

1-1-2008

A Note on a Problem of J. Galambos

LU-MING SHEN

YUE-HUA LIU

YU-YUAN ZHOU

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

SHEN, LU-MING; LIU, YUE-HUA; and ZHOU, YU-YUAN (2008) "A Note on a Problem of J. Galambos," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 32: No. 1, Article 9. Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol32/iss1/9>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.

A Note on a Problem of J. Galambos

Lu-ming SHEN, Yue-hua LIU and Yu-yuan ZHOU

Abstract

For any $x \in (0, 1]$, let

$$x = \frac{1}{d_1} + \frac{a_1}{b_1} \frac{1}{d_2} + \cdots + \frac{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n}{b_1 b_2 \cdots b_n} \frac{1}{d_{n+1}} + \cdots$$

be the Oppenheim series expansion of x . In this paper, we investigate the Hausdorff dimension of the set $B_m = \{x : 1 < d_j/h_{j-1}(d_{j-1}) \leq m, j \geq 1\}$ which J. Galambos posed as an open question in 1976(see[6]). In [11], it has been considered with the condition $h_j(d) \rightarrow \infty$ as $d \rightarrow \infty$. In this note, we give a bound estimation of more general case without the former assumption.

Key Words: Oppenheim series expansion; Restricted Oppenheim series expansion; Lüroth series; Hausdorff dimension.

1. Introduction

Let a_n and b_n , $n \geq 1$, be two sequences of positive integer-valued functions defined on \mathbf{N} . The algorithm $0 < x \leq 1$, $x = x_1$, and, for any $n \geq 1$, with positive integers $d_n(x)$,

$$\frac{1}{d_n(x)} < x_n \leq \frac{1}{d_n(x) - 1}, \quad x_n = \frac{1}{d_n(x)} + \frac{a_n(d_n(x))}{b_n(d_n(x))} \cdot x_{n+1} \quad (1)$$

leads to the series expansion

$$x = \frac{1}{d_1(x)} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_1(d_1(x)) \cdots a_n(d_n(x))}{b_1(d_1(x)) \cdots b_n(d_n(x))} \frac{1}{d_{n+1}(x)}, \quad (2)$$

2000 *AMS Mathematics Subject Classification:* Primary 11K55; Secondly 28A78, 28A80.

which is called the Oppenheim series expansion of x . Set

$$h_n(j) = \frac{a_n(j)}{b_n(j)}j(j-1), \quad j \geq 2. \quad (3)$$

If $h_n(j)$ is integer-valued ($n \geq 1, j \geq 2$), the formula (2) is termed the restricted Oppenheim series expansion of x . Here and in what follows, we always assume h_n is integer-valued, for all $n \geq 1$.

The algorithm (1) implies

$$d_1(x) \geq 2, \quad d_{n+1}(x) \geq h_n(d_n(x)) + 1 \text{ for any } n \geq 1. \quad (4)$$

On the other hand, any $\{d_n, n \geq 1\}$ of integer sequence satisfying (4) is an Oppenheim admissible sequence, that is, there exists a unique $x \in (0, 1]$ such that $d_n(x) = d_n$ for any $n \geq 1$. The representation (2) under (1) is unique.

The representation (2) under (1) was first studied by A. Oppenheim [8] who established the arithmetical properties, including the question of rationality of the expansion. The foundations of the metric theory were laid down by J. Galambos [3], [4], [5], [7], see also the monographs of J. Galambos [6], F. Schweiger [9] and W. Vervaat [10]. The exceptional sets are considered by J. Wu [12]. From [6], Chapter 6, it can be seen that the integer approximation $T_n(x)$ to the ratios $d_n(x)/h_{n-1}(d_{n-1})$ defined by

$$T_n(x) < \frac{d_n(x)}{h_{n-1}(d_{n-1}(x))} \leq T_n(x) + 1, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (5)$$

where $h_0(x) = 1$, play an important role in the metric theory of the Oppenheim expansions. $\{T_n(x), n \geq 1\}$ are stochastically independent and they are distributed as the denominators in the Lüroth expansion. J. Galambos (see [6] Page 132), posed the question to calculate the Hausdorff dimension of the set

$$B_m = \{x \in (0, 1) : 1 \leq T_n(x) \leq m, n \geq 1\}$$

and compare this to Lüroth case. In [11], they solved this problem under the assumption $h_j(d) \geq d - 1$, for all $j \geq 1$. In this paper, we consider the general case.

We use $|\cdot|$ to denote the diameter of a subset of $(0, 1]$, \dim_H to denote the Hausdorff dimension and 'cl' the closure of a subset of $(0, 1]$, respectively. Hausdorff dimension of B_m In this section, we give the main result of this paper.

We start with a result which proved in [11].

Lemma 1.1 *Suppose $h_n(j) \geq j - 1$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $j \geq 2$, then for any $m \geq 3$, the set*

$$C_m = \{x \in (0, 1] : 1 < \frac{d_j(x)}{h_{j-1}(d_{j-1}(x))} \leq m \text{ for any } j \geq 2\}$$

is of Hausdorff dimension 1.

In fact, [11] shows, under the assumption that $h_j(d) \rightarrow \infty$ as $d \rightarrow \infty$, B_m is of hausdorff dimension 1, as a continuity of [11]. Here, we consider the case that h_j is bounded.

Now we state our main result.

Let

$$B_m = \{x \in (0, 1) : 1 < \frac{d_n(x)}{h_{n-1}(d_{n-1}(x))} \leq m, n \geq 1\}, \quad \text{for } m \geq 2 \quad (6)$$

then, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2 *Assume that $l \leq h_j \leq L < +\infty$ holds ultimately, then*

$$\inf_{l \leq a \leq L} S(a) \leq \dim_H B_m \leq \sup_{l \leq a \leq L} S(a), \quad (7)$$

where $S(a)$, for any integer $a \geq 1$, is defined as

$$S(a) : \sum_{a < b \leq ma} \left(\frac{a}{b(b-1)}\right)^{S(a)} = 1. \quad (8)$$

Proof. Assume that $l \leq h_j \leq L$ for all $k \geq t_0$. We will make use of a kind of symbolic space defined as follows: for any $k \geq 1$, let

$$D_k = \{\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k) \in \mathbf{N}^k, 1 < \frac{\sigma_j}{h_{j-1}(\sigma_{j-1})} \leq m \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq k\},$$

and define

$$D^* = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} D_k \quad (D_0 := \emptyset \text{ as usual}).$$

For any $k \geq 1$ and $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k) \in D_k$, let I_σ and J_σ denote the following closed subintervals of $(0, 1]$, respectively,

$$I_\sigma = \text{cl}\{x \in (0, 1], d_1(x) = \sigma_1, \dots, d_k(x) = \sigma_k\}, \quad J_\sigma = \bigcup_{h_k(d_k) < d \leq mh_k(d_k)} I_{\sigma*d}.$$

For each $\sigma \in D_k$, J_σ is called an k -th order interval. It is obvious that

$$B_m = \bigcap_{k=1}^{+\infty} \bigcup_{\sigma \in D_k} J_\sigma. \quad (9)$$

From the proof of Theorem 6.1 in [6], we have, for any $k \geq 1$, for any $\sigma \in D_k$, I_σ is an interval with the endpoints

$$\begin{aligned} A_\sigma &= \frac{a_1(\sigma_1)}{b_1(\sigma_1)} \cdot \frac{a_2(\sigma_2)}{b_2(\sigma_2)} \cdots \frac{a_{k-1}(\sigma_{k-1})}{b_{k-1}(\sigma_{k-1})} \frac{1}{\sigma_k}, \\ B_\sigma &= \frac{a_1(\sigma_1)}{b_1(\sigma_1)} \cdot \frac{a_2(\sigma_2)}{b_2(\sigma_2)} \cdots \frac{a_{k-1}(\sigma_{k-1})}{b_{k-1}(\sigma_{k-1})} \frac{1}{\sigma_k - 1}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

As a result

$$|I_\sigma| = \frac{a_1(\sigma_1)}{b_1(\sigma_1)} \cdot \frac{a_2(\sigma_2)}{b_2(\sigma_2)} \cdots \frac{a_{k-1}(\sigma_{k-1})}{b_{k-1}(\sigma_{k-1})} \frac{1}{\sigma_k(\sigma_k - 1)}, \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |J_\sigma| &= \sum_{h_k(\sigma_k) < d \leq mh_k(\sigma_k)} \frac{a_1(\sigma_1)}{b_1(\sigma_1)} \cdot \frac{a_2(\sigma_2)}{b_2(\sigma_2)} \cdots \frac{a_k(\sigma_k)}{b_k(\sigma_k)} \frac{1}{d(d-1)} \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{m}\right) \frac{a_1(\sigma_1)}{b_1(\sigma_1)} \cdot \frac{a_2(\sigma_2)}{b_2(\sigma_2)} \cdots \frac{a_{k-1}(\sigma_{k-1})}{b_{k-1}(\sigma_{k-1})} \frac{1}{\sigma_k(\sigma_k - 1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

As for the upper bound of $\dim B_m$, notice that for any $k \geq 1$, $\bigcup_{\sigma \in D_k} I_\sigma$ is a natural covering system of B_m . Thus, for any $s > \sup_{l \leq a \leq L} S(a)$, by the definition of $S(a)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H^s(B_m) &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{\sigma \in D_{n+1}} |I_\sigma|^s \\ &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{\sigma \in D_{n+1}} \left(\frac{a_1(\sigma_1)}{b_1(\sigma_1)} \cdot \frac{a_2(\sigma_2)}{b_2(\sigma_2)} \cdots \frac{a_k(\sigma_k)}{b_k(\sigma_k)} \frac{1}{\sigma_{k+1}(\sigma_{k+1} - 1)} \right)^s \\ &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{\sigma \in D_n} |I_\sigma|^s \cdot \sum_{h_k(\sigma_k) < \sigma_{k+1} \leq mh_k(\sigma_k)} \left(\frac{h_k(\sigma_k)}{\sigma_{k+1}(\sigma_{k+1} - 1)} \right)^s \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{\sigma \in D_n} |I_\sigma|^s \leq \cdots \leq \sum_{\sigma \in D_{t_0}} |I_\sigma|^s < +\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

This indicates $\dim_H B_m \leq \sup_{l \leq a \leq L} S(a)$.

Now we investigate the lower bound.

Since $H^s(E) = H_\varphi^s(E)$ in R^1 , where $H_\varphi^s(E)$ denotes in the evaluation of Hausdorff measure of E , any cover of E is restricted to a collection of open intervals. By (9) B_m is a closed set, then by Heine-Borel Theorem, any open covering system U , consisting of an enumerable number of open intervals, can be replaced by a finite number of open intervals; further, these intervals may be closed by the addition of their endpoints, and finally these intervals may be altered to have their endpoints in B_m , without at any stage destroying the property that U is a covering system of B_m and increasing $\sum_{U_i \in U} |U_i|^s$.

Let G be an interval in U , of positive length. G is contained in $I_0 = [0, 1]$ and is not contained in an I_σ , $\sigma \in D_k$, for k sufficient large. Therefore there exists a largest value of k , say n , for which G belongs to some I_σ , $\sigma \in D_n$. We see then that there exists numbers $n; \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n; k, j$ with $k \neq j$, such that G is contained in $I_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_n}$, and

$$GI_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_n k} \neq \emptyset, GI_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_n j} \neq \emptyset.$$

And for the endpoints of G are in B_m , then

$$GJ_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_n k} \neq \emptyset, GJ_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_n j} \neq \emptyset.$$

Therefore $|G|$ is greater than or equal to the gap between $J_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_n k}$ and $J_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_n j}$, thus, by (10), we know, assume $k < l$,

$$\begin{aligned} |G| &\geq \frac{1}{m} \frac{a_1(\sigma_1)}{b_1(\sigma_1)} \dots \frac{a_n(\sigma_n)}{b_n(\sigma_n)} \frac{1}{k(k-1)} \geq \frac{1}{m^3} \frac{a_1(\sigma_1)}{b_1(\sigma_1)} \dots \frac{a_n(\sigma_n)}{b_n(\sigma_n)} \frac{1}{h_n^2(\sigma_n)} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{m^3 L} |I_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_n}|. \end{aligned}$$

Let Ω be the finite set of intervals I_σ corresponding in the above way to intervals G of U . Of course, $\bigcup_{I \in \Omega} I$ is an covering system of B_m .

Let $K_1 = \max\{k : \sigma \in D_k, I_\sigma \in \Omega\}$, $K_2 = \min\{k : \sigma \in D_k, I_\sigma \in \Omega\}$ and define, $W_{K_1} = \{I_\sigma \in \Omega : \sigma \in D_{K_1}\}$.

By the definition of K_1 , we know, if $I_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{(K_1-1)*j}} \in W_{K_1}$, then, for all $h_{K_1-1}(\sigma_{K_1-1}) < j \leq mh_{K_1-1}(\sigma_{K_1-1})$, $I_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{K_1-1}*j} \in W_{K_1}$.

For any $s \leq \inf_{l \leq a \leq L} S(a)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{h_{K_1-1}(\sigma_{K_1-1}) < j \leq mh_{K_1-1}(\sigma_{K_1-1})} |I_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{K_1-1}*j}|^s \\ &= |I_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{K_1-1}}|^s \sum_{h_{K_1-1}(\sigma_{K_1-1}) < j \leq mh_{K_1-1}(\sigma_{K_1-1})} \left(\frac{h_{K_1-1}(\sigma_{K_1-1})}{j(j-1)} \right)^s \\ &\geq |I_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{K_1-1}}|^s. \end{aligned}$$

The argument above shows that we can decrease the basic interval covering to a new one with lower degree (here, the degree of I_σ is defined as the length of σ as a word), and without increasing the sum. As a result, we can replace the covering Ω by a new covering Ω^* in which all basic interval are of the same order satisfying

$$\sum_{I_\sigma \in \Omega} |I_\sigma|^s \geq \sum_{\sigma \in D_{k_2}} |I_\sigma|^s.$$

At same time, since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\sigma \in D_{k+1}} |I_\sigma|^s &= \sum_{\sigma \in D_k} \sum_{h_k(\sigma_k) < j \leq mh_k(\sigma_k)} |I_{\sigma*j}|^s \\ &\geq \sum_{\sigma \in D_k} |I_\sigma|^s \geq \dots \geq \sum_{\sigma \in D_{t_0}} |I_\sigma|^s. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\sum_{G \in \mathcal{U}} |G|^s \geq \frac{1}{m^3 L} \sum_{\sigma \in \Omega} |I_\sigma|^s \geq \frac{1}{m^3 L} \sum_{\sigma \in D_{k_2}} |I_\sigma|^s \geq \frac{1}{m^3 L} \sum_{\sigma \in D_{t_0}} |I_\sigma|^s. \quad (14)$$

Since s is arbitrary, we have $\dim_H B_m \geq \inf_{l \leq a \leq L} S(a)$. This finishes the proof. \square

Applying Theorem 2.2 to the Lüroth series that $h_j = 1$, we have

Corollary 1.3 *For the Lüroth series expansion, we have*

$$\dim B_m = S(1).$$

Lüroth series expansion also stands as a special case to indicate that as a general assertion, this theorem can not be improved.

References

- [1] Falconer, K. J.: *Fractal Geometry, Mathematical Foundations and Application*, Wiley, 1990.
- [2] Falconer, K. J.: *Techniques in Fractal Geometry*, Wiley, 1997.
- [3] Galambos, J.: *The ergodic properties of the denominators in the Oppenheim expansion of real numbers into infinite series of rationals*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Sec. Series 21, 177-191 (1970).
- [4] Galambos, J.: *Further ergodic results on the Oppenheim series*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Sec. Series 25, 135-141 (1974).
- [5] Galambos, J.: *On the speed of the convergence of the Oppenheim series*, Acta Arith. 19, 335-342(1971).
- [6] Galambos, J.: *Reprentations of Real Numbers by Infinite Series*, Lecture Notes in Math. 502, Springer, 1976.
- [7] Galambos, J.: *Further metric results on series expansions*, Publ. Math. Debrecen 52, no. 3-4, 377-384(1998).
- [8] Oppenheim, A.: *The representation of real numbers by infinite series of rationals*, Acta Arith., 21, 391-398 (1972).
- [9] Schweiger, F.: *Ergodic Theory of Fibred Systems and Metric Number Theory*, Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1995.
- [10] Vervaat, W.: *Success Epochs in Bernoulli Trials*, Mathematical Center Tracts 42, Amsterdam, Mathematisch Centrum, 1972.
- [11] Wang, B. W., Wu, J.: *The Oppenheim series expansions and a problem of Galambos*, Publ. Math. Debrecen, to appear.
- [12] Wu, J.: *The Oppenheim series expansions and Hausdorff dimensions*, Acta Arith., 107(2003), 345-355.

Lu-ming SHEN, Yue-hua LIU and Yu-yuan ZHOU
Science college of Hunan
Agriculture University Changsha,
Hunan, 410086, P.R. CHINA

Received 17.11.2006