\theta_\varphi(\chi_{o^c}) = 1 - \chi_{\Theta(o)}$. But

$$\theta_\varphi(\chi_{o^c}) = \theta_\varphi(1 - \chi_o) = 1 - \theta_\varphi(\chi_o).$$

Hence the proposition has been checked. \square

Now in the following lemma, we characterize the concept of orthoisomorphism on the sets of projections of the C^* -algebra $C(X)$. The characterization is valid for any automorphism φ of $C(X, \mathbb{S}^1)$, without any restrictions as in Remark (2.5).

Lemma 2.8 *Let φ be any automorphism of $C(X, \mathbb{S}^1)$. Then θ_φ is an orthoisomorphism on the projections of $C(X)$ iff Θ preserves the disjoint of the clopen sets in the Boolean algebra $CO(X)$.*

Proof Suppose that θ_φ is an orthoisomorphism. Let o_1 and o_2 be two disjoint clopen subsets of X . Then

$$\begin{aligned} o_1 \cap o_2 = \emptyset &\Leftrightarrow \chi_{o_1}\chi_{o_2} = 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \theta_\varphi(\chi_{o_1})\theta_\varphi(\chi_{o_2}) = 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \chi_{\Theta(o_1)}\chi_{\Theta(o_2)} = 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \chi_{\Theta(o_1) \cap \Theta(o_2)} = 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \Theta(o_1) \cap \Theta(o_2) = \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

For the converse, suppose that Θ preserves the disjoint of clopen subsets of X . Let $p, q \in \mathcal{P}(C(X))$, such that $pq = 0$. Then $p = \chi_{o_1}$ and $q = \chi_{o_2}$, for some $o_1, o_2 \in CO(X)$. As $\chi_{o_1 \cap o_2} = 0$, then $o_1 \cap o_2 = \emptyset$; therefore, by assumption, we have $\Theta(o_1) \cap \Theta(o_2) = \emptyset$, and then

$$0 = \chi_{\Theta(o_1) \cap \Theta(o_2)} = \chi_{\Theta(o_1)} \chi_{\Theta(o_2)};$$

thus we have $\theta_\varphi(p)\theta_\varphi(q) = 0$. □

Now recall that if p and q are commuting projections of a unital C^* -algebra A , then the symmetric difference projection of p and q , which is denoted by $p\Delta q$ (the same notation for symmetric difference of two sets), is defined by $p\Delta q = p + q - 2pq$. It is easy to prove that if o_1 and o_2 are clopen subsets of X , then $\chi_{o_1 \Delta o_2} = \chi_{o_1} \Delta \chi_{o_2}$. As the induced map θ_φ between the sets of projections preserves the symmetric difference ([5, Lemma 9], [3, Lemma (3.1.0.3)]), then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.9 *Let φ be as in Remark (2.5). If o_1 and o_2 are two clopen subsets of X , then $\Theta(o_1 \Delta o_2) = \Theta(o_1) \Delta \Theta(o_2)$, i.e. Θ preserves the symmetric difference of the clopen subsets.*

Proof It is enough to prove $\chi_{\Theta(o_1 \Delta o_2)} = \chi_{\Theta(o_1) \Delta \Theta(o_2)}$. As

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{\Theta(o_1 \Delta o_2)} &= \theta_\varphi(\chi_{o_1 \Delta o_2}) \\ &= \theta_\varphi(\chi_{o_1} \Delta \chi_{o_2}) \\ &= \theta_\varphi(\chi_{o_1}) \Delta \theta_\varphi(\chi_{o_2}) \\ &= \chi_{\Theta(o_1)} \Delta \chi_{\Theta(o_2)}, \end{aligned}$$

the lemma is checked. □

As a consequence result of Lemma (2.9) and Lemma (2.8) , we can easily prove.

Corollary 2.10 *Let φ be as in Remark (2.5). If the map θ_φ is an orthoisomorphism between the sets of projections of $C(X)$, then the map Θ preserves the disjoint union of the clopen subsets of X .*

Proof Let o_1 and o_2 be two disjoint clopen subsets of X . As $o_1 \cup o_2 = o_1 \Delta o_2$, then by applying Lemma (2.9) together with Lemma (2.8), we prove $\Theta(o_1 \cup o_2) = \Theta(o_1) \cup \Theta(o_2)$. \square

Now let's prove the following result, which shows that, if θ_φ is an orthoisomorphism between the sets of projections, then saying that the map Θ preserves the union of clopen subsets of X is equivalent to saying that the map Θ preserves the intersection of the clopen sets.

Lemma 2.11 *Let φ be as in Remark (2.5) such that the induced map θ_φ is an orthoisomorphism. Let o_1 and o_2 be two clopen subsets of X . Then*

$$\Theta(o_1 \cap o_2) = \Theta(o_1) \cap \Theta(o_2) \quad \text{iff} \quad \Theta(o_1 \cup o_2) = \Theta(o_1) \cup \Theta(o_2).$$

Proof As $o_1 \cup o_2 = (o_1 \Delta o_2) \cup (o_1 \cap o_2)$ where the union in the right hand side is a disjoint union, then by Corollary (2.10)

$$\Theta(o_1 \cup o_2) = \Theta(o_1 \Delta o_2) \cup \Theta(o_1 \cap o_2). \quad (1)$$

If Θ preserves the union of the clopen sets, then by Lemma (2.9), we have $\Theta(o_1) \cup \Theta(o_2) = (\Theta(o_1) \Delta \Theta(o_2)) \cup \Theta(o_1 \cap o_2)$ therefore,

$$\Theta(o_1 \cap o_2) = (\Theta(o_1) \cup \Theta(o_2)) \setminus (\Theta(o_1) \Delta \Theta(o_2)) = \Theta(o_1) \cap \Theta(o_2).$$

On the other hand, if Θ preserves the intersection of clopen sets, then again by (1) and Lemma (2.9), we have

$$\Theta(o_1 \cup o_2) = (\Theta(o_1) \Delta \Theta(o_2)) \cup (\Theta(o_1) \cap \Theta(o_2)) = \Theta(o_1) \cup \Theta(o_2).$$

Hence the lemma is checked. \square

Now let's give a characterization of Θ being a Boolean isomorphism on $CO(X)$ in terms of the induced map θ_φ on the set of projections $\mathcal{P}(C(X))$.

Theorem 2.12 *Let φ be as in Remark (2.5). Then the map Θ on the Boolean algebra $CO(X)$ is a Boolean isomorphism iff the induced map θ_φ on the projections of the C^* -algebra $C(X)$ preserves the product of projections, and hence an orthoisomorphism.*

Proof Suppose that Θ is a Boolean isomorphism on $CO(X)$. If $p, q \in \mathcal{P}(C(X))$, then $p = \chi_{o_1}$ and $q = \chi_{o_2}$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_\varphi(pq) &= \theta_\varphi(\chi_{o_1}\chi_{o_2}) \\ &= \theta_\varphi(\chi_{o_1 \cap o_2}) \\ &= \chi_{\Theta(o_1 \cap o_2)} \\ &= \chi_{\Theta(o_1) \cap \Theta(o_2)} \\ &= \chi_{\Theta(o_1)}\chi_{\Theta(o_2)} \\ &= \theta_\varphi(p)\theta_\varphi(q). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that θ_φ preserves the product of projections in $\mathcal{P}(C(X))$, hence it is an orthoisomorphism. By Lemma (2.11) and Proposition (2.7), it is enough to prove that Θ preserves the intersection of the clopen sets, and this holds as

$$\chi_{\Theta(o_1 \cap o_2)} = \theta_\varphi(\chi_{o_1}\chi_{o_2}) = \theta_\varphi(\chi_{o_1})\theta_\varphi(\chi_{o_2}) = \chi_{\Theta(o_1)}\chi_{\Theta(o_2)}.$$

Hence the proof of the theorem is completed. \square

It is clear that if X and Y are homeomorphic topological spaces, then their Boolean algebras of clopen subsets $CO(X)$ and $CO(Y)$ are Boolean isomorphic. Also the C^* -algebras $C(X)$ and $C(Y)$ are $*$ -isomorphic.

3. Almost Orthoisomorphism On Projections Of $C(X)$

Let X be a compact space, with a σ -algebra \mathcal{A} of subsets of X containing the Borel subsets of X , and μ be a probability measure on \mathcal{A} . Also let φ be an automorphism of $C(X, \mathbb{S}^1)$, as in Remark (2.5) i.e. $\varphi(-1) = -1$. In this section, we concentrate on some compact spaces X , such that under some conditions, we have that the induced map θ_φ is an orthoisomorphism (in some sense) on the sets of projections $\mathcal{P}(C(X))$; and as discussed in the previous part, we find a condition on φ in order that the map Θ preserves the disjointness of clopen subsets, up to sets of measure zero, i.e. if o_1 and o_2 are disjoint clopen subsets of X , then $\Theta(o_1)\Theta(o_2)$ equals to a clopen subset of measure zero.

Recall that a state (see [7, 6.3]) on a C^* -algebra A is a linear map $s : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which is positive i.e. $s(a^*a) \geq 0$ and $\|s\| = 1$. Moreover, by [7, Example 6.5], if μ is a probability

measure on X , then the map $s_\mu : C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $f \mapsto \int_X f d\mu$ gives a state on $C(X)$ and hence define a normalized trace.

Let φ be as in Remark (2.5). We discuss whether the induced map θ_φ on $\mathcal{P}(C(X))$ is an orthoisomorphism. We are going to define a normalized faithful (in some sense) trace on $C(X)$, where φ is invariant under τ , in order to use [1, Theorem 5.1.0.2].

Definition 3.1 *Let τ be a trace on $C(X)$. Then τ is called μ -almost faithful (μ -faithful), if $f \geq 0$ and $\tau(f) = 0$ implies $f = 0$, μ -almost everywhere.*

Definition 3.2 *A mapping α on $\mathcal{P}(C(X))$ is called a μ -orthoisomorphism if for all $p, q \in \mathcal{P}(C(X))$, $pq = 0$ implies $\alpha(p)\alpha(q) = 0$ μ -almost everywhere.*

Let us prove the following.

Proposition 3.3 *The mapping $\tau_\mu : C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which is defined by $f \mapsto \int_X f d\mu$ is a normalized μ -faithful trace on $C(X)$.*

Proof It is clear that τ_μ is a normalized trace on $C(X)$. To prove that τ_μ is μ -faithful, let $f \in C(X)$, and suppose that $\tau_\mu(f^*f) = 0$. Therefore

$$\int_X |f|^2 d\mu = 0,$$

and then $|f|^2 = 0$ almost everywhere on X , hence the proposition has been proved. \square

Recall that if u is a self-adjoint unitary of $C(X)$, then $u \in C(X, \{1, -1\})$, then let's define the following.

Definition 3.4 *Any self-adjoint unitary u of $C(X)$, associates the partition $\{X_u^+, X_u^-\}$ of X induced by u , where*

$$X_u^+ := \{x \in X \mid u(x) = 1\},$$

$$X_u^- := \{x \in X \mid u(x) = -1\}.$$

Notice that if u is any self-adjoint unitary of $C(X)$, then both X_u^+ and X_u^- are measurable subsets of X .

Remark 3.5 *The only purpose from assuming the σ -algebra \mathcal{A} containing the Borel sets of X , is to ensure that X_u^+ and X_u^- are measurable subsets of X . So \mathcal{A} can be replaced by any σ -algebra which satisfies this condition.*

In the proof of our result, we assume that φ satisfies the following condition: For any self-adjoint unitary u of $C(X)$

$$\mu(X_u^+) + \mu(X_{\varphi(u)}^-) = \mu(X_{\varphi(u)}^+) + \mu(X_u^-) \quad (2)$$

It is clear that the identity automorphism of $\mathcal{U}(C(X))$, and the automorphism $u \mapsto \bar{u}$ both satisfy condition (2).

Now let's prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.6 *Let φ be as in Remark (2.5). If φ satisfies condition (2), then $\tau_\mu(\varphi(u)) = \tau_\mu(u)$, for all self-adjoint unitaries of $C(X)$.*

Proof If u is a self-adjoint unitary, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_\mu(\varphi(u)) &= \int_X \varphi(u) d\mu \\ &= \int_X (\varphi(u))^+ d\mu - \int_X (\varphi(u))^- d\mu \\ &= \mu(X_{\varphi(u)}^+) - \mu(X_{\varphi(u)}^-) \\ &= \mu(X_u^+) - \mu(X_u^-), \quad \text{by condition (2)} \\ &= \int_X u d\mu \\ &= \tau_\mu(u). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the lemma is checked. □

Now we are in the position to prove the following result.

Theorem 3.7 *Let X be a compact subset of \mathbb{R} , with a σ -algebra \mathcal{A} , containing the Borel subsets of X (or as in Remark (3.5)), and μ be a probability measure on \mathcal{A} . Let φ be an automorphism of $C(X, \mathbb{S}^1)$, such that $\varphi(-1) = -1$. If φ satisfies condition (2), then the induced map θ_φ is μ -ortho-isomorphism on $\mathcal{P}(C(X))$.*

Proof Let $p, q \in \mathcal{P}(C(X))$ such that $pq = 0$, and u, v be the self-adjoint unitaries $1 - 2p, 1 - 2q$ (respectively). If $x = \theta_\varphi(p)\theta_\varphi(q)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} xx^* &= \frac{1}{4}[(1 - \varphi(u))(1 - \varphi(v))] \\ &= \frac{1}{4}[1 - \varphi(u) - \varphi(v) + \varphi(uv)]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Lemma (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_\mu(xx^*) &= \frac{1}{4}[1 - \tau_\mu(u) - \tau_\mu(v) + \tau_\mu(uv)] \\ &= \tau_\mu(pq) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then by Proposition (3.3), we have that $x = \theta_\varphi(p)\theta_\varphi(q) = 0$, μ -almost everywhere; hence the proof is completed. \square

Consequently, we have the following result of the map Θ on the Boolean algebra $CO(X)$.

Corollary 3.8 *Let (X, μ) and φ be as in the previous theorem. If o_1 and o_2 are disjoint clopen subsets of X , then $\Theta(o_1)\Theta(o_2) = o$, such that $\mu(o) = 0$, i.e. Θ preserves the disjoint of the clopen subsets of X , up to sets of measure zero.*

Proof Direct from the previous theorem and Lemma (2.8). \square

References

- [1] Al-Rawashdeh, A.: The Unitary Group As An Invariant of a Simple Unital C^* -Algebra, Ph.D Thesis, University of Ottawa, Canada (2003).
- [2] Al-Rawashdeh, A., Giordano, T., Booth, A.: Unitary Groups As a Complete Invariant, to appear.
- [3] Booth, A.: The Unitary Groups As a Complete Invariant for Simple Unital AF Algebras, Master Thesis, University of Ottawa (1998).
- [4] Davidson, K.R.: C^* -Algebras by Example, Fields Institute Monographs, 6, Amer. Math. Soc., Providences, RI (1996).

- [5] Dye, H.: On the Geometry of Projections in Certain Operator Algebras, Ann. of Math., Second Series, 61, 73-89 (1955).
- [6] Halmos, P.R.: Lectures On Boolean Algrbras, Springer (1974).
- [7] de la Harpe, P., Jones, V.F.R.: An Introduction to C^* -Algebras, Université de Genève (1995).
- [8] Stone, M.: The Theory of Representations For Boolean Algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 4, 37-111 (1936).

Ahmed S. AL-RAWASHDEH
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Jordan University of Science and Technology
Irbid 22110 JORDAN
e-mail: rahmed72@just.edu.jo

Wasfi SHATANAWI
Department of Mathematics
The Hashemite university
Zarqa, JORDAN
e-mail: swasfi@hu.edu.jo

Received 22.08.2006