# **Turkish Journal of Mathematics**

Volume 33 | Number 1

Article 4

1-1-2009

# Lebesgue-Stieltjes Measure on Time Scales

ASLI DENİZ

ÜNAL UFUKTEPE

Follow this and additional works at: https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math



Part of the Mathematics Commons

## **Recommended Citation**

DENİZ, ASLI and UFUKTEPE, ÜNAL (2009) "Lebesgue-Stieltjes Measure on Time Scales," Turkish Journal of Mathematics: Vol. 33: No. 1, Article 4. https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-0711-11 Available at: https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol33/iss1/4

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.



# Lebesgue-Stieltjes Measure on Time Scales

Aslı Deniz and Ünal Ufuktepe

#### Abstract

The theory of time scales was introduced by Stefan Hilger in his Ph. D. thesis in 1988, supervised by Bernd Auldbach, in order to unify continuous and discrete analysis [5]. Measure theory on time scales was first constructed by Guseinov [4], then further studies were made by Guseinov-Bohner [1], Cabada-Vivero [2] and Rzezuchowski [6]. In this article, we adapt the concept of Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure to time scales. We define Lebesgue-Stieltjes  $\Delta$  and  $\nabla$ -measures and by using these measures, we define an integral adapted to time scales, specifically Lebesgue-Stieltjes  $\Delta$ -integral. We also establish the relation between Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure and Lebesgue-Stieltjes  $\Delta$ -measure, consequently between Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral and Lebesgue-Stieltjes  $\Delta$ - integral.

Key Words: Time scales, Lebesgue-Stieltjes  $\Delta$ -measure, Lebesgue-Stieltjes  $\Delta$ -integral.

#### 1. Introduction

A time scale is an arbitrary nonempty closed subset of the real numbers. We begin with basic operators on  $\mathbb{T}$ : the forward jump operator, backward jump operator and grainess function.

**Definition 1.1** Let  $\mathbb{T}$  be a time scale. Forward jump operator  $\sigma: \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}$  is defined by

$$\sigma(t) := \inf\{s \in \mathbb{T} : s > t\} \tag{1}$$

and the backward jump operator  $\rho: \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}$  is defined by

$$\rho(t) := \sup\{s \in \mathbb{T} : s < t\}. \tag{2}$$

If  $\sigma(t) > t$ , t is said to be right-scattered, while if  $\rho(t) < t$ , t is said to be left-scattered. If t is both right-scattered and left-scattered, t is called isolated. Also, if  $\sigma(t) = t$ , then t is right-dense and if  $\rho(t) = t$ , then t is left-dense. If t is both right-dense and left-dense, then t is said to be a dense point. For special cases if  $t = \max \mathbb{T}$ ,  $\sigma(t) = t$  and if  $t = \min \mathbb{T}$ ,  $\rho(t) = t$ . The function  $\mu : \mathbb{T} \to [0, \infty)$  is defined by

$$\mu(t) := \sigma(t) - t \tag{3}$$

is called graininess function.

# 2. Measure Theory on Time Scales

Measure theory on time scales was first introduced by Guseinov [4]. The following two theorems give  $\Delta$ -measures of single point set and different types of intervals respectively, established by Guseinov [4].

**Theorem 2.1**  $\Delta$ -measure of a single point set  $\{t_0\} \subset \mathbb{T} - \{max\mathbb{T}\}$  is given by

$$\mu_{\Delta}(\{t_0\}) = \sigma(t_0) - t_0 = \mu(t_0),\tag{4}$$

where  $\mu$  denotes the graininess function.

**Theorem 2.2** If  $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$  and  $a \leq b$ , then

- a)  $\mu_{\Delta}([a,b)) = b a;$
- **b)**  $\mu_{\Delta}((a,b)) = b \sigma(a)$ .

If  $a, b \in \mathbb{T} - \{ \max \mathbb{T} \}$  and  $a \leq b$ , then

- c)  $\mu_{\Delta}((a,b]) = \sigma(b) \sigma(a);$
- **d)**  $\mu_{\Delta}([a,b]) = \sigma(b) a$ .

Similarly  $\nabla$ -measures of single point set and any interval are given in [4] as follows

**Theorem 2.3** For each  $t_0 \in \mathbb{T} - \{\min \mathbb{T}\}$ , the  $\nabla$ -measure of the single point set  $\{t_0\}$  is given by

$$\mu_{\nabla}(\{t_o\}) = t_0 - \rho(t_0). \tag{5}$$

**Theorem 2.4** If  $c, d \in \mathbb{T}$ , then

- **a)**  $\mu_{\nabla}((c,d]) = c d$ .
- **b)**  $\mu_{\nabla}((c,d)) = \rho(d) c$ .

If  $c, d \in \mathbb{T} - \{\min \mathbb{T}\}$ , then

- **c)**  $\mu_{\nabla}([c,d)) = \rho(d) \rho(c)$ .
- **d)**  $\mu_{\nabla}([c,d]) = d \rho(c)$ .

Remark 2.5 Measure constructed on time scales is different from the classical Lebesgue measure. In the classical Lebesgue measure, single point set has measure zero. Consequently for  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $a \leq b$ , measures of [a,b], [a,b), (a,b), (a,b) are equal, that is, the difference of endpoints, whereas, for measure constructed on a time scale, the single point set may have measure different from zero, depending on the character of the point. As a result, it is natural that different types of intervals with the same endpoints may have different measures.

Finally, there is a relation between the classical Lebesgue integral and the Lebesgue integral on time scales. We refer to reader to [2] for further information.

# 3. Lebesgue-Stieltjes $\Delta$ and $\nabla$ -Measures

The original Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure is defined by introducing a pre-measure  $\mu$  on all intervals of  $\mathbb{R}$  as follows [3]:

i) 
$$\mu([a,b)) = \alpha(b^-) - \alpha(a^-),$$

ii) 
$$\mu([a,b]) = \alpha(b^+) - \alpha(a^-),$$

iii) 
$$\mu((a,b]) = \alpha(b^+) - \alpha(a^+)$$
,

iv) 
$$b > a$$
,  $\mu((a,b)) = \alpha(b^-) - \alpha(a^+)$ ,

where  $\alpha: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is an nondecreasing function with

$$\alpha(a^-) = \lim_{t \to a^-} \alpha(t)$$
 and  $\alpha(a^+) = \lim_{t \to a^+} \alpha(t)$ .

We can generalize this measure to time scales. We will begin with defining a pre-measure  $m_1^{\alpha}: \mathbb{T} \to [0, +\infty]$  on  $\mathfrak{F}^{\alpha}$ , the family of all intervals of  $\mathbb{T}$ , by using a monotone increasing function  $\alpha: \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ , taking domain into account, as

i) 
$$m_1^{\alpha}([a,b)) = \alpha(b^-) - \alpha(a^-),$$

ii) 
$$m_1^{\alpha}([a,b]) = \alpha(\sigma(b)^+) - \alpha(a^-),$$

**iii)** 
$$m_1^{\alpha}((a,b]) = \alpha(\sigma(b)^+) - \alpha(\sigma(a)^+),$$

iv) If 
$$b > \sigma(a)$$
,  $m_1^{\alpha}((a,b)) = \alpha(b^-) - \alpha(\sigma(a)^+)$ .

The open interval  $(a, \sigma(a))$  is understood as the empty set: then  $m_1^{\alpha}((a, \sigma(a))) = 0$ . Obviously, [a, a) and (a, a] are also empty sets and have pre-measures zero from the definition and need not to be specified separately. The Lebesgue-Stieltjes  $\Delta$ -outer measure  $(m_1^{\alpha})^*$  associated with  $\alpha$  is the function defined on all  $E \subseteq \mathbb{T}$  by

$$(m_1^{\alpha})^*(E) = \inf \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m_1^{\alpha}(I_n),$$

provided that there exists at least one finite or countable covering system of intervals  $I_n \subset \Im^{\alpha}$  of E as  $E \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$ . If there is no such covering of E we say  $(m_1^{\alpha})^*(E) = \infty$ . Let  $A \subset \mathbb{T}$ . If

$$(m_1^{\alpha})^*(A) = (m_1^{\alpha})^*(A \cap E) + (m_1^{\alpha})^*(A \cap E^c)$$

holds, then we say E is  $(m_1^{\alpha})^*$ - (or  $\alpha_{\Delta}$ -) measurable.

**Lemma 3.1** If  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are  $\alpha_{\Delta}$ -measurable, so is  $E_1 \cup E_2$ 

**Proof.** Let  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are  $\alpha_{\Delta}$ -measurable. Let for any  $A \subset \mathbb{T}$ . Since  $E_1$  is  $\alpha_{\Delta}$ -measurable then we have

$$(m_1^{\alpha})^* (A \cap E_2^c) = (m_1^{\alpha})^* (A \cap E_2^c \cap E_1) + (m_1^{\alpha})^* (A \cap E_2^c \cap E_1^c). \tag{6}$$

And since  $A(E_1 \cup E_2) = (A \cap E_2) \cup (A \cap E_1 \cap E_2)$ , then we have

$$(m_1^{\alpha})^* (A \cap (E_1 \cup E_2)) \le (m_1^{\alpha})^* (A \cap E_2) + + (m_1^{\alpha})^* (A \cap E_1 \cap E_2^c). \tag{7}$$

Thus by using inequities (6) and (7), and  $\alpha_{\Delta}$ -measurability of  $E_1$  and  $E_2$ , we have

$$(m_1^{\alpha})^*(A \cap (E_1 \cup E_2)) + (m_1^{\alpha})^*(A \cap E_1^c \cap E_2^c) \le$$

$$(m_1^{\alpha})^*(A \cap E_2) + (m_1^{\alpha})^*(A \cap E_1 \cap E_2^c) + (m_1^{\alpha})^*(A \cap E_2^c \cap E_1^c) =$$

$$(m_1^{\alpha})^*(A \cap E_2) + (m_1^{\alpha})^*(A \cap E_2^c) = (m_1^{\alpha})^*(A).$$

So  $E_1 \cup E_2$  is  $\alpha_{\Delta}$ -measurable.

**Lemma 3.2** If we set  $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$  is the union of a countable collection of pairwise disjoint of  $\alpha_{\Delta}$ -measurable sets the E is also  $\alpha_{\Delta}$ -measurable.

**Proof.** Let  $F_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i$  then  $F_n$  is  $\alpha_{\Delta}$ -measurable by previous Lemma and  $F_n^c \supset E^c$ .

Hence

$$(m_1^{\alpha})^*(A) = (m_1^{\alpha})^*(A \cap F_n) + (m_1^{\alpha})^*(A \cap F_n^c) \ge (m_1^{\alpha})^*(A \cap F_n) + (m_1^{\alpha})^*(A \cap E^c),$$

Since  $A \cap [\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i] \cap E_n = A \cap E_n$  and  $A \cap [\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i] \cap E_n^c = A \cap [\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} E_i]$ , and by the  $\alpha_{\Delta}$ -measurability of  $E_n$ , we have

$$(m_1^{\alpha})^*(A \cap F_n) = (m_1^{\alpha})^*(A \cap [\cup_{i=1}^n E_i]) = (m_1^{\alpha})^*(A \cap E_n) + (m_1^{\alpha})^*(A \cap [\cup_{i=1}^{n-1} E_i])$$
$$= (m_1^{\alpha})^*(A \cap E_n) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (m_1^{\alpha})^*(A \cap E_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n (m_1^{\alpha})^*(A \cap E_i).$$

Thus we have

$$(m_1^{\alpha})^*(A \cap F_n) + \sum_{i=1}^n (m_1^{\alpha})^*(A \cap E_i).$$

Then

$$(m_1^{\alpha})^*(A) \ge \sum_{i=1}^n (m_1^{\alpha})^*(A \cap E_i) + (m_1^{\alpha})^*(A \cap E^c).$$

When  $n \to \infty$ , we have

$$(m_1^{\alpha})^*(A) \ge \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (m_1^{\alpha})^*(A \cap E_i) + (m_1^{\alpha})^*(A \cap E^c)$$

$$(m_1^{\alpha})^*(A) \ge (m_1^{\alpha})^*(A \cap E) + (m_1^{\alpha})^*(A \cap E^c).$$

So  $m_1^{\alpha}$  is a countably additive measure on  $\Im^{\alpha}$ .

 $\mathbf{M}((m_1^{\alpha})^*)$ , the family of all  $(m_1^{\alpha})^*$ -measurable subset of  $\mathbb{T}$ , forms a  $\sigma$ -algebra. We restrict  $(m_1^{\alpha})^*$  to  $\mathbf{M}((m_1^{\alpha})^*)$  and denote by  $\mu_{\Delta}^{\alpha}$ . This is the Lebesgue-Stieltjes  $\Delta$ -measure generated by  $\alpha$ .

All intervals on  $\mathbb{T}$  are  $\alpha_{\Delta}$ -measurable since any interval can be covered by itself, which is the smallest cover, thus for any interval I, pre-measure  $m_1^{\alpha}(I)$  and  $\alpha_{\Delta}$ -measure  $\mu_{\Delta}^{\alpha}(I)$  coincide. That is,

- i)  $\mu^{\alpha}_{\Delta}([a,b)) = \alpha(b^{-}) \alpha(a^{-}),$
- ii)  $\mu_{\Lambda}^{\alpha}([a,b]) = \alpha(\sigma(b)^{+}) \alpha(a^{-}),$
- iii)  $\mu_{\Lambda}^{\alpha}((a,b]) = \alpha(\sigma(b)^{+}) \alpha(\sigma(a)^{+}),$
- iv)  $b > \sigma(a), \ \mu_{\Lambda}^{\alpha}((a,b)) = \alpha(b^{-}) \alpha(\sigma(a)^{+}).$

**Proposition 3.3** Let  $\{c\} \subset \mathbb{T}$ . Then it is  $\mu^{\alpha}_{\Delta}$ -measurable and

$$\mu_{\Lambda}^{\alpha}(\{c\}) = \mu_{\Lambda}^{\alpha}([c,c]) = \alpha(\sigma(c)^{+}) - \alpha(c^{-}). \tag{8}$$

**Proof.** It is obvious that single a point set is covered by itself as a closed interval, which is the smallest cover.

Although [c, c] and  $[c, \sigma(c))$  has the same  $\Delta$ -measure, it differs while considering  $\alpha_{\Delta}$ -measure, because in  $\alpha_{\Delta}$ -measure, we consider one-sided limits of an increasing function  $\alpha$  at endpoints of given intervals.

Remark 3.4 From Proposition 3.3, it is seen why  $\Delta$ -measure of  $\max \mathbb{T}$  is infinity. The reason is that we are not able to approach  $\max \mathbb{T}$  from the right hand side. Furthermore, we are not allowed to take the limit of the minimum point of  $\mathbb{T}$  from the left hand side. Thus, we say  $\alpha_{\Delta}$ -measures of maximum and minimum points of a time scale and also  $\alpha_{\Delta}$ -measure of any set containing at least one of them are undefined  $(\infty)$ .

However, up to now,  $\Delta$ -measure of the minimum point of a bounded below time scale has been introduced as an ordinary interior point of the time scale because the formula  $\mu_{\Delta}(\{t_0\}) = \sigma(t_0) - t_0$  stays the same; but extending theory, replacing measure with respect to an increasing function, it is seen that a single point set has  $\alpha_{\Delta}$ -measure  $\alpha(\sigma(t_0)^+) - \alpha(t_0^-)$ . And because of the fact that the limit from left hand side at  $t_0$  is undefined, we finally get this result.

Let  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\alpha_{\Delta}$  and  $\alpha$  measures (see [3]) coincide since for all  $t \in \mathbb{T}$ ,  $\sigma(t) = t$ . Let  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$ , then

- i)  $\mu^{\alpha}_{\Lambda}([a,b)) = \alpha(b) \alpha(a)$
- ii)  $\mu_{\Delta}^{\alpha}([a,b]) = \alpha(b+1) \alpha(a)$ ,
- iii)  $\mu^{\alpha}_{\Delta}((a,b]) = \alpha(b+1) \alpha(a+1),$
- iv) For b > a + 1,  $\mu_{\Lambda}^{\alpha}((a,b)) = \alpha(b) \alpha(a+1)$ .

#### DENİZ, UFUKTEPE

Here we are not interested in right-sided and left-sided limits because all functions defined on  $\mathbb{Z}$  is continuous. Let  $\alpha: \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}$ ,  $\alpha(t) = t$ , then  $\alpha_{\Delta}$ -measure turns in to  $\Delta$ -measure introduced by Guseinov [4] as follows:

i) 
$$\mu_{\Lambda}^{\alpha}([a,b)) = b - a$$
,

ii) 
$$\mu^{\alpha}_{\Lambda}([a,b]) = \sigma(b) - a$$
,

iii) 
$$\mu_{\Delta}^{\alpha}((a,b]) = \sigma(b) - \sigma(a)$$
,

iv) If 
$$b > \sigma(a)$$
,  $\mu_{\Delta}^{\alpha}((a,b)) = b - \sigma(a)$ .

Let us introduce the concept of Lebesgue-Stieltjes  $\nabla$ -measure on time scales. Let  $\alpha: \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a monotone increasing function. We define a set function  $m_2^{\alpha}$  on the family of all intervals of  $\mathbb{T}$  denoted by  $\Im^{\alpha}$  as follows:

i) 
$$m_2^{\alpha}([a,b)) = \alpha(\rho(b)^-) - \alpha(\rho(a)^-),$$

ii) 
$$m_1^{\alpha}([a,b]) = \alpha(b^+) - \alpha(\rho(a)^-),$$

iii) 
$$m_1^{\alpha}((a,b]) = \alpha(b^+) - \alpha(a^+),$$

iv) 
$$a < \rho(b), \ m_1^{\alpha}((a,b)) = \alpha(\rho(b)^-) - \alpha(a^+),$$

where The Lebesgue-Stieltjes  $\nabla$ -outer measure  $(m_2^{\alpha})^*$  of a set E associated with  $\alpha$  is the function defined on all subsets of  $\mathbb{T}$  by  $(m_2^{\alpha})^*(E) = \inf \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (m_2^{\alpha})(I_n)$  provided that there exists at least one finite or countable

covering of intervals  $I_n \subset \Im^{\alpha}$  of E such that  $E \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$ . If there is no such covering of E, we say that  $(m_2^{\alpha})^*(E) = \infty$ .

By restriction of the outer measure to the family of all  $\alpha_{\nabla}$ -measurable sets, we obtain a countably additive measure denoted by  $\mu_{\nabla}^{\alpha}$ . Similarly, any measurable set including maximum or minimum of a time scale has  $\alpha_{\nabla}$ -measure infinity.

**Remark 3.5** All intervals on  $\mathbb{T}$  are  $\alpha_{\nabla}$ -measurable since any interval can be covered by itself which is the smallest cover. Thus for any interval I, pre-measure  $m_2^{\alpha}(I)$  and  $\alpha_{\nabla}$ -measure  $\mu_{\nabla}^{\alpha}(I)$  coincide so

i) 
$$\mu_{\nabla}^{\alpha}([a,b)) = \alpha(\rho(b)^{-}) - \alpha(\rho(a)^{-}),$$

ii) 
$$\mu_{\nabla}^{\alpha}([a,b]) = \alpha(b^{+}) - \alpha(\rho(a)^{-}),$$

iii) 
$$\mu_{\nabla}^{\alpha}((a,b]) = \alpha(b^{+}) - \alpha(a^{+}),$$

iv) If 
$$a < \rho(b)$$
,  $\mu_{\nabla}^{\alpha}((a,b)) = \alpha(\rho(b)^{-}) - \alpha(a^{+})$ .

Let  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\alpha_{\nabla}$ -measure and  $\alpha$ -measure coincide since for all  $t \in \mathbb{T}$ ,  $\rho(t) = t$ . Let  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$ , then

i) 
$$\mu_{\nabla}^{\alpha}([a,b)) = \alpha(b-1) - \alpha(a-1),$$

ii)  $\mu_{\nabla}^{\alpha}([a,b]) = \alpha(b) - \alpha(a-1),$ 

iii) 
$$\mu^{\alpha}_{\nabla}((a,b]) = \alpha(b) - \alpha(a)$$
,

iv) For 
$$b > a + 1$$
,  $\mu_{\nabla}^{\alpha}((a, b)) = \alpha(b - 1) - \alpha(a)$ .

Let  $\alpha: \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}$ ,  $\alpha(t) = t$ , then  $\alpha_{\Delta}$ -measure turns in to  $\Delta$ -measure introduced by Guseinov [4]. That is,

- i)  $\mu_{\nabla}^{\alpha}([a,b)) = \rho(b) \rho(a)$ ,
- ii)  $\mu^{\alpha}_{\nabla}([a,b]) = b \rho(a)$ ,
- iii)  $\mu^{\alpha}_{\nabla}((a,b]) = b a$ ,
- iv) For  $b > \sigma(a)$ ,  $\mu_{\nabla}^{\alpha}((a,b)) = \rho(b) a$ .

**Proposition 3.6** Let  $\{c\} \subset \mathbb{T}$ . Then it is  $\mu^{\alpha}_{\nabla}$ -measurable and

$$\mu_{\nabla}^{\alpha}(\lbrace c \rbrace) = \mu_{\nabla}^{\alpha}([c, c]) = \alpha(c^{+}) - \alpha(\rho(c)^{-}). \tag{9}$$

**Proof.** Proof is obvious from the proof of the Proposition 3.3.

**Example 3.7** Let  $\mathbb{T} = [0, 3] \cup \{4\} \cup [6, 9]$  and

$$\alpha(t) = \begin{cases} 3 - e^{-t} & \text{if } 0 \le t \le 1\\ 4 & \text{if } 1 < t < 3\\ 2t + 1 & \text{if } 3 \le t < 7\\ t^2 & \text{if } 7 \le t \le 9 \end{cases}$$

Find the  $\alpha_{\Delta}$ -measure and  $\alpha_{\nabla}$ -measure of the following sets:  $\{4\}, [3,6), (8,9], \{3\}, \{7\}, [0,1).$ 

**Solution.** Let us first consider the  $\alpha_{\Delta}$ -measures of the sets:

- a)  $\mu_{\Lambda}^{\alpha}(\{4\}) = \mu_{\Lambda}^{\alpha}([4,4]) = \alpha(\sigma(4)^{+}) \alpha(4^{-}) = \alpha(6^{+}) \alpha(4^{-}) = 4$ .
- **b)**  $\mu_{\Lambda}^{\alpha}([3,6)) = \alpha(6^{-}) \alpha(3^{-}) = 9.$
- c)  $\mu_{\Delta}^{\alpha}((8,9]) = \alpha(\sigma(9)^{+}) \alpha(\sigma(8)^{-}) = \alpha(9^{+}) \alpha(8^{-}) = \infty$  since limit from right hand side of  $\alpha$  at t = 9 is not defined.
- **d)**  $\mu_{\Delta}^{\alpha}(\{3\}) = \mu_{\Delta}^{\alpha}([3,3]) = \alpha(\sigma(3)^{+}) \alpha(3^{-}) = \alpha(4^{+}) \alpha(3^{+}) = 3.$
- e)  $\mu_{\Lambda}^{\alpha}([7,8]) = \alpha(\sigma(8)^{+}) \alpha(7^{-}) = \alpha(8^{+}) \alpha(7^{-}) = 49.$
- $\mathbf{f)} \ \ \mu_{\Delta}^{\alpha}([0,1)) = \alpha(1^{-}) \alpha(0^{-}) = \infty \ \text{since limit from right hand side of} \ \ \alpha \ \ \text{at} \ \ t = 0 \ \text{is not defined}.$

Now, let us consider  $\alpha_{\nabla}$ -measures of the given sets:

a) 
$$\mu_{\nabla}^{\alpha}(\{4\}) = \mu_{\nabla}^{\alpha}([4,4]) = \alpha(4^{+}) - \alpha(\rho(4)^{-}) = \alpha(4^{+}) - \alpha(3^{-}) = 5.$$

**b)** 
$$\mu_{\nabla}^{\alpha}([3,6)) = \alpha(\rho(6)^{-}) - \alpha(\rho(3)^{-}) = \alpha(4^{-}) - \alpha(3^{-}) = 5.$$

c) 
$$\mu_{\nabla}^{\alpha}((8,9]) = \alpha(9^+) - \alpha(8^+) = \infty$$
 since  $\alpha(9^+)$  is not defined.

**d)** 
$$\mu_{\nabla}^{\alpha}(\{3\}) = \mu_{\nabla}^{\alpha}([3,3]) = \alpha(3^{+}) - \alpha(\rho(3)^{-}) = \alpha(3^{+}) - \alpha(3^{-}) = 3.$$

e) 
$$\mu_{\nabla}^{\alpha}([7,8]) = \alpha(8^{+}) - \alpha(\rho(7)^{-}) == \alpha(8^{+}) - \alpha(7^{-}) = 39$$
  
since 7 is a left-dense point.

f) 
$$\mu_{\nabla}^{\alpha}([0,1)) = \alpha(\rho(1)^{-}) - \alpha(\rho(0)^{-}) = \infty$$
  
since  $\alpha(\rho(0)^{-})$  is not defined.

### 4. Relation Between Lebesgue-Stieltjes Measure and Lebesgue-Stieltjes $\Delta$ -Measure

In order to compare Lebesgue-Stieltjes measurable sets and Lebesgue-Stieltjes  $\Delta$ -measurable sets, we need to extend  $\alpha$  to the real numbers since  $\alpha$ -measure of interval  $(t_i, \sigma(t_i))$  for  $t_i$  is any right-scattered point is not defined.  $\alpha(\sigma(t_i)^-) = \alpha(\sigma(t_i))$  since any function is left continuous at left-scattered point, similarly,  $\alpha(t_i^+) = \alpha(t_i)$  since any function is right continuous at right-scattered points, the interval seems to have  $\alpha$ -measure  $\alpha(\sigma(t_i)) - \alpha(t_i)$ . Although it is practically correct, the approach is theoretically wrong because of the fact that for  $\alpha$ -measure of  $(t_i, \sigma(t_i))$ ,  $\alpha$  has to be defined on a set that includes this interval. Thus, we need to extend  $\alpha$ . The extension could be any function whose reduction to  $\mathbb T$  corresponds  $\alpha$ , monotone everywhere and continuous at scattered points.

A proper choice would be as follows:

$$\alpha^{\sim}(t) = \begin{cases} \alpha(t) & \text{if} & t \in \mathbb{T} \\ \left(\frac{\alpha(\sigma(t_i)) - \alpha(t_i)}{\sigma(t_i) - t_i}\right) t & \text{if} & t \in (t_i, \sigma(t_i)) \end{cases}$$
(10)

which is a linear increasing function  $(\alpha^{\triangle}(\sigma(t_i)) = \left(\frac{\alpha(\sigma(t_i)) - \alpha(t_i)}{\sigma(t_i) - t_i}\right) \ge 0$ , since given that  $\alpha(t)$  is increasing function) at each interval  $(t_i, \sigma(t_i))$ , where  $t_i$  is right-scattered point and continuous not only at right-scattered, but also at left-scattered points, so that we write  $\alpha^{\sim}(t_i^+) = \alpha(t_i)$  where  $t_i$  is a right-scattered point and  $\alpha^{\sim}(t_i^-) = \alpha(t_i)$  where  $t_i$  is a left-scattered point. Then it is clear that  $\alpha^{\sim}(t)$  is also increasing.

**Proposition 4.1** Let [a,b) be a half closed bounded interval of  $\mathbb{T}$  with  $a,b\in\mathbb{T}-\{\min\mathbb{T}\}$ . Then

$$\mathbf{i)} \ \mu^{\alpha}_{\Delta}([a,b)) = \mu^{\alpha^{\sim}}([a,b)) + \sum_{i \in I_{[a,b)}} (\alpha(\sigma(t_i)) - \alpha(t_i)).$$

ii) 
$$\mu_{\Lambda}^{\alpha}([a,b)) = \mu^{\alpha^{\sim}}([a,b)^{\sim}).$$

where  $[a,b)^{\sim}$  is the extension of [a,b), that is obtained by filling the blanks  $(t_i,\sigma(t_i))$  of the interval and  $\mu^{\alpha^{\sim}}$  is the Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure generated by  $\alpha^{\sim}$ .

**Proof.** i) Let  $\{t_n\} = \{t_1, t_2, ...t, b\}$  be the sequence of right scattered points of [a, b) such that  $a \le t_1 \le t_2 \le ... \le b$ . Suppose that  $s = \max\{t_n\}$ . Then [a, b) can be written as follows:

$$[a,b) = [a,t_1] \cup [\sigma(t_1),t_2] \cup \ldots \cup [\sigma(s),b), \text{ so}$$

$$\mu^{\alpha^{\sim}}([a,b)) = \mu^{\alpha^{\sim}}([a,t_1] \cup [\sigma(t_1),t_2] \cup \ldots \cup [\sigma(s),b))$$

$$= \mu^{\alpha^{\sim}}([a,t_1]) + \mu^{\alpha^{\sim}}([\sigma(t_1),t_2]) + \ldots + \mu^{\alpha^{\sim}}([\sigma(s),b))$$

$$= \alpha^{\sim}(t_1^+) - \alpha^{\sim}(a^-) + \alpha^{\sim}(t_2^+) - \alpha^{\sim}(\sigma(t_1)^-) + \ldots + \alpha^{\sim}(b^-) - \alpha^{\sim}(\sigma(s)^-)$$

$$= \alpha^{\sim}(b^-) - \alpha^{\sim}(a^-) - \sum_{i \in I_{[a,b)}} (\alpha^{\sim}(\sigma(t_i)^-) - \alpha^{\sim}(t_i^+))$$

$$= \alpha(b^-) - \alpha(a^-) - \sum_{i \in I_{[a,b)}} (\alpha(\sigma(t_i)) - \alpha(t_i)).$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\mu^{\alpha^{\sim}}([a,b)) = \mu^{\alpha}_{\Delta}([a,b)) - \sum_{i \in I_{[a,b)}} (\alpha(\sigma(t_i)) - \alpha(t_i)).$$

ii) 
$$(\alpha(\sigma(t_i)) - \alpha(t_i)) = (\alpha(\sigma(t_i)^-) - \alpha(t_i)^+) = \mu^{\alpha^{\sim}}((t_i, \sigma(t_i))),$$
  
so  $\mu^{\alpha^{\sim}}([a, b)) - \sum_{i \in I_{[a, b)}} (\alpha(\sigma(t_i)) - \alpha(t_i)) = \mu^{\alpha^{\sim}}([a, b)^{\sim}),$  and we get the desired result.

**Remark 4.2** Obviously we can generalize Proposition 4.1 to any  $\alpha_{\Delta}$ -measurable set  $E \subset \mathbb{T} - \{ \max \mathbb{T}, \min \mathbb{T} \}$  as

i) 
$$\mu_{\Delta}^{\alpha}(E) = \mu^{\alpha^{\sim}}(E) + \sum_{i \in I_E} (\alpha(\sigma(t_i)) - \alpha(t_i)).$$

ii) 
$$\mu^{\alpha}_{\wedge}(E) = \mu^{\alpha^{\sim}}(E^{\sim})$$
.

where

$$E^{\sim} = E \cup \bigcup_{i \in I_E} (t_i, \sigma(t_i)). \tag{11}$$

and  $I_E$  is the indices set of all right scattered points of E.

# 5. Lebesgue-Stieltjes $\Delta$ -Integral

We will begin with considering Lebesgue-Stieltjes  $\Delta$ -integral of a simple function.

**Definition 5.1** Let  $\mathbb{T}$  be a time scale,  $\alpha: \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$  be an increasing function,  $\mu_{\Delta}^{\alpha}$  be  $\alpha_{\Delta}$ -measure defined on  $\mathbb{T}$ ,  $S: \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a nonnegative  $\alpha_{\Delta}$ -measurable simple function such that  $S(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \chi_{A_i}$  where  $A_i$ s are pairwise

disjoint  $\alpha_{\Delta}$ -measurable sets with  $A_i = \{t : S(t) = a_i\}$ . Then we define  $\alpha_{\Delta}$ -integral of S on a  $\alpha_{\Delta}$ -measurable set E as

$$\int_{E} S(s)\Delta\alpha(s) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}\mu_{\Delta}^{\alpha}(A_{i} \cap E).$$
(12)

If for some k,  $a_k = 0$  and  $\mu_{\Delta}^{\alpha}(A_k \cap E) = \infty$ , we define  $a_k \mu_{\Delta}^{\alpha}(A_k \cap E) = \infty$ .

**Example 5.2** Let  $\alpha$  and  $\mathbb{T}$  be defined as in Example 3.7. Let

$$S_1(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & if \ 0 \le t \le 3\\ 4 & if \ 3 < t \le 9, \end{cases}$$

$$S_2(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & if \ 0 \le t < 3 \\ 4 & if \ 3 \le t \le 9. \end{cases}$$

Evaluate the integral of  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  on [1,8] with respect to  $\alpha$  and compare the results.

**Solution.**  $[0,3] \cap [1,8] = [1,3]$  and  $(3,9] \cap [1,8] = (3,8]$  and  $\alpha_{\Delta}$ -integral of  $S_1$  on [1,8] is

$$\int_{[1,8]} S_1(s) \Delta \alpha(s) = 1.\mu_{\Delta}^{\alpha}([1,3]) + 4.\mu_{\Delta}^{\alpha}((3,8])$$

where

$$\mu_{\Delta}^{\alpha}([1,3]) = \alpha(\sigma(3)^{+}) - \alpha(1^{-})$$

$$= \alpha(4^{+}) - \alpha(1^{-})$$

$$= 9 - (3 - e^{-1})$$

$$= 6 + e^{-1}$$

and

$$\mu_{\Delta}^{\alpha}((3,8]) = \alpha(\sigma(8)^{+}) - \alpha(\sigma(3)^{+})$$

$$= \alpha(8^{+}) - \alpha(\sigma(3)^{+})$$

$$= 64 - 9$$

$$= 55.$$

Thus we have

$$\int_{[1,8]} S_1(s) \Delta \alpha(s) = 1.(6 + e^{-1}) + 4.55 = 226 + e^{-1}.$$

 $[0,3) \cap [1,8] = [1,3)$  and  $[3,9] \cap [1,8] = [3,8]$  and from the definition, the  $\alpha_{\Delta}$ -integral of  $S_2$  on [1,8] is

$$\int_{[1.8]} S_2(s) \Delta \alpha(s) = 1.\mu_{\Delta}^{\alpha}([1,3)) + 4.\mu_{\Delta}^{\alpha}([3,8]).$$

where

$$\mu_{\Delta}^{\alpha}([1,3)) = \alpha(3^{-}) - \alpha(1^{-})$$

$$= 4 - (3 - e^{-1})$$

$$= 1 + e^{-1}$$

and

$$\mu_{\Delta}^{\alpha}([3,8]) = \alpha(\sigma(8)^{+}) - \alpha(3^{-})$$

$$= \alpha(8^{+}) - \alpha(3^{-})$$

$$= 64 - 4$$

$$= 60.$$

Thus,

Then

$$\int_{[1.8]} S_1(s) \Delta \alpha(s) = 1.(1 + e^{-1}) + 4.60 = 241 + e^{-1}.$$

Although these two simple functions are nearly the same, the reason for the difference of integrals is the behavior of the functions at the discontinuity point.

#### 6. Relation Between Lebesgue-Stieltjes Integral and Lebesgue-Stieltjes $\Delta$ -Integral

In order to establish the relation between Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure constructed on time scales and the classical Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral we need to extend function defined on time scale to real numbers as shown in [2] as follows:

$$f^{\sim}(t) = \begin{cases} f(t) & \text{if } t \in \mathbb{T} \\ f(t_i) & \text{if } t \in (t_i, \sigma(t_i)). \end{cases}$$
 (13)

Lemma 6.1 Let E be an  $\alpha_{\Delta}$ -measurable set of  $\mathbb{T}-\{\max\mathbb{T},\min\mathbb{T}\}$ . Let  $S:\mathbb{T}\to\mathbb{R}$  be a simple function with  $S(t)=\sum_{i=1}^n a_i\chi_{A_i}$  where  $A_is$  are pairwise disjoint  $\alpha_{\Delta}$ -measurable sets, with  $A_i=\{t:S(t)=a_i\}$  and  $S^{\sim}=\sum_{i=1}^n a_i\chi_{A_i^{\sim}}$  be the extension of S as Equation 13, and  $A_i^{\sim}$  and  $E^{\sim}$  be the extensions of  $A_i$  and E that are obtained by filling the blanks of corresponding sets as in Equation 11,  $\alpha^{\sim}$  be the extension of  $\alpha$  as in Equation 10 and corresponding measures be denoted by  $\mu^{\alpha^{\sim}}$ ; and  $\mu^{\alpha}_{\Delta}$  is the usual Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure and Lebesgue-Stieltjes  $\Delta$ -measure.

$$\int_{E} S(s)\Delta\alpha(s) = \int_{E^{\sim}} S^{\sim}(s)d\alpha^{\sim}(s).$$

#### DENİZ, UFUKTEPE

**Proof.** We will use the fact that for each  $c_i$ ,  $S(t) = c_i$ ,  $t \in A_i$ , then  $S^{\sim}(t) = c_i$ ,  $t \in A_i^{\sim}$ . Furthermore,  $\mu_{\Delta}^{\alpha}(A_i \cap E) = \mu^{\alpha^{\sim}}(A_i^{\sim} \cap E^{\sim}) = \mu^{\alpha^{\sim}}(A_i \cap E)^{\sim}$ .

Multiplying by  $a_i$  and summing from 1 to n both sides, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \mu_{\Delta}^{\alpha}(A_i \cap E) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \mu^{\alpha^{\sim}}(A_i \cap E)^{\sim}.$$

We get the integral of S(t) on measurable set E with respect to  $\alpha$  on the left hand side of the equation and the integral of  $S^{\sim}(t)$  on measurable set  $E^{\sim}$  with respect to  $\alpha^{\sim}$  on the right hand side of the equation. Thus we get

$$\int_{E} S(s)\Delta\alpha(s) = \int_{E^{\sim}} S^{\sim}(s)d\alpha^{\sim}(s).$$

We know that if a set  $A \subset \mathbb{T}$  is  $\alpha_{\Delta}$ -measurable, then A is  $\alpha$ -measurable.  $\square$ 

**Definition 6.2** Let  $E \subset \mathbb{T}$  be an  $\alpha_{\Delta}$ -measurable set and let  $f : \mathbb{T} \to [0, +\infty]$  be an  $\alpha_{\Delta}$ -measurable function. The Lebesgue-Stieltjes  $\Delta$ -integral of f on E is defined as

$$\int_{E} f(s)\Delta\alpha(s) = \sup_{0 < S < f} \int_{E} S(s)\Delta\alpha(s)$$

The next lemma exhibits the Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral and Lebesgue-Stieltjes  $\Delta$ -integral of functions. Since the proof is similar to a comparison of Lebesgue  $\Delta$ -integral and usual Lebesgue integral in [2], we do not give the proof.

**Lemma 6.3** Let  $E \subset \mathbb{T} - \{\max \mathbb{T}, \min \mathbb{T}\}$  be an  $\alpha_{\Delta}$ -measurable set,  $f : \mathbb{T} \to [0, +\infty]$  be an  $\alpha_{\Delta}$ -measurable function and  $f^{\sim}$  be the extension of f as in Equation 13. Then

$$\int_{E} f(s)\Delta\alpha(s) = \int_{E^{\sim}} f^{\sim}(s)d\alpha(s)$$

where  $E^{\sim}$  denotes the set defined in Equation 11.

**Definition 6.4** Let  $E \subset \mathbb{T}$  be a  $\alpha_{\Delta}$ -measurable set and let  $f : \mathbb{T} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  be a  $\alpha_{\Delta}$ -measurable function. We say that f is Lebesgue-Stieltjes  $\Delta$ -integrable on E if at least one of the elements  $\int_E f^+(s) \Delta \alpha(s)$  or  $\int_E f^-(s) \Delta \alpha(s)$  is finite, where the positive and negative parts of f,  $f^+$  and  $f^-$  respectively. In this case, we define the Lebesgue-Stieltjes  $\Delta$ -integral of f on E as

$$\int_{E} f(s)\Delta\alpha(s) = \int_{E} f^{+}(s)\Delta\alpha(s) - \int_{E} f^{-}(s)\Delta\alpha(s).$$

**Theorem 6.5** Let  $E \subset \mathbb{T} - \{ \max \mathbb{T}, \min \mathbb{T} \}$  be an  $\alpha_{\Delta}$ -measurable set. If  $f : \mathbb{T} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  is  $\alpha_{\Delta}$ -integrable on E, then

$$\int_{E} f(s)\Delta\alpha(s) = \int_{E} f(s)d\alpha(s) + \sum_{i \in I_{E}} f(t_{i})(\alpha(\sigma(t_{i})) - \alpha(t_{i})),$$

where  $I_E$  denotes the set of indices of the right-scattered points of E.

Proof.

$$\int_{E^{\sim}} f^{\sim}(s) d\alpha^{\sim}(s) = \int_{E \cup \left(\bigcup(t_{i}, \sigma(t_{i}))\right)} f^{\sim}(s) d\alpha^{\sim}(s)$$

$$= \int_{E} f^{\sim}(s) d\alpha^{\sim}(s) + \int_{\bigcup(t_{i}, \sigma(t_{i}))} f^{\sim}(s) d\alpha^{\sim}(s)$$

$$= \int_{E} f(s) d\alpha(s) + \sum_{i \in I_{E}} \int_{(t_{i}, \sigma(t_{i}))} f(t_{i}) d\alpha^{\sim}(s)$$

$$= \int_{E} f(s) d\alpha(s) + \sum_{i \in I_{E}} f(t_{i}) (\alpha(\sigma(t_{i})) - \alpha(t_{i})).$$

and we conclude by Lemma 6.3 that,

$$\int_{E} f(s)\Delta\alpha(s) = \int_{E} f(s)d\alpha(s) + \sum_{i \in I_{E}} f(t_{i})(\alpha(\sigma(t_{i})) - \alpha(t_{i})). \quad \Box$$

**Remark 6.6** Let  $\mathbb{T} = h\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $f: \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $\alpha$  be an increasing function with  $\alpha: \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $[a,b] = \bigcup_{k=1}^n \{a+(k-1)h\}$ ,

$$\begin{split} \int_{[a,b]} f(s) \Delta \alpha(s) &= \int_{\bigcup_{k=1}^{n} \{a+(k-1)h\}} f(s) \Delta(s) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{\{a+(k-1)h\}} f(s) \Delta(s) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{\{a+(k-1)h\}} f(a+(k-1)h) \Delta(s) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} f(a+(k-1)h) \int_{\{a+(k-1)h\}} \Delta(s) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} f(a+(k-1)h) \mu_{\Delta}^{\alpha}(\{a+(k-1)h\}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} f(a+(k-1)h) (\alpha(\sigma(a+(k-1)h)^{+}) - \alpha((a+(k-1)h)^{-})) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} f(a+(k-1)h) (\alpha(a+kh) - \alpha(a+(k-1)h)). \end{split}$$

**Remark 6.7** Let  $f: \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\alpha: \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\alpha(t) = c$ , c is any constant. Then

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(s)\Delta\alpha(s) = 0 \text{ since for any } k, \ \Delta\alpha_{k}(t) = 0.$$

# DENİZ, UFUKTEPE

**Remark 6.8** Suppose that  $f:[a,b)\to\mathbb{R}$ , f(t)=c, where c is any constant. Then

$$\int_{[a,b]} f(s) \Delta \alpha(s) = \int_{[a,b]} c \Delta \alpha(s)$$

$$= c \int_{[a,b]} \Delta \alpha(s)$$

$$= c \mu_{\Lambda}^{\alpha}([a,b]) = c (\alpha(b^{+}) - \alpha(a^{-})).$$

#### References

- [1] Bohner M., Peterson A., Eds.: "Advances in Dynamic Equations on Time Scales", Birkhauser, Boston, 117–163 (2003).
- [2] Cabada, A. and Vivero, D.: "Expression of the Lebesgue  $\Delta$ -Integral on Time Scales as a Usual Lebesgue Integral; Application to the Calculus of  $\Delta$ -Antiderivatives", Mathematical and Computer Modelling, 43, 194-207 4, 291–310 (2006).
- [3] Carter M. and Brunt B. V.: "The Lebesgue-Stieltjes Integral", (Springer-Verlag, NewYork) 2000.
- [4] Guseinov, G.S.: "Integration on Time Scales", Journal of Mathematical Analysis & Applications, 285, 107–127 (2003).
- [5] Hilger, S.: "Ein Masskettenkalkül mit Anwendung auf Zentrumsmanningfaltigkeiten", (Ph.D. Thesis, Universität Wrzburg) 1988.
- [6] Rzezuchowski, T.: "A Note on Measures on Time Scales", Demonstratio Mathematica, 38, 1 79-84 (2005).

Aslı DENİZ Received 21.11.2007

İzmir Institute of Technology, Department of Mathematics

Urla, İzmir, TURKEY

e-mail: aslideniz@iyte.edu.tr

Ünal UFUKTEPE

İzmir University of Economics,

Department of Mathematics

35330 Balcova, İzmir, TURKEY

e-mail: unal.ufuktepe@ieu.edu.tr