

1-1-2010

The equivalence of centro-equiaffine curves

YASEMİN SAĞIROĞLU

ÖMER PEKŞEN

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

SAĞIROĞLU, YASEMİN and PEKŞEN, ÖMER (2010) "The equivalence of centro-equiaffine curves," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 34: No. 1, Article 9. <https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-0810-25>
Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol34/iss1/9>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.

The equivalence of centro-equiaffine curves

Yasemin Sağıroğlu, Ömer Pekşen

Abstract

The motivation of this paper is to find formulation of the $SL(n, R)$ -equivalence of curves. The types for centro-equiaffine curves and for every type all invariant parametrizations for such curves are introduced. The problem of $SL(n, R)$ -equivalence of centro-equiaffine curves is reduced to that of paths. The centro-equiaffine curvatures of path as a generating system of the differential ring of $SL(n, R)$ -invariant differential polinomial functions of path are found. Global conditions of $SL(n, R)$ -equivalence of curves are given in terms of the types and invariants. It is proved that the invariants are independent.

Key Words: Centro-equiaffine geometry, centro-equiaffine type of a curve, differential invariants of a curve, centro-equiaffine equivalence of curves.

1. Preliminaries

The invariant theory provides a method to find differential invariants of a curve to solve the equivalence problem of curves. In [8] the problem investigated for equiaffine curves and in [13] it is solved for centro-affine curves. The first comprehensive treatment of affine geometry is given in the seminal work of Blaschke [3]. For further developments of the subject, we refer the reader to [14], and the more modern texts [11], [20], the commentaries [16], [17] and survey papers [19], [2], [18]. The fundamental theorem of curves in centro-affine geometry is obtained in [4]. A discussion of centro-affine plane and space curves can be found in [15], [12]. A detailed discussion of plane curves in centro-affine geometry can be obtained in [10]. In [6] equiaffine invariants of 3-dimensional curves and in [5, pp.170-172] and [12] equiaffine curvatures of n -dimensional curves are investigated. Complete systems of global equiaffine invariants for plane and space paths are obtained in [1]. The global $SL(n)$ -equivalence of path in R^n and C^n is considered in [7] and in [21].

This paper is concerned with the problem of the global equivalence of centro-equiaffine curves. Centro-equiaffine types of a curve is introduced. For every centro-equiaffine type of a curve all possible invariant parametrizations are described. We obtain a generating system of the differential ring of all centro-equiaffine invariant differential polynomials of a path. The conditions of the global centro-equiaffine equivalence of curves are given in terms of the centro-equiaffine type and invariants of a curve. The independence of the invariants is proved.

2. The centro-equiaffine type of a curve

Let R be the field of real numbers and $I = (a, b)$ be an open interval of R .

Definition 1 A C^∞ -map $x : I \rightarrow R^n$ will be called an I -path (shortly, a path) in R^n .

Definition 2 An I_1 -path $x(t)$ and an I_2 -path $y(r)$ in R^n will be called D -equivalent if there exists a C^∞ -diffeomorphism $\varphi : I_2 \rightarrow I_1$ such that $\varphi'(r) > 0$ and $y(r) = x(\varphi(r))$ for all $r \in I_2$. A class of D -equivalent paths in R^n will be called a curve in R^n , ([9], p.9). A path $x \in \alpha$ will be called a parametrization of a curve α .

Remark 1 There exist different definitions of a curve ([5], p.2, [7]).

We denote the group $\{g \in GL(n, R) \mid \det g = 1\}$ of all $n \times n$ matrices by $SL(n, R)$.

If $x(t)$ is an I -path in R^n then $gx(t)$ is an I -path in R^n for any $g \in SL(n, R)$.

Definition 3 Two I -paths x and y in R^n will be called $SL(n, R)$ -equivalent and written $x \stackrel{SL(n, R)}{\sim} y$ if there exists $g \in SL(n, R)$ such that $y(t) = gx(t)$.

Let α be a curve in R^n , that is, $\alpha = \{h_\tau, \tau \in Q\}$, where h_τ is a parametrization of α . Then $g\alpha = \{gh_\tau, \tau \in Q\}$ is a curve in R^n for any $g \in SL(n, R)$.

Definition 4 Two curves α and β in R^n will be called $SL(n, R)$ -equivalent (or $SL(n, R)$ -congruent) and written $\alpha \stackrel{SL(n, R)}{\sim} \beta$ if $\beta = g\alpha$ for some $g \in SL(n, R)$.

Remark 2 Our definition is essentially different from the definition ([5], p.21) of a congruence of curves for the group of euclidean motions. By the definition ([5], p.21), two curves with different lengths may be congruent.

Let x be an I -path in R^n and $x'(t)$ be the derivative of $x(t)$. Put $x^{(0)} = x$, $x^{(n)} = (x^{(n-1)})'$. For $a_k \in R^n$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, the determinant $\det(a_{ij})$ (where a_{ki} are coordinates of a_k) will be denoted by $[a_1 a_2 \dots a_n]$. So $\left[x(t) x'(t) \dots x^{(n-1)}(t) \right]$ is the determinant of the vectors $x(t), x'(t), \dots, x^{(n-1)}(t)$. For $I = (a, b)$, $q, p \in I$, put

$$l_x(q, p) = \int_q^p \left| \left[x(t) x'(t) \dots x^{(n-1)}(t) \right] \right|^{\frac{2}{(n-1)n}} dt$$

and $l_x(a, p) = \lim_{q \rightarrow a} l_x(q, p)$, $l_x(q, b) = \lim_{p \rightarrow b} l_x(q, p)$. There are only four possible cases:

- (i) $l_x(a, p) < +\infty$, $l_x(q, b) < +\infty$; (ii) $l_x(a, p) < +\infty$, $l_x(q, b) = +\infty$;
- (iii) $l_x(a, p) = +\infty$, $l_x(q, b) < +\infty$; (iv) $l_x(a, p) = +\infty$, $l_x(q, b) = +\infty$.

Suppose that the case (i) or (ii) holds for some $q, p \in I$. Then $l = l_x(a, p) + l_x(q, b) - l_x(q, p)$, where $0 \leq l \leq +\infty$, does not depend on q, p . In this case, we say that x belongs to the centro-equiaffine type of $(0, l)$. The cases (iii) and (iv) do not depend on q, p . In these cases, we say that x belongs to the centro-equiaffine types of $(-\infty, 0)$ and $(-\infty, +\infty)$, respectively. There exist paths of all types $(0, l)$ (where $0 \leq l \leq +\infty$), $(-\infty, 0)$ and $(-\infty, +\infty)$. The centro-equiaffine type of a path x will be denoted by $L(x)$.

Proposition 1 (i) If $x \stackrel{SL(n,R)}{\sim} y$ then $L(x) = L(y)$;

(ii) Let α be a curve and $x, y \in \alpha$. Then $L(x) = L(y)$.

Proof. It is obvious. □

The centro-equiaffine type of a path $x \in \alpha$ will be called the centro-equiaffine type of the curve α and denoted by $L(\alpha)$. According to Proposition 1, $L(\alpha)$ is an $SL(n, R)$ -invariant of a curve α .

3. Invariant parametrization and reduction theorem

Definition 5 An I -path $x(t)$ in R^n will be called centro-equiaffine regular (shortly, regular) if $[x(t)x'(t)\dots x^{(n-1)}(t)] \neq 0$ for all $t \in I$. A curve will be called regular if it contains a regular path.

Now we define an invariant parametrization of a regular curve in R^n .

Let $I = (a, b)$ and $x(t)$ be a regular I -path in R^n . We define the centro-equiaffine arc length function $s_x(t)$ for each centro-equiaffine type as follows. We put $s_x(t) = l_x(a, t)$ for the case $L(x) = (0, l)$, where $0 < l \leq +\infty$, and $s_x(t) = -l_x(t, b)$ for the case $L(x) = (-\infty, 0)$. Let $L(x) = (-\infty, +\infty)$. We choose a fixed point in every interval $I = (a, b)$ of R and denote it by a_I . Let $a_I = 0$ for $I = (-\infty, +\infty)$. We set $s_x(t) = l_x(a_I, t)$.

Since $s'_x(t) > 0$ for all $t \in I$, the inverse function of $s_x(t)$ exists. Let us denote it by $t_x(s)$. The domain of $t_x(s)$ is $L(x)$ and $t'_x(s) > 0$ for all $s \in L(x)$.

Proposition 2 Let $I = (a, b)$ and x be a regular I -path in R^n . Then

(i) $s_{gx}(t) = s_x(t)$ and $t_{gx}(s) = t_x(s)$ for all $g \in SL(n, R)$;

(ii) the equalities $s_{x(\varphi)}(r) = s_x(\varphi(r)) + s_0$ and $\varphi(t_{x(\varphi)}(s + s_0)) = t_x(s)$ hold for any C^∞ -diffeomorphism $\varphi : J = (c, d) \rightarrow I$ such that $\varphi'(r) > 0$ for all $r \in J$, where $s_0 = 0$ for $L(x) \neq (-\infty, +\infty)$ and $s_0 = l_x(\varphi(a_J), a_I)$ for $L(x) = (-\infty, +\infty)$.

Proof. The proof of (i) is obvious. We prove (ii). Let $L(x) = (-\infty, +\infty)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} s_{x(\varphi)}(r) &= \int_{a_J}^r \left| \left[x(\varphi(r)) \frac{d}{dr} (x(\varphi(r))) \dots \frac{d^{n-1}}{dr^{n-1}} (x(\varphi(r))) \right] \right|^{\frac{2}{(n-1)n}} dr \\ &= \int_{a_J}^r \frac{d\varphi}{dr} \left| \left[x(\varphi(r)) \frac{d}{d\varphi} (x(\varphi(r))) \dots \frac{d^{n-1}}{d\varphi^{n-1}} (x(\varphi(r))) \right] \right|^{\frac{2}{(n-1)n}} dr \\ &= l_x(\varphi(a_J), \varphi(r)) = l_x(a_I, \varphi(r)) + l_x(\varphi(a_J), a_I). \end{aligned}$$

So $s_{x(\varphi)}(r) = s_x(\varphi(r)) + s_0$, where $s_0 = l_x(\varphi(a_J), a_I)$. This implies that $\varphi(t_{x(\varphi)}(s + s_0)) = t_x(s)$. For $L(x) \neq (-\infty, +\infty)$, it is easy to see that $s_0 = 0$. □

Let α be a regular curve and $x \in \alpha$. Then $x(t_x(s))$ is a parametrization of α .

Definition 6 *The parametrization $x(t_x(s))$ of a regular curve α will be called an invariant parametrization of α .*

We denote the set of all invariant parametrizations of α by ϕ_α . Every $y \in \phi_\alpha$ is I -path, where $I = L(\alpha)$.

Proposition 3 *Let α be a regular curve, $x \in \alpha$ and x be an I -path, where $I = L(\alpha)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) x is an invariant parametrization of α ;

(ii) $\left[x(s)x'(s)\dots x^{(n-1)}(s) \right]^2 = 1$ for all $s \in L(\alpha)$;

(iii) $s_x(s) = s$ for all $s \in L(\alpha)$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $x \in \phi_\alpha$. Then there exists $y \in \alpha$ such that $x(s) = y(t_y(s))$. By Proposition 2, $s_x(s) = s_y(t_y(s)) = s_y(t_y(s)) + s_0 = s + s_0$, where s_0 is as in Proposition 2. Since s_0 does not depend on s , $\frac{ds_x(s)}{ds} = \left| \left[x(s)x'(s)\dots x^{(n-1)}(s) \right] \right|^{\frac{2}{(n-1)^n}} = 1$. Hence $\left[x(s)x'(s)\dots x^{(n-1)}(s) \right]^2 = 1$ for all $s \in L(\alpha)$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Let $\left[x(s)x'(s)\dots x^{(n-1)}(s) \right]^2 = 1$ for all $s \in L(\alpha)$. By the definition of $s_x(t)$, we have $\frac{ds_x(s)}{ds} = \left| \left[x(s)x'(s)\dots x^{(n-1)}(s) \right] \right|^{\frac{2}{(n-1)^n}} = 1$. Therefore $s_x(s) = s + c$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$. In the case $L(x) \neq (-\infty, +\infty)$, $s_x(s) = s + c$ and $s_x(s) \in L(\alpha)$ for all $s \in L(\alpha)$ implies $c = 0$, that is, $s_x(s) = s$. In the case $L(x) = (-\infty, +\infty)$, $s_x(s) = l_x(a_I, s) = l_x(0, s) = s + c$ implies $0 = l_x(0, 0) = c$, that is, $s_x(s) = s$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). The equality $s_x(s) = s$ implies $t_x(s) = s$. Therefore $x(s) = x(t_x(s)) \in \phi_\alpha$. \square

Proposition 4 *Let α be a regular curve and $L(\alpha) \neq (-\infty, +\infty)$. Then there exists the unique invariant parametrization of α .*

Proof. Let $x, y \in \alpha$, x be an I_1 -path and y be an I_2 -path. Then there exists a C^∞ -diffeomorphism $\varphi : I_2 \rightarrow I_1$ such that $\varphi'(r) > 0$ and $y(r) = x(\varphi(r))$ for all $r \in I_2$. By Proposition 2 and $L(\alpha) \neq (-\infty, +\infty)$, we obtain $y(t_y(s)) = x(\varphi(t_y(s))) = x(\varphi(t_x(\varphi(s)))) = x(t_x(s))$. \square

Let α be a regular curve and $L(\alpha) = (-\infty, +\infty)$. Then it is easy to see that the set ϕ_α is not countable.

Proposition 5 *Let α be a regular curve, $L(\alpha) = (-\infty, +\infty)$ and $x \in \phi_\alpha$. Then $\phi_\alpha = \{y : y(s) = x(s + s'), s' \in (-\infty, +\infty)\}$.*

Proof. Let $x, y \in \phi_\alpha$. Then there exist $h, k \in \alpha$ such that $x(s) = h(t_h(s))$, $y(s) = k(t_k(s))$, where h be an I_1 -path and k be an I_2 -path. Since $h, k \in \alpha$ there exists $\varphi : I_2 \rightarrow I_1$ such that $\varphi'(r) > 0$ and $k(r) = h(\varphi(r))$ for all $r \in I_2$. By Proposition 2, $y(s) = k(t_k(s)) = h(\varphi(t_k(s))) = h(\varphi(t_h(\varphi(s)))) = h(t_h(s - s_0)) = x(s - s_0)$.

Let $x \in \phi_\alpha$ and $s' \in (-\infty, +\infty)$. We prove $x(\psi) \in \phi_\alpha$, where $\psi(s) = s + s'$. By Proposition 3, $\left[x(s)x'(s)\dots x^{(n-1)}(s) \right]^2 = 1$ and $s_x(s) = s$. Put $z(s) = x(\psi(s))$. Since ψ is a C^∞ -diffeomorphism of $(-\infty, +\infty)$ onto $(-\infty, +\infty)$, then $z = x(\psi) \in \alpha$. Using Proposition 2 and $s_x(s) = s$, we get $s_z(s) = s_{x(\psi)}(s) = s_x(\psi(s)) + s_1 = (s + s') + s_1$, where

$$s_1 = \int_{\psi(0)}^0 \left| \left[x(s)x'(s)\dots x^{(n-1)}(s) \right] \right|^{\frac{2}{(n-1)^n}} ds.$$

This, in view of $\left[x(s)x'(s)\dots x^{(n-1)}(s) \right]^2 = 1$, implies $s_1 = -\psi(0) = -s'$. Then $s_z(s) = (s + s') - s' = s$. By Proposition 3, $z \in \phi_\alpha$. \square

Theorem 1 *Let α, β be regular curves and $x \in \phi_\alpha, y \in \phi_\beta$. Then,*

- (i) for $L(\alpha) = L(\beta) \neq (-\infty, +\infty)$, $\alpha \stackrel{SL(n,R)}{\sim} \beta$ if and only if $x(s) \stackrel{SL(n,R)}{\sim} y(s)$;
- (ii) for $L(\alpha) = L(\beta) = (-\infty, +\infty)$, $\alpha \stackrel{SL(n,R)}{\sim} \beta$ if and only if $x(s) \stackrel{SL(n,R)}{\sim} y(s + s')$ for some $s' \in (-\infty, +\infty)$.

Proof. (i) Let $\alpha \stackrel{SL(n,R)}{\sim} \beta$ and $h \in \alpha$. Then there exists $g \in SL(n, R)$ such that $\beta = g\alpha$. This implies $gh \in \beta$. Using Propositions 2 and 4, we get $x(s) = h(t_h(s))$, $y(s) = (gh)(t_{gh}(s))$ and $gx(s) = g(h(t_h(s))) = (gh)(t_h(s)) = (gh)(t_{gh}(s)) = y(s)$. Thus $x \stackrel{SL(n,R)}{\sim} y$. Conversely, let $x \stackrel{SL(n,R)}{\sim} y$, that is, there exists $g \in SL(n, R)$ such that $gx = y$. Then $\alpha \stackrel{SL(n,R)}{\sim} \beta$.

(ii) Let $\alpha \stackrel{SL(n,R)}{\sim} \beta$. Then there exist I -paths $h \in \alpha, k \in \beta$ and $g \in SL(n, R)$ such that $k(t) = gh(t)$. We have $k(t_k(s)) = k(t_{gh}(s)) = k(t_h(s)) = (gh)(t_h(s))$. By Proposition 5, $x(s) = k(t_k(s + s_1))$, $y(s) = h(t_h(s + s_2))$ for some $s_1, s_2 \in (-\infty, +\infty)$. Therefore $x(s - s_1) = gy(s - s_2)$. This implies that $x(s) \stackrel{SL(n,R)}{\sim} y(s + s')$, where $s' = s_1 - s_2$. Conversely, let $x(s) \stackrel{SL(n,R)}{\sim} y(s + s')$ for some $s' \in (-\infty, +\infty)$. Then there exists $g \in SL(n, R)$ such that $y(s + s') = gx(s)$. Since $y(s + s') \in \beta$, then $\alpha \stackrel{SL(n,R)}{\sim} \beta$. \square

Theorem 1 reduces the problem of the $SL(n, R)$ -equivalence of regular curves to that of paths.

4. The generating system

Let $x(t)$ be an I -path in R^n .

Definition 7 *A polynomial $p(x, x', \dots, x^{(k)})$ of x and a finite number of derivatives $x, x', \dots, x^{(k)}$ of x with the coefficients from R will be called a differential polynomial of x . It will be denoted by $p\{x\}$.*

We denote the set of all differential polynomials of x by $R\{x\}$. It is a differential R -algebra. Let G be a subgroup of $SL(n, R)$.

Definition 8 A differential polynomial $p\{x\}$ will be called G -invariant if $p\{gx\} = p\{x\}$ for all $g \in G$.

The set of all G -invariant differential polynomials of x will be denoted by $R\{x\}^G$. It is a differential R -subalgebra of $R\{x\}$.

By Proposition 3, an I -path x is an invariant parametrization of a regular curve α if and only if $I = L(\alpha)$ and $\left[x(s)x'(s)\dots x^{(n-1)}(s)\right]^2 = 1$ for all $s \in L(\alpha)$.

Let I be one of the sets $(0, l)$, $0 < l \leq +\infty$, $(-\infty, 0)$, $(-\infty, +\infty)$. Put $W = \{x : \left[x(s)x'(s)\dots x^{(n-1)}(s)\right]^2 = 1 \text{ for all } s \text{ in } I\}$. The restriction of the $SL(n, R)$ -invariant differential polynomial $p\{x\}$ to the set W will be denoted by $p\{x\}/W$. We put $R\{x\}^{SL(n, R)}/W = \{p/W, p \in R\{x\}^{SL(n, R)}\}$. It is a differential R -algebra.

Definition 9 A subset S of $R\{x\}^{SL(n, R)}/W$ will be called a generating system of $R\{x\}^{SL(n, R)}/W$ if the smallest differential R -subalgebra with the unit containing S is $R\{x\}^{SL(n, R)}/W$.

Theorem 2 The system

$$\left[xx' \dots x^{(n-1)}\right]/W, \left[xx' \dots x^{(i-1)}x^{(n)}x^{(i+1)} \dots x^{(n-1)}\right]/W, i = 1, \dots, n-2,$$

is a generating system of $R\{x\}^{SL(n, R)}/W$.

Proof. For the proof, we need several lemmas.

By the First Main Theorem for $SL(n)$ ([22], p.45), the system U of $[x^{(i_1)} \dots x^{(i_n)}]$, where $0 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{n-1} < +\infty$, is a generating system of $R\{x'\}^{SL(n, R)}$. For the determinant $u = [x^{(i_1)} \dots x^{(i_n)}]$, we denote the number of elements of the set $\{x^{(i_1)}, \dots, x^{(i_n)}\} \setminus \{x, x', \dots, x^{(n-1)}\}$ by $\delta(u)$ and we put $\tau(u) = \max(i_1, \dots, i_n)$. \square

Lemma 1 Let $u = [x^{(i_1)} \dots x^{(i_n)}]$ and $\delta(u) \geq 2$. Then u/W is a polynomial of elements $v/W = [x^{(j_1)} \dots x^{(j_n)}]/W$ such that $\delta(v) < \delta(u)$ and $\tau(v) \leq \tau(u)$.

Proof. By $\delta(u) \geq 2$, there exists $x^{(k)}$, $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, such that $x^{(k)} \notin \{x^{(i_1)}, \dots, x^{(i_n)}\}$. We need the following lemma ([22], p.70): \square

Lemma 2 For any vectors $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, y_2, \dots, y_n$ in R^n , the following equality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & [x_1x_2 \dots x_n] \times [x_0y_2 \dots y_n] - [x_0x_2 \dots x_n] \times [x_1y_2 \dots y_n] - \\ & \dots - [x_1x_2 \dots x_{n-1}x_0] \times [x_ny_2 \dots y_n] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. In Lemma 3, we put $x_1 = x^{(i_1)}, \dots, x_n = x^{(i_n)}, y_3 = x', \dots, y_{k+1} = x^{(k-1)}, y_{k+2} = x^{(k+1)}, \dots, y_n = x^{(n-1)}$. Then

$$\left. \begin{aligned} & [x^{(i_1)} \dots x^{(i_n)}] \times [x^{(k)} x x' \dots x^{(k-1)} x^{(k+1)} \dots x^{(n-1)}] - \\ & [x^{(k)} x^{(i_2)} \dots x^{(i_n)}] \times [x^{(i_1)} x x' \dots x^{(k-1)} x^{(k+1)} \dots x^{(n-1)}] - \dots \\ & - [x^{(i_1)} \dots x^{(i_{n-1})} x^{(k)}] \times [x^{(i_n)} x x' \dots x^{(k-1)} x^{(k+1)} \dots x^{(n-1)}] = 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1)$$

Put $v_0 = [x^{(k)} x x' \dots x^{(k-1)} x^{(k+1)} \dots x^{(n-1)}], v_r = [x^{(i_r)} x x' \dots x^{(k-1)} x^{(k+1)} \dots x^{(n-1)}],$
 $h_m = [x^{(i_1)} \dots x^{(i_{m-1})} x^{(k)} x^{(i_{m+1})} \dots x^{(i_n)}]$. Then $\delta(v_0) = 0, \tau(v_0) \leq \tau(u), \delta(v_r) \leq 1, \tau(h_m) \leq \tau(u)$. From equality (1), using $[x x' \dots x^{(n-1)}]^2 = 1$, we get $u/W = v_1 h_1 v_0/W + \dots + v_n h_n v_0/W$. By $\delta(u) \geq 2$, the number of multiplications $v_j h_j v_0 \neq 0$ is $\delta(u) + 1 \geq 3$. For h_j such that $v_j h_j v_0 \neq 0$, we have $\delta(h_j) < \delta(u)$. Therefore u/W is a polynomial of the system $v_0/W, v_j/W, h_j/W$, with $\delta(v_0) = 0, \tau(v_0) \leq \tau(u), \delta(v_j) \leq 1, \tau(v_j) \leq \tau(u), \delta(h_j) < \delta(u), \tau(h_j) \leq \tau(u)$. So the proof of Lemma 2 is completed. \square

Lemma 3 Let $u = [x x' \dots x^{(i-1)} x^{(m)} x^{(i+1)} \dots x^{(n-1)}]$ and $m > n$. Then u is a differential polynomial of elements $v = [x^{(j_1)} \dots x^{(j_n)}]$ such that $\tau(v) < \tau(u)$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & [x x' \dots x^{(i-1)} x^{(m-1)} x^{(i+1)} \dots x^{(n-1)}]' = [x' x' \dots x^{(i-1)} x^{(m-1)} x^{(i+1)} \dots x^{(n-1)}] + \dots \\ & + [x x' \dots x^{(i-2)} x^{(i)} x^{(m-1)} x^{(i+1)} \dots x^{(n-1)}] + [x x' \dots x^{(i-1)} x^{(m)} x^{(i+1)} \dots x^{(n-1)}] + \dots \\ & + [x x' \dots x^{(i-1)} x^{(m)} x^{(i+1)} \dots x^{(n-2)} x^{(n)}]. \end{aligned}$$

In this equality, only the following determinants are nonzero:

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= [x x' \dots x^{(i-1)} x^{(m-1)} x^{(i+1)} \dots x^{(n-1)}], v_2 = [x x' \dots x^{(i-2)} x^{(i)} x^{(m-1)} x^{(i+1)} \dots x^{(n-1)}], \\ v_3 &= [x x' \dots x^{(i-1)} x^{(m-1)} x^{(i+1)} \dots x^{(n-2)} x^{(n)}], u = [x x' \dots x^{(i-1)} x^{(m)} x^{(i+1)} \dots x^{(n-1)}]. \end{aligned}$$

So we obtain $u = v_1' - v_2 - v_3$. By $\tau(u) = m, \tau(v_1) = \tau(v_2) = \tau(v_3) = m - 1$, the lemma is proved.

Now the proof of Theorem 2 follows from Lemmas 1, 2 and 4 by induction on $\tau(u)$ and $\delta(u)$. \square

Theorem 3 Let α, β be regular curves in R^n and $x \in \phi_\alpha, y \in \phi_\beta$. Then,

(i) for $L(\alpha) = L(\beta) \neq (-\infty, +\infty), \alpha \stackrel{SL(n, R)}{\sim} \beta$ if and only if

$$\left. \begin{aligned} & \operatorname{sgn}[x(s)x'(s) \dots x^{(n-1)}(s)] = \operatorname{sgn}[y(s)y'(s) \dots y^{(n-1)}(s)], \\ & [x(s)x'(s) \dots x^{(i-1)}(s)x^{(n)}(s)x^{(i+1)}(s) \dots x^{(n-1)}(s)] \\ & = [y(s)y'(s) \dots y^{(i-1)}(s)y^{(n)}(s)y^{(i+1)}(s) \dots y^{(n-1)}(s)] \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (2)$$

for all $s \in L(\alpha) = L(\beta)$ and $i = 1, \dots, n-2$.

(ii) for $L(\alpha) = L(\beta) = (-\infty, +\infty)$, $\alpha \stackrel{SL(n,R)}{\sim} \beta$ if and only if there exists $a \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ such that

$$\operatorname{sgn} [x(s)x'(s)\dots x^{(n-1)}(s)] = \operatorname{sgn} [y(s+a)y'(s+a)\dots y^{(n-1)}(s+a)]$$

$$[x(s)x'(s)\dots x^{(i-1)}(s)x^{(n)}(s)x^{(i+1)}(s)\dots x^{(n-1)}(s)] =$$

$$[y(s+a)y'(s+a)\dots y^{(i-1)}(s+a)y^{(n)}(s+a)y^{(i+1)}(s+a)\dots y^{(n-1)}(s+a)]$$

for all $s \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ and $i = 1, \dots, n-2$.

Proof. (i) Let $\alpha \stackrel{SL(n,R)}{\sim} \beta$. By claim (i) of Theorem 1, $x \stackrel{SL(n,R)}{\sim} y$. By Proposition 3, $\left| [xx' \dots x^{(n-1)}] \right| = \left| [yy' \dots y^{(n-1)}] \right| = 1$. This, in view of $x \stackrel{SL(n,R)}{\sim} y$, yields (2). Now suppose that (2) holds. By Proposition 3, we have $\left| [x(s)x'(s)\dots x^{(n-1)}(s)] \right| = \left| [y(s)y'(s)\dots y^{(n-1)}(s)] \right| = 1$ we obtain

$$[x(s)x'(s)\dots x^{(n-1)}(s)] = [y(s)y'(s)\dots y^{(n-1)}(s)],$$

$$\begin{aligned} & [x(s)x'(s)\dots x^{(i-1)}(s)x^{(n)}(s)x^{(i+1)}(s)\dots x^{(n-1)}(s)] \\ &= [y(s)y'(s)\dots y^{(i-1)}(s)y^{(n)}(s)y^{(i+1)}(s)\dots y^{(n-1)}(s)]. \end{aligned}$$

This, in view of claim (i) of Theorem 1 and Theorems 10.7, 10.8 in [7], implies $\alpha \stackrel{SL(n,R)}{\sim} \beta$.

The proof of (ii) follows similarly from claim (ii) of Theorem 1. \square

Let T be one of the sets $(0, l)$ (where $l \leq +\infty$), $(-\infty, 0)$, $(-\infty, +\infty)$.

Theorem 4 Let $h_1(s), \dots, h_n(s)$ be C^∞ -functions on T , where $|h_n(s)| = 1$ for all $s \in T$. Then there exists an invariant parametrization y of a regular curve such that

$$\operatorname{sgn}[y(s)y'(s)\dots y^{(n-1)}(s)] = h_n(s),$$

$$[y(s)y'(s)\dots y^{(i-1)}(s)y^{(n)}(s)y^{(i+1)}(s)\dots y^{(n-1)}(s)] = h_i(s)$$

for all $s \in T$ and $i = 0, \dots, n-2$.

Proof. Let $C(s)$ be the matrix $\|c_{ij}(s)\|$, where $c_{j+1j}(s) = 1$ for all $s \in T$, $0 \leq j \leq n-2$; $c_{ij}(s) = 0$ for all $s \in T$, $j \neq n$, $i \neq j+1$, $0 \leq i \leq n-1$; $c_{in}(s) = \frac{h_i(s)}{h_n(s)}$, $i = 0, \dots, n-2$, $c_{nn}(s) = \frac{h'_n(s)}{h_n(s)}$. It is known from the theory of differential equations that there exists a solution of the differential equation

$$A'_x(s) = A_x(s)C(s) \tag{3}$$

such that $\det A_x(s) \neq 0$ for all $s \in T$, where $A_x(s) = \left\| x(s)x'(s)\dots x^{(n-1)}(s) \right\|$ is the matrix of column vectors $x(s), x'(s), \dots, x^{(n-1)}(s)$. Let $A_x(s)$ be one of such solutions. Put $[x(s)x'(s)\dots x^{(n-1)}(s)] = \varphi(s)$. By

$\det A_x(s) \neq 0$ for all $s \in T$, we get $\varphi(s) \neq 0$ for all $s \in T$. By $|h_n(s)| = 1$ for all $s \in T$, we have $h'_n(s) = 0$ for all $s \in T$. Then, from (3), we obtain

$$\frac{[x(s)x'(s) \dots x^{(n-1)}(s)]'}{[x(s)x'(s) \dots x^{(n-1)}(s)]} = \frac{h'_n(s)}{h_n(s)} = 0.$$

Therefore $\varphi'(s) = 0$. Put $\varphi(s) = \lambda_1$, $\lambda_1 \in R$, $\lambda_1 \neq 0$ and $h_n(s) = \lambda_2$, $\lambda_2 \in R$. By $|h_n(s)| = 1$, we get $|\lambda_2| = 1$. We consider $g \in SL(n, R)$ such that $\det g = \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}$. So $[gx(gx)' \dots (gx)^{(n-1)}] = \det g [xx' \dots x^{(n-1)}] = h_n(s)$. For $y = gx$, we have

$$\frac{[yy' \dots y^{(i-1)}y^{(n)}y^{(i+1)} \dots y^{(n-1)}]}{[yy' \dots y^{(n-1)}]} = \frac{\det g [xx' \dots x^{(i-1)}x^{(n)}x^{(i+1)} \dots x^{(n-1)}]}{\det g [xx' \dots x^{(n-1)}]} = \frac{h_i(s)}{h_n(s)}$$

$i = 0, \dots, n-2$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} [y(s)y'(s) \dots y^{(n-1)}(s)] &= h_n(s), \\ [y(s)y'(s) \dots y^{(i-1)}(s)y^{(n)}(s)y^{(i+1)}(s) \dots y^{(n-1)}(s)] &= h_i(s) \end{aligned}$$

for all $s \in T$, $i = 0, \dots, n-2$. Then by $\left| [y(s)y'(s) \dots y^{(n-1)}(s)] \right| = |h_n(s)| = 1$ and Proposition 3, $y \in \phi_\alpha$ for some regular curve α . □

References

- [1] De Angelis E., Moons T., Van Gool L., Verstraelen P.: Complete systems of affine semi-differential invariants for plane and space curves, In: Dillen, F.(ed.) et al., Geometry and topology of submanifolds, VIII. Proceedings of the international meeting on geometry of submanifolds, Brussels, Belgium, July 13-14, 1995 and Nordfjordeid, Norway, July 18-August 7, 1995. Singapore: World Scientific, 85-94 (1996).
- [2] Barthel W.: Zur affinen Differentialgeometrie -Kurventheorie in der allgemeinen Affingeometrie, Proceedings of the Congress of Geometry, Thessaloniki, 5-19 (1987).
- [3] Blaschke W.: Affine Differentialgeometrie, Berlin, 1923.
- [4] Gardner R.B., Wilkens G.R.: The fundamental theorems of curves and hypersurfaces in centro-affine geometry, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc.4, 379-401 (1997).
- [5] Guggenheimer H.W.: Differential Geometry, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1963.
- [6] Izumiya S., Sano T.: Generic affine differential geometry of space curves, Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburg, 128A, 301-314 (1998).
- [7] Khadjiev Dj.: The Application of Invariant Theory to Differential Geometry of Curves, Fan Publ., Tashkent,1988.

- [8] Khadjiev Dj., Pekşen Ö.: The complete system of global integral and differential invariants for equi-affine curves, *Diff. Geom. and its Applications* 20, 167-175 (2004).
- [9] Klingenberg W.: *A Course in Differential Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978.
- [10] Laugwitz D.: *Differentialgeometrie in Vectorräumen*, Friedr. Vieweg and Sohn, Braunschweig, 1965.
- [11] Nomizu K., Sasaki T.: *Affine Differential Geometry*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1994.
- [12] Paukowsch H.P.: Begleitfiguren und Invariantensystem minimaler Differentiationsordnung von Kurven im reellen n-dimensionalen affinen Raum, *Mh. Math.* 85, No.2, 137-148 (1978).
- [13] Pekşen Ö., Khadjiev Dj.: On invariants of curves in centro-affine geometry, *J.Math. Kyoto Univ.* 44 (3), 603-613 (2004).
- [14] Salkowski E.: *Affine Differentialgeometrie*, W. de Gruyter, Berlin, 1934.
- [15] Schirokow P.A., Schirokow A.P.: *Affine Differentialgeometrie*, Teubner, Leipzig, 1962.
- [16] Simon U., Burau W.: Blaschkes Beitrage zur affinen Differentialgeometrie, *Ibid.*, Vol.IV, 11-34 (1985).
- [17] Simon U.: Entwicklung der affinen Differentialgeometrie nach Blaschkes, *Ibid.*, Vol.IV, 35-88 (1985).
- [18] Simon U.: Recent developments in affine differential geometry, *Diff. Geom. and its Applications, Proc. Conf. Dubrovnik/Yugosl.* 1988, 327-347 (1989).
- [19] Simon U., Liu H.L., Magid M. and Scharlach Ch.: Recent developments in affine differential geometry, In: *Geometry and Topology of Submanifolds VIII*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1-15 and 293-408 (1966).
- [20] Su B.: *Affine Differential Geometry*, Science Press, Beijing, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1983.
- [21] Suhtaeva A.M.: On the equivalence of curves in C^n with respect to the action of groups $SL(n, C)$ and $GL(n, C)$, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk of SSRUz*, N6 ,11-13 (1987) .
- [22] Weyl H.: *The Classical Groups*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1946.

Yasemin SAĞIROĞLU, Ömer PEKŞEN
 Department of Mathematics,
 Karadeniz Technical University,
 61080, Trabzon-TURKEY
 e-mail: sagiroglu.yasemin@gmail.com,peksen@ktu.edu.tr

Received 15.10.2008