

1-1-2015

Groups with the given set of the lengths of conjugacy classes

NEDA AHANJIDEH

Follow this and additional works at: <https://dctubitak.researchcommons.org/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

AHANJIDEH, NEDA (2015) "Groups with the given set of the lengths of conjugacy classes," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 39: No. 4, Article 6. <https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-1408-61>
Available at: <https://dctubitak.researchcommons.org/math/vol39/iss4/6>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals.

Groups with the given set of the lengths of conjugacy classes

Neda AHANJIDEH*

Department of Pure Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, Shahrekord University, Shahrekord, Iran

Received: 27.08.2014

Accepted/Published Online: 18.05.2015

Printed: 30.07.2015

Abstract: We study the structures of some finite groups such that the conjugacy class size of every noncentral element of them is divisible by a prime p .

Key words: Conjugacy class sizes, F-groups

1. Introduction

Let G be a finite group and $Z(G)$ be its center. For $x \in G$, suppose that $cl_G(x)$ denotes the conjugacy class in G containing x and $C_G(x)$ denotes the centralizer of x in G . We will use $cs(G)$ for the set $\{n : G \text{ has a conjugacy class of size } n\}$. It is known that some results on character degrees of finite groups and their conjugacy class sizes are parallel. Thompson in 1970 (see [6]) proved that if the degree of every nonlinear irreducible character of the finite group G is divisible by a prime p , then G has a normal p -complement. Along with this question, Caminas posed the following question:

Question. [1, Question 8.] If the conjugacy class size of every noncentral element of a group G is divisible by a prime p , what can be said about G ?

It is known that $cs(GL_2(q^n)) = \{1, q^{2n} - 1, q^n(q^n + 1), q^n(q^n - 1)\}$. Thus, if q is an odd prime, then

$$cs(GL_2(q^n)) = \{1, 2 \cdot n_1, 2^{e_2} \cdot n_2, 2^{e_3} \cdot n_3\},$$

where $1 < e_2 < e_3$ and $n_1 > n_2 > n_3$ are odd natural numbers. This example shows the existence of the finite groups where the conjugacy class size of their noncentral elements is divisible by a prime p but contains no normal p -complements. Thus, Thompson's result and the answer to the above question are not necessarily parallel. This example motivates us to find the structure of the finite group G with

$$cs(G) = \{1, p^{e_1} n_1, p^{e_2} n_2, \dots, p^{e_k} n_k\},$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}$, n_1, \dots, n_k are positive integers coprime to p such that $n_1 > n_2 > \dots > n_k$ and $e_1 = 1 < e_2 < \dots < e_k$. Throughout this paper, we say that the nonabelian finite group G and the prime p satisfy (*) when

$$cs(G) = \{1, p^{e_1} n_1, p^{e_2} n_2, \dots, p^{e_k} n_k\},$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}$, n_1, \dots, n_k are positive integers coprime to the prime p such that $n_1 > n_2 > \dots > n_k$ and $e_1 = 1 < e_2 < \dots < e_k$. In this paper, we find the structures of the nonabelian finite groups satisfying (*).

More precisely, we prove the following theorem:

*Correspondence: ahanjideh.neda@sci.sku.ac.ir

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary: 20D20; Secondary: 20D10.

Main Theorem *Let the nonabelian finite group G and the prime p satisfy $(*)$. Then G has one of the following structures:*

- (i) $|cs(G)| = 2$ and $G \cong P \times A$, where A is abelian and $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ with $|cs(P)| = 2$;
- (ii) $|cs(G)| = 3$ and G is a quasi-Frobenius group with a normal p -Sylow subgroup;
- (iii) $|cs(G)| = 4$, $p = 2$, and $G/Z(G) \cong \text{PGL}_2(q^n)$, where $G' \cong \text{SL}_2(q^n)$ and q is an odd prime.

According to the main theorem, if the nonabelian finite group G and the prime p satisfy $(*)$, then either $p = 2$ or G is a solvable group with a normal p -Sylow subgroup.

For proving the main theorem, we show that for the nonabelian finite group G and the prime p satisfying $(*)$, either G is nilpotent or the p -part of $|G/Z(G)|$ is p^{e_2} or p^{e_2+1} , and $|cs(G)| \leq 4$. Thus, we have to consider the cases when $|cs(G)| = 2$, $|cs(G)| = 3$, and $|cs(G)| = 4$ separately and rule out the extra possibilities in these cases.

In this paper, all groups are finite. By $\text{gcd}(c, b)$ and $\text{lcm}(c, b)$ we denote the greatest common divisor and the least common multiple of the natural numbers c and b , respectively. For a finite group H , we denote by $\pi(H)$ the set of prime divisors of order of H . For the prime r (a set of primes π), the set of r -Sylow subgroups of H is denoted by $\text{Syl}_r(H)$, $O_r(H)$ ($O_\pi(H)$) is the largest normal r -subgroup (π -subgroup) of H , and $O_{r'}(H)$ is the largest normal subgroup of H , its order being coprime to r . If m is a natural number and r is prime, then the r -part of m is denoted by $|m|_r$ and $|m|_{r'} = m/|m|_r$. Throughout Sections 2 and 3, let G be a nonabelian finite group and p be a prime that satisfies $(*)$.

2. Preliminary results

In the following lemma, we collect some known facts about finite groups. From [4, Theorem 5] and [3], we obtain (i) and (ii), respectively. The proof of (iii)–(v) is straightforward.

Lemma 2.1 *Let K be a normal subgroup of a finite group H and $\bar{H} = H/K$. Let \bar{x} be the image of an element x of H in \bar{H} and $s \in \pi(H)$.*

- (i) s does not divide $|cl_H(x)|$ for every s' -element $x \in H$ of a prime power order if and only if H is s -decomposable, i.e. $H = O_s(H) \times O_{s'}(H)$;
- (ii) if 1 and $m > 1$ are the lengths of conjugacy classes of H , then for some $r \in \pi(H)$, m is a power of r and $H = R \times A$, where $R \in \text{Syl}_r(H)$ and A is abelian;
- (iii) assume that $x, y \in H$ with $xy = yx$ and $\text{gcd}(O(x), O(y)) = 1$. Then $C_H(xy) = C_H(x) \cap C_H(y)$. In particular, $C_H(xy) = C_{C_H(x)}(y)$ is a subgroup of $C_H(x)$ and $|cl_H(x)|$ divides $|cl_H(xy)|$;
- (iv) if $x = yz$, where $y \in H$ and $z \in Z(H)$, then $C_H(x) = C_H(y)$;
- (v) $|cl_{\bar{H}}(\bar{x})|$ divides $|cl_H(x)|$.

In the proof of the main theorem, we need to know about $cs(G'Z(G))$. The following lemma shows that $cs(G'Z(G)) = cs(G')$:

Lemma 2.2 *If K is a subgroup of H , then $cs(KZ(H)) = cs(K)$.*

Proof If $x \in KZ(H)$, then there exist $y \in K$ and $z \in Z(H)$ such that $x = yz$. Thus, by Lemma 2.1(iv), $C_{KZ(H)}(x) = C_{KZ(H)}(y)$. Also, $Z(H) \leq C_{KZ(H)}(y)$. Thus, by Dedekind modular law, $C_{KZ(H)}(y) = (C_{KZ(H)}(y) \cap K)Z(H) = C_K(y)Z(H)$, and hence $|cl_{KZ(H)}(x)| = |cl_{KZ(H)}(y)| = |KZ(H)|/|C_K(y)Z(H)| = |K|/|C_K(y)| = |cl_K(y)|$. Thus, $cs(K) = cs(KZ(H))$, as claimed. \square

Let N be a normal subgroup of G . If xN is a p -element of G/N , then in order to study $C_{G/N}(xN)$, the following lemma allows us to assume that x is a p -element:

Lemma 2.3 *Let $s \in \pi(H)$. If N is a normal subgroup of H and $O(xN) = s^a$, then there exists an s -element $y \in G$ such that $xN = yN$.*

Proof Since $O(xN)$ divides $O(x)$, $O(x) = s^b.m$, where $b \geq a$ and $\gcd(s, m) = 1$. Thus, there exist natural numbers r and u such that $r.m + u.s^b = 1$ and hence $x = x_s.x_{s'} = x_{s'}.x_s$, where $x_s = x^{r.m}$ and $x_{s'} = x^{u.s^b}$. Obviously, $O(x_s) = s^b$, $O(x_{s'}) = m$ and $s^a = O(xN) = \text{lcm}(O(x_sN), O(x_{s'}N))$. This forces $O(x_{s'}N) = 1$ and hence $x_{s'} \in N$. Thus, $xN = x_sN$, as claimed. \square

For some $x \in H$, Lemma 2.4 shows the relation between $|cl_{H/Z(H)}(xZ(H))|$ and $|cl_H(x)|$, which will be used in the proof of the main theorem:

Lemma 2.4 *Let $s \in \pi(H)$, $\bar{H} = H/Z(H)$ and \bar{x} be the image of an element x of H in \bar{H} .*

- (i) *If $x, y \in H$ such that $\gcd(O(x), O(y)) = 1$, then $\bar{y} \in C_{\bar{H}}(\bar{x})$ if and only if $y \in C_H(x)$;*
- (ii) *if H is solvable and $O(\bar{x}) = s^a$, then $|cl_{\bar{H}}(\bar{x})|_{s'} = |cl_H(x)|_{s'}$.*

Proof If $y \in C_H(x)$, then it is obvious that $\bar{y} \in C_{\bar{H}}(\bar{x})$. Now let $\bar{y} \in C_{\bar{H}}(\bar{x})$. There exists $z \in Z(H)$ such that $y^{-1}xy = xz$. Thus, $O(x) = \text{lcm}(O(x), O(z))$, and hence $O(z)$ divides $O(x)$. On the other hand, $x^{-1}y^{-1}x = y^{-1}z$. Thus, $O(y) = \text{lcm}(O(y), O(z))$ and hence $O(z)$ divides $O(y)$. Therefore, $O(z)$ divides $\gcd(O(x), O(y)) = 1$. This forces $z = 1$ and hence $y^{-1}xy = x$. Therefore, $y \in C_H(x)$, as claimed in (i). Now we are going to prove (ii). Since $O(\bar{x}) = s^a$, Lemma 2.3 allows us to assume that x is an s -element, and since H is solvable, we can assume that $C_H(x)$ contains a $(\pi(H) - \{s\})$ -Hall subgroup, namely K . Thus, (i) shows that $KZ(H)/Z(H)$ is a $(\pi(H) - \{s\})$ -Hall subgroup of $C_{\bar{H}}(\bar{x})$ and hence (ii) follows. \square

A group H is called quasi-Frobenius if $H/Z(H)$ is Frobenius.

The following lemma will be used in the case when $|cs(G)| = 3$.

Lemma 2.5 [2] *For a finite group H , $|cs(H)| = 3$ if and only if, up to an abelian direct factor, either:*

- (1) *H is an r -group for some prime r ;*
- (2) *$H = KL$ with $K \trianglelefteq G$, $\gcd(|K|, |L|) = 1$, and one of the following occurs:*
 - (a) *both K and L are abelian, $Z(H) < L$, and H is a quasi-Frobenius group;*
 - (b) *K is abelian, L is a nonabelian r -group for some prime r , and $O_r(H)$ is an abelian subgroup of index r in L and $H/O_r(H)$ is a Frobenius group;*
 - (c) *K is an r -group with $|cs(K)| = 2$ for some prime r , L is abelian, $Z(K) = Z(H) \cap K$, and H is quasi-Frobenius.*

Remark 2.6 Since for every $x \in G$, $Z(G) \leq C_G(x)$, we deduce that $|cl_G(x)|$ divides $|G/Z(G)|$. Also, $n_1 > n_2 > \dots > n_k$ and $1 = e_1 < e_2 < \dots < e_k$. Thus, for every $1 \leq i \leq k$, either $n_i \neq |G/Z(G)|_{p'}$ or $n_1 = |G/Z(G)|_{p'}$. Moreover, for every $1 \leq i \leq k$, either $p^{e_i} \neq |G/Z(G)|_p$ or $p^{e_k} = |G/Z(G)|_p$.

Applying Lemma 2.7 leads us to find the structure of $C_G(x)$ for some p -element $x \in G - Z(G)$ and the centralizers of the p' -elements of $C_G(x)$:

Lemma 2.7 For every noncentral p -element $x \in G$,

- (i) $C_G(x) = O_p(C_G(x)) \times O_{p'}(C_G(x))$ and $O_{p'}(C_G(x)) \leq Z(C_G(x))$;
- (ii) either $|cl_G(x)| = pn_1$ and $n_1 = |G/Z(G)|_{p'}$ or for every noncentral element $g \in C_G(x)$, $C_G(g) = C_G(x)$.
In particular, either $|cl_G(x)| = pn_1$ and $n_1 = |G/Z(G)|_{p'}$ or $C_G(x)$ is abelian.

Proof Since x is a noncentral p -element, we deduce that $p \mid |C_G(x)|$ and $p \mid |cl_G(x)|$. Thus, $|cl_G(x)| = p^{e_i}n_i$, for some $1 \leq i \leq k$. If y is a p' -element of $C_G(x)$, then by Lemma 2.1(iii), $|cl_G(x)| \mid |cl_G(xy)|$. Thus, assumption (*) shows that $|cl_G(xy)| = |cl_G(x)|$ and hence $C_G(x) = C_G(xy) = C_G(x) \cap C_G(y) = C_G(x)(y)$, by Lemma 2.1(iii). Thus, $y \in Z(C_G(x))$, and hence Lemma 2.1(i) forces $C_G(x) = O_p(C_G(x)) \times O_{p'}(C_G(x))$, so (i) follows. Now let $|cl_G(x)| \neq pn_1$ or $n_1 \neq |G/Z(G)|_{p'}$. Then, since $Z(G) \leq C_G(x)$, $O_{p'}(C_G(x)) \neq O_{p'}(Z(G))$, considering Remark 2.6. Also, “ $x \in C_G(x)$ ” guarantees that $O_p(C_G(x)) \not\leq Z(G)$. Thus, there exist $g \in O_p(C_G(x)) - Z(G)$ and $h \in O_{p'}(C_G(x)) - Z(G)$. Replacing y with h in the above argument shows that $C_G(h) = C_G(xh) = C_G(x)$, and now replacing x and y with h and g in the above argument shows that

$$C_G(g) = C_G(hg) = C_G(h) = C_G(x). \tag{1}$$

Let $t \in C_G(x) - Z(G)$. As mentioned in the proof of Lemma 2.3, $t = t_p.t_{p'} = t_{p'}.t_p$, where t_p is a p -element and $t_{p'}$ is a p' -element of $C_G(x)$ such that $t_p \notin Z(G)$ or $t_{p'} \notin Z(G)$. Thus, Lemma 2.1(iii) and (1) show that $C_G(t) = C_G(t_p) \cap C_G(t_{p'}) = C_G(x)$, as claimed in (ii). □

Corollary 2.8 gives us some information about the structures of the centralizers of the noncentral elements of G :

Corollary 2.8 For every noncentral element $x \in G$, $C_G(x) = O_p(C_G(x)) \times O_{p'}(C_G(x))$ and either $|cl_G(x)| = pn_1$ and $n_1 = |G/Z(G)|_{p'}$ or $O_p(C_G(x))$ is abelian.

Proof If x is a p -element, then Lemma 2.7 completes the proof. If $O(x) = p^a.m$, where $a \geq 1$ and $\gcd(p, m) = 1$, then as mentioned in the proof of Lemma 2.3, we can see that $x = x_p.x_{p'} = x_{p'}.x_p$, where $O(x_p) = p^a$ and $O(x_{p'}) = m$. Thus, Lemma 2.7 shows that either $C_G(x_p) = C_G(x)$ or $x_p \in Z(G)$; in the former case, Lemma 2.7 completes the proof. In the latter case, Lemma 2.1(iv) forces $C_G(x) = C_G(x_{p'})$. Thus, without loss of generality, we can assume that x is a p' -element. If $C_G(x)$ contains a noncentral p -element y , then by Lemma 2.1(iii), $|cl_G(x)|$ and $|cl_G(y)|$ divides $|cl_G(xy)|$. Thus, our assumption shows that $|cl_G(xy)| = |cl_G(x)| = |cl_G(y)|$ and hence $C_G(x) = C_G(xy) = C_G(x) \cap C_G(y) = C_G(y)$. Therefore, Lemma 2.7 completes the proof. Otherwise, $O_p(C_G(x)) \leq Z(G)$ is a p -Sylow subgroup of $C_G(x)$ and hence Lemma 2.1(i) shows that $C_G(x) = O_p(C_G(x)) \times O_{p'}(C_G(x))$ and $O_p(C_G(x))$ is abelian. □

If $|cs(G)| \geq 3$, then applying Lemma 2.9 to the proof of the main theorem allows us to see that $|G/Z(G)|_p \in \{p^{e_2}, p^{e_2+1}\}$, which will be used in proving $|cs(G)| \leq 4$.

Lemma 2.9 *If y is a noncentral element of G such that $|cl_G(y)|_p < |G/Z(G)|_p$ and either $|cl_G(y)| \neq pn_1$ or $n_1 \neq |G/Z(G)|_p$, then for every noncentral element $w \in G$, either $C_G(y) = C_G(w)$ or $C_G(y) \cap C_G(w) = Z(G)$.*

Proof Since $|cl_G(y)|_p < |G/Z(G)|_p$, we deduce that $C_G(y)$ contains a noncentral p -element t . Thus, by Lemma 2.7(ii), $C_G(y) = C_G(t)$. Now let w be a noncentral element of G with $C_G(y) \cap C_G(w) \neq Z(G)$. Then there exists a noncentral element $u \in C_G(y) \cap C_G(w)$ of primary order, so Lemma 2.7(ii) forces $C_G(u) = C_G(t) = C_G(y)$ and hence $w \in C_G(u) = C_G(t)$. Therefore, Lemma 2.7(ii) gives that $C_G(w) = C_G(t)$, so $C_G(w) = C_G(y)$ and, hence the lemma follows. \square

Definition 2.10 *A group H is an F -group if for given any pair $x, y \in H$ with $x, y \notin Z(H)$, we have $C_H(x) \not\leq C_H(y)$.*

Corollary 2.11 *G is an F -group.*

Proof It follows immediately from our assumption on $cs(G)$. \square

Note that the list of F -groups was obtained in [5].

Lemma 2.12 guarantees that $|G/Z(G)|_p = |P/Z(P)|$, for some $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$.

Lemma 2.12 *For $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$, $Z(P) \leq Z(G)$. In particular, $Z(G) \cap P = Z(P)$.*

Proof If $x \in Z(P)$, then $|cl_G(x)|_p = 1$, so by our assumption $x \in Z(G)$. Thus, $Z(P) \leq Z(G)$, as claimed. \square

In the proof of the main theorem, we will need to know the set cs of the normal subgroups of G of index 2, which have been obtained in Lemma 2.13:

Lemma 2.13 *If $N \trianglelefteq G$ with $|G/N| = 2$, then:*

(i) *if $p \neq 2$, then*

$$cs(N) \subseteq \{1, pn_{1,1}, \dots, pn_{1,t_1}, p^{e_2}n_{2,1}, \dots, p^{e_2}n_{2,t_2}, \dots, p^{e_k}n_{k,1}, \dots, p^{e_k}n_{k,t_k}\},$$

where for $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, $t_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and for $j \in \{1, \dots, t_i\}$, $n_{i,j} \mid n_i$;

(ii) *if $p = 2$, then $cs(N) \subseteq \{1, pn_1, n_1, p^{e_2}n_2, p^{e_2-1}n_2, \dots, p^{e_k}n_k, p^{e_k-1}n_k\}$.*

Proof If $p \neq 2$, then $\text{Syl}_p(G) = \text{Syl}_p(N)$, and hence for every noncentral element $x \in N$, $|C_N(x)|_p = |C_G(x)|_p$ and hence $|cl_N(x)|_p = |cl_G(x)|_p \in \{p, p^{e_2}, \dots, p^{e_k}\}$. Also, it is easy to check that $|cl_N(x)| \mid |cl_G(x)|$, so

$$cs(N) \subseteq \{1, pn_{1,1}, \dots, pn_{1,t_1}, p^{e_2}n_{2,1}, \dots, p^{e_2}n_{2,t_2}, \dots, p^{e_k}n_{k,1}, \dots, p^{e_k}n_{k,t_k}\},$$

where for $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, $t_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and for $j \in \{1, \dots, t_i\}$, $n_{i,j} \mid n_i$. Thus, (i) follows. If $p = 2$, then for every noncentral element $x \in N$, $NC_G(x) \leq G$, so $[C_G(x) : C_N(x)]$ divides $[G : N]$. Thus, $|C_N(x)| = |C_G(x)|$ or $|C_G(x)|/2$, so $|cl_N(x)| = |cl_G(x)|$ or $|cl_G(x)|/2$. Therefore, $cs(N) \subseteq \{1, pn_1, n_1, p^{e_2}n_2, p^{e_2-1}n_2, \dots, p^{e_k}n_k, p^{e_k-1}n_k\}$, as claimed in (ii). \square

3. Proof of the main theorem

If $|cs(G)| = 2$, then Lemma 2.1(ii) completes the proof, so let $|cs(G)| \geq 3$. Since $pn_1, p^{e_2}n_2 \in cs(G)$, there exist $x, y' \in G$ such that $|cl_G(x)| = pn_1$ and $|cl_G(y')| = p^{e_2}n_2$. It is known that there exist $g \in G$ and $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ such that $C_P(x) = C_G(x) \cap P \in \text{Syl}_p(C_G(x))$ and $C_P(y) = C_G(y) \cap P \in \text{Syl}_p(C_G(y))$, where $y = g^{-1}y'g$. Also, $|cl_G(x)| \neq |cl_G(y)|$ and hence $|C_G(x)| \neq |C_G(y)|$. Thus, applying Remark 2.6 and Lemma 2.9 shows that if $|cl_G(y)|_p < |G/Z(G)|_p$, then

$$C_G(x) \cap C_G(y) = Z(G).$$

Thus, if $|cl_G(y)|_p < |G/Z(G)|_p$, then Lemma 2.12 forces

$$C_P(x) \cap C_P(y) = Z(P). \tag{2}$$

We are going to complete the proof in some steps:

Step 1. $|P/Z(P)| = p^{e_2}$ or $|P/Z(P)| = p^{1+e_2}$.

Proof If $Z(P) = C_P(y)$, then we can see at once that $|P/Z(P)| = |P|/|C_P(y)| = p^{e_2}$, as claimed. Thus, let $Z(P) \neq C_P(y)$. Then since $Z(P) < C_P(y)$, Lemma 2.12 leads us to see that $|cl_G(y)|_p < |G/Z(G)|_p$. Since $[P : C_P(x)] = |cl_G(x)|_p = p$, we conclude that $C_P(x)$ is a maximal subgroup of P . Also, $C_P(y) \neq Z(P)$, and hence (2) shows that $C_P(y)$ is not a subgroup of $C_P(x)$. Therefore, $C_P(x)C_P(y) = P$. Furthermore, (2) implies that $C_P(x) \cap C_P(y) = Z(P)$. Thus, $|C_P(y)|/|Z(P)| = |P|/|C_P(x)| = p$ and hence $|P|/|Z(P)| = [P : C_P(y)]|C_P(y)|/|Z(P)| = p^{1+e_2}$, as claimed. \square

Step 2. For every $m \in cs(G) - \{1\}$, $|m|_p = p$, $|m|_p = p^{e_2}$ or $|m|_p = p^{e_2+1}$.

Proof Let $t \in G - Z(G)$ such that $|cl_G(t)|_p \notin \{p, p^{e_2}\}$. Then, since $Z(G) \leq C_G(t)$, we obtain from Step 1 and Lemma 2.12 that $|cl_G(t)|_p \leq |G/Z(G)|_p \leq p^{1+e_2}$. However, $|cl_G(t)|_p = p^{e_i}$, for some $i \geq 3$. Thus, by assumption (*), $|cl_G(t)|_p > p^{e_2}$, and hence $|cl_G(t)|_p = p^{e_2+1}$, as claimed. \square

Step 3. $|cs(G)| \leq 4$.

Proof It follows immediately from Step 2 and our assumption on e_i s. \square

Step 4. If $|P/Z(P)| = p^{e_2}$, then G is a quasi-Frobenius group with a normal p -Sylow subgroup.

Proof Since for every $t \in G$, $Z(G) \leq C_G(t)$, Lemma 2.12 forces $|cl_G(t)|_p \mid |G/Z(G)|_p = |P/Z(P)| = p^{e_2}$ and hence assumption (*) shows that for every $w \in G - Z(G)$, $|cl_G(w)|_p = p^{e_2}$ or $|cl_G(w)|_p = p$. Thus, $|cs(G)| = 3$, so considering Lemma 2.5 and our assumption shows that $G = A \times KL$, with abelian subgroup A , $K \trianglelefteq G$, $\gcd(|K|, |L|) = 1$, and one of the following cases occurs:

- (a) $cs(G) = \{1, |K|, |L|/|Z(L)|\}$. This forces nonidentity elements of $cs(G)$ to be coprime, which is a contradiction with our assumption on $cs(G)$;
- (b) K is abelian, L is a nonabelian q -group, for some prime q , and $O_q(G)$ is an abelian subgroup of index q in L and $G/O_q(G)$ is a Frobenius group. Then $O_q(G), K \trianglelefteq G$ and $\gcd(|K|, q) = 1$, so $K \cap O_q(G) = \{1\}$. This implies that for every $w \in K$, $K \times O_q(G) \leq C_G(w)$. Thus, for every $w \in K - Z(G)$, $|cl_G(w)| = q$, and hence our assumption on $cs(G)$ forces $q = p$ and $n_1 = 1$, which is a contradiction with our assumption;

(c) K is a q -group with $cs(K) = \{1, q^a\}$, for some prime q , L is abelian, $Z(K) = Z(G) \cap K$, and G is a quasi-Frobenius group. Then

$$cs(G) = \{1, |K/Z(K)|, q^a |LZ(G)/Z(G)|\} = \{1, q^s, q^a |LZ(G)/Z(G)|\}.$$

This forces $q = p$, $|K/Z(K)| = p^{e_2}$, and $a = 1$. Thus, K is a normal p -subgroup of G , which is the p -Sylow subgroup of G .

□

Step 5. If $|P/Z(P)| = p^{e_2+1}$, then $p = 2$ and $G/Z(G) \cong PGL_2(q^n)$, where $G' \cong SL_2(q^n)$ and q is an odd prime.

Proof If $|cs(G)| = 3$, then repeating the argument given in Step 4 shows that $cs(G) = \{1, |K/Z(K)|, p |LZ(G)/Z(G)|\}$, where $K \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$, $K \cap Z(G) = Z(K)$ and $|K/Z(K)| = p^{e_2}$. Thus, by Lemma 2.12, $|P/Z(P)| = |G/Z(G)|_p = p^{e_2}$, which is a contradiction. Now let $|cs(G)| = 4$. By Step 2, $cs(G) = \{1, pn_1, p^{e_2}n_2, p^{e_2+1}n_3\}$, but by Corollary 2.11, G is an F -group. Thus, [5] shows that one of the following holds:

(i) G has a normal abelian subgroup N of index q , and q is a prime, but G is not abelian. Thus, $N \not\leq Z(G)$, so there exists $z \in N - Z(G)$. Since N is abelian, we have $N \leq C_G(z)$, and hence $|cl_G(z)|$ divides $|G : N| = q$. Therefore, $|cl_G(z)| = q$ and hence our assumption on $cs(G)$ forces $q = p$ and $n_1 = 1$, which is a contradiction with our assumption;

(ii) $G/Z(G)$ is a Frobenius group with the Frobenius kernel $KZ(G)/Z(G)$ and the Frobenius complement $LZ(G)/Z(G)$, and one of the following subcases holds:

(a) K and L are abelian. Then we can see that $|cs(G)| = 3$, which is a contradiction with our assumption;

(b) L is abelian, $Z(K) = Z(G) \cap K$ and $K/Z(K)$ is a q -group, for some prime q . Then for every $x \in L$, $|cl_G(x)| = |K|/|Z(K)|$. Thus, our assumption shows that $q = p$. Since G is not abelian and $n_1 > n_2 > n_3$, Remark 2.6 and Lemma 2.7(ii) show that there exist the noncentral p' -elements $x_2, x_3 \in G$ with $|cl_G(x_2)| = p^{e_2}n_2$ and $|cl_G(x_3)| = p^{e_2+1}n_3$. Thus, we can assume that $x_2, x_3 \in L$, so $L \leq C_G(x_2) \cap C_G(x_3)$. Therefore, Lemma 2.9 forces $L \leq Z(G)$, which is a contradiction;

(iii) $G/Z(G) \cong \mathbb{S}_4$. Then G is solvable and since by Lemma 2.12 and our assumption $1 < p^{e_2+1} = |P/Z(P)| = |G/Z(G)|_p$, and $|\mathbb{S}_4| = |G/Z(G)| = 2^3 \cdot 3$, we deduce that $p^{e_2+1} = 2^3$. Thus, $p = 2$ and $e_2 = 2$. Therefore, $cs(G) = \{1, 2n_1, 4n_2, 8n_3\}$. Since for every $w \in G - Z(G)$, $wZ(G) \in G/Z(G) \cong \mathbb{S}_4$, we obtain $O(wZ(G)) \in \{2, 3, 4\}$ and if $O(wZ(G)) = 3$, then $|cl_{G/Z(G)}(wZ(G))| = 8$. Since by Lemma 2.1(v), $|cl_{G/Z(G)}(wZ(G))|$ divides $|cl_G(w)|$, we deduce that $O(xZ(G)), O(yZ(G)) \in \{2, 4\}$, and hence Lemma 2.3 forces the existence of 2-elements $x_1, y_1 \in G - Z(G)$ such that $xZ(G) = x_1Z(G)$ and $yZ(G) = y_1Z(G)$. Therefore, Lemma 2.4(ii) guarantees the existence of $\alpha, \beta \in cs(G/Z(G)) = cs(\mathbb{S}_4) = \{1, 3, 6, 8\}$ such that $n_1 = |\alpha|_{p'}$ and $n_2 = |\beta|_{p'}$. Thus, $n_1 = n_2 = 3$, which is a contradiction;

(iv) $G = A \times P$, where A is abelian and P is a q -group, so $cs(G) = cs(P)$, which is a contradiction with our assumption on n_i s;

(v) $G/Z(G) \cong PSL_2(q^n)$ or $PGL_2(q^n)$ and $G' \cong SL_2(q^n)$, where $q^n > 3$ and q is prime. If $G/Z(G) \cong PSL_2(q^n)$, then since $G/Z(G)$ is a simple group, we deduce that $G'Z(G)/Z(G) = G/Z(G)$, and hence $G'Z(G) = G$. Thus, by Lemma 2.2, $cs(G) = cs(G'Z(G)) = cs(G')$, but

$$cs(G') = cs(SL_2(q^n)) = \begin{cases} \{1, \frac{(q^{2n}-1)}{2}, q^n(q^n+1), q^n(q^n-1)\} & \text{if } q \text{ is odd} \\ \{1, q^{2n}-1, q^n(q^n+1), q^n(q^n-1)\} & \text{if } q \text{ is even} \end{cases}, \tag{3}$$

which is a contradiction with our assumption on e_i s. Now let $G/Z(G) \cong PGL_2(q^n)$. Since if $q = 2$, then $PGL_2(q^n) \cong SL_2(q^n) = PSL_2(q^n)$, and we just need to consider the case when q is odd. Thus,

$$[G : G'Z(G)] = |G/Z(G)|/|G'Z(G)/Z(G)| = |PGL_2(q^n)|/|PSL_2(q^n)| = 2.$$

If $p \neq 2$, then Lemmas 2.2 and 2.13(i) show that

$$\begin{aligned} cs(SL_2(q^n)) &= cs(G') = cs(G'Z(G)) \\ &\subseteq \{1, pn_{1,1}, \dots, pn_{1,t_1}, p^{e_2}n_{2,1}, \dots, p^{e_2}n_{2,t_2}, p^{e_2+1}n_{3,1}, \dots, p^{e_2+1}n_{3,t_3}\} \end{aligned}$$

where for $i \in \{1, \dots, 3\}$, $t_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and for $j \in \{1, \dots, t_i\}$, $n_{i,j} \mid n_i$. Thus, p divides $\gcd(\{n : n \in cs(SL_2(q)) - \{1\}\})$, which is a contradiction considering (3) and assumption $p \neq 2$. Thus, $p = 2$.

(vi) $G/Z(G) \cong PSL_2(9)$ or $PGL_2(9)$ and $G' \cong PSL_2(9)$. Since $G' \trianglelefteq G$, there exists $Q \in \text{Syl}_p(G')$ such that $Q \trianglelefteq P$ and hence $Z(P) \cap Q \neq 1$, but Lemma 2.12 implies that $Z(P) \leq Z(G)$, so $Z(P) \cap Q \leq Z(G) \cap G' \leq Z(G') = 1$, which is a contradiction.

These steps complete the proof of the main theorem. □

Corollary 3.1 *If $p \neq 2$, then G is a nilpotent group or a quasi-Frobenius group with a normal p -Sylow subgroup.*

Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank Shahrekord University for financial support. The author was partially supported by the Center of Excellent for Mathematics, Shahrekord University, Iran.

References

[1] Camina A, Camina R. The influence of conjugacy class sizes on the structure of finite groups: a survey. *Asian Eur J Math* 2011; 4: 559–588.
 [2] Dolfi S, Jabara E. The structure of finite groups of conjugate rank 2. *B Lond Math Soc* 2009; 41: 916-926.
 [3] Itô N. On finite groups with given conjugate types. I. *Nagoya Math J* 1953; 6: 17-28.
 [4] Liu X, Wang Y, Wei H. Notes on the length of conjugacy classes of finite groups. *J Pure and Appl Algebra* 2005; 196: 111-117.
 [5] Rebmann J. *F*-Gruppen. *Arch Math (Basel)* 1971; 22: 225-230.
 [6] Thompson J. Normal p -complements and irreducible characters. *J Algebra* 1970; 14: 129-134.