

1-1-2012

On normality of meromorphic functions with multiple zeros and sharing values

YOUMING WANG

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

WANG, YOUMING (2012) "On normality of meromorphic functions with multiple zeros and sharing values," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 36: No. 2, Article 7. <https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-0909-37>
Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol36/iss2/7>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.

On normality of meromorphic functions with multiple zeros and sharing values

You-Ming Wang

Abstract

In this paper we study the problem of normal families of meromorphic functions concerning shared values. Let F be a family of meromorphic functions in the plane domain $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ and n be a positive integer. Let a, b be two finite complex constants such that $a \neq 0$. If $n \geq 3$ and $f + a(f')^n$ and $g + a(g')^n$ share b in D for every pair of functions $f, g \in F$, then F is normal in D . And some examples are provided to show the result is sharp.

Key words and phrases: Meromorphic functions, shared value, normal family.

1. Introduction and main results

In this paper, we denote by \mathbb{C} the whole complex plane. Let f be a meromorphic function in a domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}$. For $a \in \mathbb{C}$, set $\overline{E}_f(a) = \{z \in D : f(z) = a\}$. We say that two meromorphic functions f and g share the value a provided that $\overline{E}_f(a) = \overline{E}_g(a)$ in D . When $a = \infty$ the zeros of $f - a$ mean the poles of f (see [3]).

Let h be a meromorphic function in a domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}$. We say h is a normal function if there exists a positive number M such that $h^\sharp(z) \leq M$ for all $z \in D$, where $h^\sharp(z) = \frac{|h'(z)|}{1+|h(z)|^2}$ denotes the spherical derivative of h .

Let F be a family of meromorphic functions in a domain $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. We say that F is normal in D if every sequence $\{f_n\} \subseteq F$ contains a subsequence which converges spherically uniformly on the compact subsets of D (see [10]).

According to Bloch's principle, every condition which reduces a meromorphic function in the plane \mathbb{C} to a constant, makes a family of meromorphic functions in a domain D normal. Although the principle is false in general (see [9]), many authors proved normality criteria for families of meromorphic functions by starting from Picard type theorems (see [6, 13, 14]). It is also more interesting to find normality criteria from the point of view of shared values. In this area, Schwick [11] first proved an interesting result that a family of meromorphic functions, in a domain in which every function shares three distinct finite complex numbers with its first derivative, is normal. And later, Sun [12] proved that a family of meromorphic functions, in a domain in

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 30D35, 30D45.

Foundation item: Project Supported by Scientific Research Fund of Hunan Provincial Education Department; Supported by the QNF of Hunan agriculture university.

which each pair of functions share three fixed distinct values, is normal. This is an improvement of the famous Montel's Normal Criterion [7] by the idea of shared values. More results about normality criteria concerning shared values can be found, for instance, in [4, 8, 16] and so on.

In [15], Ye proved the following.

Theorem A *Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function and a be a nonzero finite complex number. Then $f + a(f')^n$ assumes every finite complex value infinitely often for each positive integer $n \geq 3$.*

Ye asked whether Theorem A remains valid for $n = 2$. Fang and Zalcman, in [5], gave an affirmative answer to this question and obtained the following.

Theorem B *Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function and a be a nonzero finite complex number. Then $f + a(f')^n$ assumes every finite complex value infinitely often for each positive integer $n \geq 2$.*

Corresponding to Theorem B there are the following theorems about normal families in [5].

Theorem C *Let F be a family of meromorphic functions on the plane domain D , let $n \geq 2$ be a positive integer, and let $a \neq 0, b$ be two complex numbers. If, for each $f \in F$, all zeros of f are multiple and $f + a(f')^n \neq b$ on D , then F is normal in D .*

It is natural to ask whether Theorem C can be improved by the idea of shared values. In this paper, we study the problem and obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 1 *Let F be a family of meromorphic functions in the plane domain D and n be a positive integer. Let a, b be two finite complex constants such that $a \neq 0$. If $n \geq 3$ and $f + a(f')^n$ and $g + a(g')^n$ share b in D for every pair of functions $f, g \in F$, then F is normal in D .*

Example 1 Let $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ and $F = \{f_n(z)\}$, where

$$f_n(z) = nz^2, \quad z \in D, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Clearly, $f + (f')^2 = (n + 4n^2)z^2$. So for each pair m, n , $f_n + (f'_n)^2$ and $f_m + (f'_m)^2$ share the value 0 in D , however, F fails to be normal in D since $f_n^\#(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}) = \sqrt{n} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Example 2 Let $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ and $F = \{f_n(z)\}$, where

$$f_n(z) = nz, \quad z \in D, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Clearly, $f - (f')^3 = n(z - n^2)$. So for each pair m, n , $f_n + (f'_n)^3$ and $f_m + (f'_m)^3$ share the value 0 in D , but, F fails to be normal in D since $f_n^\#(\frac{1}{n}) = \frac{n}{2} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Example 1 shows that Theorem 1 is not valid when $n = 2$, so the condition $n = 3$ is best possible for Theorem 1. And Example 2 shows that Theorem 1 is not valid when f has no multiple zeros, so the condition that f has only multiple zeros is best possible for Theorem 1.

2. Some Lemmas

Lemma 1 [1] *Let F be a family of meromorphic functions in the unit disk $\Delta \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ and let k be a positive integer. Suppose that all zeros of f have multiplicity at least k for every $f \in F$, and suppose that there exists a number $A \geq 1$ such that $|f^{(k)}(z)| \leq A$ whenever $f(z) = 0$. If F is not normal at $z_0 \in \Delta$, then for any $0 \leq \alpha \leq k$, there exist*

- (1) a number $r \in (0, 1)$;
- (2) a sequence of complex numbers $z_n \rightarrow z_0, |z_n| \leq r$;
- (3) a sequence of functions $f_n \in F$;
- (4) a sequence of positive numbers $\rho_n \rightarrow 0$

such that $g_n(\xi) = \rho_n^{-\alpha} f_n(z_n + \rho_n \xi)$ converges locally uniformly (with respect to spherical metric) to a non-constant meromorphic function $g(\xi)$ on \mathbb{C} , and moreover, the zeros of $g(\xi)$ are of multiplicity at least k , $g^\sharp(\xi) \leq g^\sharp(0) = kA + 1$.

Remark 1 In Lemma 1, if $0 \leq \alpha < k$, then the hypothesis of $f^{(k)}$ can be dropped, and $kA + 1$ can be replaced by an arbitrary positive number (see [1]).

Lemma [2] *A normal function has order at most two. A normal entire function is of exponential type, and thus has order at most one.*

Lemma 3 *Let $n \geq 3$ be a positive integer and f be a non-constant rational meromorphic function with multiple zeros, then $f + a(f')^n$ has at least two distinct zeros.*

Proof. **Case 1.** If $f + a(f')^n$ has no zeros.

Case 1.1. Since $n \geq 3$ and f is a non-constant function, it is easily obtained that f is not a polynomial.

Case 1.2. If f is rational but not a polynomial. Set $f(z) = \frac{p(z)}{q(z)}$ and use $\deg(g)$ to denote the degree of a polynomial g , where $p(z), q(z)$ are polynomials. Put $\deg(p(z)) = p \geq 2$ and $\deg(q(z)) = q$. Then

$$f + a(f')^n = \frac{p(z)q^{2n-1}(z) + a[p'(z)q(z) - p(z)q'(z)]^n}{q^{2n}(z)} \tag{2.1}$$

has no zeros. Recall that $\deg[p(z)q^{2n-1}(z)] = 2nq + p - q$ and $\deg[(p'(z)q(z) - p(z)q'(z))^n] \leq n(p + q - 1)$.

Case 1.2.1. If $q \geq p - 1$, so $2nq + p - q > n(p + q - 1)$ and then $\deg[p(z)q^{2n-1}(z)] > \deg[(p'(z)q(z) - p(z)q'(z))^n]$. Hence (2.1) means that $f + a(f')^n$ has zeros, which contradicts that $f + a(f')^n$ has no zeros.

Case 1.2.2. If $q < p - 1$, a simple calculation implies that $\deg[p'(z)q(z) - p(z)q'(z)]^n = n(p + q - 1)$ and $2nq + p - q < n(p + q - 1)$, therefore, $\deg[p(z)q^{2n-1}(z)] < \deg[(p'(z)q(z) - p(z)q'(z))^n]$. It follows from (2.1) that $f + a(f')^n$ has zeros. This is a contradiction, again.

Case 2. Suppose that $f + a(f')^n$ has exactly one zero z_0 .

Case 2.1. If f is a non-constant polynomial.

Set $f + a(f')^n = A(z - z_0)^l$, where A is a non-zero constant, l is a positive integer and $l \geq 2$. Then $f'[1 + an(f')^{n-2}f''] = Al(z - z_0)^{l-1}$. Recall that f has only multiple zeros. But f' has exactly the same zero z_0 , so f has the same zero z_0 and z_0 is the unique zero of f . Thus $f(z) = A_0(z - z_0)^k$, where A_0 is non-zero constant, k is a positive integer and $k \geq 2$. Thus $f + a(f')^n = A_0(z - z_0)^k[1 + aA_0^{n-1}(z - z_0)^{nk-n-k}]$ has at least two distinct zeros since $nk - n - k \geq 1$. This contradicts that our assumptions.

Case 2.2. If f is rational but not a polynomial. Suppose that $f + a(f')^n$ has exactly one zero z_0 with multiplicity l . So we deduce that f has exactly one zero z_0 and then z_0 is the unique zero of f . Otherwise $f + a(f')^n$ has at least two distinct zeros, which contradicts that our assumptions.

We set

$$f(z) = \frac{A(z - z_0)^k}{(z - z_1)^{l_1}(z - z_2)^{l_2} \cdots (z - z_m)^{l_m}}, \tag{2.2}$$

where A is a non-zero constant and $l_i \geq 1 (i = 1, 2, \dots, m), k \geq 2$.

For simplicity, we denote

$$l_1 + l_2 + \cdots + l_m = q.$$

From (2.2), it follows that

$$f'(z) = \frac{A(z - z_0)^{k-1}g(z)}{(z - z_1)^{l_1+1}(z - z_2)^{l_2+1} \cdots (z - z_m)^{l_m+1}}, \tag{2.3}$$

where $g(z) = k(z - z_1)(z - z_2) \cdots (z - z_m) + (z - z_0)[l_1(z - z_2)(z - z_3) \cdots (z - z_m) + \cdots + l_m(z - z_1)(z - z_2) \cdots (z - z_{m-1})]$.

From (2.2) and (2.3), then

$$\begin{aligned} f + a(f')^n &= \frac{A(z - z_0)^k(z - z_1)^{(n-1)l_1+n}(z - z_2)^{(n-1)l_2+n} \cdots (z - z_m)^{(n-1)l_m+n}}{(z - z_1)^{n(l_1+1)}(z - z_2)^{n(l_2+1)} \cdots (z - z_m)^{n(l_m+1)}} \\ &+ \frac{aA^n(z - z_0)^{n(k-1)}g^n(z)}{(z - z_1)^{n(l_1+1)}(z - z_2)^{n(l_2+1)} \cdots (z - z_m)^{n(l_m+1)}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Since $n(k - 1) > k$ for $n \geq 3$ and $k \geq 2$, then (2.4) implies that

$$f + a(f')^n = \frac{A(z - z_0)^k g_1(z)}{(z - z_1)^{n(l_1+1)}(z - z_2)^{n(l_2+1)} \cdots (z - z_m)^{n(l_m+1)}}, \tag{2.5}$$

here $g_1(z) = [(z - z_1)^{(n-1)l_1+n}(z - z_2)^{(n-1)l_2+n} \cdots (z - z_m)^{(n-1)l_m+n} + aA^{n-1}(z - z_0)^{n(k-1)-k}g^n(z)]$. By the assumption that $f + a(f')^n$ has exactly one zero z_0 with multiply l , we deduce from (2.5) that

$$f + a(f')^n = \frac{C(z - z_0)^l}{(z - z_1)^{n(l_1+1)}(z - z_2)^{n(l_2+1)} \cdots (z - z_m)^{n(l_m+1)}}. \tag{2.6}$$

Then (2.5) and (2.6) mean that

$$C(z - z_0)^l \equiv A(z - c_0)^k g_1(z), \tag{2.7}$$

where C is a non-zero constant.

Case 2.2.1. If $l > k$, By (2.7) we conclude that g_1 has a zero z_0 and then $(z - z_1)^{(n-1)l_1+n}(z - z_2)^{(n-1)l_2+n} \dots (z - z_m)^{(n-1)l_m+n}$ has a zero z_0 , which is impossible.

Case 2.2.2. If $l = k$, (2.7) implies that

$$h_1(z) + h_2(z) \equiv \frac{C}{A}, \tag{2.8}$$

where $h_1(z) = (z - z_1)^{(n-1)l_1+n}(z - z_2)^{(n-1)l_2+n} \dots (z - z_m)^{(n-1)l_m+n}$ and $h_2(z) = aA^{n-1}(z - z_0)^{n(k-1)-k}g^n(z)$.

We easily obtain from (2.8) that $\deg(h_1) = \deg(h_2)$. On the other hand, we deduce from Case 1.2 that

$$\deg[p(z)q^{2n-1}(z)] \neq \deg[(p'(z)q(z) - p(z)q'(z))^n].$$

Then (2.5) and the definitions of g_1, h_1 and h_2 yield that $\deg(h_1) \neq \deg(h_2)$. We thus have a contradiction again. \square

The proof is complete.

3. Proof of Theorem

Proof of Theorem 1. Suppose that F is not normal in D . Then there exists at least one point z_0 such that F is not normal at the point z_0 . Without loss of generality we assume that $z_0 = 0$ and $D = \Delta$. We consider two cases.

Case 1. $b = 0$. Since the zeros of f have multiplicity at least 2, then we may apply Lemma 1 with any positive value of α . Take $\alpha = \frac{n}{n-1}$, there exist:

- (1) a real number r , $r < 1$;
- (2) points $z_k \rightarrow 0$, $|z_k| < r$;
- (3) positive numbers ρ_k , $\rho_k \rightarrow 0$; and
- (4) functions f_k , $f_k \in F$ such that

$$g_k(\xi) = \rho_k^{-\frac{n}{n-1}} f_k(z_k + \rho_k \xi) \rightarrow g(\xi) \tag{3.1}$$

locally uniformly with respect to spherical metric on \mathbb{C} , where $g(\xi)$ is a non-constant meromorphic function and all of whose zeros are multiple.

From (3.1) we obtain

$$g'_k = \rho_k^{-\frac{1}{n-1}} f'_k \rightarrow g',$$

and

$$\rho_k^{-\frac{n}{n-1}} [f_k + a(f'_k)^n] = g_k + a(g'_k)^n \rightarrow g + a(g')^n, \tag{3.2}$$

also locally uniformly with respect to the spherical metric.

If $g + a(g')^n \equiv 0$, then g clearly has no poles and is not any polynomial with order at least 2, so g is a transcendental entire function. By Lemma 2, g is of exponential type. Since $a(g')^{n-1} \equiv -\frac{g}{g'}$, by Nevanlinna's First Fundamental Theorem, it means that

$$\begin{aligned} (n-1)m(r, g) &\leq (n-1)m(r, g') + (n-1)m\left(r, \frac{g}{g'}\right) + O(1) \\ &= m(r, (g')^{n-1}) + (n-1)m\left(r, \frac{g}{g'}\right) + O(1) = nm\left(r, \frac{g}{g'}\right) + O(1) \\ &= n\left[m\left(r, \frac{g'}{g}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{g'}{g}\right) - N\left(r, \frac{g}{g'}\right)\right] + O(1) \\ &\leq n\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + S(r, g) \leq \frac{n}{2}N\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + S(r, g) \\ &\leq \frac{n}{2}T\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + S(r, g) = \frac{n}{2}T(r, g) + S(r, g). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\frac{n-2}{2}T(r, g) \leq S(r, g)$ and thus $T(r, g) = S(r, g)$ since $n \geq 3$. This is a contradiction.

Since g is a non-constant meromorphic function, by Theorem B and Lemma 3, we deduce that $g + a(g')^n$ has at least two distinct zeros.

We conclude that $g + a(g')^n$ has just a unique zero.

Suppose that there exist two distinct zeros ξ_0 and ξ_0^* and choose $\delta(\delta > 0)$ small enough such that $D(\xi_0, \delta) \cap D(\xi_0^*, \delta) = \emptyset$, where $D(\xi_0, \delta) = \{\xi : |\xi - \xi_0| < \delta\}$ and $D(\xi_0^*, \delta) = \{\xi : |\xi - \xi_0^*| < \delta\}$.

From (3.1) and (3.2), by Hurwitz's theorem, there exist points $\xi_k \in D(\xi_0, \delta)$, $\xi_k^* \in D(\xi_0^*, \delta)$ such that for sufficiently large k

$$\begin{aligned} f_k(z_k + \rho_k \xi_k) + a[f'_k(z_k + \rho_k \xi_k)]^n &= 0, \\ f_k(z_k + \rho_k \xi_k^*) + a[f'_k(z_k + \rho_k \xi_k^*)]^n &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

By the hypothesis that for each pair of functions f and g in F , $f + a(f')^n$ and $g + a(g')^n$ share 0, we know that for any positive integer m

$$\begin{aligned} f_m(z_k + \rho_k \xi_k) + a[f'_m(z_k + \rho_k \xi_k)]^n &= 0, \\ f_m(z_k + \rho_k \xi_k^*) + a[f'_m(z_k + \rho_k \xi_k^*)]^n &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Fix m , take $k \rightarrow \infty$, and note $z_k + \rho_k \xi_k \rightarrow 0$, $z_k + \rho_k \xi_k^* \rightarrow 0$, then

$$f_m(0) + a(f'_m)^n(0) = 0.$$

Since the zeros of $f_m + a(f'_m)^n$ has no accumulation point, so

$$z_k + \rho_k \xi_k = 0, \quad z_k + \rho_k \xi_k^* = 0.$$

Hence

$$\xi_k = -\frac{z_k}{\rho_k}, \quad \xi_k^* = -\frac{z_k}{\rho_k}.$$

This contradicts the fact that $\xi_k \in D(\xi_0, \delta)$, $\xi_k^* \in D(\xi_0^*, \delta)$ and $D(\xi_0, \delta) \cap D(\xi_0^*, \delta) = \emptyset$. So $g + a(g')^n$ has just a unique zero. This contradicts the fact that $g + a(g')^n$ has at least two distinct zeros.

Case 2. $b \neq 0$. By Lemma 1 again, there exist:

- (1) a real number r , $r < 1$;
- (2) points $z_k \rightarrow 0, |z_k| < r$;
- (3) positive numbers $\rho_k, \rho_k \rightarrow 0$; and
- (4) functions $f_k, f_k \in F$ such that

$$g_k(\xi) = \rho_k^{-1} f_k(z_k + \rho_k \xi) \rightarrow g(\xi) \tag{3.3}$$

locally uniformly with respect to spherical metric on \mathbb{C} , where $g(\xi)$ is a non-constant meromorphic function, all of whose zeros are multiple.

From (3.3) we obtain

$$g'_k = f'_k \rightarrow g',$$

and

$$f_k + a(f'_k)^n - b = \rho_k g_k + a(g'_k)^n - b \rightarrow a(g')^n - b \tag{3.4}$$

also locally uniformly with respect to the spherical metric.

If $g + a(g')^n \equiv b$. The argument in this case is completely analogous to the proof of $g + a(g')^n \equiv 0$ and then we have a contradiction. So we omit its proof.

We conclude that $a(g')^n - b$ has at most one zero.

Case 2.1. If $a(g')^n - b$ has no zeros. Suppose then that $a(g')^n - b \neq 0$. Let c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n be the (distinct) solutions of $w^n = b/a$. By Nevanlinna's Second Fundamental Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, g') &\leq \overline{N}(r, g') + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g' - c_1}\right) + \dots + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g' - c_n}\right) + S(r, g') \\ &\leq \overline{N}(r, g') + S(r, g') \leq \frac{1}{2}N(r, g') + S(r, g') \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}T(r, g') + S(r, g'). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $T(r, g') = S(r, g')$, a contradiction.

Case 2.2. If $a(g')^n - b$ has zeros, we claim that $a(g')^n - b$ has just a unique zero. Suppose that there exist two distinct zeros ξ_0 and ξ_0^* and choose $\delta (\delta > 0)$ small enough such that $D(\xi_0, \delta) \cap D(\xi_0^*, \delta) = \emptyset$, where $D(\xi_0, \delta) = \{\xi : |\xi - \xi_0| < \delta\}$ and $D(\xi_0^*, \delta) = \{\xi : |\xi - \xi_0^*| < \delta\}$.

From (3.3) and (3.4), by Hurwitz's theorem, there exist points $\xi_k \in D(\xi_0, \delta)$, $\xi_k^* \in D(\xi_0^*, \delta)$ such that for sufficiently large k

$$\begin{aligned} f_k(z_k + \rho_k \xi_k) + a[f'_k(z_k + \rho_k \xi_k)]^n - b &= 0, \\ f_k(z_k + \rho_k \xi_k^*) + a[f'_k(z_k + \rho_k \xi_k^*)]^n - b &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

By the hypothesis that for each pair of functions f and g in F , $f + a(f')^n$ and $g + a(g')^n$ share b , we know that for any positive integer m

$$\begin{aligned} f_m(z_k + \rho_k \xi_k) + a[f'_m(z_k + \rho_k \xi_k)]^n - b &= 0, \\ f_m(z_k + \rho_k \xi_k^*) + a[f'_m(z_k + \rho_k \xi_k^*)]^n - b &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Fix m , take $k \rightarrow \infty$, and note $z_k + \rho_k \xi_k \rightarrow 0, z_k + \rho_k \xi_k^* \rightarrow 0$, then

$$f_m(0) + a(f'_m)^n(0) - b = 0.$$

Since the zeros of $f_m + a(f'_m)^n - b$ has no accumulation point, so

$$z_k + \rho_k \xi_k = 0, \quad z_k + \rho_k \xi_k^* = 0.$$

Hence

$$\xi_k = -\frac{z_k}{\rho_k}, \quad \xi_k^* = -\frac{z_k}{\rho_k}.$$

This contradicts the fact that $\xi_k \in D(\xi_0, \delta)$, $\xi_k^* \in D(\xi_0^*, \delta)$ and $D(\xi_0, \delta) \cap D(\xi_0^*, \delta) = \emptyset$. So $a(g')^n - b$ has just a unique zero. Let c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n be the (distinct) solutions of $w^n = b/a$. Hence $g' - c_i$ has at most one zeros and the same zero as $a(g')^n - b$ for the only one of $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. By Nevanlinna's Second Fundamental Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, g') &\leq \overline{N}(r, g') + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g' - c_1}\right) + \dots + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g' - c_n}\right) + S(r, g') \\ &\leq \overline{N}(r, g') + S(r, g') \leq \frac{1}{2}N(r, g') + S(r, g') \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}T(r, g') + S(r, g'). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $T(r, g') = S(r, g')$, which is impossible.

This proves the Theorem 1.

Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank Professor Qiao Jian-yong and Professor Li Yu-hua for their useful conversations and for their help in preparing the manuscript. The author would like to thank the referee for his/her valuable suggestions made to this paper.

References

- [1] Chen, H.H. and Gu, Y.X. : An improvement of Marty criterion and its application, *Sci China Ser A*, 36, 674-681 (1993).
- [2] Cline, J. and Hayman, Y.K. : The spherical derivatives of integral and meromorphic functions, *Comment Math Hev*, 40, 117-148 (1966).

- [3] Drasin, D.: Normal families and Nevanlinna theory, *Acta Math.* 122, 231-263 (1969).
- [4] Fang, M.L. and Zalcman, L.: A note on normality and shared values, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* 76, 141-150 (2004).
- [5] Fang, M.L. and Zalcman, L. : On the value distribution of $f + a(f')^n$, *Sci China Ser A*, 51(7), 1196-1202 (2008).
- [6] Hayman, H.K. : *Meromorphic functions*, Clarendon, Oxford, 1964.
- [7] Montel, P. : Sur les familles de fonctions analytiques qui admettent des valeurs exceptionnelles dans un domaine, *Ann. Sci. cole Norm. Sup.* 29, 487-535 (1912).
- [8] Pang, X.C. and Zalcman, L. : Normal families and shared values, *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 32, 325-331 (2000).
- [9] Rubel, L.A. : Four counterexamples to Bloch's principle, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 98, 257-260 (1986).
- [10] Schiff, J. : *Normal families*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [11] Schwick, W. : Share values and normality, *Arch Math (Basel)*, 59, 50-54 (1992).
- [12] Sun, D.C. : On the normal criterion of shared values, *J. Wuhan Univ. Natur. Sci. Ed (in Chinese)*, 3, 9-12 (1994).
- [13] Yang, C.C. and Yi, H. X. : *Uniqueness Theory of Meromorphic Functions*, Science Press/Kluwer Academic, Beijing/New York, 2003.
- [14] Yang, L. : *Value Distribution Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [15] Ye, Y.S. : A Picard type theorem and Bloch law, *Chinese Ann Math Ser B*, 15, 75-80 (1994).
- [16] Zhang, Q.D. : Normal criteria concerning sharing values, *Kodai Math. J.*, 25, 8-14 (2002).

You-Ming WANG
Department of Applied Mathematics,
College of Science,
Hunan Agricultural University,
ChangSha 410128, P.R. CHINA

Received: 17.09.2009