

1-1-2012

Essential normality for certain weighted composition operators on the Hardy space H^2

MAHSA FATEHI

BAHRAM KHANI ROBATI

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

FATEHI, MAHSA and ROBATI, BAHRAM KHANI (2012) "Essential normality for certain weighted composition operators on the Hardy space H^2 ," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 36: No. 4, Article 9. <https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-1010-413>

Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol36/iss4/9>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.

Essential normality for certain weighted composition operators on the Hardy space H^2

Mahsa Fatehi and Bahram Khani Robati

Abstract

We characterize the essentially normal weighted composition operators $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ on the Hardy space H^2 , whenever φ is a linear-fractional transformation and $\psi \in A(\mathbb{D})$. Also we investigate the essential normality problem for some other weighted composition operators on H^2 .

Key Words: Hardy spaces, essentially normal, weighted composition operator, linear-fractional transformation

1. Introduction

Let \mathbb{D} be the open unit disk in the complex plane \mathbb{C} , and let $\partial\mathbb{D}$ denote the boundary of \mathbb{D} . The algebra $A(\mathbb{D})$ consists of all continuous functions on the closure of \mathbb{D} that are analytic on \mathbb{D} .

For an analytic function f on the unit disk and $0 < r < 1$, we define the dilate function f_r by $f_r(e^{i\theta}) = f(re^{i\theta})$. It is easy to see that the functions f_r are continuous on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ for each r , hence they are in $L^p(\partial\mathbb{D}, d\theta/2\pi)$, where $d\theta/2\pi$ is the normalized arc length measure on the unit circle.

For $0 < p < \infty$, the Hardy space $H^p(\mathbb{D}) = H^p$ is the set of all analytic functions on the unit disk for which

$$\|f\|_p^p = \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_0^{2\pi} |f_r(e^{i\theta})|^p \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} < \infty.$$

Also we recall that $H^\infty(\mathbb{D}) = H^\infty$ is the space of all bounded analytic functions defined on \mathbb{D} , with supremum norm $\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |f(z)|$. We know that for $p \geq 1$, H^p is a Banach space (see, e.g., [15, p. 37]).

It is well known that the supremum in the above definition of H^p spaces is actually a limit, that is,

$$\|f\|_p^p = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \int_0^{2\pi} |f_r(e^{i\theta})|^p \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} < \infty.$$

Also we know that if f is in H^p for some $p > 0$, then the radial limit

$$f^*(e^{i\theta}) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} f(re^{i\theta}),$$

exists for almost all θ and the mapping $f \rightarrow f^*$ is an isometry of H^p to a closed subspace of $L^p(\partial\mathbb{D}, d\theta/2\pi)$. Therefore

$$\|f\|_p^p = \int_0^{2\pi} |f^*(e^{i\theta})|^p \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} < \infty.$$

We will also write $f(e^{i\theta})$ for $f^*(e^{i\theta})$. If $p = 2$ and $\hat{f}(n)$ is the n th coefficient of f in its Maclaurin series, then we have another representation for the norm of f on H^2 as follows:

$$\|f\|_2^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(n)|^2 < \infty.$$

The formula above defines a norm that turns H^2 into a Hilbert space whose inner product is given by

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{f}(n)\overline{\hat{g}(n)} = \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{i\theta})\overline{g(e^{i\theta})} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}$$

for each $f, g \in H^2$. For more information about the Hardy spaces see, for example, [12] and [15].

For each $\psi \in L^\infty(\partial\mathbb{D})$, we define the Toeplitz operator T_ψ on H^2 by $T_\psi(f) = P(\psi f)$, where P denotes the orthogonal projection of $L^2(\partial\mathbb{D})$ onto H^2 :

$$P\left(\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(n)e^{in\theta}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{f}(n)e^{in\theta}.$$

Since an orthogonal projection has norm 1, clearly T_ψ is bounded.

For any analytic self-map φ of \mathbb{D} , the composition operator C_φ on H^2 is defined by the rule $C_\varphi(f) = f \circ \varphi$. It is well known (see, e.g., [15, p. 29] or [28, Theorem 1]) that the composition operators are bounded on each of the Hardy spaces H^p ($0 < p < \infty$). One of the first papers in this research area is [28], while H. J. Schwartz in [30] began the research on compact composition operators on H^p . J. H. Shapiro and P. D. Taylor in [32] have studied the role of angular derivative for compactness of C_φ in H^p . For some other classical results see [12] and [31]. If ψ is a bounded analytic function on \mathbb{D} and φ is an analytic map from \mathbb{D} into itself, the weighted composition operator $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ is defined by $C_{\psi,\varphi}(f)(z) = \psi(z)f(\varphi(z))$. The map φ is called the composition map and ψ is called the weight. If ψ is a bounded analytic function on \mathbb{D} , then the weighted composition operator can be rewritten as $C_{\psi,\varphi} = T_\psi C_\varphi$. In this case $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ is bounded, but in general every weighted composition operator $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ on H^2 is not bounded. If $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ is bounded, then $C_{\psi,\varphi}(1) = \psi$ belongs to H^2 . These operators come up naturally. In 1964, Forelli [16] showed that every isometry on H^p for $1 < p < \infty$ and $p \neq 2$ is a weighted composition operator. A similar result holds for the isometries on the Bergman space L_a^p ; see [19]. Recently there has been a great interest in studying weighted composition operators in the unit disk, polydisk, or the unit ball; see, for example, [7, 17, 18, 22, 23, 24, 25, 34].

A mapping of the form

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \quad (ad - bc \neq 0) \tag{1}$$

is called a linear-fractional transformation. We denote the set of those linear-fractional transformations that take the open unit disk \mathbb{D} into itself by LFT(\mathbb{D}).

For bounded operators A and B on a Hilbert space, we use the notation

$$[A, B] := AB - BA$$

for the commutator of A and B . Recall that an operator A is called normal if $[A, A^*] = 0$ and essentially normal if $[A, A^*]$ is compact. In [35] Nina Zorboska characterized the essentially normal composition operators on the Hardy space H^2 induced by conformal automorphisms of the unit disk. In addition, Zorboska showed that the composition operators on H^2 induced by linear-fractional transformations fixing no point on the unit circle are not nontrivially essentially normal. P. S. Bourdon, D. Levi, S. K. Narayan and J. H. Shapiro in [4] showed that a composition operator induced on H^2 by a linear-fractional self-map of the unit disk is nontrivially essentially normal if and only if it is induced by a parabolic non-automorphism self-map of the unit disk. The essentially normal composition operators on other spaces have been investigated by some authors (see, e.g., [5] and [26]).

Suppose that φ is an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} and α is a complex number of modulus 1. Since $\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\alpha+\varphi}{\alpha-\varphi}\right)$ is a positive harmonic function on \mathbb{D} , there exists a finite positive Borel measure μ_α on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ such that

$$\frac{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2}{|\alpha - \varphi(z)|^2} = \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\alpha + \varphi(z)}{\alpha - \varphi(z)}\right) = \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} P_z d\mu_\alpha$$

for each $z \in \mathbb{D}$, where

$$P_z(e^{i\theta}) = \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|e^{i\theta} - z|^2}$$

is the Poisson kernel at z . The measures μ_α are called the Clark measures of φ . Let μ_α^s be the singular parts of μ_α with respect to Lebesgue measure. The singular part μ_α^s is carried by $\varphi^{-1}(\{\alpha\})$, the set of those ζ in $\partial\mathbb{D}$ where $\varphi(\zeta)$ exists and equals α . We write $E(\varphi)$ for the closure in $\partial\mathbb{D}$ of the union of the closed supports of μ_α^s as α ranges over the unit circle. In particular, if φ is a linear-fractional non-automorphism such that $\varphi(\zeta) = \eta$ for some $\zeta, \eta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$, then $\mu_\alpha^s = 0$ when $\alpha \neq \eta$ and $\mu_\eta^s = |\varphi'(\zeta)|^{-1} \delta_\zeta$, where δ_ζ is the unit point mass measure at ζ . The measures μ_α were introduced as an operator-theoretic tool by D. N. Clark [6] and have been further analyzed by A. B. Aleksandrov [1], A. G. Poltoratski [27] and D. E. Sarason [29].

In this paper, we use the results of Bourdon et al. [4] and T. L. Kriete, B. D. MacCluer and J. L. Moorhouse [20] in order to investigate the essential normality problem for certain weighted composition operators $C_{\psi, \varphi}$, whenever φ is a linear-fractional transformation and $\psi \in H^\infty$.

2. Linear-fractional non-automorphism

In this section, we investigate the essential normality problem for certain weighted composition operators $C_{\psi, \varphi}$ on the Hardy space H^2 , whenever φ is a linear-fractional non-automorphic self-map of \mathbb{D} and $\psi \in H^\infty$. First, we state some useful results of [4, 6.3] and [33, Proposition 9.1.4] that we use frequently in this paper.

Remark 2.1 *Suppose that φ is an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} and $\psi \in H^\infty$. Let f and g be two bounded measurable functions on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ and λ be a complex number. Then*

- (a) $C_\varphi T_\psi = T_{\psi \circ \varphi} C_\varphi$,
- (b) $T_g T_\psi = T_{g\psi}$,
- (c) $T_{f+\lambda g} = T_f + \lambda T_g$, and
- (d) $T_f^* = T_{\bar{f}}$.

In [11] Carl Cowen showed that if $\varphi \in \text{LFT}(\mathbb{D})$ is given by Equation (1), then

$$C_\varphi^* = T_g C_{\sigma_\varphi} T_h^*, \tag{2}$$

where $\sigma_\varphi(z) := (\bar{a}z - \bar{c})/(-\bar{b}z + \bar{d})$ is a self-map of \mathbb{D} , $g(z) := (-\bar{b}z + \bar{d})^{-1}$, $h(z) := cz + d$ and $g, h \in H^\infty$. If $\varphi(\zeta) = \eta$ for $\zeta, \eta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$, then $\sigma_\varphi(\eta) = \zeta$; see [11]. The map σ_φ is called the Krein adjoint of φ ; we will write σ for σ_φ except when confusion could arise. For further details see, for example, [4]. From now on, unless otherwise stated, we assume that σ , h and g are given as above.

Now let $\psi \in H^\infty$. Then by Equation (2) and Remark 2.1, we have

$$C_{\psi, \varphi}^* = (T_\psi C_\varphi)^* = C_\varphi^* T_\psi^* = T_g C_\sigma T_{h\psi}^*.$$

Hence

$$C_{\psi, \varphi} C_{\psi, \varphi}^* = T_\psi C_\varphi T_g C_\sigma T_{h\psi}^* = T_{g \circ \varphi} C_{\psi, \varphi} C_\sigma T_{h\psi}^*; \tag{3}$$

and on the other hand, we have

$$C_{\psi, \varphi}^* C_{\psi, \varphi} = T_g C_\sigma T_{h\psi}^* C_{\psi, \varphi}. \tag{4}$$

The set of all bounded operators and the set of all compact operators from H^2 into itself are denoted by $B(H^2)$ and $B_0(H^2)$, respectively. Also we will use the notation $A \equiv B \pmod{B_0(H^2)}$ to indicate that the difference of two bounded operators A and B belongs to $B_0(H^2)$. In [20] Kriete et al. showed that if $\varphi \in \text{LFT}(\mathbb{D})$ is not an automorphism which satisfies $\varphi(\zeta) = \eta$ for some $\zeta, \eta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$, then

$$C_\varphi^* \equiv |\varphi'(\zeta)|^{-1} C_\sigma \pmod{B_0(H^2)}. \tag{5}$$

Also we will use the following result frequently, which is proved in [20].

Theorem 2.2 [20] *Let φ be an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} such that $|\varphi(e^{i\theta})| < 1$ a.e. with respect to Lebesgue measure on $\partial\mathbb{D}$, and suppose that γ is a bounded measurable function on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ which is continuous at each point of $E(\varphi)$. Then $T_\gamma C_\varphi : H^2 \rightarrow H^2$ is compact if and only if $\gamma \equiv 0$ on $E(\varphi)$.*

Proposition 2.3 *Suppose that $\varphi \in \text{LFT}(\mathbb{D})$ is not an automorphism and that $\varphi(\zeta) = \eta$ for some $\zeta, \eta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$. Let $\psi \in A(\mathbb{D})$. Then $[C_{\psi, \varphi}^*, C_{\psi, \varphi}] \equiv |\psi(\zeta)|^2 |\varphi'(\zeta)|^{-1} [C_\sigma, C_\varphi] \pmod{B_0(H^2)}$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that $E(\sigma \circ \varphi) = \{\zeta\}$, so by Theorem 2.2, [14, Proposition 7.22], Remark 2.1 and Equation (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\psi, \varphi}^* C_{\psi, \varphi} - C_{\psi, \varphi} C_{\psi, \varphi}^* &\equiv |\varphi'(\zeta)|^{-1} (C_\sigma T_\psi^* T_\psi C_\varphi - T_\psi C_\varphi C_\sigma T_\psi^*) \pmod{B_0(H^2)} \\ &\equiv |\varphi'(\zeta)|^{-1} (C_\sigma T_\psi T_\psi^* C_\varphi - T_\psi C_{\sigma \circ \varphi} T_\psi^*) \pmod{B_0(H^2)} \\ &\equiv |\varphi'(\zeta)|^{-1} (\overline{\psi(\zeta)} C_\sigma T_\psi C_\varphi - T_\psi C_{\sigma \circ \varphi} T_\psi^*) \pmod{B_0(H^2)} \\ &\equiv |\varphi'(\zeta)|^{-1} (|\psi(\zeta)|^2 C_{\varphi \circ \sigma} - \psi(\zeta) C_{\sigma \circ \varphi} T_\psi^*) \pmod{B_0(H^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using \sim for the Krein adjoint, by [13, Lemma 12], we see that $\widetilde{\sigma \circ \varphi} = \widetilde{\varphi} \circ \widetilde{\sigma} = \sigma \circ \varphi$. Then by Equation (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_\psi C_{\sigma \circ \varphi}^* &\equiv s_1 T_\psi C_{\widetilde{\sigma \circ \varphi}} \pmod{B_0(H^2)} \\ &\equiv s_1 T_\psi C_{\sigma \circ \varphi} \pmod{B_0(H^2)} \\ &\equiv s_1 \psi(\zeta) C_{\sigma \circ \varphi} \pmod{B_0(H^2)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $s_1 = |(\sigma \circ \varphi)'(\zeta)|^{-1}$. Hence by [20, Proposition 3.6], $s_1 = 1$. Therefore $C_{\sigma \circ \varphi} T_\psi^* \equiv \overline{\psi(\zeta)} C_{\sigma \circ \varphi}^* \pmod{B_0(H^2)}$, so by this fact and Equation (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\sigma \circ \varphi} T_\psi^* &\equiv \overline{\psi(\zeta)} C_{\widetilde{\sigma \circ \varphi}} \pmod{B_0(H^2)} \\ &\equiv \overline{\psi(\zeta)} C_{\sigma \circ \varphi} \pmod{B_0(H^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$[C_{\psi, \varphi}^*, C_{\psi, \varphi}] \equiv |\psi(\zeta)|^2 |\varphi'(\zeta)|^{-1} [C_\sigma, C_\varphi] \pmod{B_0(H^2)}.$$

□

A map $\varphi \in \text{LFT}(\mathbb{D})$ whose fixed point set, relative to the Riemann sphere, consists of a single point ζ in $\partial\mathbb{D}$ is termed parabolic. The linear-fractional transformation $\tau(z) := (1 + \bar{\zeta}z)/(1 - \bar{\zeta}z)$ takes the unit disk onto the right half-plane Π and takes ζ to ∞ . Set $\phi := \tau \circ \varphi \circ \tau^{-1}$. Therefore ϕ is a linear-fractional self-map of Π which fixes only ∞ , so it must have the form $\phi(z) = z + t$ for some complex number t , where $\text{Re}t \geq 0$. Let us call t the translation number of either φ or ϕ . When $\text{Re}t = 0$ we have a parabolic automorphism; otherwise the map is not automorphism. Also in [31, p. 3] J. H. Shapiro showed that among the linear-fractional transformations fixing $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$, the parabolic ones are characterized by $\varphi'(\zeta) = 1$. For further details see [4] and [31].

Theorem 2.4 *Let $\varphi \in \text{LFT}(\mathbb{D})$ be a parabolic non-automorphism and $\psi \in A(\mathbb{D})$. Then $C_{\psi, \varphi}$ is essentially normal.*

Proof. Suppose that the map φ fixes $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$, so $\varphi'(\zeta) = 1$. Therefore by Proposition 2.3, $C_{\psi, \varphi}$ be essentially normal if and only if $|\psi(\zeta)|^2 (C_{\varphi \circ \sigma} - C_{\sigma \circ \varphi}) \in B_0(H^2)$. By the proof of Theorem 4.1 in [4], $\sigma \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ \sigma$. So the result follows. □

Theorem 2.5 *Let $\varphi \in \text{LFT}(\mathbb{D})$ be a non-automorphism such that $\varphi(\zeta) = \eta$ for some $\zeta, \eta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ and $\psi \in A(\mathbb{D})$. Also suppose that φ is not a parabolic non-automorphism. Then $C_{\psi, \varphi}$ is essentially normal if and only if $\psi(\zeta) = 0$.*

Proof. If $\psi(\zeta) = 0$, then by Theorem 2.2, $C_{\psi, \varphi}$ is essentially normal. Conversely, let $C_{\psi, \varphi}$ be essentially normal and $\psi(\zeta) \neq 0$. Then by Proposition 2.3, we have

$$[C_{\psi, \varphi}^*, C_{\psi, \varphi}] \equiv |\psi(\zeta)|^2 |\varphi'(\zeta)|^{-1} (C_{\varphi \circ \sigma} - C_{\sigma \circ \varphi}) \pmod{B_0(H^2)}.$$

Therefore $C_{\varphi \circ \sigma} - C_{\sigma \circ \varphi} \in B_0(H^2)$. Since a difference of non-compact linear-fractional composition operators is compact only if it is zero (see [3] and [21]), we have $\varphi \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ \varphi$.

Now if $\zeta = \eta$, then by [20, p. 139], φ is a parabolic non-automorphism which is a contradiction, and if $\zeta \neq \eta$, then $\varphi \circ \sigma$ has two fixed points $\zeta, \eta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$. Thus by [20, Proposition 3.4], it is a contradiction. \square

A map $\varphi \in \text{LFT}(\mathbb{D})$ is called hyperbolic if it has a fixed point in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and a fixed point outside \mathbb{D} . Also φ is an automorphism of \mathbb{D} if and only if these two fixed points lie on $\partial\mathbb{D}$. The case in which φ is a hyperbolic non-automorphism with a fixed point ζ on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ and $\psi \in A(\mathbb{D})$ is an example of Theorem 2.5.

We know that if $\overline{\varphi(\mathbb{D})} \subseteq \mathbb{D}$, then C_φ is compact (see, e.g., [31]) and so $C_{\psi, \varphi}$ is compact too. Since every compact operator is essentially normal, $C_{\psi, \varphi}$ is essentially normal. We investigated the essential normality problem for weighed composition operators $C_{\psi, \varphi}$, whenever φ is a parabolic or hyperbolic non-automorphism. In the rest of this section, we assume that φ is a linear-fractional self-map of \mathbb{D} satisfying the following:

- (i) φ is not an automorphism.
- (ii) $\varphi(\zeta) = \eta$ for some $\zeta \neq \eta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$.

Conditions (i) and (ii) imply that $\|\varphi \circ \varphi\|_\infty < 1$, therefore C_φ^2 is compact. By Remark 2.1,

$$C_{\psi, \varphi} C_{\psi, \varphi} = T_\psi C_\varphi T_\psi C_\varphi = T_\psi T_{\psi \circ \varphi} C_\varphi^2,$$

hence $C_{\psi, \varphi}^2 \in B_0(H^2)$.

Similar to the notations introduced by Kriete et al. in [20], let x, x^* and e be the cosets of $C_{\psi, \varphi}, C_{\psi, \varphi}^*$ and I in the Calkin algebra $B(H^2)/B_0(H^2)$, respectively, so $(xx^*)(x^*x) = (x^*x)(xx^*) = 0$ and therefore the C^* -algebra generated by xx^*, x^*x and e is abelian. In the proof of Proposition 2.6 and Theorem 2.7, we apply these results. Also let \mathcal{A} be an abelian Banach algebra. We denote the collection of all nonzero homomorphisms of $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by Σ ; for further information see, for example, [9].

In [10] C. C. Cowen described the spectrum of a composition operator whose symbol is a parabolic non-automorphism. In [20] Kriete et al. showed that $\sigma \circ \varphi$ is a parabolic non-automorphism and obtained $\sigma(C_{\sigma \circ \varphi}) = \sigma_e(C_{\sigma \circ \varphi}) = [0, 1]$. We know that if a and b are two elements of a Banach algebra with identity, then $\sigma(ab) \cup \{0\} = \sigma(ba) \cup \{0\}$; see [9, p. 199]. We use this fact in the following proposition.

Proposition 2.6 *Suppose that $\psi \in H^\infty$ and ψ is continuous at ζ . Then $\sigma_e(C_{\psi, \varphi} C_{\psi, \varphi}^*) = \sigma_e(C_{\psi, \varphi}^* C_{\psi, \varphi}) = [0, |\psi(\zeta)|^2 |\varphi'(\zeta)|^{-1}]$.*

Proof. By Equation (3), we have

$$\sigma_e(C_{\psi, \varphi} C_{\psi, \varphi}^*) = \sigma_e(T_{g \circ \varphi} C_{\psi, \varphi} C_\sigma T_{h\psi}^*).$$

Since $E(\sigma \circ \varphi) = \{\zeta\}$, by Remark 2.1, Theorem 2.2 and [20, Proposition 3.5], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_e(T_{g \circ \varphi} C_{\psi, \varphi} C_\sigma T_{h\psi}^*) \cup \{0\} &= \sigma_e(T_{\overline{h\psi}} T_{g \circ \varphi} C_{\psi, \varphi} C_\sigma) \cup \{0\} \\ &= \sigma_e(T_{|\psi|^2 \overline{h} g \circ \varphi} C_{\sigma \circ \varphi}) \cup \{0\} \\ &= |\psi(\zeta)|^2 \overline{h(\zeta)} g \circ \varphi(\zeta) \sigma_e(C_{\sigma \circ \varphi}) \cup \{0\} \\ &= |\psi(\zeta)|^2 \overline{h(\zeta)} g(\eta) [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

We see after some computation that $\overline{h(\zeta)}g(\eta)$ is equal to the number s as in [20, Theorem 3.1], so by [20, Proposition 3.6],

$$\sigma_e(C_{\psi,\varphi}C_{\psi,\varphi}^*) \cup \{0\} = [0, |\psi(\zeta)|^2|\varphi'(\zeta)|^{-1}].$$

On the other hand, by Remark 2.1, Theorem 2.2 and Equation (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_e(C_{\psi,\varphi}^*C_{\psi,\varphi}) \cup \{0\} &= \sigma_e(|\varphi'(\zeta)|^{-1}C_{\sigma}T_{\psi}^*C_{\psi,\varphi}) \cup \{0\} \\ &= |\varphi'(\zeta)|^{-1}\sigma_e(C_{\sigma}T_{|\psi|^2}C_{\varphi}) \cup \{0\} \\ &= |\varphi'(\zeta)|^{-1}\sigma_e(T_{|\psi|^2}C_{\sigma\circ\varphi}) \cup \{0\} \\ &= |\psi(\zeta)|^2|\varphi'(\zeta)|^{-1}\sigma_e(C_{\sigma\circ\varphi}) \cup \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Again applying [20, Proposition 3.5], we have

$$\sigma_e(C_{\psi,\varphi}^*C_{\psi,\varphi}) \cup \{0\} = [0, |\psi(\zeta)|^2|\varphi'(\zeta)|^{-1}].$$

Since $\sigma_e(C_{\psi,\varphi}^*C_{\psi,\varphi})$ and $\sigma_e(C_{\psi,\varphi}C_{\psi,\varphi}^*)$ are compact subsets of \mathbb{C} , the result follows. \square

Now we apply Proposition 2.6 to obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ to be an essentially normal weighted composition operator. In the following theorem, we use the techniques used in [20, Proposition 4.2].

Theorem 2.7 *Suppose that $\psi \in H^\infty$ and ψ is continuous at ζ . Then $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ is essentially normal if and only if $\psi(\zeta) = 0$.*

Proof. If $\psi(\zeta) = 0$, then Theorem 2.2 implies that $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ is compact. Therefore $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ is essentially normal. Conversely, let $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ be essentially normal. Since $(xx^*)(x^*x) = (x^*x)(xx^*) = 0$, $m(x^*x) = 0$ or $m(xx^*) = 0$ for each $m \in \Sigma$. Therefore by [9, Theorem 8.6, p. 219] and Proposition 2.6, we have $\sigma_e(C_{\psi,\varphi}^*C_{\psi,\varphi} - C_{\psi,\varphi}C_{\psi,\varphi}^*) = [-|\psi(\zeta)|^2|\varphi'(\zeta)|^{-1}, |\psi(\zeta)|^2|\varphi'(\zeta)|^{-1}]$. Hence the proof is complete. \square

Since the conditions on ψ in Theorem 2.7 are weaker than the condition on ψ in Theorem 2.5, there are some examples of ψ such that they satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 2.7, but they do not satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 2.5.

Theorem 2.8 *Let $\varphi(z) = \frac{i}{2}(1 - z)$.*

(a) *Suppose that $\psi(z) = e^{\frac{1}{z-1}}$. It is easy to see that $\psi \in H^\infty$ and ψ is continuous at -1 . Then by Theorem 2.7, $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ is not essentially normal.*

(b) *Suppose that $\psi(z) = (1 + z)e^{\frac{1}{z-1}}$. Then again by Theorem 2.7, $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ is essentially normal.*

3. Automorphism

It is well known that the automorphisms of the unit disk, that is, the one-to-one analytic maps of the disk onto itself, are just the functions

$$\varphi(z) = \lambda \frac{a - z}{1 - \bar{a}z}, \tag{6}$$

where $|\lambda| = 1$ and $|a| < 1$ (see, e.g., [8]). We denote the class of automorphisms of \mathbb{D} by $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$. Also we know that φ is an automorphism if and only if σ is in this case $\sigma = \varphi^{-1}$; see [4]. In this section, we investigate the essential normality problem for weighted composition operators $C_{\psi, \varphi}$ on the Hardy space H^2 , whenever $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ and $\psi \in A(\mathbb{D})$. Also we assume that φ is given by Equation (6) and $w(z) = (1 - \bar{a}z)\psi(z)$, where $\psi \in A(\mathbb{D})$.

Proposition 3.1 *Suppose that $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ and $\psi \in A(\mathbb{D})$. Then $C_{\psi, \varphi}$ is essentially normal if and only if $[T_{|w|^2}, C_{\varphi}] \in B_0(H^2)$.*

Proof. Since $\sigma = \varphi^{-1}$, Equations (3) and (4) imply that

$$[C_{\psi, \varphi}^*, C_{\psi, \varphi}] = T_g C_{\varphi^{-1}} T_{\bar{h}\psi}^* T_{\psi} C_{\varphi} - T_{g \circ \varphi} T_{\psi} T_{\bar{h}\psi}^*.$$

Thus by [14, Proposition 7.22] and Remark 2.1, we have

$$[C_{\psi, \varphi}^*, C_{\psi, \varphi}] \equiv C_{\varphi^{-1}} T_{\bar{h}|\psi|^2 g \circ \varphi} C_{\varphi} - T_{\bar{h}|\psi|^2 g \circ \varphi} \pmod{B_0(H^2)}.$$

Since $C_{\varphi} C_{\varphi^{-1}} = I$, we see that $[C_{\psi, \varphi}^*, C_{\psi, \varphi}] \in B_0(H^2)$ if and only if $[T_{\bar{h}|\psi|^2 g \circ \varphi}, C_{\varphi}] \in B_0(H^2)$. Also after some computation, we conclude that

$$\bar{h}(z)g \circ \varphi(z) = \frac{|1 - \bar{a}z|^2}{1 - |a|^2},$$

which completes the proof. □

Example 3.2 *Let $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ and for some $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $\psi(z) = z^n / (1 - \bar{a}z)$. Then $w(z) = z^n$ and $T_{|w|^2} = I$, so Proposition 3.1 implies that $C_{\psi, \varphi}$ is essentially normal.*

Theorem 3.3 *Let $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ and $\psi \in A(\mathbb{D})$. Then $C_{\psi, \varphi}$ is essentially normal if and only if $|w| = |w \circ \varphi|$ on $\partial\mathbb{D}$.*

Proof. By [14, Proposition 7.22], Equation (2) and Remark 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (T_{|w|^2}C_\varphi - C_\varphi T_{|w|^2})C_\varphi^{-1} &\equiv T_{|w|^2} - C_\varphi T_w T_{\bar{w}} C_\varphi^{-1} \pmod{B_0(H^2)} \\
 &\equiv T_{|w|^2} - T_{w \circ \varphi} C_\varphi T_{\bar{w}} C_\varphi^{-1} \pmod{B_0(H^2)} \\
 &\equiv T_{|w|^2} - T_{w \circ \varphi} C_\varphi T_{\bar{w}} T_{\frac{1}{g}} C_\varphi^* T_{\frac{1}{h}}^* \pmod{B_0(H^2)} \\
 &\equiv T_{|w|^2} - T_{w \circ \varphi} C_\varphi T_{\frac{1}{g}} T_{\bar{w}} C_\varphi^* T_{\frac{1}{h}}^* \pmod{B_0(H^2)} \\
 &\equiv T_{|w|^2} - T_{\frac{w \circ \varphi}{g \circ \varphi}} C_\varphi T_{\bar{w}} C_\varphi^* T_{\frac{1}{h}}^* \pmod{B_0(H^2)} \\
 &\equiv T_{|w|^2} - T_{\frac{w \circ \varphi}{g \circ \varphi}} C_\varphi C_\varphi^* T_{w \circ \varphi} T_{\frac{1}{h}}^* \pmod{B_0(H^2)} \\
 &\equiv T_{|w|^2} - T_{\frac{w \circ \varphi}{g \circ \varphi}} T_{g \circ \varphi} T_h^* T_{\frac{w \circ \varphi}{h}}^* \pmod{B_0(H^2)} \\
 &\equiv T_{|w|^2} - T_{|w \circ \varphi|^2} \pmod{B_0(H^2)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now the conclusion follows from Proposition 3.1 and [33, Proposition 9.1.3]. □

In 1969, H. J. Schwartz [30] showed that a composition operator on H^2 is normal if and only if it is induced by a dilation $z \rightarrow az$, where $|a| \leq 1$. In the following corollary, we assume that C_φ is normal and we obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for $C_{\psi, \varphi}$ to be essentially normal.

Corollary 3.4 *Let $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$, $\psi \in A(\mathbb{D})$ and suppose that C_φ is normal. Then $C_{\psi, \varphi}$ is essentially normal if and only if $|\psi(\varphi(z))| = |\psi(z)|$ for each $z \in \partial\mathbb{D}$.*

Corollary 3.5 *Let $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$, $\psi \in A(\mathbb{D})$ and for each $z \in \bar{\mathbb{D}}$, $\psi(z) \neq 0$. Then $C_{\psi, \varphi}$ is essentially normal if and only if w is an eigenvector for the operator C_φ and the modulus of the corresponding C_φ -eigenvalue for w is 1.*

Proof. Suppose that $C_{\psi, \varphi}$ is essentially normal. Since $|\frac{(1-\bar{a}z)\psi}{(1-\bar{a}\varphi)\psi \circ \varphi}|$ and $|\frac{(1-\bar{a}\varphi)\psi \circ \varphi}{(1-\bar{a}z)\psi}|$ are subharmonic on \mathbb{D} , by [2, Corollary A.1.4] and Theorem 3.3, $|\frac{(1-\bar{a}z)\psi}{(1-\bar{a}\varphi)\psi \circ \varphi}|$ is constant on \mathbb{D} . Therefore $|\frac{(1-\bar{a}z)\psi}{(1-\bar{a}\varphi)\psi \circ \varphi}| = 1$ on $\bar{\mathbb{D}}$. Hence by the Maximum Modulus Theorem, there is a $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|\beta| = 1$ and

$$((1 - \bar{a}z)\psi) \circ \varphi = \beta(1 - \bar{a}z)\psi.$$

Hence $(1 - \bar{a}z)\psi$ is an eigenvector for C_φ . The other direction follows from Theorem 3.3. □

Example 3.6 *Let $\varphi_a(z) = (a - z)/(1 - \bar{a}z)$, where $a \neq 0$. Suppose that $\psi(z) = (\varphi_a(z) + z + b)/(1 - \bar{a}z)$, where $|b| > 2$. We see that $w(z) = \varphi_a(z) + z + b$ and $C_{\varphi_a}(w) = w$. Hence by Corollary 3.5, C_{ψ, φ_a} is essentially normal.*

In the rest of this section, we investigate the essential normality problem for weighted composition operators $C_{\psi, \varphi}$, whenever $|\psi| = t$ almost everywhere on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ for a positive constant t .

Lemma 3.7 *Let $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ and $\psi \in H^\infty$. Also $|\psi| = t$ almost everywhere on $\partial\mathbb{D}$, where t is a positive constant. Then $\{\frac{\psi}{t}z^n : n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\}$ is an orthonormal set in H^2 .*

Proof. We have

$$\left\| \frac{\psi}{t} z^n \right\|_2^2 = \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{\psi(e^{i\theta})}{t} \right|^2 |e^{in\theta}|^2 \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} = 1.$$

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \frac{\psi}{t} z^n, \frac{\psi}{t} z^m \right\rangle &= \frac{1}{t^2} \langle \psi z^n, \psi z^m \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{t^2} \int_0^{2\pi} |\psi(e^{i\theta})|^2 e^{in\theta} e^{-im\theta} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $n \neq m \in \mathbb{N}$, so the result follows. □

The idea behind Proposition 3.8 is similar to one found in [26, Proposition 2].

Proposition 3.8 *Suppose that φ is given by Equation (6) such that $a \neq 0$. Then $\|C_\varphi^* z^n\|_2 = \|C_\varphi^* z^m\|_2 > 1$ for each $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi_a(z) = (a - z)/(1 - \bar{a}z)$. Then there is a constant λ of modulus 1 such that $C_\varphi = C_{\varphi_a} C_{\lambda z}$. Since $C_{\lambda z}$ is a surjective isometry, we have

$$C_\varphi^* = C_{\lambda z}^* C_{\varphi_a}^* = C_{\lambda z}^{-1} C_{\varphi_a}^* = C_{\frac{1}{\lambda} z} C_{\varphi_a}^*.$$

Therefore it is enough to prove the result for φ_a . We know that $C_{\varphi_a}^* = T_g C_{\sigma_{\varphi_a}} T_h^*$, where $\sigma_{\varphi_a} = \varphi_a$, $g(z) = (1 - \bar{a}z)^{-1}$ and $h(z) = 1 - \bar{a}z$. Hence by Equation (2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\varphi_a}^*(z^n) &= T_g C_{\sigma_{\varphi_a}} T_h^*(z^n) \\ &= T_g C_{\varphi_a} T_{1-\bar{a}\bar{z}}(z^n) \\ &= T_g C_{\varphi_a}(z^n - az^{n-1}) \\ &= (\varphi_a - a)g\varphi_a^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_{\varphi_a}^*(z^n)\|_2^2 &= \int_0^{2\pi} |g(e^{i\theta})|^2 |\varphi_a^{n-1}(e^{i\theta})|^2 |\varphi_a(e^{i\theta}) - a|^2 \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} |g(e^{i\theta})|^2 |\varphi_a(e^{i\theta}) - a|^2 \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \\ &= \|(\varphi_a - a)g\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

By some computation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi_a - a)g &= \frac{(|a|^2 - 1)z}{1 - \bar{a}z} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \bar{a}z} \\ &= (|a|^2 - 1) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)(\bar{a})^n z^{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\varphi_a - a)g\|_2^2 &= (1 - |a|^2)^2 \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)(\bar{a})^n z^{n+1} \right\|_2^2 \\ &= (1 - |a|^2)^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)^2 |a|^{2n} \\ &> (1 - |a|^2)^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1) |a|^{2n} \\ &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

so the proof is complete. □

The idea of the proof of the following theorem is similar to the proof of Theorem 6.2 in [4].

Theorem 3.9 *Let $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ and $\psi \in H^\infty$. Also assume that $|\psi| = t$ almost everywhere on $\partial\mathbb{D}$, where t is a positive constant. If $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ is essentially normal, then C_φ is normal.*

Proof. Suppose that $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ is essentially normal and C_φ is not normal, so φ is not a rotation. By some computation, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [C_{\psi,\varphi}, C_{\psi,\varphi}^*] \left(\frac{\psi}{t} z^n \right), \left(\frac{\psi}{t} z^n \right) \rangle &= \left\| C_{\psi,\varphi}^* \left(\frac{\psi}{t} z^n \right) \right\|_2^2 - \left\| C_{\psi,\varphi} \left(\frac{\psi}{t} z^n \right) \right\|_2^2 \\ &= \left\| C_\varphi^* T_\psi^* \left(\frac{\psi}{t} z^n \right) \right\|_2^2 \\ &\quad - \int_0^{2\pi} |\psi(e^{i\theta})|^2 \frac{|\psi(\varphi(e^{i\theta}))|^2}{t^2} |\varphi^n(e^{i\theta})|^2 \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \\ &= t^2 \|C_\varphi^*(z^n)\|_2^2 - t^2 \\ &= t^2 (\|C_\varphi^*(z^n)\|_2^2 - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{\frac{\psi}{t} z^n\}$ converges weakly to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude that $t^2 (\|C_\varphi^*(z^n)\|_2^2 - 1) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, by Proposition 3.8, it is a contradiction. □

Remark 3.10 *If φ and ψ satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 3.9 and also $1/\psi \in H^\infty$, then the converse of the preceding theorem is true. Let $\varphi(z) = \lambda z$, where $|\lambda| = 1$. Then by Equations (3) and (4), we have*

$$C_{\psi,\varphi}^* C_{\psi,\varphi} = C_{\bar{\lambda}z} T_\psi^* T_\psi C_{\lambda z} = t^2 C_{\bar{\lambda}z} C_{\lambda z} = t^2 I = T_\psi^* T_\psi$$

and

$$C_{\psi,\varphi} C_{\psi,\varphi}^* = T_\psi C_{\lambda z} C_{\bar{\lambda}z} T_\psi^* = T_\psi I T_\psi^* = T_\psi T_\psi^*.$$

Therefore $[C_{\psi,\varphi}^*, C_{\psi,\varphi}] = T_\psi^* T_\psi - T_\psi T_\psi^*$. Since $1/\psi \in H^\infty$, we have

$$T_{\frac{1}{\psi}} [C_{\psi,\varphi}^*, C_{\psi,\varphi}] = T_{t^2 \frac{1}{\psi}} - T_\psi^* = T_{\frac{t^2}{\psi} - \bar{\psi}}.$$

Thus, by [33, Proposition 9.1.3], $C_{\psi,\varphi}$ is essentially normal.

References

- [1] Aleksandrov, A. B.: Multiplicity of boundary values of inner functions. *Izv. Akad. Nauk Armyan. SSR Ser. Mat.* 22, 490–503 (1987).
- [2] Aupetit, B.: *A Primer on Spectral Theory*. Springer-Verlag 1991.
- [3] Bourdon, P. S.: Components of linear-fractional composition operators. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 279, 228–245 (2003).
- [4] Bourdon, P. S., Levi D., Narayan S. K. and Shapiro J. H.: Which linear-fractional composition operators are essentially normal?. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 280, 30–53 (2003).
- [5] Chacón, G. A. and Chacón, G. R.: Some properties of composition operators on the Dirichlet space. *Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae* vol. LXXIV, 259–272 (2005).
- [6] Clark, D. N.: One dimensional perturbations of restricted shifts. *J. Analyse Math.* 25, 169–191 (1972).
- [7] Contreras, M. D. and Hernández-Díaz A. G.: Weighted composition operators between different Hardy spaces. *Integral Equations Operator Theory* 46, 165–188 (2003).
- [8] Conway, J. B.: *Functions of One Complex Variable, Second Edition*. New York. Springer-Verlag 1978.
- [9] Conway, J. B.: *A Course in Functional Analysis, Second Edition*, New York. Springer-Verlag 1990.
- [10] Cowen, C. C.: Composition operators on H^2 . *J. Operator Theory* 9, 77–106 (1983).
- [11] Cowen, C. C.: Linear fractional composition operators on H^2 . *Integral Equations Operator Theory* 11, 151–160 (1988).
- [12] Cowen, C. C. and MacCluer, B. D.: *Composition Operators on Spaces of Analytic Functions*. Boca Raton. CRC Press 1995.
- [13] Cowen, C. C. and MacCluer, B. D.: Linear fractional maps of the ball and their composition operators. *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* 66, 351–376 (2000).
- [14] Douglas, R. G.: *Banach Algebra Techniques in Operator Theory*. New York. Academic Press 1972.
- [15] Duren, P. L. *Theory of H^p Spaces*. New York. Academic Press 1970.
- [16] Forelli, F.: The isometries of H^p . *Canad. J. Math.* 16, 721–728 (1964).
- [17] Gunatillake, G.: Compact weighted composition operators on the Hardy space. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 136, 2895–2899 (2008).
- [18] Haji Shaabani, M. and Khani Robati, B.: On the norm of certain weighted composition operators on the Hardy space. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* vol. 2009, Article ID 720217, 13 pages (2009).
- [19] Kolaski, C. J.: Isometries of the weighted Bergman spaces. *Canad. J. Math.* 34, 910–915 (1982).
- [20] Kriete, T. L., MacCluer, B. D. and Moorhouse, J. L.: Toeplitz-composition C^* -algebras. *J. Operator Theory* 58, 135–156 (2007).

- [21] Kriete, T. L. and Moorhouse, J. L.: Linear relations in the Calkin algebra for composition operators. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 359, 2915–2944 (2007).
- [22] Li, S. and Stević, S.: Weighted composition operators from H^∞ to the Bloch space on the polydisc. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* vol. 2007, Article ID 48478, 13 pages (2007).
- [23] Li, S. and Stević, S.: Weighted composition operators from Bergman-type spaces into Bloch spaces. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.* 117, 371–385 (2007).
- [24] Li, S. and Stević, S.: Weighted composition operators from Zygmund spaces into Bloch spaces. *Appl. Math. Comput.* 206, 825–831 (2008).
- [25] Luo, L. and Ueki, S. I.: Weighted composition operators between weighted Bergman spaces and Hardy spaces on the unit ball of C^n . *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 326, 88–100 (2007).
- [26] MacCluer, B. D. and Weir, R. J.: Essentially normal composition operators on Bergman spaces. *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* 70, 799–817 (2004).
- [27] Poltoratski, A. G.: Boundary behavior of pseudocontinuable functions (Russian). *Algebra i Analiz* 5, 189–210 (1993); translation in *St. Petersburg Math. J.* 5, 389–406 (1994).
- [28] Ryff, J. V.: Subordinate H^p functions. *Duke Math. J.* 33, 347–354 (1966).
- [29] Sarason, D. E.: *Sub-Hardy Hilbert Spaces in the Unit Disk*. University of Arkansas. Lecture Notes in the Mathematical Sciences 10. New York. John Wiley and Sons, (1994).
- [30] Schwartz, H. J. *Composition operators on H^p* , Ph.D. thesis. University of Toledo 1969.
- [31] Shapiro, J. H.: *Composition Operators and Classical Function Theory*. New York. Springer-Verlag 1993.
- [32] Shapiro, J. H. and Taylor, P. D.: Compact, nuclear, and Hilbert-Schmidt composition operators on H^2 . *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 23, 471–496 (1973).
- [33] Zhu, K.: *Operator Theory in Function Spaces*. New York. Marcel Dekker 1990.
- [34] Zhu, X.: Weighted composition operators from $F(p, q, s)$ spaces to H_μ^∞ spaces. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* vol. 2009, Article ID 290978 14 pages (2009).
- [35] Zorboska, N.: Closed range essentially normal composition operators are normal. *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* 65, 287–292 (1999).

Mahsa FATEHI
 Department of Mathematics,
 College of Sciences,
 Shiraz University, Shiraz 71454, IRAN.
 e-mail: fatehi@shirazu.ac.ir

Bahram KHANI ROBATI
 Department of Mathematics,
 College of Sciences,
 Shiraz University, Shiraz 71454, IRAN
 e-mail: bkhani@shirazu.ac.ir

Received: 20.10.2010