

1-1-2016

Fixed point theory in WC–Banach algebras

AREF JERIBI

BILEL KRICHEN

BILEL MEFTEH

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

JERIBI, AREF; KRICHEN, BILEL; and MEFTEH, BILEL (2016) "Fixed point theory in WC–Banach algebras," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 40: No. 2, Article 5. <https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-1504-42>
Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol40/iss2/5>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.

Fixed point theory in WC–Banach algebras

Aref JERIBI¹, Bilel KRICHEN^{2,*}, Bilel MEFTEH¹

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences of Sfax, Sfax, Tunisia

²Department of Mathematics, Preparatory Engineering Institute, Sfax, Tunisia

Received: 14.04.2015

Accepted/Published Online: 22.06.2015

Final Version: 10.02.2016

Abstract: In this paper, we will prove some fixed point theorems for the sum and the product of nonlinear weakly sequentially continuous operators acting on a WC–Banach algebra. Our results improve and correct some recent results given by Banas and Taoudi, and extend several earlier works using the condition (\mathcal{P}) .

Key words: WC–Banach algebra, fixed point theorems, weak topology, measure of weak noncompactness

1. Introduction

In 1998, Dhage [10] proved the following fixed point theorem involving three operators in a Banach algebra by combining Schauder’s fixed point theorem and Banach’s contraction principle.

Theorem 1.1 *Let S be a nonempty, bounded, closed, and convex subset of a Banach algebra \mathcal{X} and let $A, B, C : S \rightarrow S$ be three operators, such that:*

(i) *A and C are Lipschitzian with Lipschitz constants α and β , respectively,*

(ii) *$(\frac{I-C}{A})^{-1}$ exists on $B(S)$, I being the identity operator on \mathcal{X} , and the operator*

$$\left(\frac{I-C}{A}\right) : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \text{ is defined by } \left(\frac{I-C}{A}\right)x := \frac{x-Cx}{Ax},$$

(iii) *B is completely continuous, and*

(iv) *$AxB y + Cx \in S, \forall x, y \in S$.*

Then the operator equation $x = Ax Bx + Cx$ has, at least, a solution in S , whenever $\alpha M + \beta < 1$, where $M = \|B(S)\| = \sup\{\|Bx\| : x \in S\}$. \diamond

It is well known that Theorem 1.1 has a wide range of applications to nonlinear integral equations of mixed type. Moreover, it has also been extensively used in differential equations. Several attempts have been made in the literature to prove the analogousness of Theorem 1.1 under weak topology. In [6], Ben Amar et al. established a weak variant of Theorem 1.1 in Banach algebras, satisfying the following sequential condition:

$$(\mathcal{P}) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{For any sequences } (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ and } (y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ of } \mathcal{X} \text{ such that } x_n \rightharpoonup x \\ \text{and } y_n \rightharpoonup y, \text{ then } x_n y_n \rightharpoonup xy; \text{ where } \mathcal{X} \text{ is a Banach algebra.} \end{array} \right.$$

*Correspondence: krichen_bilel@yahoo.fr

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 47H08, 47H09.

It is interesting to note that their result requires both the weak sequential continuity and the weak compactness of the operators A , B , and C . Their proof is based on the Arino, Gautier, and Penot's fixed point theorem [3] and also on the weak sequential continuity of $(\frac{I-C}{A})^{-1}B$.

In their paper [7], Ben Amar et al. have established some fixed point theorems in Banach algebras satisfying the condition (\mathcal{P}) under the weak topology. Their results were based on the class of weakly condensing, weak sequential continuity, and weakly compact.

Recently, Banas and Taoudi [4] gave a generalization of some results established in [6] for the weak topology case. The authors used the notion of a WC-Banach algebra (cf. Definition 3.1), which is weaker than the property (\mathcal{P}) and the concept of the De Blasi measure of weak noncompactness [9]. However, in the proofs of Theorems 3.1 and 3.3, Banas and Taoudi used the sequential condition (\mathcal{P}) in any Banach algebra, which is not always valid.

The main goal of the present section is to establish new variants of Theorem 1.1 for three operators acting on WC-Banach algebras, without using the sequential condition (\mathcal{P}) . This result can be considered an extension of [7, 12, 15, 17].

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this section, \mathcal{X} denotes a Banach space. For any $r > 0$, B_r denotes the closed ball in \mathcal{X} centered at $0_{\mathcal{X}}$ with radius r and $\mathcal{D}(A)$ denotes the domain of an operator A . $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}}$ is the collection of all nonempty bounded subsets of \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{K}^w is the subset of $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}}$ consisting of all weakly compact subsets of \mathcal{X} . In the remainder, \rightharpoonup denotes the weak convergence and \rightarrow denotes the strong convergence in \mathcal{X} . Recall that the notion of the measure of weak noncompactness was introduced by De Blasi [9]; it is the map $\omega : \Omega_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ defined in the following way:

$$\omega(\mathcal{M}) = \inf\{r > 0 : \text{there exists } K \in \mathcal{K}^w \text{ such that } \mathcal{M} \subseteq K + B_r\},$$

for all $\mathcal{M} \in \Omega_{\mathcal{X}}$. For convenience we recall some basic properties of $\omega(\cdot)$ needed below [2, 9].

Lemma 2.1 *Let $\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2$ be two elements of $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}}$. Then the following conditions are satisfied:*

1. $\mathcal{M}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{M}_2$ implies $\omega(\mathcal{M}_1) \leq \omega(\mathcal{M}_2)$.
2. $\omega(\mathcal{M}_1) = 0$, if and only if $\overline{\mathcal{M}_1}^w \in \mathcal{K}^w$, i.e. $\overline{\mathcal{M}_1}^w$ is the weak closure of \mathcal{M}_1 .
3. $\omega(\overline{\mathcal{M}_1}^w) = \omega(\mathcal{M}_1)$.
4. $\omega(\mathcal{M}_1 \cup \mathcal{M}_2) = \max\{\omega(\mathcal{M}_1), \omega(\mathcal{M}_2)\}$.
5. $\omega(\lambda\mathcal{M}_1) = |\lambda|\omega(\mathcal{M}_1)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.
6. $\omega(\text{co}(\mathcal{M}_1)) = \omega(\mathcal{M}_1)$, where $\text{co}(\mathcal{M}_1)$ denotes the convex hull of \mathcal{M}_1 .
7. $\omega(\mathcal{M}_1 + \mathcal{M}_2) \leq \omega(\mathcal{M}_1) + \omega(\mathcal{M}_2)$.

8. if $(\mathcal{M}_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a decreasing sequence of nonempty bounded and weakly closed subsets of \mathcal{X} with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega(\mathcal{M}_n) = 0$, then $\mathcal{M}_\infty := \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{M}_n$ is nonempty and $\omega(\mathcal{M}_\infty) = 0$, that is, \mathcal{M}_∞ is relatively weakly compact. \diamond

Definition 2.1 An operator $A : \mathcal{D}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is said to be weakly sequentially continuous on $\mathcal{D}(A)$ if for every sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{D}(A)$, $x_n \rightharpoonup x$ implies $Ax_n \rightharpoonup Ax$. \diamond

Definition 2.2 An operator $A : \mathcal{D}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is said to be ω -contractive (or an ω - α -contraction) if it maps bounded sets into bounded sets, and there exists some $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ such that $\omega(A\mathcal{S}) \leq \alpha\omega(\mathcal{S})$ for all bounded subsets $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{D}(A)$.

An operator $A : \mathcal{D}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is said to be ω -condensing if it maps bounded sets into bounded sets, and $\omega(A(\mathcal{S})) < \omega(\mathcal{S})$ for all bounded sets $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{D}(A)$ with $\omega(\mathcal{S}) > 0$. \diamond

Remark 2.1 Obviously, every ω - α -contraction with $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ is ω -condensing. \diamond

Let $A : \mathcal{D}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be an operator. In what follows, we will use the following conditions:

(H1) $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{If } (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{D}(A) \text{ is a weakly convergent sequence in } \mathcal{X}, \text{ then} \\ (Ax_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ has a strongly convergent subsequence in } \mathcal{X}. \end{array} \right.$

(H2) $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{If } (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{D}(A) \text{ is a weakly convergent sequence in } \mathcal{X}, \text{ then} \\ (Ax_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ has a weakly convergent subsequence in } \mathcal{X}. \end{array} \right.$

The conditions (H1) and (H2) were already considered in the papers [1, 12, 14, 17].

Definition 2.3 An operator $A : \mathcal{D}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is called \mathcal{D} -Lipschitzian if there exists a continuous and nondecreasing function $\phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that

$$\|Ax - Ay\| \leq \phi(\|x - y\|),$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{D}(A)$, where $\phi(0) = 0$. The function ϕ is called a \mathcal{D} -function of A . Obviously every Lipschitzian mapping is \mathcal{D} -Lipschitzian, but the converse may not be true. Moreover, if $\phi(r) < r$ for $r > 0$, then the operator A is called a nonlinear contraction with a contraction function ϕ . \diamond

The following lemma is useful for the sequel.

Lemma 2.2 [1] Let $A : \mathcal{D}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be an operator \mathcal{D} -Lipschitzian with a \mathcal{D} -function ϕ on a Banach space \mathcal{X} and satisfy (H2). Then for each bounded subset \mathcal{S} of $\mathcal{D}(A)$ one has

$$\omega(A(\mathcal{S})) \leq \phi(\omega(\mathcal{S})).$$

\diamond

3. Fixed point theorems in WC–Banach algebras

First, let us recall the following definition used by Banas and Taoudi [4].

Definition 3.1 *Let \mathcal{X} be a Banach algebra. We say that \mathcal{X} is a WC–Banach algebra if the product WW' of arbitrary weakly compact subsets W, W' of \mathcal{X} is weakly compact.* \diamond

Clearly, every finite dimensional Banach algebra is a WC–Banach algebra. Even if \mathcal{X} is a WC–Banach algebra then the set $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{X})$ of all continuous functions from \mathcal{K} to \mathcal{X} is also a WC–Banach algebra, where \mathcal{K} is a compact Hausdorff space. The proof is based on Dobrakov’s Theorem [11].

In order to present the main fixed point results of this section, we certainly need the following helpful theorems.

Theorem 3.1 [5] *Let S be a nonempty, bounded, closed, and convex subset of a Banach space \mathcal{Y} and let $A : S \rightarrow S$ be a weakly sequentially continuous mapping. If A is ω -condensing, then it has, at least, a fixed point in S .* \diamond

Lemma 3.1 [4] *Let \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{M}' be two bounded subsets of a WC–Banach algebra \mathcal{X} . Then we have the following inequality*

$$\omega(\mathcal{M}\mathcal{M}') \leq \|\mathcal{M}'\|\omega(\mathcal{M}) + \|\mathcal{M}\|\omega(\mathcal{M}') + \omega(\mathcal{M})\omega(\mathcal{M}').$$

\diamond

Now we are ready to state our first fixed point theorem in WC–Banach algebra in order to provide the existence of solutions for the operator equation $x = AxBx + Cx$.

Theorem 3.2 *Let S be a nonempty, bounded, closed, and convex subset of a WC–Banach algebra \mathcal{X} and let $A, C : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ and $B : S \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be three weakly sequentially continuous operators satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i) $(\frac{I-C}{A})^{-1}$ exists on $B(S)$,
- (ii) A satisfies $(\mathcal{H}1)$, and $A(S)$ is relatively weakly compact,
- (iii) B is an ω - β -contraction,
- (iv) C is an ω - α -contraction, and
- (v) $(x = AxBy + Cx, y \in S) \implies x \in S$.

Then the operator equation $x = AxBx + Cx$ has, at least, a solution in S , whenever $\frac{\gamma\beta}{1-\alpha} < 1$, where $\gamma = \|A(S)\|$. \diamond

Proof It is easy to check that the vector $x \in S$ is a solution for the equation $x = AxBx + Cx$, if and only if x is a fixed point for the operator $T := \left(\frac{I-C}{A}\right)^{-1}B$. From assumption (i), it follows that, for each $y \in S$, there is a unique $x_y \in \mathcal{X}$ such that

$$\left(\frac{I-C}{A}\right)x_y = By,$$

or, in an equivalent way,

$$Ax_yBy + Cx_y = x_y.$$

Since the hypothesis (v) holds, then $x_y \in S$. Hence, the map $T : S \rightarrow S$ is well defined. In order to achieve the proof, we will apply Theorem 3.1. Hence, we only have to prove that the operator $T : S \rightarrow S$ is weakly sequentially continuous and ω -condensing. Indeed, consider $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ as a sequence in S that is weakly convergent to x . In this case, the set $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is relatively weakly compact, and since B is weakly sequentially continuous, then $\{Bx_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is also relatively weakly compact. Using the following equality

$$T = ATB + CT, \tag{3.1}$$

combined with the fact that $A(S)$ is relatively weakly compact and C is an ω - α -contraction, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\{Tx_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}) &\leq \omega(\{A(Tx_n)Bx_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}) + \omega(\{C(Tx_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}) \\ &\leq \alpha\omega(\{Tx_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}) \\ &< \omega(\{Tx_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\{Tx_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is relatively weakly compact. Consequently, there exists a subsequence $(x_{n_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $Tx_{n_i} \rightarrow y$. Going back to Eq. (3.1); to the weak sequential continuity of A , B , and C ; and to the fact that A verifies (H1) shows that there exists a subsequence $(x_{n_{i_j}})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $(x_{n_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $Tx_{n_{i_j}} = A(Tx_{n_{i_j}})Bx_{n_{i_j}} + C(Tx_{n_{i_j}})$ and then $y = Tx$. Consequently, $Tx_{n_{i_j}} \rightarrow Tx$. Now we claim that $Tx_n \rightarrow Tx$. Suppose the contrary, then there exists a subsequence $(x_{n_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and a weak neighborhood V^w of Tx , such that $Tx_{n_i} \notin V^w$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $(x_{n_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly to x then, arguing as before, we may extract a subsequence $(x_{n_{i_{j_k}}})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $(x_{n_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, such that $Tx_{n_{i_{j_k}}} \rightarrow Tx$, which is absurd, since $Tx_{n_{i_{j_k}}} \notin V^w$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. As a result, T is weakly sequentially continuous.

Next we will prove that T is ω -condensing. For this purpose, let \mathcal{M} be a subset of S with $\omega(\mathcal{M}) > 0$. Using Eq. (3.1), we infer that

$$\omega(T(\mathcal{M})) \leq \omega(A(T(\mathcal{M}))B(\mathcal{M}) + C(T(\mathcal{M}))).$$

The properties of ω in Lemmas 2.1 and 3.1, when combined with the assumptions (ii), (iii), and (iv) on A , B , and C allow us to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(T(\mathcal{M})) &\leq \omega(A(T(\mathcal{M}))B(\mathcal{M})) + \omega(C(T(\mathcal{M}))) \\ &\leq \gamma\beta\omega(\mathcal{M}) + \alpha\omega(T(\mathcal{M})), \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\omega(T(\mathcal{M})) \leq \frac{\gamma\beta}{1-\alpha}\omega(\mathcal{M}).$$

This inequality means, in particular, that is T is ω -condensing. □

Remark 3.1 *If we take $\alpha = \beta = 0$ in the above theorem, then we get the following Corollary 3.1, which represents the new version of the fixed point result obtained by Ben Amar et al. in [7] in a WC-Banach algebra case.* ◇

Corollary 3.1 *Let S be a nonempty, bounded, closed, and convex subset of a WC-Banach algebra \mathcal{X} and let $A, C : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ and $B : S \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be three weakly sequentially continuous operators satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i) $(\frac{I-C}{A})^{-1}$ exists on $B(S)$,
- (ii) A satisfies $(\mathcal{H}1)$,
- (iii) $A(S)$, $B(S)$, and $C(S)$ are relatively weakly compact, and
- (iv) $(x = AxBy + Cx, y \in S) \implies x \in S$.

Then the operator equation $x = AxBy + Cx$ has, at least, a solution in S . ◇

Proof According to Theorem 3.2, it is sufficient to show that $T(S) := (\frac{I-C}{A})^{-1}B(S)$ is relatively weakly compact.

Using both Lemmas 2.1 and 3.1 and knowing the weak compactness of $A(S)$, $B(S)$, and $C(S)$, we infer that

$$\omega(T(S)) \leq \omega(A(T(S))B(S)) + \omega(C(T(S))).$$

This shows that $\omega(T(S)) = 0$. Hence, $T(S)$ is relatively weakly compact. The use of Theorem 3.2 achieves the proof. □

In the following theorem, we will use the notion of \mathcal{D} -Lipschitzian operators.

Theorem 3.3 *Let S be a nonempty, bounded, closed, and convex subset of a WC-Banach algebra \mathcal{X} and let $A, C : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ and $B : S \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be three weakly sequentially continuous operators satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i) B is an ω - δ -contraction,
- (ii) A and C are \mathcal{D} -Lipschitzian with the \mathcal{D} -functions ϕ_A and ϕ_C respectively, where $\phi_C(r) < (1 - \delta Q)r$ for $r > 0$ and $Q = \|A(S)\|$,
- (iii) A is regular on \mathcal{X} , verifies $(\mathcal{H}1)$, and $A(S)$ is relatively weakly compact, and
- (iv) $(x = AxBy + Cx, y \in S) \implies x \in S$.

Then the operator equation $x = AxBy + Cx$ has, at least, a solution in S , whenever $L\phi_A(r) + \phi_C(r) < r$ for $r > 0$, where $L = \|B(S)\|$. ◇

Proof Let y be fixed in S and let us define the mapping

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_y : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}, \\ x \longrightarrow \varphi_y(x) = AxBy + Cx. \end{cases}$$

Let $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{X}$. The use of assumption (i) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi_y(x_1) - \varphi_y(x_2)\| &\leq \|Ax_1By - Ax_2By\| + \|Cx_1 - Cx_2\| \\ &\leq \|Ax_1 - Ax_2\| \|By\| + \|Cx_1 - Cx_2\| \\ &\leq L\phi_A(\|x_1 - x_2\|) + \phi_C(\|x_1 - x_2\|). \end{aligned}$$

Now an application of Boyd and Wong’s fixed point theorem [8] shows the existence of a unique point $x_y \in \mathcal{X}$, such that

$$\varphi_y(x_y) = x_y.$$

Hence, the operator $T := \left(\frac{I-C}{A}\right)^{-1}B : S \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is well defined. Moreover, the use of assumption (iv) allows us to have $T(S) \subset S$. Using arguments similar to those used in the proof of Theorem 3.2, we can deduce that the operator is weakly sequentially continuous. By using Theorem 3.1, it is sufficient to check that T is ω -condensing. In order to achieve this, let \mathcal{M} be a subset of S with $\omega(\mathcal{M}) > 0$. Using Eq. (3.1), we have

$$T(\mathcal{M}) \subset A(T(\mathcal{M}))B(\mathcal{M}) + C(T(\mathcal{M})).$$

Making use of Lemmas 2.1, 2.2, and 3.1, together with the assumptions on A , B , and C , enables us to have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(T(\mathcal{M})) &\leq \omega(A(T(\mathcal{M}))B(\mathcal{M})) + \omega(C(T(\mathcal{M}))) \\ &\leq \delta Q \omega(\mathcal{M}) + \phi_C(\omega(T(\mathcal{M}))). \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Now, if $\delta = 0$, inequality (3.2) becomes $\omega(T(\mathcal{M})) \leq \phi_C(\omega(T(\mathcal{M})))$, which implies that $\omega(T(\mathcal{M})) = 0$. Otherwise, by using the inequality

$$\phi_C(r) < (1 - \delta Q)r \text{ for } r > 0,$$

we have

$$\omega(T(\mathcal{M})) < \omega(\mathcal{M}).$$

In both cases, T is shown to be ω -condensing. The use of Theorem 3.1 achieves the proof. \square

Remark 3.2

We should consider the case where $A = 1_{\mathcal{X}}$, in which $1_{\mathcal{X}}$ represents the unit element of the WC–Banach algebra \mathcal{X} . We have the following particular cases that constitute the versions of Krasnoselskii’s-type fixed point theorems (see [13, 16, 18]).

- (i) If we only take $\delta = 0$ in the above theorem, then we obtain the following Corollary 3.2, which extends one of the results obtained in [19].

(ii) If we only take the function $\phi_C(r) = \zeta r$, where $\zeta \in [0, 1 - \delta)$ in the above theorem, then we obtain the following Corollary 3.3 which extends one of the results obtained in [12]. \diamond

Corollary 3.2 *Let S be a nonempty, bounded, closed, and convex subset of a Banach algebra \mathcal{X} , and let $C : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ and $B : S \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be two weakly sequentially continuous operators satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i) C is a nonlinear contraction,
- (ii) $B(S)$ is relatively weakly compact, and
- (iii) $(x = By + Cx, y \in S) \implies x \in S$.

Then $B + C$ has, at least, a fixed point in S . \diamond

Corollary 3.3 *Let S be a nonempty, bounded, closed, and convex subset of a Banach algebra \mathcal{X} , and let $C : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ and $B : S \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be two weakly sequentially continuous operators satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i) C is a strict contraction with constant $\zeta \in [0, 1 - \delta)$,
- (ii) B is an ω - δ -contraction, and
- (iii) $(x = By + Cx, y \in S) \implies x \in S$.

Then $B + C$ has, at least, a fixed point in S . \diamond

References

- [1] Agarwal RP, Hussain N, Taoudi MA. Fixed point theorems in ordered Banach spaces and applications to nonlinear integral equations. *Abstr Appl Anal* 2012; Art. ID 245872, 15 pp. MR2947757 (Reviewed).
- [2] Appell J, De Pascale E. Su alcuni parametri connessi con la misura di non compattezza di Hausdorff in spazi di funzioni misurabili. *Boll Unione Mat Ital* 1984; B (6) 3: 497–515.
- [3] Arino O, Gautier S, Penot JP. A fixed point theorem for sequentially continuous mappings with applications to ordinary differential equations. *Funkcial Ekvac* 1984; 27: 273–279.
- [4] Banas J, Taoudi MA. Fixed points and solutions of operator equations for the weak topology in Banach algebras. *Taiwanese J Math* 2014; 3: 871–893.
- [5] Ben Amar A, Mnif M. Leray-Schauder alternatives for weakly sequentially continuous mappings and application to transport equation. *Math Methods Appl Sci* 2010; 33: 80–90.
- [6] Ben Amar A, Chouayekh S, Jeribi A. New fixed point theorems in Banach algebras under weak topology features and applications to nonlinear integral equations. *J Funct Anal* 2010; 259: 2215–2237.
- [7] Ben Amar A, Chouayekh S, Jeribi A. Fixed point theory in a new class of Banach algebras and application. *Afr Mat* 2013; 24: 705–724.
- [8] Boyd DW, Wong JS. On nonlinear contractions. *Proc Amer Math Soc* 1969; 20: 458–464.
- [9] De Blasi FS. On a property of the unit sphere in Banach spaces. *Bull Math Soc Sci Math Roumanie* 1977; 21: 259–262.
- [10] Dhage BC. On some variants of Schauder’s fixed point principle and applications to nonlinear integral equations. *J Math Phys Sci* 1998; 25: 603–611.
- [11] Dobrakov I. On representation of linear operators on $C_0(T, X)$. *Czechoslovak Mat J* 1971; 21: 13–30.

- [12] Garcia-Falset J, Latrach K. Krasnoselskii-type fixed-point theorems for weakly sequentially continuous mappings. *Bull Lond Math Soc* 2012; 44: 25–38.
- [13] Jeribi A, Krichen B. *Nonlinear Functional Analysis in Banach Spaces and Banach Algebras: Fixed Point Theory under Weak Topology for Nonlinear Operators and Block Operator Matrices with Applications*. Monographs and Research Notes in Mathematics. Boca Raton, FL, USA: CRC Press, 2015.
- [14] Jeribi A, Krichen B, Mefteh B. Existence of solutions of a two-dimensional boundary value problem for a system of nonlinear equations arising in growing cell populations. *J Biol Dyn* 2013; 7: 218–232.
- [15] Jeribi A, Krichen B, Mefteh B. Existence of solutions of a nonlinear Hammerstein integral equation. *Numer Funct Anal Optim* 2014; 35: 1328–1339.
- [16] Krasnosel'skii MA. Some problems of nonlinear analysis. *Amer Math Soc Trans Ser 2* 1958; 10: 345–409.
- [17] Latrach K, Taoudi MA, Zeghal A. Some fixed point theorems of the Schauder and the Krasnosel'skii type and application to nonlinear transport equations. *J Differential Equations* 2006; 221: 256–271.
- [18] Smart DR. *Fixed Point Theorems*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 1980.
- [19] Taoudi MA. Krasnosel'skii type fixed point theorems under weak topology features. *Nonlinear Anal* 2010; 72: 478–482.