

1-1-2011

Combinatorial results for order-preserving and order-decreasing transformations

GONCA AYIK

HAYRULLAH AYIK

METİN KOÇ

Follow this and additional works at: <https://dctubitak.researchcommons.org/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

AYIK, GONCA; AYIK, HAYRULLAH; and KOÇ, METİN (2011) "Combinatorial results for order-preserving and order-decreasing transformations," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 35: No. 4, Article 3.

<https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-1010-432>

Available at: <https://dctubitak.researchcommons.org/math/vol35/iss4/3>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals.

Combinatorial results for order-preserving and order-decreasing transformations

Gonca Ayık, Hayrullah Ayık and Metin Koç

Abstract

Let O_n and C_n be the semigroup of all order-preserving transformations and of all order-preserving and order-decreasing transformations on the finite set $X_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, respectively. Let $\text{Fix}(\alpha) = \{x \in X_n : x\alpha = x\}$ for any transformation α . In this paper, for any $Y \subseteq X_n$, we find the cardinalities of the sets $O_{n,Y} = \{\alpha \in O_n : \text{Fix}(\alpha) = Y\}$ and $C_{n,Y} = \{\alpha \in C_n : \text{Fix}(\alpha) = Y\}$. Moreover, we find the numbers of transformations of O_n and C_n with r fixed points.

Key Words: Order-preserving transformations, order-decreasing transformations, nilpotent, Catalan number

1. Introduction

Consider the finite set $X_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ordered in the standard way. Let T_n be the full transformation semigroup on X_n . We shall call a transformation $\alpha : X_n \rightarrow X_n$ order-preserving if $x \leq y$ implies $x\alpha \leq y\alpha$ for all $x, y \in X_n$, and decreasing (increasing) if $x\alpha \leq x$ ($x\alpha \geq x$) for all $x \in X_n$. Combinatorial properties of the semigroup O_n of order-preserving transformations on X_n , and of its subsemigroup C_n , which consists of all decreasing and order-preserving transformations have been investigated over the last thirty years. (See, for example [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7].)

For $\alpha \in T_n$ we denote $\text{Fix}(\alpha) = \{x \in X_n : x\alpha = x\}$. For $Y \subseteq X_n$ we define

$$O_{n,Y} = \{\alpha \in O_n : \text{Fix}(\alpha) = Y\} \quad \text{and} \quad C_{n,Y} = \{\alpha \in C_n : \text{Fix}(\alpha) = Y\}.$$

We write $O_{n,m}$ instead of $O_{n,Y}$ when $Y = \{m\}$. The n th Catalan number C_n is $\frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n}$ (see, for example [3, 9]).

The numbers of transformations of O_n and C_n with r fixed points have been computed by Higgins, and Laradji and Umar in [3, 7]. In both [3] and [7], there is no information about the cardinalities of the sets $O_{n,Y} = \{\alpha \in O_n : \text{Fix}(\alpha) = Y\}$ and $C_{n,Y} = \{\alpha \in C_n : \text{Fix}(\alpha) = Y\}$ for any non-empty subset Y of X_n . The

aim of this paper we compute these cardinalities as follows:

$$|O_{n,Y}| = C_{m_1-1} \left(\prod_{j=2}^r C_{m_j-m_{j-1}} \right) C_{n-m_r}$$

for any $Y = \{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r\}$ with $m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_r$, and

$$|C_{n,Y}| = \left(\prod_{j=2}^{r-1} C_{m_{j+1}-m_j} \right) C_{n-m_r+1}$$

for any $Y = \{1, m_2, \dots, m_r\}$ with $m_1 = 1 < m_2 < m_3 < \dots < m_r$. Consequently, we also show that there are $\frac{r}{n} \binom{2n}{n+r}$ order-preserving transformations in O_n with r fixed points as in [3, 7], and that there are $\frac{r}{2n-r} \binom{2n-r}{n}$ order-preserving and order-decreasing transformations in C_n with r fixed points, as in [3].

2. Preliminaries

For any $\alpha \in T_n$ the equivalence relation \equiv on X_n , defined by

$$x \equiv y \text{ if and only if } (\exists r, s \geq 0) x\alpha^r = y\alpha^s,$$

partitions X_n into orbits $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \dots, \Omega_k$. The orbits are the connected components of the function graph, and provide valuable information about the structure of the transformation α . Typically, an orbit consists of a cycle with some trees attached. If there are no attached trees, we say that the orbit Ω_i is cyclic, if the cycle consists of a single fixed point and $|\Omega_i| \geq 2$ we say that Ω_i is *acyclic*; if Ω_i consists of a single fixed point, we say that it is *trivial* (see [1, 3]). The following proposition was proved by Higgins in [3, Proposition 1.5]:

Proposition 1 *Each of the cycles of the components of $\alpha \in O_n$ consists of a unique fixed point. Each orbit of $\alpha \in O_n$ is convex in the ordered set X_n .* □

Since the orbits of $\alpha \in O_n$ are either acyclic or trivial, it follows that $\alpha \in O_n$ has a unique orbit if and only if $\alpha \in O_{n,m}$ for some $m \in X_n$.

A proof for the following result can be found in [3]:

Lemma 2 $\sum_{k=1}^n C_{k-1}C_{n-k} = C_n$. □

Let C_n^+ be the semigroups of all increasing and order-preserving full transformations on X_n . Then it is a well-known fact that C_n and C_n^+ are “isomorphic”. Moreover, $|C_n| = |C_n^+| = C_n$ (see, for example [3, Theorem 3.1]). We denote the set of all nilpotent element of a semigroup S with zero by $N(S)$. The following results were proved in [6, 7].

Lemma 3 $O_{n,1} = N(C_n)$, $O_{n,n} = N(C_n^+)$ and $|O_{n,1}| = |O_{n,n}| = C_{n-1}$. □

From [8, Ex 16b, p. 169] since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{ac(p+qk)}{(a+bk)(c+bn-bk)} \binom{a+bk}{k} \binom{c+bn-bk}{n-k} \\ = \frac{p(a+c)+aqn}{a+c+bn} \binom{a+c+bn}{n}, \end{aligned}$$

it follows by replacing a, b, c, n, p and q with $2r, 2, 2, n-r-1, 1$ and 0 , and with $r, 2, 2, n-r-2, 1$ and 0 , respectively that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-r-1} \frac{r}{(r+k)(n-r-k)} \binom{2r+2k}{k} \binom{2n-2r-2k}{n-r-1-k} = \frac{r+1}{n} \binom{2n}{n-r-1} \tag{1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{n-r-2} \frac{r}{(r+2k)(n-r-1-k)} \binom{r+2k}{k} \binom{2n-2r-2-2k}{n-r-2-k} \\ = \frac{r+2}{2n-(r+2)} \binom{2n-(r+2)}{n-(r+2)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

3. Order-preserving with fixed points

Proposition 4 *Let $\alpha \in O_{n,m}$. Then we have*

- (i) *if $1 \leq x < m \leq n$ then $x+1 \leq x\alpha$, and*
- (ii) *if $1 \leq m < x \leq n$ then $x\alpha \leq x-1$.*

Proof. (i) Let $\alpha \in O_{n,m}$. If $1 \leq x < m \leq n$ then either $x+1 \leq x\alpha$ or $x\alpha \leq x-1$. If $x = 1$, then it is clear that $1\alpha \neq 1$, and so $2 \leq 1\alpha$. Now suppose that $1 < x$, and that $x\alpha \leq x-1$. Since $(x-1)\alpha \leq x\alpha \leq x-1$, it follows that $(x-1)\alpha \leq x-2$. Similarly if we continue, then we have the following sequence

$$(x-2)\alpha \leq x-3, (x-3)\alpha \leq x-4, \dots, 2\alpha \leq 1.$$

Thus we have $2\alpha = 1$, and so $1\alpha = 1$ which is a contradiction with $\text{Fix}(\alpha) = \{m\} \neq \{1\}$, and hence $x\alpha \geq x+1$.

(ii) Let $1 \leq m < x \leq n$. If $x = n$, then it is clear that $n\alpha \neq n$, and so $n\alpha \leq n-1$. Now suppose that $x < n$, and that $x\alpha \geq x+1$. Similarly, we have the following sequence

$$(x+1)\alpha \geq x+2, (x+2)\alpha \geq x+3, \dots, (n-1)\alpha \geq n.$$

It follows that $(n-1)\alpha = n$, and so $n\alpha = n$ which is a contradiction with $\text{Fix}(\alpha) = \{m\} \neq \{n\}$, and hence $x\alpha \leq x-1$. □

We have the following corollary.

Corollary 5 *For $\alpha \in O_{n,m}$ if $m \neq 1$ then $(m-1)\alpha = m$, and if $m \neq n$ then $(m+1)\alpha = m$. □*

Now consider the first special case $|Y| = 1$:

Lemma 6 For every $m \in X_n$,

$$|O_{n,m}| = C_{m-1}C_{n-m}.$$

Proof. For each $\alpha \in O_{n,m}$ we fix

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & m-2 & m-1 & m \\ 1\alpha & \dots & (m-2)\alpha & m & m \end{pmatrix} \text{ and} \\ \alpha_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & \dots & n-(m-1) \\ 1 & 1 & (m+2)\alpha-(m-1) & \dots & n\alpha-(m-1) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Proposition 4 that $\alpha_1 \in O_{m,m}$ and $\alpha_2 \in O_{n-m+1,1}$. Next consider the function

$$f : O_{n,m} \rightarrow O_{m,m} \times O_{n-m+1,1}$$

which maps each $\alpha \in O_{n,m}$ to the ordered pair (α_1, α_2) . Then it follows from Corollary 5 that f is a bijection. Moreover, it follows from Lemma 3 that

$$|O_{n,m}| = |O_{m,m}| \cdot |O_{n-m+1,1}| = C_{m-1}C_{n-m},$$

as required. □

Next consider the second special case $|Y| = 2$.

Lemma 7 If $Y = \{m, m+r\} \subseteq X_n$ ($r \geq 1$) then $|O_{n,Y}| = C_{m-1}C_{n-m-r}C_r$. In particular, $|O_{n,\{1,n\}}| = C_{n-1}$.

Proof. Let $Y = \{m, m+r\}$, and let $\alpha \in O_{n,Y}$. By Proposition 1 there exists a unique $0 \leq q \leq r-1$ such that

$$s\alpha \leq m+q \quad \text{and} \quad t\alpha \geq m+q+1$$

for all $s \leq m+q$, and for all $t \geq m+q+1$. Then we fix

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & m+q \\ 1\alpha & 2\alpha & \dots & (m+q)\alpha \end{pmatrix} \text{ and} \\ \alpha_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & n-m-q \\ (m+q+1)\alpha-m-q & (m+q+2)\alpha-m-q & \dots & n\alpha-m-q \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

as above. Then it follows from Proposition 4 that $\alpha_1 \in O_{(m+q),m}$ and $\alpha_2 \in O_{(n-m-q),(r-q)}$. Next consider the function

$$f : O_{n,Y} \rightarrow \bigcup_{q=0}^{r-1} (O_{(m+q),m} \times O_{(n-m-q),(r-q)})$$

which maps each $\alpha \in O_{n,Y}$ to the ordered pair (α_1, α_2) . Since f is a bijection, it follows from Lemmas 6 and 2 that

$$\begin{aligned} |O_{n,Y}| &= \sum_{q=0}^{r-1} |O_{(m+q),m}| \cdot |O_{(n-m-q),(r-q)}| \\ &= \sum_{q=0}^{r-1} (C_{m-1}C_q)(C_{r-q-1}C_{n-m-r}) = C_{m-1}C_{n-m-r} \sum_{q=0}^{r-1} C_q C_{r-q-1} \\ &= C_{m-1}C_{n-m-r} \sum_{q=1}^r C_{q-1}C_{r-q} = C_{m-1}C_{n-m-r}C_r, \end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

Now we have the following theorem.

Theorem 8 *Let $Y = \{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r\}$ with $m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_r$ be any subset of X_n . Then*

$$|O_{n,Y}| = \prod_{j=1}^{r+1} C_{k_j},$$

where $k_1 = m_1 - 1$, $k_j = m_j - m_{j-1}$ ($2 \leq j \leq r$) and $k_{r+1} = n - m_r$.

Proof. By Lemmas 6 and 7 we suppose that $r \geq 3$. Let $Y = \{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r\}$ with $m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_r$, and let

$$k_1 = m_1 - 1, \quad k_j = m_j - m_{j-1} \quad (2 \leq j \leq r) \quad \text{and} \quad k_{r+1} = n - m_r.$$

Then, for each $\alpha \in O_{n,Y}$, we fix

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & k_1 & k_1 + 1 \\ 1\alpha & \cdots & k_1\alpha & k_1 + 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \alpha_j &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & 2 & \cdots & & k_j & & k_j + 1 \\ 1 & (m_{j-1} + 1)\alpha - m_{j-1} + 1 & \cdots & (m_j - 1)\alpha - m_{j-1} + 1 & & k_j + 1 & & k_j + 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \alpha_{r+1} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & 2 & \cdots & & k_{r+1} & & k_{r+1} + 1 \\ 1 & (m_r + 1)\alpha - m_r + 1 & \cdots & (n - 1)\alpha - m_r + 1 & & n\alpha - m_r + 1 & & n\alpha - m_r + 1 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where $2 \leq j \leq r$. Then it follows from Proposition 4 that $\alpha_1 \in O_{k_1+1, k_1+1}$, $\alpha_j \in O_{k_j+1, \{1, k_j+1\}}$ ($2 \leq j \leq r$) and $\alpha_{r+1} \in O_{k_{r+1}+1, 1}$. Next, define the set

$$O_{n,Y}^* = O_{k_1+1, k_1+1} \times O_{k_2+1, \{1, k_2+1\}} \times \cdots \times O_{k_r+1, \{1, k_r+1\}} \times O_{k_{r+1}+1, 1}.$$

as the cartesian product of the $r + 1$ sets. Now consider the function $f : O_{n,Y} \rightarrow O_{n,Y}^*$ which maps $\alpha \in O_{n,Y}$ to the ordered $(r + 1)$ -pair $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{r+1})$. Since f is a bijection, it follows from Lemmas 3 and 7 that

$$\begin{aligned} |O_{n,Y}| &= |O_{k_1+1, k_1+1}| \left(\prod_{j=2}^r |O_{k_j+1, \{1, k_j+1\}}| \right) |O_{k_{r+1}+1, 1}| \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^{r+1} C_{k_j}, \end{aligned}$$

as required. □

For any $r \in X_n$ we define

$$F(n, r) = |\{\alpha \in O_n : |\text{Fix}(\alpha)| = r\}|$$

as the number of order-preserving transformations which have exactly r fixed points. Let $Y = \{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r\}$ with $m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_r$ be any subset of X_n . Now take $k_1 = m_1$, $k_j = m_j - m_{j-1}$ ($2 \leq j \leq r$) and $k_{r+1} = n + 1 - m_r$. Then it is clear that $(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{r+1})$ is a positive integer solution of the equation

$$x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_{r+1} = n + 1. \tag{3}$$

Conversely, every positive integer solution of Equation (3) gives a subset of X_n with $r + 1$ elements. If we denote the set of all positive integer solutions of Equation (3) by $P_{r+1}(n + 1)$, then we have

$$F(n, r) = \sum_{(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{r+1}) \in P_{r+1}(n+1)} C_{k_1-1} C_{k_2} C_{k_3} \dots C_{k_r} C_{k_{r+1}-1}.$$

Moreover, we have the following result.

Theorem 9 $F(n, r) = \frac{r}{n} \binom{2n}{n+r}$.

Proof. For this we use induction on r . If $r = 1$ then it follows from Lemmas 6 and 2 that

$$F(n, 1) = \sum_{m=1}^n |O_{n,m}| = \sum_{m=1}^n C_{m-1} C_{n-m} = C_n.$$

Suppose that $\alpha \in O_n$ has $r + 1$ fixed points, say $m_1 < \dots < m_r < m_{r+1}$. Then consider the orbit of α which contains m_{r+1} . Since, by Proposition 1, this orbit is convex, there exists a unique $m_r < k \leq m_{r+1}$ such that the restricted transformation $\alpha|_{Y_k} : Y_k = \{k, k + 1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow Y_k$ of α has unique fixed point, and that the restricted transformation $\alpha|_{X_n - Y_k}$ has r fixed points. Similarly, the transformations $\alpha|_{Y_k} : Y_k \rightarrow Y_k$ with a unique fixed point can be put into one-to-one correspondence with $\beta : X_{n-k+1} \rightarrow X_{n-k+1}$ with a unique fixed point. Since the number of such transformations is C_{n-k+1} , and since $k \in \{r + 1, \dots, n\}$, it follows from the inductive hypothesis that

$$F(n, r + 1) = \sum_{k=r+1}^n F(k - 1, r) C_{n-k+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-r-1} F(r + k, r) C_{n-r-k}.$$

Therefore, it follows from Equation (1) that

$$\begin{aligned} F(n, r + 1) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-r-1} \frac{r}{r+k} \binom{2r+2k}{2r+k} \frac{1}{n-r-k} \binom{2n-2r-2k}{n-r-1-k} \\ &= \frac{r+1}{n} \binom{2n}{n-r-1} = \frac{r+1}{n} \binom{2n}{n+r+1}, \end{aligned}$$

as required. □

4. Order-decreasing with fixed points

Finally, we consider the order-decreasing subsemigroup \mathcal{C}_n of O_n . Recall that $1 \in \text{Fix}(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_n$. For any $Y = \{1, m_2, m_3, \dots, m_r\} \subseteq X_n$ we define

$$\mathcal{C}_{n,Y} = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_n : \text{Fix}(\alpha) = Y\}.$$

Since $\mathcal{C}_{n,\{1\}} = N(\mathcal{C}_n)$, it follows from Lemma 3 that $|\mathcal{C}_{n,\{1\}}| = C_{n-1}$. Next we have the following theorem.

Theorem 10 *Let $Y = \{1, m_2, \dots, m_r\}$ with $m_1 = 1 < m_2 < \dots < m_r$ ($r \geq 1$) be a subset of X_n . Then*

$$|\mathcal{C}_{n,Y}| = \prod_{j=1}^r C_{k_j-1},$$

where $k_j = m_{j+1} - m_j$ ($1 \leq j \leq r - 1$) and $k_r = n - m_r + 1$.

Proof. Since $|\mathcal{C}_{n,\{1\}}| = C_{n-1}$, we suppose that $r \geq 2$. For each $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_{n,Y}$, we similarly fix

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_j &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & 2 & & \cdots & & m_{j+1} - m_j \\ 1 & (m_j + 1)\alpha - m_j + 1 & & \cdots & & (m_{j+1} - 1)\alpha - m_j + 1 & \end{pmatrix}, \\ \alpha_r &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & 2 & & \cdots & & n - m_r + 1 \\ 1 & (m_r + 1)\alpha - m_r + 1 & & \cdots & & n\alpha - m_r + 1 & \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

where $1 \leq j \leq r - 1$). Let $k_j = m_{j+1} - m_j$ ($1 \leq j \leq r - 1$) and $k_r = n - m_r + 1$. Similarly, we have $\alpha_j \in N(\mathcal{C}_{k_j})$ for each $1 \leq j \leq r$. Now consider the function

$$f : \mathcal{C}_{n,Y} \rightarrow N(\mathcal{C}_{k_1}) \times N(\mathcal{C}_{k_2}) \times \dots \times N(\mathcal{C}_{k_r})$$

which maps $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_{n,Y}$ to the ordered r -pair $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r)$. Since f is a bijection, it follows from Lemma 3 that

$$|\mathcal{C}_{n,Y}| = \prod_{j=1}^r C_{k_j-1},$$

as required. □

For every $r \in X_n$ we define

$$N(n, r) = |\{\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_n : |\text{Fix}(\alpha)| = r\}|$$

as the number of order-decreasing and order-preserving transformations which have exactly r fixed points. Let $Y = \{1, m_2, \dots, m_r\}$ with $m_1 = 1 < m_2 < \dots < m_r$ be a subset of X_n . Now take $k_j = m_{j+1} - m_j$ ($1 \leq j \leq r - 1$) and $k_r = n - m_r + 1$. Then it is clear that (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r) is a positive integer solution of the equation

$$x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_r = n. \tag{4}$$

Conversely, every positive integer solution of Equation (4) gives a subset, which contains 1, of X_n with r elements. If we denote the set of all positive integer solutions of Equation (4) by $P_r(n)$, then we have

$$N(n, r) = \sum_{(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r) \in P_r(n)} C_{k_1-1} C_{k_2-1} \cdots C_{k_r-1}.$$

Moreover, we have the the following result.

Theorem 11 $N(n, r) = \frac{r}{2n-r} \binom{2n-r}{n}.$

Proof. We use induction on r as before. From Lemma 3 the equation holds for $r = 1$. Suppose that $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_n$ has $r + 1 \geq 2$ fixed points, say $1 < m_2 < \cdots < m_r < m_{r+1}$. Then consider the orbit of α which contains m_{r+1} . Since $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_n$, the restricted transformation $\alpha|_{Y_{r+1}} : Y_{r+1} = \{m_{r+1}, m_{r+1} + 1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow Y_{r+1}$ of α has unique fixed point (namely m_{r+1}), and that the restricted transformation $\alpha|_{X_n - Y_{r+1}}$ has r fixed points. Similarly, the transformations $\alpha|_{Y_{r+1}} : Y_{r+1} \rightarrow Y_{r+1}$ with a unique fixed point can be put into one-to-one correspondence with $\beta : X_{n-m_{r+1}+1} \rightarrow X_{n-m_{r+1}+1}$ with a unique fixed point. Since the number of such transformations is $C_{n-m_{r+1}}$, and since $m_{r+1} \in \{r + 1, \dots, n\}$, it follows from the inductive hypothesis that

$$\begin{aligned} N(n, r + 1) &= \sum_{k=r+1}^n N(k - 1, r) C_{n-k} \\ &= \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-r-2} N(r + k, r) C_{n-r-1-k} \right) + N(n - 1, r) C_0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it follows from Equation (2) that

$$\begin{aligned} N(n, r + 1) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-r-2} \frac{r}{r + 2k} \binom{r + 2k}{k} \frac{1}{n - r - 1 - k} \binom{2n - 2r - 2 - 2k}{n - r - 2 - k} \\ &\quad + N(n - 1, r) \\ &= \frac{r + 2}{2n - r - 2} \binom{2n - r - 2}{n} + \frac{r}{2n - r - 2} \binom{2n - r - 2}{n - 1} \tag{5} \\ &= (r + 2) \cdot \frac{(2n - r - 3)!}{n! \cdot (n - r - 2)!} + r \cdot \frac{(2n - r - 3)!}{(n - 1)! \cdot (n - r - 1)!} \\ &= \frac{(2n - r - 3)!}{n! \cdot (n - r - 1)!} \cdot [(r + 2)(n - r - 1) + rn] \\ &= \frac{(2n - r - 3)!}{n! \cdot (n - r - 1)!} \cdot [(r + 1)(2n - r - 2)] \cdot \frac{(2n - r - 1)}{(2n - r - 1)} \\ &= \frac{r + 1}{2n - r - 1} \binom{2n - r - 1}{n}, \end{aligned}$$

as required. □

Notice that we also have the recurrence relation

$$N(n, r + 1) = N(n, r) - N(n - 1, r - 1)$$

from Equation (5) as in [3, Equation 3.5].

References

- [1] Ayık, G., Ayık, H., Howie, J. M. and Ünlü, Y.: The Structure of Elements in Finite Full Transformation Semigroups. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* 71, 69–74, 2005.
- [2] Gomes, G. M. S. and Howie, J. M.: On the ranks of certain semigroups of order-preserving transformations. *Semigroup Forum* 45, 272–282, 1992.
- [3] Higgins, P. M.: Combinatorial results for semigroups of order-preserving mappings. *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* 113, 281–296, 1993.
- [4] Howie, J. M.: The subsemigroup generated by the idempotents of a full transformation semigroup. *J. London Math. Soc.* 41, 707–716, 1966.
- [5] Howie, J. M.: Products of idempotents in certain semigroups of order-preserving transformations. *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* 17, 223–236, 1971.
- [6] Laradji, A. and Umar, A.: On certain finite semigroups of order-decreasing transformations I. *Semigroup Forum* 69, 184–200, 2004.
- [7] Laradji, A. and Umar, A.: Combinatorial results for semigroups of order-preserving full transformations. *Semigroup Forum* 72, 51–62, 2006.
- [8] Riordan, J.: *Combinatorial Identities*. New York, John Wiley and Sons, 1968.
- [9] Stanley, R. P.: *Enumerative Combinatorics Vol. I*. Cambridge University Press, 1997.

Gonca AYIK
 Department of Mathematics, Çukurova University,
 01330, Adana-TURKEY
 e-mail: agonca@cu.edu.tr

Hayrullah AYIK
 Department of Mathematics, Adiyaman University,
 Adiyaman-TURKEY

Metin KOÇ
 Department of Mathematics, Çukurova University,
 01330, Adana-TURKEY

Received: 25.10.2010