Turkish Journal of Mathematics

Volume 37 | Number 2

Article 2

1-1-2013

A scheme over prime spectrum of modules

AHMAD ABBASI

DAWOOD HASSANZADEH LELEKAMI

Follow this and additional works at: https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math

Part of the Mathematics Commons

Recommended Citation

ABBASI, AHMAD and LELEKAMI, DAWOOD HASSANZADEH (2013) "A scheme over prime spectrum of modules," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 37: No. 2, Article 2. https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-1010-417 Available at: https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol37/iss2/2

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.



Turkish Journal of Mathematics

http://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/

Turk J Math (2013) 37: 202 – 209 © TÜBİTAK doi:10.3906/mat-1010-417

Research Article

A scheme over prime spectrum of modules

Ahmad ABBASI^{1,*}, Dawood HASSANZADEH LELEKAMI²

¹Department of Pure Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, University of Guilan, P. O. Box 41335-1914, Rasht, Iran ²Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, University of Guilan, P. O. Box 41335-1914, Rasht, Iran

Received: 18.10.2010	٠	Accepted: 31.08.2011	٠	Published Online: 19.03.2013	•	Printed: 22.04.2013
-----------------------------	---	----------------------	---	------------------------------	---	----------------------------

Abstract: Let R be a commutative ring with nonzero identity and let M be an R-module with X = Spec(M). It is introduced a scheme \mathcal{O}_X on the prime spectrum of M and some of its properties have been investigated.

Key words and phrases: Prime submodule, Zariski topology, primeful module, sheaf of rings, scheme

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, all rings are commutative with identity and all modules are unital. For a submodule N of an R-module M, $(N :_R M)$ denotes the ideal $\{r \in R \mid rM \subseteq N\}$ and annihilator of M, denoted by $\operatorname{Ann}_R(M)$, is the ideal $(\mathbf{0} :_R M)$. If there is no ambiguity we write (N : M) (resp. $\operatorname{Ann}(M)$) instead of $(N :_R M)$ (resp. $\operatorname{Ann}_R(M)$). An R-module M is called faithful if $\operatorname{Ann}(M) = (0)$.

A submodule N of an R-module M is said to be prime if $N \neq M$ and whenever $rm \in N$ (where $r \in R$ and $m \in M$) then $r \in (N : M)$ or $m \in N$. If N is prime, then the ideal $\mathfrak{p} = (N : M)$ is a prime ideal of R. In these circumstances, N is said to be \mathfrak{p} -prime (see [2]). The set of all prime submodules of an R-module M is called the prime spectrum of M and denoted by $\operatorname{Spec}(M)$. Similarly, the collection of all \mathfrak{p} -prime submodules of R-module M for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ is designated by $\operatorname{Spec}_{\mathfrak{p}}(M)$. We remark that $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathfrak{0}) = \emptyset$ and that $\operatorname{Spec}(M)$ may be empty for some nonzero R-module module M. For example, the $\mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$ as a \mathbb{Z} -module has no prime submodule for any prime integer p (see [3] and [7]). Such a module is said to be primeless. An R-module M is called primeful if either $M = (\mathfrak{0})$ or $M \neq (\mathfrak{0})$ and the natural map $\psi : \operatorname{Spec}(M) \to \operatorname{Spec}(R/\operatorname{Ann}(M))$ defined by $\psi(P) = (P : M)/\operatorname{Ann}(M)$ for every $P \in \operatorname{Spec}(M)$, is surjective (see [6]). Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal of R, and $N \leq M$. By the saturation of N with respect to \mathfrak{p} , we mean the contraction of $N_{\mathfrak{p}}$ in M and designate it by $S_{\mathfrak{p}}(N)$ (see [5]).

Let M be an R-module. Throughout this paper X denotes the prime spectrum Spec(M) of M. Let N be a submodule of M. Then V(N) is defined as, $V(N) = \{P \in X \mid (P : M) \supseteq (N : M)\}$ (see [4]). Set $Z(M) = \{V(N) : N \leq M\}$. Then the elements of the set Z(M) satisfy the axioms for closed sets in a topological space X (see [4]). The resulting topology is called the Zariski topology relative to M.

We recall some preliminary results.

^{*}Correspondence: aabbasi@guilan.ac.ir

 $^{2000\} AMS\ Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification:\ 13C99,\ 13A99.$

Remark 1.1 (See [4, Theorem 6.1].) The following statements are equivalent:

- 1. X is T_0 -space;
- 2. The natural map ψ : Spec $(M) \rightarrow$ Spec(R/Ann(M)) is injective;
- 3. If V(P) = V(Q), then P = Q for any $P, Q \in \text{Spec}(M)$;
- 4. $|\operatorname{Spec}_{\mathfrak{p}}(M)| \leq 1$ for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R)$.

Remark 1.2 (See [4].) For any element r of a ring R, the set $D_r = \operatorname{Spec}(R) \setminus V(rR)$ is open in $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ and the family $F = \{D_r | r \in R\}$ forms a base for the Zariski topology on $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$. Each D_r , in particular, $D_1 = \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ is known to be quasi-compact. For each $r \in R$, we define $X_r = X - V(rM)$. Then every X_r is an open set of X, $X_0 = \emptyset$, and $X_1 = X$. By [4, Corollary 4.2], for any $r, s \in R$, $X_{rs} = X_r \cap X_s$.

2. Main results

In this section we use the notion of prime spectrum of a module to define a sheaf of rings. Let M be an R-module. For every open subset U of X we define $\text{Supp}(U) = \{(P:M) \mid P \in U\}$.

Definition 2.1 Let M be an R-module. For every open subset U of X we define $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ to be a subring of $\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in \operatorname{Supp}(U)} R_\mathfrak{p}$, the ring of functions $s: U \to \coprod_{\mathfrak{p}\in \operatorname{Supp}(U)} R_\mathfrak{p}$, where $s(P) \in R_\mathfrak{p}$, for each $P \in U$ and $\mathfrak{p} = (P:M)$ such that for each $P \in U$, there is a neighborhood V of P, contained in U, and elements $a, f \in R$, such that for each $Q \in V$, $f \notin \mathfrak{q} := (Q:M)$, and s(Q) = a/f in $R_\mathfrak{q}$.

It is clear that for an open set U of X, $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ is closed under sum and product. Thus $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ is a commutative ring with identity (the identity element of $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ is the function which sends all $P \in U$ to 1 in $R_{(P:M)}$). If $V \subseteq U$ are two open sets, the natural restriction map $\mathcal{O}_X(U) \to \mathcal{O}_X(V)$ is a homomorphism of rings. It is then clear that \mathcal{O}_X is a presheaf. Finally, it is clear from the local nature of the definition \mathcal{O}_X is a sheaf. Hence

Lemma 2.2 Let M be an R-module.

- 1. For each open subset U of X, $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ is a subring of $\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in \operatorname{Supp}(U)} R_{\mathfrak{p}}$.
- 2. \mathcal{O}_X is a sheaf.

Next, we find the stalk of the sheaf.

Proposition 2.3 Let M be an R-module. Then for each $P \in X$, the stalk \mathcal{O}_P of the sheaf \mathcal{O}_X is isomorphic to R_p , where $\mathfrak{p} := (P : M)$.

Proof Let P be a \mathfrak{p} -prime submodule of M and

$$m \in \mathcal{O}_P = \varinjlim_{P \in U} \mathcal{O}_X(U).$$

Then there exists a neighborhood U of P and $s \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ such that m is the germ of s at the point P. We define a homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{O}_P \to R_p$ by $\varphi(m) = s(P)$. This is a well-defined homomorphism. Let V be

another neighborhood of P and $t \in \mathcal{O}_X(V)$ such that m is the germ of s at the point P. Then there exists an open subset $W \subseteq U \cap V$ such that $P \in W$ and $s|_W = t|_W$. Since $P \in W$, s(P) = t(P). We claim that φ is an isomorphism.

Let $x \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then x = a/f where $a \in R$ and $f \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$. Since $f \notin \mathfrak{p}$, $P \in X_f$. Now we define s(Q) = a/f in $R_{\mathfrak{q}}$, where $\mathfrak{q} := (Q:M)$, for all $Q \in X_f$. Then $s \in \mathcal{O}(X_f)$. If m is the equivalent class of s in \mathcal{O}_P , then $\varphi(m) = x$. Hence φ is surjective.

Now, let $m \in \mathcal{O}_P$ and $\varphi(m) = 0$. Let U be an open neighborhood of P and m be the germ of $s \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ at P. There is an open neighborhood $V \subseteq U$ of P and elements $a, f \in R$ such that $s(Q) = a/f \in R_{\mathfrak{q}}$, where $\mathfrak{q} := (Q:M)$, for all $Q \in V$, $f \notin \mathfrak{q}$. Thus $V \subseteq X_f$. Then $0 = \varphi(m) = s(P) = a/f$ in $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. So, there is $h \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ such that ha = 0. For $Q \in X_{fh} = X_f \cap X_h$ we have $s(Q) = a/f \in R_{\mathfrak{q}}$. Since $h \notin \mathfrak{q}$, $s(Q) = \frac{a}{f} = \frac{h}{h} \frac{a}{f} = 0$. Thus $s|_{\mathcal{O}(X_{fh})} = 0$. Therefore, s = 0 in $\mathcal{O}(X_{fh})$. Consequently m = 0. This completes the proof. \Box

As a direct consequence of Proposition 2.3, we have

Corollary 2.4 If M is an R-module, then $(\text{Spec}(M), \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(M)})$ is a locally ringed space.

Proposition 2.5 Let M and N be R-modules and $\phi : M \to N$ be an epimorphism. Then ϕ induces a morphism of locally ringed spaces

$$(f, f^{\sharp}) : (\operatorname{Spec}(N), \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec}(N)}) \to (\operatorname{Spec}(M), \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec}(M)}).$$

Proof By [4, Proposition 3.9], the map $f : \operatorname{Spec}(N) \to \operatorname{Spec}(M)$ which is defined by $P \mapsto \phi^{-1}(P)$, is continuous. Let U be an open subset of $\operatorname{Spec}(M)$ and $s \in \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec}(M)}(U)$. Suppose $P \in f^{-1}(U)$. Then $f(P) = \phi^{-1}(P) \in U$. Assume that W is an open neighborhood of $\phi^{-1}(P)$ with $W \subseteq U$ with $a, g \in R$, such that for each $Q \in W$, $g \notin \mathfrak{q} := (Q : M)$, and s(Q) = a/g in $R_{\mathfrak{q}}$. Since $\phi^{-1}(P) \in W$, $P \in f^{-1}(W)$. As we mentioned, f is continuous, so $f^{-1}(W)$ is an open subset of $\operatorname{Spec}(N)$. We claim that for each $Q' \in f^{-1}(W)$, $g \notin (Q' : N)$. Suppose $g \in (Q' : N)$ for some $Q' \in f^{-1}(W)$. Then $\phi^{-1}(Q') = f(Q') \in W$. Since ϕ an epimorphism, $(Q' : N) = (\phi^{-1}(Q') : M)$. So, $g \in (\phi^{-1}(Q') : M)$. This is a contradiction. Therefore, we can define

$$f^{\sharp}(U): \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec}(M)}(U) \to \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec}(N)}(f^{-1}(U))$$

by $f^{\sharp}(U)(s) = s \circ f$.

Assume that $V \subseteq U$ and $P \in f^{-1}(V)$. According to the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{cccc} f^{-1}(U) & \xrightarrow{f} & U & \xrightarrow{t} & R_{(P:M)} \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ f^{-1}(V) & \xrightarrow{f} & V \end{array}$$

we have

$$(t \circ f)|_{f^{-1}(V)}(P) = t|_V \circ f(P).$$
 (2.1)

Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spec}(M)}(U) & \stackrel{f^{\sharp}(U)}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spec}(N)}(f^{-1}(U)) \\
 & \rho_{UV} & & & & & & \\
\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spec}(M)}(V) & \stackrel{f^{\sharp}(V)}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spec}(N)}(f^{-1}(V)).
\end{array}$$
(A)

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \rho'_{f^{-1}(U)f^{-1}(V)}f^{\sharp}(U)(t)(P) &= \rho'_{f^{-1}(U)f^{-1}(V)}(t \circ f)(P) \\ &= (t \circ f)|_{f^{-1}(V)}(P) \\ &= t|_{V} \circ f(P) \quad \text{by equation 2.1} \\ &= \rho_{UV}(t) \circ f(P) \\ &= f^{\sharp}(V)\rho_{UV}(t)(P), \end{aligned}$$

for each $t \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(M)}(U)$, the diagram (A) is commutative, and it follows that

$$f^{\sharp}: \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec}(M)} \longrightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec}(N)}$$

is a morphism of sheaves. By Proposition 2.3, the map on stalks

$$f_P^{\sharp}: \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec}(M), f(P)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec}(N), P}$$

is clearly the map of local rings

$$R_{(f(P):M)} \longrightarrow R_{(P:N)}.$$

This implies that

$$(\operatorname{Spec}(N), \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec}(N)}) \xrightarrow{(f, f^{\sharp})} (\operatorname{Spec}(M), \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec}(M)})$$

is a morphism of locally ringed spaces.

Proposition 2.6 Let $\Phi: R \to S$ be a ring homomorphism, N a S-module and M a primeful R-module such that $\operatorname{Spec}(M)$ is a T_0 -space and $\operatorname{Ann}_R(M) \subseteq \operatorname{Ann}_R(N)$ (here, we consider N as an R-module by means of Φ). Then Φ induces a morphism of locally ringed spaces

$$(\operatorname{Spec}(N), \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec}(N)}) \xrightarrow{(h, h^{\sharp})} (\operatorname{Spec}(M), \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec}(M)})$$

Proof Since $\operatorname{Ann}_R(M) \subseteq \operatorname{Ann}_R(N)$, Φ induces the map $\Theta : R/\operatorname{Ann}_R(M) \to S/\operatorname{Ann}_S(N)$. It is well known that the maps $f : \operatorname{Spec}(S) \to \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ by $\mathfrak{p} \mapsto \Phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$ and $d : \operatorname{Spec}(S/\operatorname{Ann}_S(N)) \to \operatorname{Spec}(R/\operatorname{Ann}_R(M))$ by $\overline{\mathfrak{p}} \mapsto \Theta^{-1}(\overline{\mathfrak{p}})$ and $\psi_N : \operatorname{Spec}(N) \to \operatorname{Spec}(S/\operatorname{Ann}_S(N))$ with $\psi(P) = (P :_S N)/\operatorname{Ann}_S(N)$ for each $P \in \operatorname{Spec}(N)$ are continuous maps. Also $\psi_M : \operatorname{Spec}(M) \to \operatorname{Spec}(R/\operatorname{Ann}_R(M))$ is homeomorphism by [4, Theorem 6.5]. Therefore the map

$$\begin{aligned} h: & \operatorname{Spec}(N) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Spec}(M) \\ P & & \mapsto \psi_M^{-1} \, d \, \psi_N(P) \end{aligned}$$

205

is continuous. For each $P \in \operatorname{Spec}(N)$, we get a local homomorphism

$$\Phi_{(P:_SN)}: R_{f(P:_SN)} \longrightarrow S_{(P:_SN)}.$$

Let U be an open subset of $\operatorname{Spec}(M)$ and let $t \in \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec}(M)}(U)$. Suppose that $P \in h^{-1}(U)$. Then $h(P) \in U$ and there exists a neighborhood W of h(P) with $W \subseteq U$ and elements $r, g \in R$ such that for each $Q \in W, g \notin (Q :_R M)$, and $t(Q) = \frac{r}{g} \in R_{(Q:_R M)}$. Hence $g \notin (h(P) :_R M)$. By definition of h, $(h(P):_R M) = \Phi^{-1}(P:_S N)$. So, $\Phi(g) \notin (P:_S N)$. Thus $\Phi_{(P:_S N)}(\frac{r}{g})$ define a section on $\mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec}(N)}(h^{-1}(W))$. Since



is commutative, we can define

$$h^{\sharp}(U): \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec}(M)}(U) \longrightarrow h_* \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec}(N)}(U) = \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec}(N)}(h^{-1}(U))$$

by $h^{\sharp}(U)(t)(P) = \Phi_{(P:_S N)}(t(h(P)))$ for each $t \in \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec}(M)}(U)$ and $P \in h^{-1}(U)$. Assume that $V \subseteq U$ and $P \in h^{-1}(V)$.

According to the commutative diagram



we have

$$\Phi_{(P:sN)}t|_V \circ h(P) = (\Phi_{(P:sN)}t \circ h)|_{h^{-1}(V)}(P).$$
(2.2)

Considering the diagram

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spec}(M)}(U) & \xrightarrow{h^{\sharp}(U)} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spec}(N)}(h^{-1}(U)) \\
& & & & & & \\
\rho_{UV} & & & & & & \\
\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spec}(M)}(V) & \xrightarrow{h^{\sharp}(V)} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spec}(N)}(h^{-1}(V)), \\
\end{array} \tag{B}$$

it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{h^{-1}(U)h^{-1}(V)}^{\prime}h^{\sharp}(U)(t)(P) &= \rho_{h^{-1}(U)h^{-1}(V)}^{\prime}\Phi_{(P:_{S}N)}t \circ h(P) \\ &= (\Phi_{(P:_{S}N)}t \circ h)|_{h^{-1}(V)}(P) \\ &= \Phi_{(P:_{S}N)}t|_{V} \circ h(P) \qquad \text{by equation } 2.2 \\ &= h^{\sharp}(V)(t|_{V})(P) \\ &= h^{\sharp}(V)\rho_{UV}(t)(P). \end{aligned}$$

So, the diagram (B) is commutative, and it follows that

$$h^{\sharp}: \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spec}(M)} \longrightarrow h_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spec}(N)}$$

is a morphism of sheaves. By Proposition 2.3, the map on stalks

$$h_P^{\sharp}: \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spec}(M), h(P)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spec}(N), P}$$

is clearly

$$R_{f(P:sN)} \longrightarrow S_{(P:sN)}$$

This implies that

$$(\operatorname{Spec}(N), \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec}(N)}) \xrightarrow{(h,h^{\sharp})} (\operatorname{Spec}(M), \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec}(M)})$$

is a morphism of locally ringed spaces.

Example 2.7 Let Ω be the set of all prime integers p, $M = \prod_p \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p\mathbb{Z}}$ and $N = \bigoplus_p \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p\mathbb{Z}}$ where p runs through Ω . By [6, p.136, Example 1], N is a faithful \mathbb{Z} -module and M is a faithful primeful \mathbb{Z} -module. It is also shown that

$$\operatorname{Spec}(M) = \{S_{(0)}(\boldsymbol{0})\} \cup \{pM | p \in \Omega\}$$

Therefore by Remark 1.1, Spec(M) is a T_0 -space. Hence by Proposition 2.6, there exists a morphism of locally ringed spaces

$$(\operatorname{Spec}(\bigoplus_{p} \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p\mathbb{Z}}), \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec}(\bigoplus_{p} \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p\mathbb{Z}})}) \to (\operatorname{Spec}(\prod_{p} \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p\mathbb{Z}}), \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec}(\prod_{p} \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p\mathbb{Z}})}).$$

Proposition 2.8 Let M be a faithful and primeful R-module. For any element $f \in R$, the ring $\mathcal{O}_X(X_f)$ is isomorphic to the localized ring R_f .

Proof We define the map $\Theta : R_f \to \mathcal{O}_X(X_f)$ by

$$\frac{a}{f^m} \mapsto (s: Q \mapsto \frac{a}{f^m} \in R_{(Q:M)}).$$

Indeed Θ sends that $\frac{a}{f^m}$ to the section $s \in \mathcal{O}_X(X_f)$ which assigns to each Q the image of $\frac{a}{f^m} \in R_{(Q:M)}$. It is easy to see Θ is a well-defined homomorphism. We are going to show that Θ is an isomorphism.

207

We first show that Θ is injective. If $\Theta(\frac{a}{f^n}) = \Theta(\frac{b}{f^m})$, then for every $P \in X_f$, $\frac{a}{f^n}$ and $\frac{b}{f^m}$ have the same image in $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$, where $\mathfrak{p} = (P:M)$. Thus there exists $h \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ such that $h(f^m a - f^n b) = 0$ in R. Let $I = (0:_R f^m a - f^n b)$. Then $h \in I$ and $h \notin \mathfrak{p}$, so $I \notin \mathfrak{p}$. This happens for any $P \in X_f$, so we conclude that

$$V(I) \cap \operatorname{Supp}(X_f) = \emptyset$$

hence

$$\operatorname{Supp}(X_f) \subseteq D(I) := \operatorname{Spec}(R) \setminus V(I).$$

Since M is faithful primeful,

$$D_f = \operatorname{Supp}(X_f) \subseteq D(I).$$

Therefore $f \in \sqrt{I}$ and so $f^l \in I$ for some positive integer l. Now we have $f^l(f^m a - f^n b) = 0$ which shows that $\frac{a}{f^n} = \frac{b}{f^m}$ in R_p . Hence Θ is injective.

Let $s \in \mathcal{O}_X(X_f)$. Then we can cover X_f with open subset V_i , on which s is represented by $\frac{a_i}{g_i}$, with $g_i \notin (P:M)$ for all $P \in V_i$, in other words $V_i \subseteq X_{g_i}$. By [4, Proposition 4.3], the open sets of the form X_h form a base for the topology. So, we may assume that $V_i = X_{h_i}$ for some $h_i \in R$. Since $X_{h_i} \subseteq X_{g_i}$, by [4, Proposition 4.1], $h_i \in \sqrt{(g_i)}$. Thus $h_i^n \in (g_i)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So, $h_i^n = cg_i$ and

$$\frac{a_i}{g_i} = \frac{ca_i}{cg_i} = \frac{ca_i}{h_i^n}.$$

We see that s is represented by $\frac{b_i}{k_i}$, $(b_i = ca_i, k_i = h_i^n)$ on X_{k_i} and (since $X_{h_i} = X_{h_i^n}$) the X_{k_i} cover X_f . The open cover $X_f = \bigcup X_{k_i}$ has a finite subcover by [4, Proposition 4.4]. Suppose, $X_f \subseteq X_{k_1} \cup \cdots \cup X_{k_n}$. For $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, $\frac{b_i}{k_i}$ and $\frac{b_j}{k_j}$ both represent s on $X_{k_i} \cap X_{k_j}$. By Remark 1.2, $X_{k_i} \cap X_{k_j} = X_{k_i k_j}$ and by injectivity of Θ , we get $\frac{b_i}{k_i} = \frac{b_j}{k_j}$ in $R_{k_i k_j}$. Hence for some n_{ij} ,

$$(k_i k_j)^{n_{ij}} (k_j b_i - k_i b_j) = 0.$$

Let $m = \max\{n_{ij} | 1 \le i, j \le n\}$. Then

$$k_j^{m+1}(k_i^m b_i) - k_i^{m+1}(k_j^m b_j) = 0.$$

By replacing each k_i by k_i^{m+1} , and b_i by $k_i^m b_i$, we still see that s is represented on X_{k_i} by $\frac{b_i}{k_i}$, and furthermore, we have $k_j b_i = k_i b_j$ for all i, j. Since $X_f \subseteq X_{k_1} \cup \cdots \cup X_{k_n}$, by [4, Proposition 4.1], we have

$$D_f = \psi(X_f) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \psi(X_{k_i}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n D_{k_i},$$

where ψ is the natural map ψ : Spec $(M) \to$ Spec(R). So, there are c_1, \dots, c_n in R and $t \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $f^t = \sum_i c_i k_i$. Let $a = \sum_i c_i b_i$. Then for each j we have

$$k_j a = \sum_i c_i b_i k_j = \sum_i c_i k_i b_j = b_j f^t.$$

This implies that $\frac{a}{f^t} = \frac{b_j}{k_j}$ on X_{k_j} . So $\Theta(\frac{a}{f^t}) = s$ everywhere, which shows that Θ is surjective.

Corollary 2.9 Let M be a faithful and primeful R-module. Then $\mathcal{O}(\text{Spec}(M))$ is isomorphic to R.

We recall that a scheme X is locally Noetherian if it can be covered by open affine subsets $\text{Spec}(A_i)$, where each A_i is a Noetherian ring. X is Noetherian if it is locally Noetherian and quasi-compact [1].

Theorem 2.10 Let M be a faithful and primeful R-module such that X is a T_0 -space. Then (X, \mathcal{O}_X) is a scheme. Moreover, if R is Noetherian, then (X, \mathcal{O}_X) is a Noetherian scheme.

Proof Let $g \in R$. Since the natural map $\psi : \operatorname{Spec}(M) \to \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ is continuous by [4, Proposition 3.1], the map $\psi|_{X_g} : X_g \to \psi(X_g)$ is also continuous. By assumption and Remark 1.1, $\psi|_{X_g}$ is a bijection. Let E be a closed subset of X_g . Then $E = X_g \cap V(N)$ for some submodule N of M. Hence $\psi(E) = \psi(X_g \cap V(N)) = \psi(X_g) \cap V(N:M)$ is a closed subset of $\psi(X_g)$. Therefore, $\psi|_{X_g}$ is a homeomorphism.

Suppose $X = \bigcup_{i \in I} X_{g_i}$. Since M is faithful primeful and X is a T_0 -space, for each $i \in I$

$$X_{g_i} \cong \psi(X_{g_i}) = \operatorname{Supp}(X_{g_i}) = D_{g_i} \cong \operatorname{Spec}(R_{g_i}).$$

Thus by Proposition 2.8, X_{g_i} is an affine scheme and this implies that (X, \mathcal{O}_X) is a scheme. For the last statement, we note that since R is Noetherian, so is R_{g_i} for each $i \in I$. Hence (X, \mathcal{O}_X) is a locally Noetherian scheme. By [4, Theorem 4.4], X is quasi-compact. Therefore, (X, \mathcal{O}_X) is a Noetherian scheme. \Box

References

- [1] Hartshorne, R.: Algebraic geometry. Springer-Verlag. New York Inc 1977.
- [2] Lu, Chin-Pi: Prime submodules of modules. Comment. Math. Univ. St. Pauli 33 (1), 61–69 (1984).
- [3] _____, Spectra of modules. Comm. Alg. 23 (10), 3741–3752 (1995).
- [4] _____, The Zariski topology on the prime spectrum of a module. Houston J. Math. 25 (3), 417–432 (1999).
- [5] _____, Saturations of submodules. Comm. Alg. **31** (6), 2655 2673 (2003).
- [6] _____, A module whose prime spectrum has the surjective natural map. Houston J. Math. 33 (1), 125–143 (2007).
- [7] McCasland, R.L., Moore, M.E., Smith, P.F.: On the spectrum of a module over a commutative ring. Comm. Alg. 25 (1), 79–103 (1997).