

1-1-2007

On Graded Secondary Modules

SHAHABADDIN EBRAHIMI ATANI

F. FARZALIPOUR

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

ATANI, SHAHABADDIN EBRAHIMI and FARZALIPOUR, F. (2007) "On Graded Secondary Modules," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 31: No. 4, Article 4. Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol31/iss4/4>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.

On Graded Secondary Modules

S. Ebrahimi Atani and F. Farzalipour

Abstract

Let G be a group with identity e , and let R be a G -graded commutative ring. Here we study the graded primary submodules of a G -graded R -module and examine when graded submodules of a graded representable module are graded representable. A number of results concerning of these class of submodules are given.

Key Words: Graded secondary modules, Graded primary submodules.

1. Introduction

Secondary modules have been studied extensively by many authors (see [3], [6] and [2], for example). Here we study graded representable modules and the graded primary submodules of a graded module over a G -graded commutative ring. Various properties of such modules are considered. For example, we show that every graded primary submodule of a graded representable module over a G -graded ring is graded representable.

Before we state some results let us introduce some notation and terminology. Let G be an arbitrary group with identity e . A commutative ring R with non-zero identity is G -graded if it has a direct sum decomposition (as an additive group) $R = \bigoplus_{g \in G} R_g$ such that $1 \in R_e$; and for all $g, h \in G$, $R_g R_h \subseteq R_{gh}$. If R is G -graded, then an R -module M is said to be G -graded if it has a direct sum decomposition $M = \bigoplus_{g \in G} M_g$ such that for all $g, h \in G$, $R_g M_h \subseteq M_{gh}$. An element of some R_g or M_g is said to be homogeneous element. A submodule of $N \subseteq M$, where M is G -graded, is called G -graded if $N = \bigoplus_{g \in G} (N \cap M_g)$ or if, equivalently, N is generated by homogeneous elements. Moreover, M/N becomes

2000 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 13A02, 16W50

a G -graded module with g -component $(M/N)_g = (M_g + N)/N$ for $g \in G$. Clearly, 0 is a graded submodule of M . Also, we write $h(R) = \cup_{g \in G} R_g$ and $h(M) = \cup_{g \in G} M_g$. A graded ideal I of R is said to be a graded prime ideal if $I \neq R$; and whenever $ab \in I$, we have $a \in I$ or $b \in I$, where $a, b \in h(R)$. The graded radical of I , denoted by $\text{Gr}(I)$, is the set of all $x \in R$ such that for each $g \in G$ there exists $n_g > 0$ with $x_g^{n_g} \in I$. A graded ideal I of R is said to be a graded primary ideal if $I \neq R$; and whenever $a, b \in h(R)$ with $ab \in I$, then $a \in I$ or $b \in \text{Gr}(I)$. In this case, $\text{Gr}(I) = P$ is a graded prime ideal of R , and we say that I is a graded P -primary ideal of R (see [5, Lemma 1.8]).

Let S be a commutative ring and let M an R -module. Given an element a of S , we say that a divides M if $aM = M$, and we say that a is nilpotent on M if $a^n M = 0$ for some n . We say that M is secondary if it is non-zero and every $a \in S$ either divides M or is nilpotent on M ; in this case the ideal $\text{nirad}(M) = P$ is prime and we also say that M is P -secondary (see [3]).

2. Graded primary submodules

First, we give some basic facts concerning graded primary submodules of a graded module. Next, we study graded submodules of a graded representable module.

Definition 2.1 *Let R be a G -graded ring, M a graded R -module and N a graded R -submodule of M .*

(i) *We say that M is a graded free R -module if it has an R -basis consisting of homogeneous elements.*

(ii) *N is a graded prime submodule of M if $N \neq M$; and whenever $a \in h(R)$ and $m \in h(M)$ with $am \in N$, then either $m \in N$ or $a \in (N :_R M)$.*

(iii) *N is a graded primary submodule of M if $N \neq M$; and whenever $a \in h(R)$ and $m \in h(M)$ with $am \in N$, then either $m \in N$ or $a^k \in (N :_R M)$ for some k .*

(iv) *N is a graded maximal submodule of M if $N \neq M$ and there is no graded submodule K of M such that $N \subsetneq K \subsetneq M$.*

(v) *We say that M is a graded simple module if it has only two graded submodules 0 and M .*

The following lemma is known, but we write it here for the sake of reference.

Lemma 2.2 *Let R be a G -graded ring, M a graded R -module and N a graded R -submodule of M . Then the following hold:*

(i) *N is a graded maximal submodule of M if and only if M/N is a graded simple R -module.*

(ii) *If $r \in h(R)$, $x \in h(M)$ and I is a graded ideal of R , then $(N :_R M)$ is a graded ideal of R , Rx , IN and rN are graded submodules of M .*

The graded radical (resp. radical) of a graded submodule (resp. submodule) N of a graded module (resp. module) M , denoted by $\text{Gr}(N)$, (resp. $\text{rad}(N)$) is defined to be intersection of all graded prime (resp. prime) submodules of M containing N . Clearly, if N and K are graded submodules of M with $K \subseteq N$, then $\text{Gr}(K) \subseteq \text{Gr}(N)$. Let M be a graded module over a graded ring R . We say that an element $r \in h(R)$ is a graded zero-divisor on M if there exists $0 \neq m \in M$ such that $rm = 0$.

Lemma 2.3 *Let M be a graded simple module over a G -graded ring R . Then every graded zero-divisor on M is an annihilator of M .*

Proof. Let r be an arbitrary graded zero-divisor on M . Then there exists $0 \neq a \in h(M)$ such that $ra = 0$. Since M is a simple graded R -module, we get $Ra = M$. Hence, $rM = r(Ra) = (Rr)a = R(ra) = 0$. Thus, r is an annihilator of M . \square

Proposition 2.4 *Let M be a graded module over a G -graded ring R . Then every graded maximal submodule of M is a graded prime.*

Proof. Let N be an arbitrary graded maximal submodule of M . Let $rm \in N$ where $r \in h(R)$ and $m \in h(M) - N$. Since $0 \neq (m + N) \in h(M/N)$ and $r(m + N) = 0$, we get r is a graded zero-divisor on graded module M/N ; hence by Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, $r \in (N :_R M)$, as required. \square

Proposition 2.5 *Let R be a G -graded ring, M a graded R -module and N a graded R -submodule of M . Then the following hold:*

(i) *If N is a graded primary submodule of M , then $(N :_R M)$ is a graded primary ideal of R .*

(ii) *If N is a graded prime submodule of M , then $(N :_R M)$ is a graded prime ideal of R .*

Proof. (i) Clearly, $(N :_R M) \neq R$. Let $ab \in (N :_R M)$ with $b \notin (N :_R M)$ where $a, b \in h(R)$, so there exists $m \in h(M) - N$ such that $bm \notin N$. As $abm \in N$, N graded primary gives $a^k M \subseteq N$ for some k , as needed.

(ii) The proof is similar to that of (i). □

Proposition 2.6 *Let R be a G -graded ring, M a graded free R -module and I an ideal of R . Then the following hold:*

(i) *If I is a graded primary ideal of R , then IM is a graded primary submodule of M .*

(ii) *If I is a graded prime ideal of R , then IM is a graded prime submodule of M .*

Proof. (i) As M is a cancellation module and $I \neq R$, we get $IM \neq M$. Assume that M is the graded free R -module with a homogeneous basis $\{x_g : g \in G\}$ and let $rm \in IM$ with $m \notin IM$ where $r \in h(R)$ and $m \in h(M)$. We can write $m = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i x_{g_i}$ with $r_i \in R$. Since $m \notin IM$, there exists an integer j such that $r_j \notin I$. There are elements $b_1, \dots, b_n \in I$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n (rr_i)x_{g_i} = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i x_{g_i}$, so $rr_i = b_i$ for every $i = 1, \dots, n$; hence $rr_j \in I$. Since $r_j = \sum_{i=1}^s r_{g_i} x_{g_i} \notin I$ with $r_{g_i} \neq 0$, we obtain that $r_{g_t} \notin I$ for some t . It follows that $rr_{g_t} \in I$ since I is graded ideal, so $r^m \in I$ for some m ; hence $r^m M \subseteq IM$, as required.

(ii) The proof is similar to that of (i). □

One approach to the graded case is simply to redefine all of the terminology to involve only homogeneous elements and graded submodules. In this vein, a non-zero graded module M is graded secondary if every homogeneous element of R either divides M or is nilpotent on M , in which case $\text{Gr}(\text{ann}M) = P$ is a graded prime ideal of R , and M is said to be graded P -secondary (see [6, Proposition 2.2]). A graded module M is said to be graded secondary representable if it can be written as a sum $M = M_1 + \dots + M_k$ with each M_i graded secondary, and if such a representation exists (and is irredundant) then the graded attached primes of M are $\text{Att}(M) = \{\text{Gr}(\text{ann}M), \dots, \text{Gr}(\text{ann}M)\}$. Note that a graded secondary module, in general, is not secondary. For example, as discussed in Sharp ([6, p. 215]), if $R = k[x]$ is a polynomial ring in one variable with the natural Z -graded ring and $M = k[x, 1/x]$, then M is graded secondary but is not secondary. So the graded secondary and secondary modules are different concepts.

A graded submodule N of M is said to be graded pure submodule if $aN = N \cap aM$ for every $a \in h(R)$. We have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.7 *Let R be a G -graded ring, M a graded R -module and N a non-zero graded pure R -submodule of M . Then M is a graded P -secondary if and only if both N and M/N are graded P -secondary.*

Proof. Assume that M is P -secondary and let $a \in h(R)$. If $a \in P$, then $a^s N \subseteq a^s M = 0$ and $a^s(M/N) = 0$ for some s , so a is nilpotent on N and M/N . If $a \notin P$, then $aN = N \cap aM = N$ and $a(M/N) = M/N$, so a divides N and M/N ; hence N and M/N are P -secondary. Conversely, assume that N and M/N are P -secondary and let $b \in h(R)$. If $b \in P$, then $b^t M \subseteq N$ and $0 = b^t N = N \cap b^t M = b^t M$ for some t , so b is nilpotent on M . If $b \notin P$, then $N = bN = N \cap bM$ and $b(M/N) = M/N$, so $bM = M$, as required. \square

Theorem 2.8 *Let R be a G -graded ring, M a graded secondary R -module and N a non-zero graded P -prime R -submodule of M . Then N is graded P -secondary.*

Proof. Assume that M is a graded Q -secondary R -module and let $r \in h(R)$. If $r \in Q$, then $r^s N \subseteq r^s M = 0$ for some s , so r is nilpotent on N . Suppose that $r \notin Q$; we show that r divides N . So assume that $a \in N$. Then there exists $b = \sum_{i=1}^t b_{g_i} \in M$ (with $b_{g_i} \neq 0$) such that $a = rb$. As N is graded, $rb_{g_i} \in N$ for every $i = 1, \dots, t$, so for each i , N graded prime gives $b_{g_i} \in N$; hence $b \in N$. It follows that r divides N , so N is a graded Q -secondary R -module.

Now we need to show that $P = Q$. Since the inclusion $P \subseteq Q$ is trivial, we will prove the reverse inclusion. Suppose that $c = \sum_{i=1}^n c_{h_i} \in Q$ with $c_{h_i} \neq 0$. Then there are integers m_i such that $c_{h_i}^{m_i} M = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ since Q is graded and M is graded Q -secondary. As $M \neq N$, there is an element $x = x_{g_1} + \dots + x_{g_u} \in M$ (with $x_{g_i} \neq 0$) such that $x_{g_w} \notin N$ for some w . Therefore, for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, $c_{h_i}^{m_i} x_{g_w} = 0 \in N$, so N graded prime gives $c_{h_i} \in P$; hence $c \in P$, as required. \square

Lemma 2.9 *Let R be a G -graded ring, M a graded R -module and N a graded P -secondary R -submodule of M . Then the following hold:*

- (i) *If K is a graded primary submodule of M , then $N \cap K$ is graded P -secondary.*
- (ii) *If K is a graded prime submodule of M , then $N \cap K$ is graded P -secondary.*

Proof. (i) Assume that $a \in h(R)$ and let $a \in P$. Then $a^m(N \cap K) \subseteq a^m N = 0$ for some m , so a is nilpotent on $N \cap K$. Suppose that $a \notin P$; we show that a divides $N \cap K$. It suffices to show that $N \cap K \subseteq a(N \cap K)$. If $b \in N \cap K$, then $b = am$ for

some $m = \sum_{i=1}^s m_{g_i} \in N$ with $m_{g_i} \neq 0$. Then for each $i = 1, \dots, s$, $am_{g_i} \in K$ since K is a graded submodule of M . It follows that $m_{g_i} \in K$ for every i (otherwise, if $m_{g_j} \notin K$ for some j and $a^s \in (K :_R M)$ for some s , then $m_{g_j} \in N = a^s N \subseteq a^s M \subseteq K$ which is a contradiction), so $m \in K$; hence $b \in a(N \cap K)$ and the proof is complete.

(ii) This follows from (i). □

Theorem 2.10 (i) *Every graded primary submodule of a graded representable module over a G -graded ring is graded representable.*

(ii) *Every graded prime submodule of a graded representable module over a G -graded ring is graded representable.*

Proof. (i) Assume that $M = \sum_{i=1}^k S_i$ is a minimal graded secondary representation of M with $\text{Att}(M) = \{P_1, \dots, P_k\}$ and let N be a graded P -primary submodule of M . There exists a submodule S_i , say S_1 , such that $S_1 \not\subseteq N$ since $N \neq M$. First we show that $P = P_1$. Let $a = a_{g_1} + \dots + a_{g_t} \in P_1$ with $a_{g_i} \neq 0$. There are integers n_1, \dots, n_t and a homogeneous element $y_h \in S_1 - N$ such that $a_{g_i}^{n_i} y_h = 0$ for every i , so N graded primary gives $a_{g_i} \in P$ for every i ; hence $a \in P$. Therefore, $P_1 \subseteq P$. For the other containment, suppose that there exists a homogeneous element $c_h \in P$ with $c_h \notin P_1$. Then $S_1 = c_h^s S_1 \subseteq c_h^s M \subseteq N$ for some s which is a contradiction. Thus, $P = P_1$. Likewise, if $S_j \not\subseteq N$ for $j \neq 1$, then $P = P_1 = P_j$ which is a contradiction. We will show that $S_i \subseteq N$ for $i = 2, \dots, k$. As $P \neq P_i$ we divide the proof into two cases:

Case 1 $P \not\subseteq P_i$.

There exists a homogeneous element $p_h \in P$ with $p_h \notin P_i$. Then $S_i = p_h^t S_i \subseteq p_h^t M \subseteq N$ for some t .

Case 2 $P_i \not\subseteq P$.

There is a homogeneous element $a_g \in P_i$ with $a_g \notin P$. Let $b = \sum_{i=1}^m b_{h_i} \in S_i$ with $b_{g_i} \neq 0$. Then there is an integer n such that $a_g^n b_{h_i} = 0 \in N$, so N graded primary gives $b_{h_i} \in N$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$; hence $b \in N$. Thus, $S_i \subseteq N$. It follows that $N = N \cap M = N \cap S_1 + \sum_{i=2}^k S_i$. Now the assertion follows from Lemma 2.9. □

Corollary 2.11 *Let R be a G -graded ring, M a graded representable R -module and N a graded primary (resp. graded prime) R -submodule of M . Then $\text{Att}(N) \subseteq \text{Att}(M)$.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2.10. □

Let R be a G -graded ring. The graded dimension of R is defined as the supremum of all numbers n for which there exists a chain of graded prime ideals $P_0 \subseteq P_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq P_n$ in R and it is denoted by $\text{Gdim}R$. We say that R is a G -graded integral domain whenever $a, b \in h(R)$ with $ab = 0$ implies that either $a = 0$ or $b = 0$.

Lemma 2.12 *Let P be a graded prime ideal of a G -graded ring R , M a graded R -module and $\{N_i\}_{i \in I}$ a family of graded prime R -submodules of M such that $(N_i :_R M) = P$ for every $i \in I$. Then $\bigcap_{i \in I} N_i$ is a graded prime submodule of M .*

Proof. The proof is straightforward. □

Theorem 2.13 *Let R be a G -graded integral domain with $\text{Gdim}R = 1$, M a graded representable R -module and N a graded primary R -submodule of M . Then $\text{Gr}(N)$ is graded representable.*

Proof. Consider the graded ideal $(K :_R M)$ for any graded prime submodule K containing N . These ideals are graded prime by Proposition 2.5 and $N \subseteq K$ implies $(N :_R M) \subseteq (K :_R M)$; hence by [5, Proposition 1.2], $\text{Gr}(N :_R M) \subseteq \text{Gr}(K :_R M)$ for all such K . For any one of these prime submodules K , we generate the chain of graded prime ideals $0 \subset \text{Gr}(N :_R M) \subseteq (K :_R M)$ since by [5, Lemma 1.8], $\text{Gr}(N :_R M)$ is a graded prime ideal of R . As $\text{Gdim}R = 1$, we must have $\text{Gr}(N :_R M) = (K :_R M)$ for every graded prime submodule K containing N . By Lemma 2.12, $\text{Gr}(N) = \bigcap_{N \subseteq K} K$ is a graded prime submodule of M . Now the assertion follows from Theorem 2.10. □

Lemma 2.14 *Let R be a G -graded ring, M a graded R -module and N a graded representable R -submodule of M . Then if K is a graded primary (resp. graded prime) submodule of M , then $N \cap K$ is graded representable.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.10, it suffices to show that $N \cap K$ is a graded primary submodule of N . Let $an \in N \cap K$ with $n \notin N \cap K$ where $a \in h(R)$ and $n \in h(N)$, so K graded primary gives $a^s M \subseteq K$ for some s ; hence $a^s(N \cap K) \subseteq N$, as required. □

Theorem 2.15 *Let R be a G -graded ring, M a graded R -module and N a graded R -submodule of M such that N possess a graded primary decomposition. If K is a graded representable submodule of M , then $N \cap K$ can be expressed as an intersection of finitely many graded representable submodules.*

Proof. Let $N = \bigcap_{i=1}^n N_i$, where N_i is graded primary, be a normal decomposition. Then $N \cap K = (N_1 \cap K) \cap \dots \cap (K \cap N_n)$. Now the assertion follows from Lemma 2.14. \square

Acknowledgement

We would also like to thank the referee for several helpful suggestions.

References

- [1] Ebrahimi Atani, S.: On graded weakly prime ideals, Turkish Journal of Mathematics, 30, 351-358, (2006).
- [2] Ebrahimi Atani, S.: On secondary modules over pullback rings, Comm. Algebra, 30(6), 2675-2685, (2002).
- [3] Macdonald, I. G.: Secondary representation of modules over commutative rings, Sympos. Math. XI, 23-43, (1973).
- [4] Nastasescu, C. and Van Oystaeyen, F.: Graded Rings Theory, Mathematical Library 28, North Holland, Amsterdam, (1982).
- [5] Refai, M. and Al-Zoubi, K.: On Graded Primary Ideals, Turkish Journal of Mathematics, 28, 217-229, (2004).
- [6] Sharp, R. Y.: Asymptotic behavior of certain sets of attached prime ideals, J. London Math. Soc., 34, 212-218, (1986).

S. Ebrahimi ATANI and F. FARZALIPOUR
Department of Mathematics,
University of Guilan
P.O. Box 1914 Rasht-IRAN
e-mail: ebrahim@guilan.ac.ir

Received 05.05.2006