

1-1-2008

On Certain Type of Modular Sequence Spaces

MANJUL GUPTA

SHESADEV PRADHAN

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

GUPTA, MANJUL and PRADHAN, SHESADEV (2008) "On Certain Type of Modular Sequence Spaces," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 32: No. 3, Article 4. Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol32/iss3/4>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.

On Certain Type of Modular Sequence Spaces

Manjul Gupta and Shesadev Pradhan

Abstract

In this paper we consider a particular type of modular sequence spaces defined with the help of a given sequence $\alpha = \{\alpha_n\}$ of strictly positive real numbers α_n 's and an Orlicz function M . Indeed, if we define $M_n(x) = M(\alpha_n x)$ and $\tilde{M}_n(x) = M(\frac{x}{\alpha_n})$, $x \in [0, \infty)$, we consider the modular sequence spaces $\ell\{M_n\}$ and $\ell\{\tilde{M}_n\}$, denoted by ℓ_M^α and ℓ_α^M respectively. These are known to be BK -spaces and if M satisfies Δ_2 -condition, they are AK -spaces as well. However, if we consider the spaces ℓ_α^M and ℓ_N^α corresponding to two complementary Orlicz functions M and N satisfying Δ_2 -condition, they are perfect sequence spaces, each being the Köthe dual of the other. We show that these are subspaces of the normal sequence spaces μ and η which contain α and α^{-1} , respectively. We also consider the interrelationship of ℓ_α^M and ℓ_M^α for different choices of α .

Key Words: Orlicz functions, Modular sequence spaces, Köthe dual.

1. Introduction

An **Orlicz function** is a continuous, convex, non-decreasing function defined from $[0, \infty)$ to itself such that $M(0) = 0$, $M(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$ and $M(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Such function M always has the integral representation

$$M(x) = \int_0^x p(t)dt,$$

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 46A45, 46A80, 46B45.

where p , known as the **kernel** of M , is right continuous for $t > 0$, $p(0) = 0$, $p(t) > 0$ for $t > 0$, p is non- decreasing and $p(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Given an Orlicz function M with kernel p , define $q(s) = \sup\{t : p(t) \leq s\}$, $s \geq 0$. Then q possesses the same properties as p and the function N defined as $N(x) = \int_0^x q(t)dt$, is an Orlicz function. The functions M and N are called **mutually complementary Orlicz functions**.

An Orlicz function M is said to satisfy the Δ_2 -**condition** for small x or at ‘0’ if for each $k > 1$, there exist $R_k > 0$ and $x_k > 0$ such that

$$M(kx) \leq R_k M(x) , \quad \forall x \in (0, x_k].$$

Let ω be the family of all real or complex sequences, which is a vector space with the usual pointwise addition and scalar multiplication. We write e^n ($n \geq 1$) for the n -th unit vector in ω , i.e $e^n = \{\delta_{nj}\}_{j=1}^\infty$ where δ_{nj} is the Kronecker delta, and ϕ for the subspace of ω generated by the e^n 's , $n \geq 1$, i.e, $\phi = \text{sp}\{e^n : n \geq 1\}$. A **sequence space** λ is a subspace of ω containing ϕ . It is called **normal or solid** if $y = \{y_i\} \in \lambda$ whenever $|y_i| \leq |x_i|$, $i \geq 1$ for some sequence $x = \{x_i\} \in \lambda$. The α -**dual or cross dual** of λ is the space λ^α or λ^\times defined as

$$\lambda^\times \equiv \lambda^\alpha = \{y = \{y_i\} \in \omega : \sum |x_i y_i| \text{ converges for all } \{x_i\} \in \lambda\}.$$

The β -dual of λ is the space λ^β given by

$$\lambda^\beta = \{y = \{y_i\} \in \omega : \sum x_i y_i \text{ converges for all } \{x_i\} \in \lambda\}.$$

Clearly, $\lambda^\times \subset \lambda^\beta$. However, if λ is normal, $\lambda^\times = \lambda^\beta$; cf [3], p.52.

A sequence space λ is said to be **perfect** if $\lambda = \lambda^{\times \times} = (\lambda^\times)^\times$. Every perfect sequence space is normal. A Banach sequence space (λ, S) is called a **BK-space** if the topology S of λ is finer than the co-ordinatewise convergence topology, or equivalently, the projection maps $P_i : \lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$, $P_i(x) = x_i$, $i \geq 1$ are continuous, where \mathbb{K} is the scalar field \mathbb{R} (the set of all reals) or \mathbb{C} (the complex plane). For $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (the set of natural numbers), we write the n^{th} **section** of x as $x^{(n)} = (x_1, \dots, x_n, 0, 0, \dots)$. If $\{x^{(n)}\}$ tends to x in (λ, S) for each $x \in \lambda$, we say that (λ, S) is an **AK-space**. The norm $\|\cdot\|_\lambda$ generating the topology S of λ is said to be **monotone** if $\|x\|_\lambda \leq \|y\|_\lambda$ for $x = \{x_i\}$, $y = \{y_i\} \in \lambda$ with $|x_i| \leq |y_i|$, for all $i \geq 1$.

Corresponding to an Orlicz function M , the set

$$\tilde{\ell}_M = \{x \in \omega : \delta(x, M) \equiv \sum_{i \geq 1} M(|x_i|) < \infty\}$$

is known as an **Orlicz sequence class**. If M and N are mutually complementary Orlicz functions, the **Orlicz sequence space** is defined as

$$\ell_M = \{x \in \omega : \sum_{i \geq 1} x_i y_i \text{ converges for all } y \in \tilde{\ell}_N\}.$$

It is a Banach space with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_M$ given by

$$\|x\|_M = \sup\{\sum_{i \geq 1} x_i y_i : \delta(y, N) \leq 1\}.$$

An equivalent way of defining ℓ_M is

$$\ell_M = \{x \in \omega : \sum_{i \geq 1} M(\frac{|x_i|}{k}) < \infty \text{ for some } k > 0\}.$$

In this case, norm $\|\cdot\|_{(M)}$ is defined by

$$\|x\|_{(M)} = \inf\{k > 0 : \sum_{i \geq 1} M(\frac{|x_i|}{k}) \leq 1\}.$$

The norms $\|\cdot\|_M$ and $\|\cdot\|_{(M)}$ are equivalent; indeed,

$$\|x\|_{(M)} \leq \|x\|_M \leq 2\|x\|_{(M)} \text{ for } x \in \ell_M.$$

An important subspace of ℓ_M , which is an AK-space is the space h_M defined as

$$h_M = \{x \in \ell_M : \sum_{n \geq 1} M(\frac{|x_n|}{k}) < \infty, \text{ for each } k > 0\}.$$

The Δ_2 -condition of M is equivalent to the equality of the spaces ℓ_M and h_M . Also in this case $(h_M)^\times = \ell_N$; cf [3], p.311.

For a sequence $\{M_n\}$ of Orlicz functions, the modular sequence space $\ell\{M_n\}$ is defined as

$$\ell\{M_n\} = \{x \in \omega : \sum_{n \geq 1} M_n(\frac{|x_n|}{k}) < \infty \text{ for some } k > 0\}$$

The space $\ell\{M_n\}$ is a Banach space with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\{M_n\}}$ defined as

$$\|x\|_{\{M_n\}} = \inf\{k > 0 : \sum_{n \geq 1} M_n\left(\frac{|x_n|}{k}\right) \leq 1\}$$

These spaces were introduced by Woo [8] around the year 1973, and generalizes the Orlicz sequence spaces ℓ_M and the modular sequence spaces considered earlier by Nakano in [7].

An important subspace of $\ell\{M_n\}$, which is an *AK*-space, is the space $h\{M_n\}$ defined as

$$h\{M_n\} = \{x \in \ell\{M_n\} : \sum_{n \geq 1} M_n\left(\frac{|x_n|}{k}\right) < \infty, \text{ for each } k > 0\}.$$

A sequence $\{M_n\}$ of Orlicz functions is said to satisfy uniform Δ_2 -condition at ‘0’ if there exists $p > 1$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $x \in (0, 1)$ and $n > n_0$, we have $\frac{xM'_n(x)}{M_n(x)} \leq p$, or equivalently, there exists a constant $K > 1$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{M_n(2x)}{M_n(x)} \leq K$ for all $n > n_0$ and $x \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$. If the sequence $\{M_n\}$ satisfies uniform Δ_2 -condition, then $h\{M_n\} = \ell\{M_n\}$ and vice-versa.

For details of the general theory of sequence spaces and, in particular, of Orlicz and modular sequence spaces, we refer to [3], [6] and references given therein.

2. The Sequence Spaces ℓ_α^M and ℓ_N^α

Corresponding to two Orlicz functions M and N and a strictly positive sequence $\alpha = \{\alpha_n\}$ of real numbers, let us define

$$\ell_\alpha^M = \{x \in \omega : \{\frac{x_n}{\alpha_n}\} \in \ell_M\}$$

and

$$\ell_N^\alpha = \{x \in \omega : \{\alpha_n x_n\} \in \ell_N\}.$$

The spaces ℓ_α^M and ℓ_N^α are respectively the modular sequence spaces $\ell\{M_n\}$ and $\ell\{N_n\}$, where $M_n(x) = M(\frac{x}{\alpha_n})$ and $N_n(x) = N(\alpha_n x)$, for $x \in [0, \infty)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In the sequel, we use the notation $\|\cdot\|_\alpha^M$ for $\|\cdot\|_{\{M_n\}}$ and $\|\cdot\|_N^\alpha$ for $\|\cdot\|_{\{N_n\}}$. Though the modular sequence spaces $\ell\{M_n\}$ and $\ell\{N_n\}$ are known to be Banach spaces; but for the sake of

completeness, we give the direct proof of completeness for one of the spaces, namely ℓ_α^M , in this section. Our underlying assumption throughout for the Orlicz functions M and N are $M(1) = 1$ and $N(1) = 1$.

Let us begin with the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1 *The space ℓ_α^M equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_\alpha^M$ is a BK-space; and it is AK if M satisfies Δ_2 -condition at '0'.*

Proof. For $x \in \ell_\alpha^M$, let us recall

$$\|x\|_\alpha^M = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sum_{n \geq 1} M\left(\frac{|x_n|}{\rho \alpha_n}\right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

We now prove the completeness of the space $(\ell_\alpha^M, \|\cdot\|_\alpha^M)$. Let us consider a Cauchy sequence $\{x^n\}$ in ℓ_α^M . Then for $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\|x^n - x^m\|_\alpha^M < \varepsilon, \quad \text{for } n, m \geq n_0.$$

Hence there exists $\rho_\varepsilon > 0$ with $\rho_\varepsilon < \varepsilon$ such that

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} M\left(\frac{|x_k^n - x_k^m|}{\rho_\varepsilon \alpha_k}\right) \leq 1 \quad \text{for } n, m \geq n_0. \tag{1}$$

As $M(1) = 1$, we get

$$\frac{|x_k^n - x_k^m|}{\rho_\varepsilon \alpha_k} \leq 1 \quad \text{for } n, m \geq n_0,$$

and for each $k \geq 1$. Thus for each given $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the sequence $\{x_k^n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence of scalars and so $x_k^n \rightarrow x_k$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Write $x = \{x_k\}$. Then by continuity of M , we get from (1)

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} M\left(\frac{|x_k^n - x_k|}{\rho_\varepsilon \alpha_k}\right) \leq 1 \quad \text{for } n \geq n_0.$$

Hence $x \in \ell_\alpha^M$ and $\|x^n - x\|_\alpha^M < \varepsilon$ for $n \geq n_0$. Consequently, $(\ell_\alpha^M, \|\cdot\|_\alpha^M)$ is a Banach space.

$(\ell_\alpha^M, \|\cdot\|_\alpha^M)$ is a K -space since we have

$$|P_k(x)| = |x_k| \leq \alpha_k \|x\|_\alpha^M$$

for $x \in \ell_\alpha^M$ and for each given k in \mathbb{N} . Thus $(\ell_\alpha^M, \|\cdot\|_\alpha^M)$ is a *BK*-space.

Now if M satisfies Δ_2 -condition at ‘0’, then $\ell_M = h_M$ and so for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x \in \ell_\alpha^M$,

$$\|x - x^{(n)}\|_\alpha^M = \inf \{ \rho > 0 : \sum_{k \geq n} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho \alpha_k}\right) \leq 1 \} < \varepsilon$$

for sufficiently large n . Hence the space is AK. □

Note: If M satisfies Δ_2 -condition at ‘0’, then $\ell\{M_n\} = h\{M_n\}$, where $M_n(x) = M\left(\frac{x}{\alpha_n}\right)$, $x \in (0, \infty)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Also, $\ell\{M_n\} = h\{M_n\}$ if and only if $\{M_n\}$ satisfies uniform Δ_2 -condition at ‘0’. Thus if M satisfies Δ_2 -condition at ‘0’ then $\{M_n\}$ satisfies uniform Δ_2 -condition at ‘0’. However, it is natural to ask: if the sequence $\{M_n\}$ of Orlicz functions defined with the help of an Orlicz function M and a strictly positive sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ of scalars, as above, satisfies uniform Δ_2 -condition at ‘0’, does M satisfy Δ_2 -condition at ‘0’? If it holds, the result proved for modular sequence spaces $\ell\{M_n\}$ with the assumption of $\{M_n\}$ satisfying uniform Δ_2 -condition at ‘0’ would be equivalent to proving them with the assumption of M satisfying Δ_2 -condition at ‘0’.

Next, we prove the following proposition.

Proposition 2.2 *If μ is a normal sequence space containing α , then ℓ_α^M is a proper subspace of μ . In addition, if μ is equipped with the monotone norm (quasi-norm) $\|\cdot\|_\mu$, the inclusion map $I : \ell_\alpha^M \rightarrow \mu$ is continuous with $\|I\| \leq \|\{\alpha_n\}\|_\mu$.*

Proof. Let $x \in \ell_\alpha^M$. Then $\sum_{n \geq 1} M\left(\frac{|x_n|}{\rho \alpha_n}\right) < \infty$ for some $\rho > 0 \Rightarrow \frac{|x_n|}{\rho \alpha_n} \leq K$ for some constant $K > 0$ and each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $\{x_n\} \in \mu$. As $\{\alpha_n\} \notin \ell_\alpha^M$, it is a proper subspace of μ . Further,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 1} M\left(\frac{|x_n|}{\|x\|_\alpha^M \alpha_n}\right) &\leq 1 \\ \Rightarrow |x_n| &\leq \alpha_n \|x\|_\alpha^M, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \end{aligned}$$

As $\|\cdot\|_\mu$ is monotone, $\|Ix\|_\mu = \|\{x_n\}\|_\mu \leq \|\{\alpha_n\}\|_\mu \|x\|_\alpha^M$ and so $\|I\| \leq \|\{\alpha_n\}\|_\mu$. □

Remark: Note that one can take μ to be any of the spaces ℓ^∞ , δ , ℓ^p , c_0 etc. If we take α in δ , ℓ_α^M are the Banach spaces of the entire sequences, as was revealed in a study

carried out earlier in [2] for finding the duals of the spaces $E_M(\gamma)$ which are subspaces of the space of entire functions.

Concerning the spaces ℓ_N^α , we have this next theorem.

Theorem 2.3 *The space $(\ell_N^\alpha, \|\cdot\|_N^\alpha)$ is a BK-space. If N satisfies Δ_2 -condition at ‘0’, it is also AK.*

Proof. Omitted, as it is analogous to the proof of Theorem 2.1. □

Proposition 2.4 *If η is a normal sequence space containing $\{\frac{1}{\alpha_n}\} \equiv \alpha^{-1}$, then ℓ_N^α is a proper subspace of η . If the norm (quasi-norm) $\|\cdot\|_\eta$ of η is monotone, then the inclusion map $J : \ell_N^\alpha \rightarrow \eta$ is continuous with $\|J\| \leq \|\{\alpha^{-1}\}\|_\eta$.*

Proof. In this case, we have

$$|y_n| \leq \alpha_n^{-1} \|y\|_N^\alpha$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for $y \in \ell_N^\alpha$. The result now follows as in the case of Proposition 2.2. □

Remark: Note that if $\alpha_n = n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\{\alpha_n^{-1}\} \in c_0$; and in this case $\ell_N^\alpha \subset c_0$. On the other hand, if $\{\alpha_n\}$ is such that $\alpha_n^{\frac{1}{n}} \rightarrow 0$, then ℓ^∞ is a proper subspace of ℓ_N^α and the inclusion map from ℓ^∞ to ℓ_N^α is continuous. (Indeed, $\{n\} \in \ell_N^\alpha$ and $\{n\} \notin \ell^\infty$; also for $x \in \ell^\infty$, $\frac{|x_n|}{\|x\|_\infty} \leq 1$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ where $\|x\|_\infty = \sup_{n \geq 1} |x_n|$ and $\alpha_n < \frac{1}{2^n}$ for $n \geq n_0 \Rightarrow \sum_{n \geq n_0} N(\frac{|x_n \alpha_n|}{\|x\|_\infty}) \leq \sum_{n \geq n_0} N(\frac{1}{2^n}) \leq N(1) = 1 \Rightarrow x \in \ell_N^\alpha$ and $\|x\|_N^\alpha \leq \|x\|_\infty$.) Thus, we get different sequence spaces for different choices of α . In the next section, we consider this aspect.

3. Interrelationship Between The Spaces ℓ_α^M and ℓ_M^α

In this section we study the interrelationship between spaces ℓ_α^M and ℓ_M^α defined corresponding to the same Orlicz function M ; for three different behaviours of the sequence $\alpha = \{\alpha_n\}$. Indeed, we prove this theorem:

Theorem 3.1 *(i) If $\alpha = \{\alpha_n\}$ is such that $a \leq \alpha_n \leq b$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for some $a, b > 0$ (i.e both α and α^{-1} are in ℓ^∞), then $\ell_M^\alpha = \ell_\alpha^M = \ell_M$:*

(ii) If $\{\alpha_n\} \in \ell^\infty$ with $c = \sup_{n \geq 1} \alpha_n$ and $\{\alpha^{-1}\}$ is unbounded, then ℓ_α^M is properly contained in ℓ_M^α and the inclusion map $J : \ell_\alpha^M \longrightarrow \ell_M^\alpha$ is continuous with $\|J\| \leq c^2$.

(iii) If $\{\alpha_n\}$ is unbounded with $\sup_{n \geq 1} \alpha_n^{-1} = d < \infty$ then ℓ_M^α is properly contained in ℓ_α^M and the inclusion map $J_1 : \ell_M^\alpha \longrightarrow \ell_\alpha^M$ is continuous with $\|J_1\| \leq d^2$.

Proof. (i) We first show that $\ell_M = \ell_M^\alpha$. If $x \in \ell_M$, then $\sum_{n \geq 1} M(\frac{|x_n|}{\rho}) < \infty$ for some $\rho > 0$. If $\dot{\rho} = \rho b$, then from the increasing character of M , it follows that $\sum_{n \geq 1} M(\frac{\alpha_n |x_n|}{\dot{\rho}}) \leq \sum_{n \geq 1} M(\frac{|x_n|}{\rho}) < \infty$. Hence $\ell_M \subset \ell_M^\alpha$. Other inclusion, namely $\ell_M^\alpha \subset \ell_M$ follows from the inequality $\sum_{n \geq 1} M(\frac{|x_n|}{\rho/a}) \leq \sum_{n \geq 1} M(\frac{\alpha_n |x_n|}{\rho})$ valid for any $\rho > 0$. Similarly, one can prove $\ell_\alpha^M = \ell_M$. Hence (i) holds.

(ii) For any $\rho > 0$ and $\dot{\rho} = \rho c^2$, we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} M(\frac{\alpha_n |x_n|}{\dot{\rho}}) < \sum_{n \geq 1} M(\frac{|x_n|}{\alpha_n \rho})$$

for $x = \{x_n\}$. Hence $\ell_\alpha^M \subset \ell_M^\alpha$.

We now show that the containment $\ell_\alpha^M \subset \ell_M^\alpha$ is proper. From the unboundedness of the sequence $\{\alpha_n^{-1}\}$, choose a subsequence $\{n_k\}$ of \mathbb{N} such that $\alpha_{n_k}^{-1} \geq k$. Define $x = \{x_n\}$ as follows:

$$x_n = \begin{cases} 1/k, & n = n_k, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $x \in \ell_\alpha^M$; but $x \notin \ell_M^\alpha$.

To prove the continuity of inclusion map J , let us first consider the case when $c = 1$. For $x \in \ell_\alpha^M$, write

$$A_\alpha^M(x) = \{\rho > 0 : \sum_{n \geq 1} M(\frac{|x_n|}{\rho \alpha_n}) \leq 1\}$$

and

$$B_M^\alpha(x) = \{\rho > 0 : \sum_{n \geq 1} M(\frac{|x_n| \alpha_n}{\rho}) \leq 1\}.$$

As M is increasing and $c = 1$, we get

$$A_\alpha^M(x) \subseteq B_M^\alpha(x).$$

Hence

$$\|x\|_M^\alpha = \inf B_M^\alpha(x) \leq \inf A_\alpha^M(x) = \|x\|_\alpha^M,$$

i.e, $\|J(x)\|_M^\alpha \leq \|x\|_\alpha^M$. Thus J is continuous with $\|J\| \leq 1 = c^2$.

If $c \neq 1$, define $\beta_n = \frac{\alpha_n}{c}, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\beta_n \leq 1$ and from the above, it follows that

$$\|x\|_M^\beta \leq \|x\|_\beta^M \quad \text{for } x \in \ell_\alpha^M \tag{2}$$

(note that $\ell_\alpha^M = \ell_\beta^M$). Now

$$\|x\|_M^\beta = \frac{1}{c} \|x\|_M^\alpha$$

and

$$\|x\|_\beta^M = c \|x\|_\alpha^M.$$

Hence from (2)

$$\|J(x)\|_M^\alpha = \|x\|_M^\alpha \leq c^2 \|x\|_\alpha^M$$

$\Rightarrow J$ is continuous with $\|J\| \leq c^2$. This completes the proof of part (ii). The proof of (iii) is analogous to that of (ii) and so is omitted. \square

Note: Observe that for $\alpha = \{\alpha_n\} \in \ell_M$, we have $\ell_\alpha^M \subsetneq \ell_M \subsetneq \ell_M^\alpha$. However, “If the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is such that $\sum M_n(|x_n|) < \infty$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \neq 0$, then $\ell\{M_n\}$ contains a subspace isomorphic to ℓ^∞ ” (quoted from, and proved in, [8], p.274), we have this proposition.

Proposition 3.2 *If the sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ is such that $\sum M(\frac{1}{\alpha_n}) < \infty$ (or $\sum M(\alpha_n) < \infty$), then ℓ_α^M (ℓ_M^α) contains a subspace isomorphic to ℓ^∞ .*

Proof. Indeed, consider the sequence $\{x_n\}$ with $x_n = 1$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and use the result stated above. \square

4. Perfectness of the Spaces ℓ_α^M and ℓ_N^α

Let M and N be complementary Orlicz functions such that $M(1) = 1$ and $N(1) = 1$. Then we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1 *If M satisfies Δ_2 -condition, then $(\ell_\alpha^M)^\times = \ell_N^\alpha$; and if N satisfies Δ_2 -condition, then $(\ell_N^\alpha)^\times = \ell_\alpha^M$.*

Proof. Let M satisfy Δ_2 -condition. Then for $x \in \ell_N^\alpha$ and $y \in \ell_\alpha^M$, we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} |x_n y_n| = \sum_{n \geq 1} \left| \frac{\alpha_n x_n}{\rho} \frac{\rho y_n}{\alpha_n} \right| \leq \sum_{n \geq 1} N \left(\frac{|\alpha_n x_n|}{\rho} \right) + \sum_{n \geq 1} M \left(\frac{|\rho y_n|}{\alpha_n} \right) < \infty,$$

where $\rho > 0$ is such that $\sum_{n \geq 1} N \left(\frac{|\alpha_n x_n|}{\rho} \right) < \infty$. Thus $x \in (\ell_\alpha^M)^\times$ or $y \in (\ell_N^\alpha)^\times$.

Hence $\ell_N^\alpha \subset (\ell_\alpha^M)^\times$ and $\ell_\alpha^M \subset (\ell_N^\alpha)^\times$.

To prove the equality $(\ell_\alpha^M)^\times = \ell_N^\alpha$, let $y \in (\ell_\alpha^M)^\times$. Then

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} |x_n y_n| < \infty \text{ for all } \{x_n\} \text{ with } \left\{ \frac{x_n}{\alpha_n} \right\} \in \ell_M \tag{3}$$

As M satisfies Δ_2 -condition, $\ell_M = h_M$ and so for $\{z_n\} \in h_M$, we get $\sum |\alpha_n z_n y_n| < \infty$ by (3). Hence $\{\alpha_n y_n\} \in (h_M)^\times = \ell_N \Rightarrow y = \{y_n\} \in \ell_N^\alpha$. Thus $(\ell_\alpha^M)^\times = \ell_N^\alpha$. Similarly, one can prove $(\ell_N^\alpha)^\times = \ell_\alpha^M$ if N satisfies Δ_2 -condition. \square

Finally, we derive the perfectness of the spaces ℓ_α^M and ℓ_N^α in this final corollary:

Corollary 4.2 *If M and N satisfy Δ_2 -condition, then the sequence spaces ℓ_α^M and ℓ_N^α are perfect.*

Proof. Immediate from Theorem 4.1. \square

Remark: As the dual of a barreled AK-sequence space can be identified with its β -duals (cf [1], p.964 or [3], p.6), the spaces ℓ_α^M and ℓ_N^α are topological duals of each other in the case M and N are complementary Orlicz functions satisfying Δ_2 -condition with $M(1) = N(1) = 1$. Besides, if $M_n(x) = M\left(\frac{x}{\alpha_n}\right)$ and $N_n = N(\alpha_n x)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then one can easily check that for given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, M_n and N_n are mutually complementary Orlicz functions provided M and N are so. Thus the results proved in [8] for modular sequence spaces concerning duality relations shall also be applicable for our spaces ℓ_α^M and ℓ_N^α .

References

- [1] Garling, D. J. H.: The α - and γ -duality, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 63, 963–981 (1967).
- [2] Gupta, M., Pradhan, S.: On Orlicz spaces of entire functions, to appear in Indian Jour. Pure App. Math.

GUPTA, PRADHAN

- [3] Kamthan, P. K., Gupta, M.: Sequence Spaces and Series, Lecture Notes No.65, Marcel Dekker Inc, New York, 1981.
- [4] Köthe, G.: Topological Vector Spaces I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1969.
- [5] Lindenstrauss, J., Tzafriri, L.: On Orlicz Sequence Spaces, Israel J. Math. 10, 379–390 (1971).
- [6] Lindenstrauss, J., Tzafriri, L.: Classical Banach Spaces I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977.
- [7] Nakano, H.: Modulated Sequence Spaces, Proc. Jap. Acad. 27 (1951) reprinted in semi ordered Linear Spaces, Tokyo, 1955.
- [8] Woo, J. Y. T.: On Modular Sequence spaces, Studia Math 48, 271–289 (1973).

Manjul GUPTA, Shesadev PRADHAN
Department of Mathematics,
Indian Institute of Technology
208016, Kanpur-INDIA
e-mail: manjul@iitk.ac.in

Received 13.04.2007