

1-1-2013

The total graph of a finite commutative ring

ALI RAMIN

Follow this and additional works at: <https://dctubitak.researchcommons.org/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

RAMIN, ALI (2013) "The total graph of a finite commutative ring," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 37: No. 3, Article 2. <https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-1101-70>
Available at: <https://dctubitak.researchcommons.org/math/vol37/iss3/2>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals.

The total graph of a finite commutative ring

Ali RAMIN*

Department of Mathematics, Iran University of Science and Technology, Narmak, Tehran-Iran

Received: 18.01.2011 • Accepted: 29.02.2012 • Published Online: 26.04.2013 • Printed: 27.05.2013

Abstract: Let R be a commutative ring with $Z(R)$, its set of zero-divisors and $\text{Reg}(R)$, its set of regular elements. Total graph of R , denoted by $T(\Gamma(R))$, is the graph with all elements of R as vertices, and two distinct vertices $x, y \in R$, are adjacent in $T(\Gamma(R))$ if and only if $x + y \in Z(R)$. In this paper, some properties of $T(\Gamma(R))$ have been investigated, where R is a finite commutative ring and a new upper bound for vertex-connectivity has been obtained in this case. Also, we have proved that the edge-connectivity of $T(\Gamma(R))$ coincides with the minimum degree if and only if R is a finite commutative ring such that $Z(R)$ is not an ideal in R .

Key words: Commutative rings, total graph, regular elements, zero-divisors

1. Introduction

We assume throughout that all rings are commutative with $1 \neq 0$. We denote the set of zero-divisor elements and the set of regular elements of R by $Z(R)$ and $\text{Reg}(R)$, respectively ($\text{Reg}(R) = R \setminus Z(R)$). Let $Z(\Gamma(R))$ and $\text{Reg}(\Gamma(R))$ be the (induced) subgraphs of $T(\Gamma(R))$ with vertices $Z(R)$ and $\text{Reg}(R)$, respectively. In [2] Anderson and Badawi introduced the total graph of R , denoted by $T(\Gamma(R))$, as the graph with all elements of R as vertices, and two distinct vertices $x, y \in R$ are adjacent in $T(\Gamma(R))$ if and only if $x + y \in Z(R)$. The subgraph $Z(\Gamma(R))$ of $T(\Gamma(R))$ is always connected; see Theorems 2.1 and 3.1 in [2]. Also, $Z(\Gamma(R))$ is complete if and only if $Z(R)$ is an ideal of R .

Additionally, if $Z(R)$ is an ideal of R , then $Z(\Gamma(R))$ and $\text{Reg}(\Gamma(R))$ are disjoint subgraphs of $T(\Gamma(R))$, and $\text{Reg}(\Gamma(R))$ is the union of disjoint subgraphs, each of which is either a complete graph or a complete bipartite graph; see Theorem 2.2 in [2]. However, if $Z(R)$ is not an ideal of R , then the subgraphs $Z(\Gamma(R))$ and $\text{Reg}(\Gamma(R))$ of $T(\Gamma(R))$ are never disjoint. In Theorems 3.3 in [2], it was proved that for every commutative ring R , if $Z(R)$ is not an ideal of R , then $T(\Gamma(R))$ is connected if and only if the ideal generated by $Z(R)$ is R (i.e. $R = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$ for some $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n \in Z(R)$). In particular, if R is a finite commutative ring and $Z(R)$ is not an ideal of R , then $T(\Gamma(R))$ is connected. Also in Theorem 3 in [1], it was proved that for every finite commutative ring R , if $Z(R)$ is not an ideal, then $T(\Gamma(R))$ is a Hamiltonian graph.

In this paper we show that the subgraph $\text{Reg}(\Gamma(R))$ with vertices $\text{Reg}(R)$ is connected and its vertices have the same degree and its diameter is at most 2. Moreover for each $x \in Z(R)$ which is not nilpotent, there is $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x^p - 1 \in Z(R)$ and $x \pm x^p - 1 \in \text{Reg}(R)$. At the end, since the total graph of a finite commutative ring has a finite number of vertices and edges, we are able to investigate edge-connectivity and

*Correspondence: ramin_2068@mathdep.iust.ac.ir

vertex-connectivity in terms of $T(\Gamma(R))$ and show that in finite commutative ring R , vertex-connectivity of $T(\Gamma(R))$ is less than $\alpha - |\text{Nil}(R)|$, where $\alpha = |Z(R)|$ and $\text{Nil}(R)$ is the set of nilpotent elements of R . Also if R is a finite commutative ring such that $Z(R)$ is not an ideal, then edge-connectivity of $T(\Gamma(R))$ is always equal to $(\alpha - 1)$.

2. Preliminaries

At first, we recall various conventions and definitions from graph theory. Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a graph, where $V(G)$ is the set of vertices of G and $E(G)$ is the set of edges of G . We say that G is connected if there is a path between any two distinct vertices of G . For any $x, y \in V(G)$, we define $d(x, y)$ to be the length of the shortest path from x to y ($d(x, x) = 0$ and $d(x, y) = \infty$ if there is no such path). The diameter of G is defined: $\text{diam}(G) = \sup\{d(x, y) \mid x, y \in V(G)\}$.

We say that two distinct subgraph G_1, G_2 of G are disjoint if they have no common vertices and no vertex of G_1 (respectively, G_2) is adjacent (in G) to any vertex of G_2 (respectively, G_1). If vertex v is an end point of edge e , then v and e are incident. The degree of a vertex v in a graph G , written $\text{deg}_G(v)$ is the number of edges incident to v . The minimum degree in a graph G is denoted by $\delta(G)$. Graph G is called k -regular if degree of each vertex of G be k . If $S \subseteq V(G)$ is any subset, we denote by $G - S$, the graph whose vertex set is $V(G) - S$ and whose edge set is $E(G) - \{\{x, y\} \mid \{x, y\} \cap S \neq \emptyset\}$. A vertex cut of G is a subset $S \subseteq V(G)$ such that $G - S$ is disconnected. If $T \subseteq E(G)$ is any subset, we denote by $G - T$, the graph whose vertex set is $V(G)$ and whose edge set is $E(G) - T$. An edge cut of G is a subset $T \subseteq E(G)$, such that the graph $G - T$ is disconnected. The vertex-connectivity of G is defined by

$$\kappa(G) = \min\{n \geq 0 \mid \text{there exists a vertex cut } S \subseteq V(G) \text{ such that } |S| = n\},$$

if G has a finite vertex cut, and $\kappa(G) = \infty$ otherwise. Similarly, the edge-connectivity of G is defined by:

$$\lambda(G) = \min\{n \geq 0 \mid \text{there exist a edge cut } T \subseteq E(G) \text{ such that } |T| = n\},$$

if G has a finite edge cut, and $\lambda(G) = \infty$ otherwise. One can refer to [8] for further information.

The following lemma is a direct consequence of the definitions of $\kappa(G), \lambda(G), \delta(G)$.

Lemma 2.1 For any graph G , $\kappa(G) \leq \lambda(G) \leq \delta(G)$.

We refer to [7] for the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2 For any graph G with $\text{diam}(G) = 1$ or 2 ; $\lambda(G) = \delta(G)$.

The group of units of a commutative ring R will be denoted by $U(R)$ and the nonzero elements of $A \subseteq R$ will be denoted by A^* . Also we say that R is reduced if $\text{Nil}(R) = \{0\}$; one can refer to [3],[4] and [6] for further information on ring theory. Recall that for each natural number n , the function $\varphi(n)$ is the number of integers t , $1 \leq t \leq n$, such that $\text{gcd}(t, n) = 1$.

If R is a finite commutative ring such that $Z(R)$ is an ideal of R , then R is local with $Z(R) = \text{Nil}(R)$ its unique maximal ideal.

Lemma 2.3 below follows directly from Theorem 1 in [5].

Lemma 2.3 $Z(R)$ is finite if and only if either R is finite or an integral domain.

Corollary 2.4 Let R be a commutative ring such that $Z(R)$ is not an ideal and $|Z(R)| < \infty$, then $T(\Gamma(R))$ is finite.

We refer to Exercise 2.26 in [4] for the following lemma.

Lemma 2.5 Let R be a commutative ring. There are non-trivial rings R_1 and R_2 such that $R \simeq R_1 \times R_2$ if and only if there exists a non-trivial idempotent $e \in R$. In this case one can choose $R_1 = Re$ and $R_2 = R(1-e)$.

According to the previous lemma, if we consider a finite commutative ring containing idempotent elements, we can write it as the product of two rings. We continue the process until we reach an idempotent-free ring. The process will always stop, because R is finite.

3. Main results

The basic properties of $T(\Gamma(R))$ and $\text{Reg}(\Gamma(R))$ are given below, independent of whether or not $Z(R)$ is an ideal of R .

Let $|Z(R)| = \alpha$ (we allow α to be infinite cardinals); then according to Theorem 2.3, if $2 \leq \alpha < \infty$ then the commutative ring R is finite.

Theorem 3.1 Let R be a commutative ring with $|Z(R)| = \alpha$, then

$$\text{deg}_{T(\Gamma(R))}(x) = (\alpha - 1) \quad \forall x \in Z(R).$$

Proof Each $x \in Z(R)^*$ is adjacent to 0. Now, assume that $z_1 \neq 0$ and $2z_1 = z_\alpha, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{\alpha-1} \in Z(R)$, then

$$z_1 + (z_i - z_1) \in Z(R) \text{ for each integer } i; 1 \leq i \leq \alpha - 1,$$

and proof is completed. □

Theorem 3.2 Let R be a commutative ring with $|Z(R)| = \alpha$, then

1) If $2 \in Z(R)$ then $\text{deg}_{T(\Gamma(R))}(x) = (\alpha - 1)$ for each $x \in \text{Reg}(R)$.

2) If $2 \notin Z(R)$ then $\text{deg}_{T(\Gamma(R))}(x) = \alpha$ for each $x \in \text{Reg}(R)$.

Proof (1) Assume that $a \in \text{Reg}(R)$, $z_\alpha = 2a$ and $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{\alpha-1} \in Z(R)$, then $a + (z_i - a) \in Z(R); 1 \leq i \leq \alpha - 1$ and proof is completed in this case.

(2) Assume that $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_\alpha \in Z(R)$ and $a \in \text{Reg}(R)$. Then

$$a + (z_i - a) \in Z(R) \quad \text{for each integer } 1 \leq i \leq \alpha,$$

and proof is completed. □

Corollary 3.3 Let R be a commutative ring with $|Z(R)| = \alpha$, then if $2 \in Z(R)$ then $T(\Gamma(R))$ is $\alpha - 1$ -regular.

Proof It is clear from Theorems 3.1 and 3.2. □

When $Z(R)$ is an ideal of R , properties of $T(\Gamma(R))$ and $\text{Reg}(\Gamma(R))$ and $Z(\Gamma(R))$ are mentioned in [2] Theorems 2.1 and 2.2. In the following we intend to investigate properties of finite commutative ring R when $Z(R)$ is not an ideal. Note that if R is finite then for each $x \in R$ either $x \in U(R)$ or $x \in Z(R)$. Also since $Z(R)$ is always closed under multiplication by elements of R , this just means that there are distinct $x, y \in Z(R)^*$, such that $x + y \in \text{Reg}(R)$. In this case, $Z(\Gamma(R))$ is always connected (but never complete).

$Z(\Gamma(R))$ and $\text{Reg}(\Gamma(R))$ are never disjoint subgraphs of $T(\Gamma(R))$ by Theorem 3.1 in [2] and since R is a finite commutative ring, $3 \leq |Z(R)| < \infty$ and for each $x \in T(\Gamma(R)); \text{deg}_{T(\Gamma(R))}(x) \geq 2$.

Theorem 3.4 *Let R be a finite commutative ring such that $Z(R)$ is not an ideal of R . Then each $x \in \text{Reg}(R)$ is adjacent to m elements of $\text{Reg}(R)$ and n elements of $Z(R)$, where*

$$\text{deg}_{T(\Gamma(R))}(x) = m + n = k.$$

Proof Let $x \in \text{Reg}(R)$ be a vertex of degree k in $T(\Gamma(R))$, so there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x^n = 1$. Then there is $r_i \in R; 1 \leq i \leq k$ such that $x + r_i \in Z(R)$. Let $y \in \text{Reg}(R)$ then:

$$yx^{n-1}(x + r_i) = y + yx^{n-1}r_i \in Z(R),$$

since y was arbitrary; we conclude that $\text{deg}_{T(\Gamma(R))}(y) = k$. Now if $r_i \in \text{Reg}(R) \setminus Z(R)$ then $yx^{n-1}r_i \in \text{Reg}(R) \setminus Z(R)$ and there is a bijection between those vertices of $\text{Reg}(R) \setminus Z(R)$ which are adjacent to x and those vertices of $\text{Reg}(R) \setminus Z(R)$ which are adjacent to y and proof is completed. □

Remark 3.5 *Let R be a finite commutative ring such that $Z(R)$ is not an ideal of R and let $x \in Z(R) - \text{Nil}(R)$; then powers of x will constitute a semigroup, and for each $x \in Z(R) - \text{Nil}(R)$, there are similar results as above(i.e $x \in Z(R) - \text{Nil}(R)$ is adjacent to t elements of $\text{Reg}(R)$ and s elements of $Z(R)$). Also each $x \in \text{Nil}(R)$ is adjacent to all elements of $Z(R)$; therefore elements of $\text{Reg}(R)$, $\text{Nil}(R)$ and semigroup of powers of x in which $x \in Z(R) - \text{Nil}(R)$ have similar properties.*

In the following theorem we show that $\text{diam}(\text{Reg}(\Gamma(R))) \leq 2$ and $\text{Reg}(\Gamma(R))$ is m -regular.

Theorem 3.6 *Let R be a finite commutative ring such that $Z(R)$ is not an ideal of R then:*

- 1) $\text{Reg}(\Gamma(R))$ is connected and $\text{diam}(\text{Reg}(\Gamma(R))) \leq 2$.
- 2) $\text{Reg}(\Gamma(R))$ is m -regular.

Proof 1) Let $x \in Z(R) - \text{Nil}(R)$; then powers of x will constitute a semigroup and we conclude that R has a nontrivial idempotent element. Since R is finite then according to Lemma 2.5 $R \simeq R_1 \times R_2$. Note that $\text{Reg}(R) = \text{Reg}(R_1 \times R_2) = \text{Reg}(R_1) \times \text{Reg}(R_2)$. So for distinct $(a, b), (c, d) \in \text{Reg}(R_1 \times R_2)$, $(a, b) - (-a, d) - (c, d)$ is a path of length at most two in $\text{Reg}(R)$. Thus $(\text{Reg}(\Gamma(R)))$ is connected with $\text{diam}(\text{Reg}(\Gamma(R))) \leq 2$.

2) It is clear from the proof of Theorem 3.4. □

In the following theorem we show that if R is a finite commutative ring and $Z(R)$ is not an ideal in R , then for $x \in Z(R) - \text{Nil}(R)$ we can find $y \in Z(R)$ such that $x \pm y \in \text{Reg}(R)$.

Theorem 3.7 *Let R be a finite commutative ring such that $Z(R)$ is not an ideal of R ; then there is $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$x \pm x^p - 1 \in \text{Reg}(R) \quad ; x^p - 1 \in Z(R) \quad \forall x \in Z(R) - \text{Nil}(R).$$

Proof According to hypothesis we can find $m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m > n$ such that $x^m = x^n$. Without loss of generality we assume that m and n are the smallest numbers with this property. Since $x^m = x^n$, we conclude $x^n(x^{m-n} - 1) = 0$ and consequently $x(x^{m-n} - 1) \in \text{Nil}(R)$. We claim that $m - n = p$. We will consider two cases:

- 1) If $m - n = 1$ then $(2x - 1)(2x^n - 1) = 2x(x^n - 1) + 1 \in \text{Reg}(R)$ and since $2x(x^n - 1) \in \text{Nil}(R)$ and $\text{Nil}(R) + \text{Reg}(R) \subset \text{Reg}(R)$ then

$$(2x - 1) \in \text{Reg}(R).$$

- 2) If $m - n > 1$, then

$$(x \pm (x^{m-n} - 1))(x^{(2^t+1)(m-n)-1} \pm x^{2^t(m-n)} \mp 1) =$$

$$x(x^{2^t(m-n)} - 1)(x^{m-n-1} \pm 1) + 1 \in \text{Reg}(R),$$

where $t \in \mathbb{N}$ is chosen such that $2^t(m - n) \geq n$.

Since

$$x(x^{2^t(m-n)} - 1)(x^{m-n-1} \pm 1) \in \text{Nil}(R),$$

and $\text{Nil}(R) + \text{Reg}(R) \subset \text{Reg}(R)$, therefore $x \pm (x^{m-n} - 1) \in \text{Reg}(R)$ and proof is completed. □

Corollary 3.8 *Let R be a finite commutative ring such that $Z(R)$ is not an ideal of R . Then each $s \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$x^s \pm (x^p - 1) \in \text{Reg}(R) \quad \forall x \in Z(R) - \text{Nil}(R),$$

where p is as mentioned in the above theorem.

Proof This is clear from the proof of Theorem 3.7. □

Remark 3.9 *In Theorem 3.6, if we let $n = 1$ and $m = 2$, then $(2x - 1)^2 = 1$. Let $a = x + (x - 1) = 2x - 1$, then $a^{-1} = a$; moreover, if $(m - n) > 1$ and $a = x + x^{m-1} - 1$, then $a^{-1} = x^{m-1} + x^{m-2} - 1$.*

In the next part we will discuss $\kappa(T(\Gamma(R)))$ and $\lambda(T(\Gamma(R)))$. According to Theorem 4 in [1], if $R \simeq R_1 \times R_2$ is a finite commutative ring then $\kappa(T(\Gamma(R))) \geq |R_1| + |R_2| - 4$, which gives us a lower bound on $\kappa(T(\Gamma(R)))$, but is not very desirable. In the following we will propose an upper bound on $\kappa(T(\Gamma(R)))$ which we guess is exactly equal to $\kappa(T(\Gamma(R)))$. Note that in the next theorem there is no restriction on $Z(R)$.

Theorem 3.10 *Let R be a finite commutative ring. Then*

$$\kappa(T(\Gamma(R))) \leq |Z(R)| - |\text{Nil}(R)|.$$

Proof If R is a finite commutative ring such that $Z(R)$ is an ideal in R then by Theorem 2.1 in [2], $T(\Gamma(R))$ is not connected and therefore $\kappa(T(\Gamma(R))) = |Z(R)| - |\text{Nil}(R)| = 0$. On the other hand, if $Z(R)$ is not an ideal in R , let $Z(R) - \text{Nil}(R) = S$ then $T(\Gamma(R)) - S$ is disconnected and $\kappa(T(\Gamma(R))) \leq |S|$. \square

Theorem 3.11 *Let R be a finite commutative ring such that $Z(R)$ is not an ideal of R with $|Z(R)| = \alpha$. Then*

$$1) \lambda(T(\Gamma(R))) = \alpha - 1.$$

$$2) \lambda(\text{Reg}(\Gamma(R))) = m \text{ (} m \text{ is as mentioned in part 2 Theorem 3.6)}$$

Proof 1) This is clear from Theorem 3.4 in [2], Lemma 2.2 and Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, respectively.

2) It follows from Theorem 3.6 and Lemma 2.2. \square

Example 3.12 *Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer, then $Z(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ is an ideal in \mathbb{Z}_n if and only if $n = p^\alpha$ for some prime p and integer $\alpha \geq 1$. Since \mathbb{Z}_n is a finite ring, then \mathbb{Z}_n is Artinian, the structure Theorem [3, p. 752, Theorem 3] implies that if $n = \prod_{i=1}^m p_i^{\alpha_i}$ for some prime p_i and integer $\alpha_i \geq 1$, then $\mathbb{Z}_n \simeq \prod_{i=1}^m \mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{\alpha_i}}$ where $\mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{\alpha_i}}$ is a local ring with M_i , its unique maximal ideal for each i , and*

$$\varphi(n) = n \prod_{i=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right),$$

then according to Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 for each $x \in Z(\mathbb{Z}_n)$

$$\text{deg}_{T(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n))}(x) = n - \varphi(n) - 1,$$

and for each $x \in \text{Reg}(\mathbb{Z}_n)$

$$\text{deg}_{T(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n))}(x) = \begin{cases} n - \varphi(n) - 1 & 2 \in Z(\mathbb{Z}_n) \\ n - \varphi(n) & 2 \notin Z(\mathbb{Z}_n), \end{cases}$$

and if $2 \in Z(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ then $\text{Reg}(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n))$ is complete and $\text{deg}_{\text{Reg}(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n))}(x) = \varphi(n) - 1$. In addition $\text{Nil}(R) = (\prod_{i=1}^m p_i)R$ and $\lambda(T(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n))) = n - \varphi(n) - 1$,

$$\kappa(T(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_n))) \leq n \left(1 - \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^m p_i}\right) - \prod_{i=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right).$$

References

- [1] Akbari, S., Kiani, D., Mohammadi, F., Moradi, S.: *The total graph and regular graph of a commutative ring*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 213, 2224–2228, 2009.
- [2] Anderson, D.F., Badawi, A.: *The total graph of a commutative ring*, J. Algebra 320, 2706–2719, 2008.
- [3] Dummit, D.S., Foote, R.M.: *Abstract Algebra. Third Edition*, Wiley and Sons, 2004.
- [4] Eisenbud, D.: *Commutative Algebra with a View Toward Algebraic Geometry*. Springer Verlag, 1995.
- [5] Ganesan, N.: *Properties of rings with a finite number of zero-divisors*, Math. Ann. 157, 215–218, 1964.

- [6] Huckaba, J. A.: *Commutative Rings with Zero Divisors*, Marcel Dekker, New York, Basel, 1988.
- [7] Plesnik, J.: *Critical graphs of given diameter*. Acta Fac. Rerum Natur. Univ. Comenian. Math. 30, 71–93, 1975.
- [8] West, D.B.: *Introduction to Graph Theory*, Prentice Hall, Inc, Upper Saddle River, NJ, 1996.