

1-1-2013

On quotients of i th affine surface areas

Chang-Jian ZHAO

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

ZHAO, Chang-Jian (2013) "On quotients of i th affine surface areas," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 37: No. 6, Article 12. <https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-1206-39>
Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol37/iss6/12>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.

On quotients of i th affine surface areas

Chang-Jian ZHAO*

Department of Mathematics, China Jiliang University, Hangzhou, P.R. China

Received: 26.06.2012 • Accepted: 27.01.2013 • Published Online: 23.09.2013 • Printed: 21.10.2013

Abstract: Following the volume difference function, we first introduce the notion of the *affine surface area quotient function*. We establish Brunn–Minkowski type inequalities for the affine surface area quotient function, which in special cases yield some well-known results.

Key words: Volume difference function, affine surface area quotient function, Blaschke sum, Brunn–Minkowski inequality

1. Introduction and statement of results

The well-known classical Brunn–Minkowski inequality can be stated as follows:

If K and L are convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n , then (see, e.g., [16])

$$V(K + L)^{1/n} \geq V(K)^{1/n} + V(L)^{1/n}, \quad (1.1)$$

with equality if and only if K and L are homothetic. Here, $+$ is the usual Minkowski sum.

Let K and L be star bodies in \mathbb{R}^n , then the dual Brunn–Minkowski inequality states that (see [8])

$$V(K \tilde{+} L)^{1/n} \leq V(K)^{1/n} + V(L)^{1/n}, \quad (1.2)$$

with equality if and only if K and L are dilates. Here, $\tilde{+}$ is the radial Minkowski sum.

A vector addition was defined on \mathbb{R}^n which we call radial Minkowski addition, as follows. If $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then $x \tilde{+} y$ is defined to be the usual vector sum of x, y provided x, y both lie in a 1-dimensional subspace of \mathbb{R}^n and as the zero vector otherwise. If K, L are star bodies and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$, then the radial Minkowski linear combination, $\lambda K \tilde{+} \mu L$, is defined by $\lambda K \tilde{+} \mu L = \{\lambda x \tilde{+} \mu y : x \in K, y \in L\}$. The expression $K \tilde{+} L$ is called the radial Minkowski sum of the star bodies K and L (see [5]).

In 2004, Leng [6] defined the volume difference function of compact domains D and K , where $D \subseteq K$, by

$$D_V(K, D) = V(K) - V(D).$$

The following Brunn–Minkowski type inequality for volume difference functions was also established by Leng [6].

*Correspondence: chjzhao@yahoo.com.cn

This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (10971205).

2010 *AMS Mathematics Subject Classification*: 52A30, 52A40.

Theorem A *If K, L , and D are compact domains, $D \subseteq K, D' \subseteq L$, D' is a homothetic copy of D , then*

$$(V(K + L) - V(D + D'))^{1/n} \geq (V(K) - V(D))^{1/n} + (V(L) - V(D'))^{1/n}, \tag{1.3}$$

with equality if and only if K and L are homothetic and $(V(K), V(D)) = \mu(V(L), V(D'))$, where μ is a constant.

Recently, Lv [13] introduced the *dual volume difference function* for star bodies and established the following dual Brunn–Minkowski type inequality for dual volume difference functions:

Theorem B *If K, D , and D' are star bodies in \mathbb{R}^n , and $D \subseteq K, D' \subseteq L$, L is a dilation of K , then*

$$(V(K \tilde{+} L) - V(D \tilde{+} D'))^{1/n} \geq (V(K) - V(D))^{1/n} + (V(L) - V(D'))^{1/n}, \tag{1.4}$$

with equality if and only if D and D' are dilates and $(V(K), V(D)) = \mu(V(L), V(D'))$, where μ is a constant.

In fact, some more general versions on these types of inequalities were proved in [6] and [13], respectively.

In 2005, Zhao [19] defined the volume sum function of star bodies D and K , by

$$S_V(K, D) = V(K) + V(D).$$

The Minkowski inequality for volume sums of mixed intersection bodies was also established in [19].

Motivated by the work of Leng, Lv, and Zhao, we give the following definition:

Definition 1.1 Let K be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n , then the affine surface area quotient function of K , $Q_{\Omega_i, j}(K)$ ($i, j \in \mathbb{R}$), can be defined by

$$Q_{\Omega_i, j}(K) = \frac{\Omega_i(K)}{\Omega_j(K)}. \tag{1.5}$$

If $i = 0$ and $j = n$ in (1.5), then we get the affine surface area quotient of the convex body K and the unit n -ball B :

$$Q_{\Omega_0, n}(K) = \frac{\Omega(K)}{\Omega(B)},$$

where $\Omega(B)$ is the surface area of the unit n -ball B .

A convex body K is said to have a continuous curvature function,

$$f(K, \cdot) : S^{n-1} \rightarrow [0, \infty),$$

if for each $L \in \mathbb{C}^n$, the mixed volume $V_1(K, L)$ has the integral representation

$$V_1(K, L) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} f(K, u)h(L, u)dS(u),$$

see [9].

The subset of \mathcal{K}^n consisting of all bodies that have a positive continuous curvature function will be denoted by κ^n .

The Brunn–Minkowski inequality for the affine surface area of a Blaschke sum was established by Lutwak [10] as follows:

If $K, L \in \kappa^n$, then for $i < -1$,

$$\Omega_i(K \ddot{+} L)^{\frac{n+1}{n-i}} \leq \Omega_i(K)^{\frac{n+1}{n-i}} + \Omega_i(L)^{\frac{n+1}{n-i}}, \tag{1.6}$$

with equality if and only if K and L are homothetic, where $\ddot{+}$ is Blaschke addition (see Section 2).

The first aim of this paper is to establish the following Brunn–Minkowski type inequality for the affine surface area quotient functions.

Theorem 1.2 *If $K, L \in \kappa^n$ and $i \leq -1 \leq j \leq n$, $i, j \in \mathbb{R}$, then for $i \neq j$*

$$\left(\frac{\Omega_i(K \ddot{+} L)}{\Omega_j(K \ddot{+} L)} \right)^{\frac{n+1}{j-i}} \leq \left(\frac{\Omega_i(K)}{\Omega_j(K)} \right)^{\frac{n+1}{j-i}} + \left(\frac{\Omega_i(L)}{\Omega_j(L)} \right)^{\frac{n+1}{j-i}}. \tag{1.7}$$

In fact, Theorem 1.2 is a special case of Theorem 3.2 established in Section 3.

Remark 1.3 Taking $j = n$ and $i < -1$ in (1.7), and in view of the fact that $\int_{S^{n-1}} dS(u) = n\omega_n$ is a constant (where ω_n is the volume of the unit n -ball), (1.7) changes to (1.6).

The class ν^n is defined as follows:

$$\nu^n = \{K \in \kappa^n : f(K, \cdot)^{-1/(n+1)} = h(Q, \cdot) \text{ for some } Q \in \mathcal{K}^n\}.$$

The class ν^n has been extensively investigated by Petty [15].

In 1990, Lutwak [9] established the following Brunn–Minkowski type inequality for the affine surface area:

If $K, L \in \nu^n$, then

$$\Omega(K \check{+} L)^{-\frac{1}{n}} \geq \Omega(K)^{-\frac{1}{n}} + \Omega(L)^{-\frac{1}{n}}, \tag{1.8}$$

with equality if and only if K and L are homothetic, where $\check{+}$ is the Lutwak linear sum (see Section 2).

In 2005, an inverse form of (1.8) was established in [20] as follows:

If $K, L \in \nu^n$, then for $i > n + 1$,

$$\Omega_i(K \check{+} L)^{-\frac{1}{n-i}} \leq \Omega_i(K)^{-\frac{1}{n-i}} + \Omega_i(L)^{-\frac{1}{n-i}}, \tag{1.9}$$

with equality if and only if K and L are homothetic.

The second aim of this paper is to establish the following Brunn–Minkowski type inequality for affine surface area quotient functions.

Theorem 1.4 *If $K, L \in \kappa^n$ are symmetric and $i \geq n + 1 \geq j \geq n$, $i, j \in \mathbb{R}$, then for $i \neq j$*

$$\left(\frac{\Omega_i(K \check{+} L)}{\Omega_j(K \check{+} L)} \right)^{\frac{1}{i-j}} \leq \left(\frac{\Omega_i(K)}{\Omega_j(K)} \right)^{\frac{1}{i-j}} + \left(\frac{\Omega_i(L)}{\Omega_j(L)} \right)^{\frac{1}{i-j}}. \tag{1.10}$$

In fact, Theorem 1.4 is a special case of Theorem 3.3 established in Section 3.

Remark 1.5 Taking $j = n$ in (1.10) and in view of the fact that $\int_{S^{n-1}} dS(u) = n\omega_n$ is a constant, (1.10) changes to (1.9).

2. Notations and preliminaries

The setting for this paper is n -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n ($n > 2$). Let \mathcal{C}^n denote the set of nonempty convex figures (compact, convex subsets) and \mathcal{K}^n denote the subset of \mathcal{C}^n consisting of all convex bodies (compact, convex subsets with nonempty interiors) in \mathbb{R}^n . We reserve the letter u for unit vectors and the letter B for the unit ball centered at the origin. The surface of B is S^{n-1} . The volume of the unit n -ball is denoted by ω_n .

We use $V(K)$ for the n -dimensional volume of a convex body K . Let $h(K, \cdot) : S^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denote the support function of $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$; i.e. for $u \in S^{n-1}$,

$$h(K, u) = \text{Max}\{u \cdot x : x \in K\},$$

where $u \cdot x$ denotes the usual inner product of u and x in \mathbb{R}^n .

Let δ denote the Hausdorff metric on \mathcal{K}^n , i.e. for $K, L \in \mathcal{K}^n$, $\delta(K, L) = |h_K - h_L|_\infty$, where $|\cdot|_\infty$ denotes the sup-norm on the space of continuous functions $C(S^{n-1})$.

Associated with a nonempty compact subset K of \mathbb{R}^n , which is star-shaped with respect to the origin, is its radial function $\rho(K, \cdot) : S^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined for $u \in S^{n-1}$ by

$$\rho(K, u) = \text{Max}\{\lambda \geq 0 : \lambda u \in K\}.$$

If $\rho(K, \cdot)$ is positive and continuous, K will be called a star body. Let \mathcal{S}^n denote the set of star bodies in \mathbb{R}^n . Let $\tilde{\delta}$ denote the radial Hausdorff metric as follows: if $K, L \in \mathcal{S}^n$, then $\tilde{\delta}(K, L) = |\rho_K - \rho_L|_\infty$.

2.1. Blaschke linear combination and mixed affine surface area

For $K \in \kappa^n$, we have (see [9])

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} u f(K, u) dS(u) = 0. \tag{2.1}$$

Suppose $K, L \in \kappa^n$ and $\lambda, \mu \geq 0$ (not both zero). From (2.1) it follows that the function $\lambda f(K, \cdot) + \mu f(L, \cdot)$ satisfies the hypothesis of Minkowski's existence theorem (see [3]). The solution of the Minkowski problem for this function is denoted by $\lambda \cdot K \ddot{+} \mu \cdot L$; that is,

$$f(\lambda \cdot K \ddot{+} \mu \cdot L, \cdot) = \lambda f(K, \cdot) + \mu f(L, \cdot), \tag{2.2}$$

where the linear combination $\lambda \cdot K \ddot{+} \mu \cdot L$ is called a Blaschke linear combination.

The relationship between Blaschke and Minkowski scalar multiplication is given by

$$\lambda \cdot K = \lambda^{1/(n-1)} K. \tag{2.3}$$

The affine surface area of K , $\Omega(K)$, is defined by

$$\Omega(K) = \int_{S^{n-1}} f(K, u)^{\frac{n}{n+1}} dS(u), \quad K \in \kappa^n. \tag{2.4}$$

It is well known that this functional is invariant under unimodular affine transformations. For $K, L \in \kappa^n$, and $i \in \mathbb{R}$, the i th mixed affine surface area of K and L , $\Omega_i(K, L)$, is defined by (see [10])

$$\Omega_i(K, L) = \int_{S^{n-1}} f(K, u)^{\frac{n-i}{n+1}} f(L, u)^{\frac{i}{n+1}} dS(u). \tag{2.5}$$

For $K \in \kappa^n$, we define the i th affine surface area of K , $\Omega_i(K)$, by (see also [15])

$$\Omega_i(K) = \int_{S^{n-1}} f(K, u)^{\frac{n-i}{n+1}} dS(u), \quad i \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.6}$$

2.2. Lutwak linear combination

The class ν^n is defined as follows:

$$\nu^n = \{K \in \kappa^n : f(K, \cdot)^{-\frac{1}{n+1}} = h(Q, \cdot) \text{ for some } Q \in \mathcal{K}^n\}. \tag{2.7}$$

Lutwak defined a new linear combination $\lambda \circ K \dot{+} \mu \circ L \in \nu^n$. (This is called Lutwak linear combination throughout the article.) For centrally symmetric $K, L \in \nu^n$ (see [9]),

$$f(K \dot{+} L, \cdot)^{-1/(n+1)} = f(K, \cdot)^{-1/(n+1)} + f(L, \cdot)^{-1/(n+1)}. \tag{2.8}$$

For our purposes it is more natural to use the set of all symmetric convex bodies in κ^n and to just define the addition by (2.8).

3. Main results

An extension of Beckenbach’s inequality (see [2], p.27) was obtained by Dresher [4] by means of moment-space techniques:

Lemma 3.1 (The Beckenbach–Dresher inequality) *Let $p \geq 1 \geq r \geq 0$ and f, g be measurable and nonnegative functions. If ϕ is a distribution function, then for $p \neq r$*

$$\left(\frac{\int_{\mathbb{E}} |f + g|^p d\phi}{\int_{\mathbb{E}} |f + g|^r d\phi} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-r}} \leq \left(\frac{\int_{\mathbb{E}} f^p d\phi}{\int_{\mathbb{E}} f^r d\phi} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-r}} + \left(\frac{\int_{\mathbb{E}} g^p d\phi}{\int_{\mathbb{E}} g^r d\phi} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-r}}, \tag{3.1}$$

Here \mathbb{E} is a bounded measurable subset of \mathbb{R}^n .

We will need the above inequality in Lemma 3.1 to prove our main theorems. Our main results are given in the following theorems.

Theorem 3.2 *If $K, L \in \kappa^n$ and $0 \leq r \leq n + 1 \leq p$, $p, r \in \mathbb{R}$, then for $p \neq r$*

$$\left(\frac{\Omega_{n-p}(K \dot{+} L)}{\Omega_{n-r}(K \dot{+} L)} \right)^{\frac{n+1}{p-r}} \leq \left(\frac{\Omega_{n-p}(K)}{\Omega_{n-r}(K)} \right)^{\frac{n+1}{p-r}} + \left(\frac{\Omega_{n-p}(L)}{\Omega_{n-r}(L)} \right)^{\frac{n+1}{p-r}}. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof From (2.2), (2.3), and (2.6), we have

$$\Omega_{n-p}(K \dot{+} L) = \int_{S^{n-1}} f(K \dot{+} L, u)^{\frac{p}{n+1}} dS(u) = \int_{S^{n-1}} (f(K, u) + f(L, u))^{\frac{p}{n+1}} dS(u) \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\Omega_{n-r}(K \dot{+} L) = \int_{S^{n-1}} (f(K, u) + f(L, u))^{\frac{r}{n+1}} dS(u). \tag{3.4}$$

Since $0 \leq r \leq n + 1 \leq p$, we have

$$0 \leq \frac{r}{n + 1} \leq 1 \leq \frac{p}{n + 1}. \tag{3.5}$$

From (3.3), (3.4), and (3.5) and in view of the Beckenbach–Dresher inequality for integrals, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\Omega_{n-p}(K\check{+}L)}{\Omega_{n-r}(K\check{+}L)}\right)^{\frac{n+1}{p-r}} &= \left(\frac{\int_{S^{n-1}}(f(K,u)+f(L,u))^{p/(n+1)}dS(u)}{\int_{S^{n-1}}(f(K,u)+f(L,u))^{r/(n+1)}dS(u)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\frac{p}{n+1}-\frac{r}{n+1}}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\int_{S^{n-1}}f(K,u)^{\frac{p}{n+1}}dS(u)}{\int_{S^{n-1}}f(K,u)^{\frac{r}{n+1}}dS(u)}\right)^{\frac{n+1}{p-r}} + \left(\frac{\int_{S^{n-1}}f(L,u)^{\frac{p}{n+1}}dS(u)}{\int_{S^{n-1}}f(L,u)^{\frac{r}{n+1}}dS(u)}\right)^{\frac{n+1}{p-r}} \\ &= \left(\frac{\Omega_{n-p}(K)}{\Omega_{n-r}(K)}\right)^{\frac{n+1}{p-r}} + \left(\frac{\Omega_{n-p}(L)}{\Omega_{n-r}(L)}\right)^{\frac{n+1}{p-r}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $p = n - i$ and $r = n - j$, then

$$r \leq n + 1 \leq p \Leftrightarrow i \leq -1 \leq j, \quad 0 \leq r \Leftrightarrow j \leq n.$$

Namely,

$$i \leq -1 \leq j \leq n. \tag{3.6}$$

Taking $p = n - i$ and $r = n - j$ in (3.2) and using (3.6), (3.2) changes to the inequality in Theorem 1.2 stated in Section 1.

Taking $p = n - i$ and $r = 0$ in (3.2), (3.2) changes to (1.6) stated in Section 1.

Taking $p = n + 1$ and $r = n$ in (3.2) and in view of (2.4), (3.2) changes to the following result.

$$\left(\frac{\Omega_{-1}(K\check{+}L)}{\Omega(K\check{+}L)}\right)^{n+1} \leq \left(\frac{\Omega_{-1}(K)}{\Omega(K)}\right)^{n+1} + \left(\frac{\Omega_{-1}(L)}{\Omega(L)}\right)^{n+1}. \tag{3.7}$$

Taking $p = 2n$ and $r = n$ in (3.2) and in view of (2.4), (3.2) changes to the following result.

$$\left(\frac{\Omega_{-n}(K\check{+}L)}{\Omega(K\check{+}L)}\right)^{\frac{n+1}{n}} \leq \left(\frac{\Omega_{-n}(K)}{\Omega(K)}\right)^{\frac{n+1}{n}} + \left(\frac{\Omega_{-n}(L)}{\Omega(L)}\right)^{\frac{n+1}{n}}.$$

Taking $p = 2n$ and $r = n + 1$ in (3.2), (3.2) changes to the following result.

$$\left(\frac{\Omega_{-n}(K\check{+}L)}{\Omega_{-1}(K\check{+}L)}\right)^{\frac{n+1}{n-1}} \leq \left(\frac{\Omega_{-n}(K)}{\Omega_{-1}(K)}\right)^{\frac{n+1}{n-1}} + \left(\frac{\Omega_{-n}(L)}{\Omega_{-1}(L)}\right)^{\frac{n+1}{n-1}}.$$

□

Theorem 3.3 *If $K, L \in \kappa^n$ are symmetric and $0 \geq r \geq -1 \geq p$, $p, r \in \mathbb{R}$, then for $p \neq r$*

$$\left(\frac{\Omega_{n-p}(K\check{+}L)}{\Omega_{n-r}(K\check{+}L)}\right)^{\frac{1}{r-p}} \leq \left(\frac{\Omega_{n-p}(K)}{\Omega_{n-r}(K)}\right)^{\frac{1}{r-p}} + \left(\frac{\Omega_{n-p}(L)}{\Omega_{n-r}(L)}\right)^{\frac{1}{r-p}}. \tag{3.8}$$

Proof From (2.6) and (2.8), we have

$$\Omega_{n-p}(K\check{+}L) = \int_{S^{n-1}} f(K\check{+}L, u)^{p/(n+1)}dS(u) = \int_{S^{n-1}} (f(K, u)^{-\frac{1}{n+1}} + f(L, u)^{-\frac{1}{n+1}})^{-p}dS(u) \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$\Omega_{n-r}(K\check{+}L) = \int_{S^{n-1}} (f(K, u)^{-\frac{1}{n+1}} + f(L, u)^{-\frac{1}{n+1}})^{-r} dS(u). \tag{3.10}$$

Since $0 \geq r \geq -1 \geq p$, we have

$$0 \leq -r \leq 1 \leq -p. \tag{3.11}$$

From (3.9), (3.10), and (3.11) and in view of the Beckenbach–Dresher inequality for integrals, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\Omega_{n-p}(K\check{+}L)}{\Omega_{n-r}(K\check{+}L)}\right)^{\frac{1}{r-p}} &= \left(\frac{\int_{S^{n-1}} (f(K, u)^{-\frac{1}{n+1}} + f(L, u)^{-\frac{1}{n+1}})^{-p} dS(u)}{\int_{S^{n-1}} (f(K, u)^{-\frac{1}{n+1}} + f(L, u)^{-\frac{1}{n+1}})^{-r} dS(u)}\right)^{\frac{1}{-p-(-r)}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\int_{S^{n-1}} f(K, u)^{\frac{p}{n+1}} dS(u)}{\int_{S^{n-1}} f(K, u)^{\frac{r}{n+1}} dS(u)}\right)^{\frac{1}{r-p}} + \left(\frac{\int_{S^{n-1}} f(L, u)^{\frac{p}{n+1}} dS(u)}{\int_{S^{n-1}} f(L, u)^{\frac{r}{n+1}} dS(u)}\right)^{\frac{1}{r-p}} \\ &= \left(\frac{\Omega_{n-p}(K)}{\Omega_{n-r}(K)}\right)^{\frac{1}{r-p}} + \left(\frac{\Omega_{n-p}(L)}{\Omega_{n-r}(L)}\right)^{\frac{1}{r-p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $p = n - i$ and $r = n - j$, then

$$r \geq -1 \geq p \Leftrightarrow i \geq n + 1 \geq j, \quad 0 \geq r \Leftrightarrow j \geq n.$$

Namely,

$$i \geq n + 1 \geq j \geq n. \tag{3.12}$$

Taking $p = n - i$ and $r = n - j$ in (3.8) and using (3.12), (3.8) changes to the inequality in Theorem 1.4 stated in Section 1.

Taking $p = -n$ and $r = -1$ in (3.8), (3.8) changes to the following result.

$$\left(\frac{\Omega_{2n}(K\check{+}L)}{\Omega_{n+1}(K\check{+}L)}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \leq \left(\frac{\Omega_{2n}(K)}{\Omega_{n+1}(K)}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} + \left(\frac{\Omega_{2n}(L)}{\Omega_{n+1}(L)}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}. \tag{3.13}$$

Finally, we remark that the Aleksandrov–Fenchel inequality for volume difference was established in [21]. Inequalities for the volume differences of radial Blaschke–Minkowski homomorphisms were established in [22]. Inequalities for the volume sum function were given in [23,24]. Moreover, some interrelated results have appeared in [1,11,12,14,17,18]. □

Acknowledgments

The author expresses his grateful thanks to the referee for his many excellent suggestions and comments toward improving the original manuscript.

References

- [1] Alesker, S., Bernig, A., Schuster, F.E.: Harmonic analysis of translation invariant valuations. *Geom. Func. Anal.* 21, 751–773 (2011).
- [2] Beckenbach, E.F., Bellman, R.: *Inequalities*. Berlin. Springer 1961.
- [3] Bonnesen, T., Fenchel, W.: *Theorie der konvexen Körper*. Berlin. Springer 1934.
- [4] Dresher, M.: Moment spaces and inequalities. *Duke Math. J.* 20, 261–271 (1953).
- [5] Gardner, R.J.: *Geometric Tomography*. New York. Cambridge University Press 1996.
- [6] Leng, G.S.: The Brunn-Minkowski inequality for volume differences. *Adv. Appl. Math.* 32, 615–624 (2004).
- [7] Ludwig, M., Reitzner, M.: A classification of $SL(n)$ invariant valuations. *Ann. Math.* 172, 1219–1267 (2010).
- [8] Lutwak, E.: Dual mixed volumes. *Pacific J. Math.* 58, 531–538 (1975).
- [9] Lutwak, E.: Centroid bodies and dual mixed volumes. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 60, 365–391 (1990).
- [10] Lutwak, E.: Mixed affine surface area. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 125, 351–360 (1987).
- [11] Lutwak, E.: On some affine isoperimetric inequalities. *J. Diff. Geom.* 23, 1–13 (1986).
- [12] Lutwak, E.: The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey theory. II. Affine and geominimal surface areas. *Adv. Math.* 118, 244–294 (1996).
- [13] Lv, S.: Dual Brunn-Minkowski inequality for volume differences. *Geom. Dedicata* 145, 169–180 (2010).
- [14] Parapatits, L., Schuster, F.E.: The Steiner formula for Minkowski valuations. *Adv. Math.* 230, 978–994 (2012).
- [15] Petty, C.M.: Geominimal surface area. *Geom. Dedicata* 3, 77–97 (1974).
- [16] Schneider, R.: *Convex Bodies: The Brunn-Minkowski Theory*. Cambridge. Cambridge University Press 1993.
- [17] Schuster, F.E.: Crofton measures and Minkowski valuations. *Duke Math. J.* 154, 1–30 (2010).
- [18] Werner, E., Ye, D.: Inequalities for mixed p -affine surface area. *Math. Ann.* 347, 703–737 (2010).
- [19] Zhao, C.J., Leng, G.: Inequalities for dual quermassintegrals of mixed intersection bodies. *Proc. Indian Math. Sci. (Math. Sci.)* 115, 79–91 (2005).
- [20] Zhao, C.J., Leng, G., Debnath, L.: Some new Brunn-Minkowski-type inequalities in convex bodies. *Inter. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 2005, 895–915 (2005).
- [21] Zhao, C.J., Bencze, M.: The Aleksandrov-Fenchel type inequalities for volume differences. *Balkan J. Geom. Appl.* 15, 163–172 (2010).
- [22] Zhao, C.J.: Radial Blaschke-Minkowski homomorphisms and volume differences. *Geom. Dedicata* 154, 81–91 (2011).
- [23] Zhao, C.J.: Lp-dual quermassintegral sums. *Sci. China* 50, 1347–1360 (2007).
- [24] Zhao, C.J., Bencze, M.: Lp-Minkowski and Aleksandrov-Fenchel type inequalities. *Balkan J. Geom. Appl.* 14, 128–137 (2009).