

1-1-2013

On the maximal operators of Vilenkin-Fejér means

GEORGE TEPHNADZE

Follow this and additional works at: <https://dctubitak.researchcommons.org/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

TEPHNADZE, GEORGE (2013) "On the maximal operators of Vilenkin-Fejér means," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 37: No. 2, Article 12. <https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-1010-438>
Available at: <https://dctubitak.researchcommons.org/math/vol37/iss2/12>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals.

On the maximal operators of Vilenkin-Fejér means

George TEPHNADZE*

Institute of Mathematics, Faculty of Exact and Natural Sciences, Tbilisi State University,
Chavchavadze str. 1, Tbilisi 0128, Georgia

Received: 25.10.2010 • Accepted: 08.03.2011 • Published Online: 19.03.2013 • Printed: 22.04.2013

Abstract: The main aim of this paper is to prove that the maximal operator $\tilde{\sigma}^* f := \sup_{n \in P} \frac{|\sigma_n f|}{\log^2(n+1)}$ is bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}$ to the space $L_{1/2}$, where $\sigma_n f$ are Fejér means of bounded Vilenkin-Fourier series.

Key words and phrases: Vilenkin system, Fejér means, martingale Hardy space

1. Introduction

In one-dimensional case the weak type inequality

$$\mu(\sigma^* f > \lambda) \leq \frac{c}{\lambda} \|f\|_1 \quad (\lambda > 0)$$

can be found in Zygmund [19] for trigonometric series, in Schipp [11] for Walsh series and in Pál and Simon [10] for bounded Vilenkin series. Again in one-dimensional, Fujii [4] and Simon [13] verified that σ^* is bounded from H_1 to L_1 . Weisz [16] generalized this result and proved the boundedness of σ^* from the martingale space H_p to the space L_p for $p > 1/2$. Simon [12] gave a counterexample, which shows that boundedness does not hold for $0 < p < 1/2$. The counterexample for $p = 1/2$ is due to Goginava [7], (see also [3]). In the endpoint case, $p = 1/2$, two positive results were showed. Weisz [18] proved that σ^* is bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}$ to the space weak- $L_{1/2}$. For Walsh-Paley system in 2008 Goginava [6] proved that the maximal operator $\tilde{\sigma}^*$ defined by

$$\tilde{\sigma}^* f := \sup_{n \in P} \frac{|\sigma_n f|}{\log^2(n+1)}$$

is bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}$ to the space $L_{1/2}$. He also proved that for any nondecreasing function $\varphi : P_+ \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ satisfying the condition

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^2(n+1)}{\varphi(n)} = +\infty \quad (1)$$

the maximal operator

$$\sup_{n \in P} \frac{|\sigma_n f|}{\varphi(n)}$$

*Correspondence: giorgitephnadze@gmail.com

2000 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 42C10.

is not bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}$ to the space $L_{1/2}$.

For a Walsh-Kaczmarz system an analogical theorem is proved in [9].

The main aim of this paper is to prove that the maximal operator $\tilde{\sigma}^* f$ with respect to Vilenkin system is bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}$ to the space $L_{1/2}$ (see Theorem 1).

We also prove that under the condition (1) the maximal operator

$$\sup_{n \in P} \frac{|\sigma_n f|}{\varphi(n)}$$

is not bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}$ to the space $L_{1/2}$. Actually, we prove stronger result (see Theorem 2) than the unboundedness of the maximal operator $\tilde{\sigma}^* f$ from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}$ to the spaces $L_{1/2}$. In particular, we prove that

$$\sup_{n \in P} \left\| \frac{\sigma_n f}{\varphi(n)} \right\|_{L_{1/2}} = \infty.$$

2. Definitions and notation

Let P_+ denote the set of the positive integers, $P := P_+ \cup \{0\}$.

Let $m := (m_0, m_1, \dots)$ denote a sequence of the positive integers not less than 2.

Denote by

$$Z_{m_k} := \{0, 1, \dots, m_k - 1\}$$

the additive group of integers modulo m_k .

Define the group G_m as the complete direct product of the group Z_{m_j} with the product of the discrete topologies of Z_{m_j} s.

The direct product μ of the measures

$$\mu_k(\{j\}) := 1/m_k \quad (j \in Z_{m_k})$$

is the Haar measure on G_m with $\mu(G_m) = 1$.

If $\sup_n m_n < \infty$, then we call G_m a bounded Vilenkin group. If the generating sequence m is not bounded then G_m is said to be an unbounded Vilenkin group. **In this paper we discuss bounded Vilenkin groups only.**

The elements of G_m are represented by sequences

$$x := (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_j, \dots) \quad (x_k \in Z_{m_k}).$$

It is easy to give a base for the neighborhood of G_m

$$I_0(x) := G_m,$$

$$I_n(x) := \{y \in G_m \mid y_0 = x_0, \dots, y_{n-1} = x_{n-1}\} (x \in G_m, n \in P)$$

Denote $I_n := I_n(0)$ for $n \in P$ and $\bar{I}_n := G_m \setminus I_n$.

Let

$$e_n := (0, \dots, 0, x_n = 1, 0, \dots) \in G_m \quad (n \in P).$$

Denote

$$I_N^{k,l} := \begin{cases} I_N(0, \dots, 0, x_k \neq 0, 0, \dots, 0, x_l \neq 0, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_{N-1}), k < l < N \\ I_N(0, \dots, 0, x_k \neq 0, 0, \dots, 0), l = N \end{cases}$$

and

$$I_N^{k,\alpha,l,\beta} := I_N(0, \dots, 0, x_k = \alpha, 0, \dots, 0, x_l = \beta, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_{N-1}), k < l < N.$$

It is evident

$$I_N^{k,l} = \bigcup_{\alpha=1}^{m_k-1} \bigcup_{\beta=1}^{m_l-1} I_N^{k,\alpha,l,\beta} \tag{2}$$

and

$$\bar{I}_N = \left(\bigcup_{k=0}^{N-2} \bigcup_{l=k+1}^{N-1} I_N^{k,l} \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{k=0}^{N-1} I_N^{k,N} \right). \tag{3}$$

If we define the so-called generalized number system based on m as

$$M_0 := 1, \quad M_{k+1} := m_k M_k \quad (k \in P),$$

then every $n \in P$ can be uniquely expressed as $n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n_j M_j$ where $n_j \in Z_{m_j}$ ($j \in P$) and only a finite number of n_j s differ from zero. Let $|n| := \max \{j \in P; n_j \neq 0\}$.

Denote by $L_1(G_m)$ the usual (one dimensional) Lebesgue space.

Next, we introduce on G_m an orthonormal system which is called the Vilenkin system.

At first define the complex valued function $r_k(x) : G_m \rightarrow C$, the generalized Rademacher functions as

$$r_k(x) := \exp(2\pi i x_k / m_k) \quad (i^2 = -1, x \in G_m, k \in P).$$

Now define the Vilenkin system $\psi := (\psi_n : n \in P)$ on G_m as

$$\psi_n(x) := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} r_k^{n_k}(x) \quad (n \in P).$$

Specifically, we call this system the Walsh-Paley one if $m \equiv 2$.

The Vilenkin system is orthonormal and complete in $L_2(G_m)$ [1, 14].

Now we introduce analogues of the usual definitions in Fourier-analysis.

If $f \in L_1(G_m)$ we can establish the Fourier coefficients, the partial sums of the Fourier series, the Fejér means, the Dirichlet and Fejér kernels with respect to the Vilenkin system ψ in the usual manner:

$$\hat{f}(k) := \int_{G_m} f \bar{\psi}_k d\mu, \quad (k \in P),$$

$$S_n f := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \hat{f}(k) \psi_k, \quad (n \in P_+, S_0 f := 0),$$

$$\sigma_n f := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} S_k f \quad (n \in P_+),$$

$$D_n := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \psi_k, \quad (n \in P_+),$$

$$K_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} D_k, \quad (n \in P_+).$$

Recall that

$$D_{M_n}(x) = \begin{cases} M_n & \text{if } x \in I_n \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin I_n. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

It is well known that

$$\sup_n \int_{G_m} |K_n(x)| d\mu(x) \leq c < \infty, \quad (5)$$

$$n |K_n(x)| \leq c \sum_{A=0}^{|n|} M_A |K_{M_A}(x)|. \quad (6)$$

The norm (or quasinorm) of the space $L_p(G_m)$ is defined by

$$\|f\|_{L_p} := \left(\int_{G_m} |f(x)|^p d\mu(x) \right)^{1/p} \quad (0 < p < \infty).$$

The σ -algebra generated by the intervals $\{I_n(x) : x \in G_m\}$ will be denoted by F_n ($n \in P$). Denote by $f = (f^{(n)}, n \in P)$ a martingale with respect to F_n ($n \in P$) (for details, see e.g. [15]). The maximal function of a martingale f is defined by

$$f^* = \sup_{n \in P} |f^{(n)}|.$$

In case $f \in L_1$, the maximal functions are also given by

$$f^*(x) = \sup_{n \in P} \frac{1}{|I_n(x)|} \left| \int_{I_n(x)} f(u) \mu(u) \right|.$$

For $0 < p < \infty$ the Hardy martingale spaces $H_p(G_m)$ consist of all martingales for which

$$\|f\|_{H_p} := \|f^*\|_{L_p} < \infty.$$

If $f \in L_1$, then it is easy to show that the sequence $(S_{M_n}(f) : n \in P)$ is a martingale. If $f = (f^{(n)}, n \in P)$ is martingale then the Vilenkin-Fourier coefficients must be defined in a slightly different manner:

$$\widehat{f}(i) := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{G_m} f^{(k)}(x) \overline{\Psi}_i(x) d\mu(x).$$

The Vilenkin-Fourier coefficients of $f \in L_1(G_m)$ are the same as those of the martingale $(S_{M_n}(f) : n \in P)$ obtained from f .

For the martingale f we consider maximal operators

$$\sigma^* f = \sup_{n \in P} |\sigma_n f|,$$

$$\tilde{\sigma}^* f := \sup_{n \in P} \frac{|\sigma_n f|}{\log^2(n+1)}.$$

A bounded measurable function a is p -atom, if there exist a dyadic interval I , such that

$$\begin{cases} a) & \int_I a d\mu = 0, \\ b) & \|a\|_\infty \leq \mu(I)^{-1/p}, \\ c) & \text{supp}(a) \subset I. \end{cases}$$

3. Formulation of main results

Theorem 1 *The maximal operator*

$$\tilde{\sigma}^* f := \sup_{n \in P} \frac{|\sigma_n f|}{\log^2(n+1)}$$

is bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}(G_m)$ to the space $L_{1/2}(G_m)$.

Theorem 2 *Let $\varphi : P_+ \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ be a nondecreasing function satisfying the condition*

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^2(n+1)}{\varphi(n)} = +\infty. \tag{7}$$

Then there exists a martingale $f \in H_{1/2}$, such that

$$\sup_{n \in P} \left\| \frac{\sigma_n f}{\varphi(n)} \right\|_{L_{1/2}} = \infty.$$

Corollary 1 *The maximal operator*

$$\sup_{n \in P} \frac{|\sigma_n f|}{\varphi(n)}$$

is not bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}$ to the space $L_{1/2}$.

4. Auxiliary propositions

Lemma 1 [17] Suppose that an operator T is sublinear and for some $0 < p \leq 1$

$$\int_I |Ta|^p d\mu \leq c_p < \infty$$

for every p -atom a , where I denotes the support of the atom. If T is bounded from L_∞ to L_∞ , then

$$\|Tf\|_{L_p(G_m)} \leq c_p \|f\|_{H_p(G_m)}.$$

Lemma 2 [2, 8] Let $2 < A \in P_+$, $k \leq s < A$ and $q_A = M_{2A} + M_{2A-2} + \dots + M_2 + M_0$. Then

$$q_{A-1} |K_{q_{A-1}}(x)| \geq \frac{M_{2k}M_{2s}}{4}$$

for

$$x \in I_{2A}(0, \dots, x_{2k} \neq 0, 0, \dots, 0, x_{2s} \neq 0, x_{2s+1}, \dots, x_{2A-1});$$

$$k = 0, 1, \dots, A-3. \quad s = k+2, k+3, \dots, A-1.$$

Lemma 3 [5] Let $A > t$, $t, A \in P$, $z \in I_t \setminus I_{t+1}$. Then

$$K_{M_A}(z) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } z - z_t e_t \notin I_A, \\ \frac{M_t}{1-r_t(z)} & \text{if } z - z_t e_t \in I_A. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 4 Let $x \in I_N^{k,l}$, $k = 0, \dots, N-1$, $l = k+1, \dots, N$. Then

$$\int_{I_N} |K_n(x-t)| d\mu(t) \leq \frac{cM_l M_k}{M_N^2} \text{ when } n \geq M_N.$$

Proof. Let $x \in I_N^{k,\alpha,l,\beta}$. Then applying lemma 3 we have

$$K_{M_A}(x) = 0 \text{ when } A > l.$$

Hence we can suppose that $A \leq l$. Let $k < A \leq l$. Then we have

$$|K_{M_A}(x)| = \frac{M_k}{|1-r_k(x)|} \leq \frac{m_k M_k}{2\pi\alpha}. \tag{8}$$

Let $A \leq k < l$. Then it is easy to show that

$$|K_{M_A}(x)| \leq cM_k. \tag{9}$$

Combining (8) and (9), from (2) we have

$$|K_{M_A}(x)| \leq cM_k, \text{ when } x \in I_N^{k,l}$$

and if we apply (6) we conclude that

$$n|K_n(x)| \leq c \sum_{A=0}^{l-1} M_A M_k \leq cM_k M_l. \quad (10)$$

Let $x \in I_N^{k,l}$, for some $0 \leq k < l \leq N-1$. Since $x-t \in I_N^{k,l}$ and $n \geq M_N$ from (10) we obtain

$$\int_{I_N} |K_n(x-t)| d\mu(t) \leq \frac{cM_k M_l}{M_N^2}. \quad (11)$$

Let $x \in I_N^{k,N}$, then applying (6) we have

$$\int_{I_N} n|K_n(x-t)| d\mu(t) \leq \sum_{A=0}^{|n|} M_A \int_{I_N} |K_{M_A}(x-t)| d\mu(t). \quad (12)$$

Let

$$\begin{cases} x = (0, \dots, 0, x_k \neq 0, 0, \dots, 0, x_N, x_{N+1}, x_q, \dots, x_{|n|-1}, \dots) \\ t = (0, \dots, 0, x_N, \dots, x_{q-1}, t_q \neq x_q, t_{q+1}, \dots, t_{|n|-1}, \dots), \quad q = N, \dots, |n|-1. \end{cases}$$

Then using Lemma 3 in (12) it is easy to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{I_N} |K_n(x-t)| d\mu(t) &\leq \frac{c}{n} \sum_{A=0}^{q-1} M_A \int_{I_N} M_k d\mu(t) \\ &\leq \frac{cM_k M_q}{nM_N} \leq \frac{cM_k}{M_N}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Let

$$\begin{cases} x = (0, \dots, 0, x_m \neq 0, 0, \dots, 0, x_N, x_{N+1}, x_q, \dots, x_{|n|-1}, \dots), \\ t = (0, 0, \dots, x_N, \dots, x_{|n|-1}, t_{|n|}, \dots). \end{cases}$$

If we apply Lemma 3 in (12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{I_N} |K_n(x-t)| d\mu(t) \\ &\leq \frac{c}{n} \sum_{A=0}^{|n|-1} M_A \int_{I_N} M_k d\mu(t) \leq \frac{cM_k}{M_N}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Combining (11), (13) and (14) we complete the proof of lemma 4.

5. Proof of the theorems

Proof of Theorem 1. By Lemma 1, the proof of Theorem 1 will be complete, if we show that

$$\int_{I_N} \left(\sup_{n \in P} \frac{|\sigma_n a|}{\log^2(n+1)} \right)^{1/2} d\mu \leq c < \infty$$

for every 1/2-atom a , where I denotes the support of the atom. The boundedness of maximal operator $\sup_{n \in P} \frac{\sigma_n f}{\log^2(n+1)}$ from L_∞ to L_∞ follows from (5).

Let a be an arbitrary 1/2-atom with support I and $\mu(I) = M_N^{-1}$. We may assume that $I = I_N$. It is easy to see that $\sigma_n(a) = 0$ when $n \leq M_N$. Therefore we can suppose that $n > M_N$.

Since $\|a\|_\infty \leq cM_N^2$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{|\sigma_n(a)|}{\log^2(n+1)} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\log^2(n+1)} \int_{I_N} |a(t)| |K_n(x-t)| d\mu(t) \\ & \leq \frac{\|a\|_\infty}{\log^2(n+1)} \int_{I_N} |K_n(x-t)| d\mu(t) \\ & \leq \frac{cM_N^2}{\log^2(n+1)} \int_{I_N} |K_n(x-t)| d\mu(t). \end{aligned}$$

Let $x \in I_N^{k,l}$, $0 \leq k < l \leq N$. Then from Lemma 4 we get

$$\frac{|\sigma_n(a)|}{\log^2(n+1)} \leq \frac{cM_N^2}{N^2} \frac{M_l M_k}{M_N^2} = \frac{cM_l M_k}{N^2} \quad (15)$$

Combining (3) and (15) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{I_N} |\tilde{\sigma}^* a(x)|^{1/2} d\mu(x) \\ & = \sum_{k=0}^{N-2} \sum_{l=k+1}^{N-1} \sum_{x_j=0, j \in \{l+1, \dots, N-1\}}^{m_j-1} \int_{I_N^{k,l}} |\tilde{\sigma}^* a(x)|^{1/2} d\mu(x) \\ & \quad + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_{I_N^{k,N}} |\tilde{\sigma}^* a(x)|^{1/2} d\mu(x) \\ & \leq c \sum_{k=0}^{N-2} \sum_{l=k+1}^{N-1} \frac{m_{l+1} \dots m_{N-1}}{M_N} \frac{\sqrt{M_l M_k}}{N} \\ & \quad + c \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{M_N} \frac{\sqrt{M_N M_k}}{N} \\ & \leq c \sum_{k=0}^{N-2} \sum_{l=k+1}^{N-1} \frac{\sqrt{M_k}}{N \sqrt{M_l}} + c \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{M_N}} \frac{\sqrt{M_k}}{N} \leq c < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Which completes the proof of Theorem 1. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $\{\lambda_k; k \in P_+\}$ be an increasing sequence of the positive integers such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^2(\lambda_k)}{\varphi(\lambda_k)} = \infty.$$

It is evident that for every λ_k there exists positive integers m'_k such that $q_{m'_k} \leq \lambda_k < q_{m'_k+1} < M^5 q_{m'_k}$, $M := \sup_k m_k$. Since $\varphi(n)$ is a nondecreasing function we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(m'_k)^2}{\varphi(q_{m'_k})} \geq c \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^2(\lambda_k)}{\varphi(\lambda_k)} = \infty; \quad (16)$$

let $\{n_k; k \in P_+\} \subset \{m'_k; k \in P_+\}$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n_k^2}{\varphi(q_{n_k})} = \infty$$

and

$$f_{n_k}(x) = D_{M_{2n_k+1}}(x) - D_{M_{2n_k}}(x).$$

It is evident

$$\widehat{f}_{n_k}(i) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = M_{2n_k}, \dots, M_{2n_k+1} - 1; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then we can write

$$S_i f_{n_k}(x) = \begin{cases} D_i(x) - D_{M_{2n_k}}(x), & \text{if } i = M_{2n_k}, \dots, M_{2n_k+1} - 1 \\ f_{n_k}(x), & \text{if } i \geq M_{2n_k+1} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

From (4) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_{n_k}\|_{H_{1/2}} &= \left\| \sup_{n \in P} S_{M_n} f_{n_k} \right\|_{L_{1/2}} \\ &= \left\| D_{M_{2n_k+1}} - D_{M_{2n_k}} \right\|_{L_{1/2}} \\ &= \left(\int_{I_{2n_k} \setminus I_{2n_k+1}} M_{2n_k}^{1/2} d\mu(x) + \int_{I_{2n_k+1}} (M_{2n_k+1} - M_{2n_k})^{1/2} d\mu(x) \right)^2 \\ &= \left(\frac{m_{2n_k} - 1}{M_{2n_k+1}} M_{2n_k}^{1/2} + \frac{(m_{2n_k} + 1)^{1/2}}{M_{2n_k+1}} M_{2n_k}^{1/2} \right)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{c}{M_{2n_k}}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

By (17) we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\sigma_{q_{n_k}} f_{n_k}(x)|}{\varphi(q_{n_k})} &= \frac{1}{\varphi(q_{n_k}) q_{n_k}} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{q_{n_k}-1} S_j f_{n_k}(x) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{\varphi(q_{n_k}) q_{n_k}} \left| \sum_{j=M_{2n_k}}^{q_{n_k}-1} S_j f_{n_k}(x) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{\varphi(q_{n_k}) q_{n_k}} \left| \sum_{j=M_{2n_k}}^{q_{n_k}-1} (D_j(x) - D_{M_{2n_k}}(x)) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{\varphi(q_{n_k}) q_{n_k}} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{q_{n_k}-1-1} (D_{j+M_{2n_k}}(x) - D_{M_{2n_k}}(x)) \right| \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$D_{j+M_{2n_k}}(x) - D_{M_{2n_k}}(x) = \psi_{M_{2n_k}} D_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, M_{2n_k} - 1,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\sigma_{q_{n_k}} f_{n_k}(x)|}{\varphi(q_{n_k})} &= \frac{1}{\varphi(q_{n_k}) q_{n_k}} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{q_{n_k}-1-1} D_j(x) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{\varphi(q_{n_k})} \frac{q_{n_k}-1}{q_{n_k}} |K_{q_{n_k}-1}(x)|. \end{aligned}$$

Let $x \in I_{2n_k}^{2s, 2l}$. Then from Lemma 2 we have

$$\frac{|\sigma_{q_{n_k}} f_{n_k}(x)|}{\varphi(q_{n_k})} \geq \frac{cM_{2s}M_{2l}}{M_{2n_k}\varphi(q_{n_k})}.$$

Hence we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{G_m} \left(\frac{|\sigma_{q_{n_k}} f_{n_k}(x)|}{\varphi(q_{n_k})} \right)^{1/2} d\mu(x) \\ &\geq \sum_{s=0}^{n_k-3} \sum_{l=s+1}^{n_k-1} \sum_{x_{2l+1}=0}^{m_{2l+1}} \dots \sum_{x_{2n_k-1}=0}^{m_{2n_k-1}} \int_{I_{2n_k}^{2s, 2l}} \left(\frac{|\sigma_{q_{n_k}} f_{n_k}(x)|}{\varphi(q_{n_k})} \right)^{1/2} d\mu(x) \\ &\geq c \sum_{s=0}^{n_k-3} \sum_{l=s+1}^{n_k-1} \frac{m_{2l+1} \dots m_{2n_k-1}}{M_{2n_k}} \frac{\sqrt{M_{2s}M_{2l}}}{\sqrt{\varphi(q_{n_k}) M_{2n_k}}} \geq \\ &c \sum_{s=0}^{n_k-3} \sum_{l=s+1}^{n_k-1} \frac{\sqrt{M_{2s}}}{\sqrt{M_{2l}M_{2n_k}}\varphi(q_{n_k})} \geq \frac{cn_k}{\sqrt{M_{2n_k}}\varphi(q_{n_k})} \end{aligned}$$

Then from (18) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\left(\int_{G_m} \left(\frac{|\sigma_{q_{n_k}} f_{n_k}(x)|}{\varphi(n_k)} \right)^{1/2} d\mu(x) \right)^2}{\|f_{n_k}(x)\|_{H_{1/2}}} &\geq \frac{cn_k^2}{M_{2n_k} \varphi(q_{n_k})} M_{2n_k} \\ &\geq \frac{cn_k^2}{\varphi(q_{n_k})} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{when} \quad k \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2 is proved. □

References

- [1] Agaev, G. N., Vilenkin, N. Ya., Dzhafarly, G. M., Rubinshtein, A. I.: Multiplicative systems of functions and harmonic analysis on zero-dimensional groups, Baku, Ehim, 1981 (in Russian).
- [2] Blahota, I., Gát, G., Goginava, U.: Maximal operators of Fejer means of double Vilenkin-Fourier series, *Colloq. Math.* 107, no. 2, 287–296, (2007).
- [3] Blahota, I., Gát, G., Goginava, U.: Maximal operators of Fejér means of Vilenkin-Fourier series, *JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* 7, 1–7, (2006).
- [4] Fujii, N. J.: A maximal inequality for H_1 functions on generalized Walsh-Paley group, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 77, (1979), 111–116.
- [5] Gát, G.: Cesàro means of integrable functions with respect to unbounded Vilenkin systems, *J. Approx. Theory* 124, no. 1, 25–43, (2003).
- [6] Goginava, U.: Maximal operators of Fejér-Walsh means, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* 74, no. 3-4, 615–624, (2008).
- [7] Goginava, U.: The maximal operator of the Fejér means of the character system of the p -series field in the Kaczmarz rearrangement, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* 71, no. 1-2, 43–55, (2007).
- [8] Goginava, U.: Maximal operators of Fejér means of double Walsh-Fourier series, *Acta Math. Hungar.* 115, no. 4, 333–340, (2007).
- [9] Goginava, U., Nagy, K.: On the maximal operator of Walsh-Kaczmarz-Fejer means, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* (to appear).
- [10] Pál, J., Simon, J.: On a generalization of the concept of derivate, *Acta Math. Hung.*, 29, 155–164, (1977).
- [11] Schipp, F.: Certain rearrangements of series in the Walsh series, *Mat. Zametki*, 18, 193–201, (1975).
- [12] Simon, P.: Cesàro summability with respect to two-parameter Walsh systems, *Monatsh. Math.*, 131, 321–334, (2000).
- [13] Simon, P.: Investigations with respect to the Vilenkin system, *Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest Eotv., Sect. Math.*, 28, 87–101, (1985).
- [14] Vilenkin, N.Ya.: A class of complete orthonormal systems, *Izv. Akad. Nauk. U.S.S.R., Ser. Mat.*, 11, 363–400, (1947).
- [15] Weisz, F.: *Martingale Hardy spaces and their applications in Fourier Analysis*, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1994.
- [16] Weisz, F.: Cesro summability of one and two-dimensional Fourier series, *Anal. Math.* 5 (1996), 353-367. *re Appl. Math.* 7, 1–7, (2006).
- [17] Weisz, F.: *Summability of multi-dimensional Fourier series and Hardy space*, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 2002.
- [18] Weisz, F.: Weak type inequalities for the Walsh and bounded Ciesielski systems. *Anal. Math.* 30, no. 2, 147–160, (2004).
- [19] Zygmund, A.: *Trigonometric Series*, Vol. 1, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1959.