

1-1-2016

Bounds for the second Hankel determinant of certain bi-univalent functions

HALİT ORHAN

NANJUNDAN MAGESH

JAGADEESAN YAMINI

Follow this and additional works at: <https://dctubitak.researchcommons.org/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

ORHAN, HALİT; MAGESH, NANJUNDAN; and YAMINI, JAGADEESAN (2016) "Bounds for the second Hankel determinant of certain bi-univalent functions," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 40: No. 3, Article 18. <https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-1505-3>

Available at: <https://dctubitak.researchcommons.org/math/vol40/iss3/18>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals.

Bounds for the second Hankel determinant of certain bi-univalent functions

Halit ORHAN^{1,*}, Nanjundan MAGESH², Jagadeesan YAMINI³

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Atatürk University, Erzurum, Turkey

²Post-Graduate and Research Department of Mathematics, Government Arts College for Men Krishnagiri, Tamil Nadu, India

³Department of Mathematics, Government First, Grade College, Vijayanagar, Bangalore, Karnataka, India

Received: 01.05.2015

Accepted/Published Online: 14.10.2015

Final Version: 08.04.2016

Abstract: We investigate the second Hankel determinant inequalities for a certain class of analytic and bi-univalent functions. Some interesting applications of the results presented here are also discussed.

Key words: Bi-univalent functions, bi-starlike, bi-Bázilevič, second Hankel determinant

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$. Furthermore, by \mathcal{S} we will show the family of all functions in \mathcal{A} that are univalent in \mathbb{U} .

Some of the important and well-investigated subclasses of the univalent function class \mathcal{S} include (for example) the class $\mathcal{S}^*(\beta)$ of starlike functions of order β in \mathbb{U} and the class $\mathcal{K}(\beta)$ of convex functions of order β in \mathbb{U} . By definition, we have

$$\mathcal{S}^*(\beta) := \left\{ f : f \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \Re \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \beta; z \in \mathbb{U}; 0 \leq \beta < 1 \right\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}(\beta) := \left\{ f : f \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \Re \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) > \beta; z \in \mathbb{U}; 0 \leq \beta < 1 \right\}.$$

The arithmetic means of some functions and expressions are very frequently used in mathematics, especially in geometric function theory. Making use of the arithmetic means Mocanu [19] introduced the class of α -convex ($0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$) functions (later called Mocanu-convex functions) as follows:

$$\mathcal{M}(\alpha) := \left\{ f : f \in \mathcal{S} \text{ and } \Re \left((1 - \alpha) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right) > 0; z \in \mathbb{U} \right\}.$$

*Correspondence: orhanhalit607@gmail.com

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45.

In [17], it was shown that if the above analytical criteria hold for $z \in \mathbb{U}$, then f is in the class of starlike functions $\mathcal{S}^*(0)$ for α real and is in the class of convex functions $\mathcal{K}(0)$ for $\alpha \geq 1$. In general, the class of α convexity.

It is well known that every function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ has an inverse f^{-1} , defined by

$$f^{-1}(f(z)) = z \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

and

$$f(f^{-1}(w)) = w \quad \left(|w| < r_0(f); r_0(f) \geq \frac{1}{4} \right),$$

where

$$f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3)w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2a_3 + a_4)w^4 + \dots \tag{1.2}$$

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be bi-univalent in \mathbb{U} if both $f(z)$ and $f^{-1}(z)$ are univalent in \mathbb{U} . Let σ denote the class of bi-univalent functions in \mathbb{U} given by (1.1).

For $0 \leq \beta < 1$, a function $f \in \sigma$ is in the class $S_\sigma^*(\beta)$ of bi-starlike function of order β , or $\mathcal{K}_{\sigma,\beta}$ of bi-convex function of order β if both f and f^{-1} are respectively starlike or convex functions of order β . A function f is in the class $\mathcal{M}_\Sigma^\alpha(\beta)$ of bi-Mocanu convex function of order β if both f and f^{-1} are respectively Mocanu convex function of order β . For a brief history and interesting examples of functions that are in (or are not in) the class σ , together with various other properties of the bi-univalent function class σ , one can refer the work of Srivastava et al. [26] and references therein. Various subclasses of the bi-univalent function class σ were introduced and nonsharp estimates on the first two coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ in the Taylor–Maclaurin series expansion (1.1) were found in several recent investigations (see, for example, [2, 4, 7, 10, 16, 22, 24]). However, the problem of finding the coefficient bounds on $|a_n|$ ($n = 3, 4, \dots$) for functions $f \in \sigma$ is still an open problem.

For integers $n \geq 1$ and $q \geq 1$, the q th Hankel determinant is defined as

$$H_q(n) = \begin{vmatrix} a_n & a_{n+1} & \cdots & a_{n+q-1} \\ a_{n+1} & a_{n+2} & \cdots & a_{n+q-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n+q-1} & a_{n+q-2} & \cdots & a_{n+2q-2} \end{vmatrix} \quad (a_1 = 1).$$

The Hankel determinant plays an important role in the study of singularities (see [8]). This is also important in the study of power series with integral coefficients [5, 8]. The properties of the Hankel determinants can be found in [27]. The Hankel determinants $H_2(1) = a_3 - a_2^2$ and $H_2(2) = a_2a_4 - a_3^2$ are well known as Fekete–Szegő and second Hankel determinant functionals, respectively. Furthermore, Fekete and Szegő [9] introduced the generalized functional $a_3 - \delta a_2^2$, where δ is some real number. In 1969, Keogh and Merkes [14] discussed the Fekete–Szegő problem for classes \mathcal{S}^* and \mathcal{K} . Recently, several authors investigated upper bounds for the Hankel determinant of functions belonging to various subclasses of univalent functions (see [1, 6, 13, 15, 18, 20, 21] and the references therein). On the other hand, Zaprawa [28, 29] extended the study of the Fekete–Szegő problem for certain subclasses of bi-univalent function class σ . Following Zaprawa [28, 29], the Fekete–Szegő problem for functions belonging to various other subclasses of bi-univalent functions were considered in [3, 12, 23]. Very recently, the upper bounds of $H_2(2)$ for the classes $S_\sigma^*(\beta)$ and $\mathcal{K}_\sigma(\beta)$ were discussed by Deniz et al. [7].

Next we state the following lemmas that we shall use to establish the desired bounds in our study.

Lemma 1.1 [25] *If the function $p \in \mathcal{P}$ is given by the series*

$$p(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + c_3z^3 + \dots, \tag{1.3}$$

then the following sharp estimate holds:

$$|c_k| \leq 2, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots. \tag{1.4}$$

Lemma 1.2 [11] *If the function $p \in \mathcal{P}$ is given by the series (1.3), then*

$$\begin{aligned} 2c_2 &= c_1^2 + x(4 - c_1^2) \\ 4c_3 &= c_1^3 + 2c_1(4 - c_1^2)x - c_1(4 - c_1^2)x^2 + 2(4 - c_1^2)(1 - |x|^2)z \end{aligned}$$

for some x, z with $|x| \leq 1$ and $|z| \leq 1$.

Inspired by [7, 28], we consider the following subclass of the function class σ .

For $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \beta < 1$, a function $f \in \sigma$ given by (1.1) is said to be in the class $\mathcal{M}_\sigma^\alpha(\beta)$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\Re \left((1 - \alpha) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right) \geq \beta \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

and for $g = f^{-1}$

$$\Re \left((1 - \alpha) \frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)} + \alpha \left(1 + \frac{wg''(w)}{g'(w)} \right) \right) \geq \beta \quad (w \in \mathbb{U}).$$

The class was introduced and studied by Li and Wang [16], and the study was further extended by Ali et al. [2]. In this paper we shall obtain the functional $H_2(2)$ for functions f belonging to the class $\mathcal{M}_\sigma^\alpha(\beta)$ and its special classes.

2. Bounds for the second Hankel determinant

We begin this section with the following theorem:

Theorem 2.1 *Let f of the form (1.1) be in $\mathcal{M}_\sigma^\alpha(\beta)$. Then*

$$|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{4(1-\beta)^2}{3(1+\alpha)^3(1+3\alpha)} [4(1-\beta)^2 + (1+\alpha)^2] ; \\ \beta \in \left[0, 1 - \frac{(1+\alpha)[3(1+3\alpha) + \sqrt{9(1+3\alpha)^2 - 48(1+\alpha)(1+3\alpha) + 128(1+2\alpha)^2}]}{16(1+2\alpha)} \right] \\ \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{(1+\alpha)(1+3\alpha)} \frac{[(1-\beta)^2(1+3\alpha)(13+7\alpha) - 12(1-\beta)(1+\alpha)(1+2\alpha)(1+3\alpha) - 4(1+\alpha)^2(9\alpha^2 + 8\alpha + 2)]}{[16(1-\beta)^2(1+2\alpha) - 6(1-\beta)(1+\alpha)(1+3\alpha)](1+2\alpha) + (1+\alpha)^2[3(1+\alpha)(1+3\alpha) - 8(1+2\alpha)^2]} ; \\ \beta \in \left(1 - \frac{(1+\alpha)[3(1+3\alpha) + \sqrt{9(1+3\alpha)^2 + 128(1+2\alpha)^2}]}{32(1+2\alpha)}, 1 \right). \end{cases}$$

Proof Let $f \in \mathcal{M}_\sigma^\alpha(\beta)$. Then:

$$(1 - \alpha) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) = \beta + (1 - \beta)p(z) \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$(1 - \alpha) \frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)} + \alpha \left(1 + \frac{wg''(w)}{g'(w)} \right) = \beta + (1 - \beta)q(w), \tag{2.2}$$

where $p, q \in \mathcal{P}$ and defined by

$$p(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + c_3z^3 + \dots \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$q(z) = 1 + d_1w + d_2w^2 + d_3w^3 + \dots \tag{2.4}$$

It follows from (2.1), (2.2), (2.3), and (2.4) that

$$(1 + \alpha)a_2 = (1 - \beta)c_1 \tag{2.5}$$

$$2(1 + 2\alpha)a_3 - (1 + 3\alpha)a_2^2 = (1 - \beta)c_2 \tag{2.6}$$

$$3(1 + 3\alpha)a_4 - 3(1 + 5\alpha)a_2a_3 + (1 + 7\alpha)a_2^3 = (1 - \beta)c_3 \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$-(1 + \alpha)a_2 = (1 - \beta)d_1 \tag{2.8}$$

$$(3 + 5\alpha)a_2^2 - (2 + 4\alpha)a_3 = (1 - \beta)d_2 \tag{2.9}$$

$$(12 + 30\alpha)a_2a_3 - (10 + 22\alpha)a_2^3 - (3 + 9\alpha)a_4 = (1 - \beta)d_3. \tag{2.10}$$

From (2.5) and (2.8), we find that

$$c_1 = -d_1 \tag{2.11}$$

and

$$a_2 = \frac{1 - \beta}{1 + \alpha}c_1. \tag{2.12}$$

Now, from (2.6), (2.9), and (2.12), we have

$$a_3 = \frac{(1 - \beta)^2}{(1 + \alpha)^2}c_1^2 + \frac{1 - \beta}{4 + 8\alpha}(c_2 - d_2). \tag{2.13}$$

From (2.7) and (2.10), we also find that

$$a_4 = \frac{(2 + 8\alpha)(1 - \beta)^3}{(3 + 9\alpha)(1 + \alpha)^3}c_1^3 + \frac{5(1 - \beta)^2}{8(1 + \alpha)(1 + 2\alpha)}c_1(c_2 - d_2) + \frac{1 - \beta}{6(1 + 3\alpha)}(c_3 - d_3). \tag{2.14}$$

We can then establish that

$$\begin{aligned} |a_2a_4 - a_3^2| = & \left| \frac{-1}{3} \frac{(1 - \beta)^4}{(1 + \alpha)^3(1 + 3\alpha)}c_1^4 + \frac{(1 - \beta)^3}{8(1 + \alpha)^2(1 + 2\alpha)}c_1^2(c_2 - d_2) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{(1 - \beta)^2}{6(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)}c_1(c_3 - d_3) - \frac{(1 - \beta)^2}{16(1 + 2\alpha)^2}(c_2 - d_2)^2 \right|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

According to Lemma 1.2 and (2.11), we write

$$c_2 - d_2 = \frac{(4 - c_1^2)}{2}(x - y) \tag{2.16}$$

and

$$c_3 - d_3 = \frac{c_1^3}{2} + \frac{c_1(4 - c_1^2)(x + y)}{2} - \frac{c_1(4 - c_1^2)(x^2 + y^2)}{4} + \frac{(4 - c_1^2)[(1 - |x|^2)z - (1 - |y|^2)w]}{2} \tag{2.17}$$

for some x, y, z , and w with $|x| \leq 1$, $|y| \leq 1$, $|z| \leq 1$, and $|w| \leq 1$. Using (2.16) and (2.17) in 2.15, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |a_2a_4 - a_3^2| &= \left| \frac{-(1 - \beta)^4c_1^4}{3(1 + \alpha)^3(1 + 3\alpha)} + \frac{(1 - \beta)^3c_1^2(4 - c_1^2)(x - y)}{16(1 + \alpha)^2(1 + 2\alpha)} + \frac{(1 - \beta)^2c_1}{6(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)} \right. \\ &\quad \times \left[\frac{c_1^3}{2} + \frac{c_1(4 - c_1^2)(x + y)}{2} - \frac{c_1(4 - c_1^2)(x^2 + y^2)}{4} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{(4 - c_1^2)[(1 - |x|^2)z - (1 - |y|^2)w]}{2} \right] - \frac{(1 - \beta)^2(4 - c_1^2)^2}{64(1 + 2\alpha)^2}(x - y)^2 \right| \\ &\leq \frac{(1 - \beta)^4}{3(1 + \alpha)^3(1 + 3\alpha)}c_1^4 + \frac{(1 - \beta)^2c_1^4}{12(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)} + \frac{(1 - \beta)^2c_1(4 - c_1^2)}{6(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)} \\ &\quad + \left[\frac{(1 - \beta)^3c_1^2(4 - c_1^2)}{16(1 + \alpha)^2(1 + 2\alpha)} + \frac{(1 - \beta)^2c_1^2(4 - c_1^2)}{12(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)} \right] (|x| + |y|) \\ &\quad + \left[\frac{(1 - \beta)^2c_1^2(4 - c_1^2)}{24(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)} - \frac{(1 - \beta)^2c_1(4 - c_1^2)}{12(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)} \right] (|x|^2 + |y|^2) \\ &\quad + \frac{(1 - \beta)^2(4 - c_1^2)^2}{64(1 + 2\alpha)^2}(|x| + |y|)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $p \in \mathcal{P}$, then $|c_1| \leq 2$. Letting $c_1 = c$, we may assume without restriction that $c \in [0, 2]$. Thus, for $\gamma_1 = |x| \leq 1$ and $\gamma_2 = |y| \leq 1$, we obtain

$$|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \leq T_1 + T_2(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) + T_3(\gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2) + T_4(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)^2 = F(\gamma_1, \gamma_2),$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= T_1(c) = \frac{(1 - \beta)^4}{3(1 + \alpha)^3(1 + 3\alpha)}c^4 + \frac{(1 - \beta)^2c^4}{12(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)} + \frac{(1 - \beta)^2c(4 - c^2)}{6(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)} \geq 0 \\ T_2 &= T_2(c) = \frac{(1 - \beta)^3c^2(4 - c^2)}{16(1 + \alpha)^2(1 + 2\alpha)} + \frac{(1 - \beta)^2c^2(4 - c^2)}{12(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)} \geq 0 \\ T_3 &= T_3(c) = \frac{(1 - \beta)^2c^2(4 - c^2)}{24(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)} - \frac{(1 - \beta)^2c(4 - c^2)}{12(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)} \leq 0 \\ T_4 &= T_4(c) = \frac{(1 - \beta)^2(4 - c^2)^2}{64(1 + 2\alpha)^2} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now we need to maximize $F(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ in the closed square $\mathbb{S} := \{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) : 0 \leq \gamma_1 \leq 1, 0 \leq \gamma_2 \leq 1\}$ for $c \in [0, 2]$. We must investigate the maximum of $F(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ according to $c \in (0, 2)$, $c = 0$, and $c = 2$ taking into account the sign of $F_{\gamma_1\gamma_1}F_{\gamma_2\gamma_2} - (F_{\gamma_1\gamma_2})^2$.

First, let $c \in (0, 2)$. Since $T_3 < 0$ and $T_3 + 2T_4 > 0$ for $c \in (0, 2)$, we conclude that

$$F_{\gamma_1\gamma_1}F_{\gamma_2\gamma_2} - (F_{\gamma_1\gamma_2})^2 < 0.$$

Thus, the function F cannot have a local maximum in the interior of the square \mathbb{S} . Now we investigate the maximum of F on the boundary of the square \mathbb{S} .

For $\gamma_1 = 0$ and $0 \leq \gamma_2 \leq 1$ (similarly $\gamma_2 = 0$ and $0 \leq \gamma_1 \leq 1$), we obtain

$$F(0, \gamma_2) = G(\gamma_2) = T_1 + T_2\gamma_2 + (T_3 + T_4)\gamma_2^2.$$

(i) The case $T_3 + T_4 \geq 0$: In this case for $0 < \gamma_2 < 1$ and any fixed c with $0 < c < 2$, it is clear that $G'(\gamma_2) = 2(T_3 + T_4)\gamma_2 + T_2 > 0$; that is, $G(\gamma_2)$ is an increasing function. Hence, for fixed $c \in (0, 2)$, the maximum of $G(\gamma_2)$ occurs at $\gamma_2 = 1$ and

$$\max G(\gamma_2) = G(1) = T_1 + T_2 + T_3 + T_4.$$

(ii) The case $T_3 + T_4 < 0$: Since $T_2 + 2(T_3 + T_4) \geq 0$ for $0 < \gamma_2 < 1$ and any fixed c with $0 < c < 2$, it is clear that $T_2 + 2(T_3 + T_4) < 2(T_3 + T_4)\gamma_2 + T_2 < T_2$ and so $G'(\gamma_2) > 0$. Hence, for fixed $c \in (0, 2)$, the maximum of $G(\gamma_2)$ occurs at $\gamma_2 = 1$ and also for $c = 2$ we obtain

$$F(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = \frac{4(1 - \beta)^2}{3(1 + \alpha)^3(1 + 3\alpha)} [4(1 - \beta)^2 + (1 + \alpha)^2]. \tag{2.18}$$

Taking into account the value (2.18) and the cases i and ii , for $0 \leq \gamma_2 < 1$ and any fixed c with $0 \leq c \leq 2$,

$$\max G(\gamma_2) = G(1) = T_1 + T_2 + T_3 + T_4.$$

For $\gamma_1 = 1$ and $0 \leq \gamma_2 \leq 1$ (similarly $\gamma_2 = 1$ and $0 \leq \gamma_1 \leq 1$), we obtain

$$F(1, \gamma_2) = H(\gamma_2) = (T_3 + T_4)\gamma_2^2 + (T_2 + 2T_4)\gamma_2 + T_1 + T_2 + T_3 + T_4.$$

Similarly to the above cases of $T_3 + T_4$, we get that

$$\max H(\gamma_2) = H(1) = T_1 + 2T_2 + 2T_3 + 4T_4.$$

Since $G(1) \leq H(1)$ for $c \in (0, 2)$, $\max F(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = F(1, 1)$ on the boundary of the square \mathbb{S} . Thus, the maximum of F occurs at $\gamma_1 = 1$ and $\gamma_2 = 1$ in the closed square \mathbb{S} .

Let $K : (0, 2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

$$K(c) = \max F(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = F(1, 1) = T_1 + 2T_2 + 2T_3 + 4T_4. \tag{2.19}$$

Substituting the values of T_1, T_2, T_3 , and T_4 in the function K defined by (2.19) yields

$$\begin{aligned} K(c) = & \frac{(1 - \beta)^2}{48(1 + \alpha)^3(1 + 2\alpha)^2(1 + 3\alpha)} \{ [16(1 - \beta)^2(1 + 2\alpha)^2 \\ & - 6(1 - \beta)(1 + \alpha)(1 + 2\alpha)(1 + 3\alpha) - 8(1 + \alpha)^2(1 + 2\alpha)^2 + 3(1 + \alpha)^3(1 + 3\alpha)] c^4 \\ & + 24(1 + \alpha) [(1 - \beta)(1 + 2\alpha)(1 + 3\alpha) + 2(1 + \alpha)(1 + 2\alpha)^2 - (1 + \alpha)^2(1 + 3\alpha)] c^2 \\ & + 48(1 + \alpha)^3(1 + 3\alpha) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that $K(c)$ has a maximum value in an interior of $c \in (0, 2)$, by elementary calculation, we find

$$K'(c) = \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{12(1+\alpha)^3(1+2\alpha)^2(1+3\alpha)} \{ [16(1-\beta)^2(1+2\alpha)^2 - 6(1-\beta)(1+\alpha)(1+2\alpha)(1+3\alpha) - 8(1+\alpha)^2(1+2\alpha)^2 + 3(1+\alpha)^3(1+3\alpha)] c^3 + 12(1+\alpha) [(1-\beta)(1+2\alpha)(1+3\alpha) + 2(1+\alpha)(1+2\alpha)^2 - (1+\alpha)^2(1+3\alpha)] c \}.$$

After some calculations we conclude the following cases:

Case 1 Let

$$[16(1-\beta)^2(1+2\alpha) - 6(1-\beta)(1+\alpha)(1+3\alpha)](1+2\alpha) + (1+\alpha)^2[3(1+\alpha)(1+3\alpha) - 8(1+2\alpha)^2] \geq 0;$$

that is,

$$\beta \in \left[0, 1 - \frac{(1+\alpha)[3(1+3\alpha) + \sqrt{9(1+3\alpha)^2 - 48(1+\alpha)(1+3\alpha) + 128(1+2\alpha)^2}]}{16(1+2\alpha)} \right].$$

Therefore, $K'(c) > 0$ for $c \in (0, 2)$. Since K is an increasing function in the interval $(0, 2)$, the maximum point of K must be on the boundary of $c \in (0, 2]$; that is, $c = 2$. Thus, we have

$$\max_{0 < c < 2} K(c) = K(2) = \frac{4(1-\beta)^2}{3(1+\alpha)^3(1+3\alpha)} [4(1-\beta)^2 + (1+\alpha)^2].$$

Case 2 Let

$$[16(1-\beta)^2(1+2\alpha) - 6(1-\beta)(1+\alpha)(1+3\alpha)](1+2\alpha) + (1+\alpha)^2[3(1+\alpha)(1+3\alpha) - 8(1+2\alpha)^2] < 0;$$

that is,

$$\beta \in \left[1 - \frac{(1+\alpha)[3(1+3\alpha) + \sqrt{9(1+3\alpha)^2 - 48(1+\alpha)(1+3\alpha) + 128(1+2\alpha)^2}]}{16(1+2\alpha)}, 1 \right].$$

Then $K'(c) = 0$ implies the real critical point $c_{0_1} = 0$ or

$$c_{0_2} = \sqrt{\frac{-12(1+\alpha)[(1-\beta)(1+2\alpha)(1+3\alpha) + 2(1+\alpha)(1+2\alpha)^2 - (1+\alpha)^2(1+3\alpha)]}{[16(1-\beta)^2(1+2\alpha) - 6(1-\beta)(1+\alpha)(1+3\alpha)](1+2\alpha) + (1+\alpha)^2[3(1+\alpha)(1+3\alpha) - 8(1+2\alpha)^2]}}.$$

When

$$\beta \in \left(1 - \frac{(1+\alpha)[3(1+3\alpha) + \sqrt{9(1+3\alpha)^2 - 48(1+\alpha)(1+3\alpha) + 128(1+2\alpha)^2}]}{16(1+2\alpha)}, 1 - \frac{(1+\alpha)[3(1+3\alpha) + \sqrt{9(1+3\alpha)^2 + 128(1+2\alpha)^2}]}{32(1+2\alpha)} \right),$$

we observe that $c_{0_2} \geq 2$; that is, c_{0_2} is out of the interval $(0, 2)$. Therefore, the maximum value of $K(c)$ occurs at $c_{0_1} = 0$ or $c = c_{0_2}$, which contradicts our assumption of having the maximum value at the interior point of $c \in [0, 2]$.

When $\beta \in \left(1 - \frac{(1+\alpha)[3(1+3\alpha)+\sqrt{9(1+3\alpha)^2+128(1+2\alpha)^2}}{32(1+2\alpha)}, 1\right)$, we observe that $c_{0_2} < 2$; that is, c_{0_2} is an interior of the interval $[0, 2]$. Since $K''(c_{0_2}) < 0$, the maximum value of $K(c)$ occurs at $c = c_{0_2}$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{0 \leq c \leq 2} K(c) &= K(c_{0_2}) \\ &= \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{(1+\alpha)(1+3\alpha)} \frac{[(1-\beta)^2(1+3\alpha)(13+7\alpha)-12(1-\beta)(1+\alpha)(1+2\alpha)(1+3\alpha)-4(1+\alpha)^2(9\alpha^2+8\alpha+2)]}{[16(1-\beta)^2(1+2\alpha)-6(1-\beta)(1+\alpha)(1+3\alpha)](1+2\alpha)+(1+\alpha)^2[3(1+\alpha)(1+3\alpha)-8(1+2\alpha)^2]}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Remark 2.2 For $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha = 1$, Theorem 2.1 would reduce to known results in [7, Theorem 2.1, Theorem 2.3].

Acknowledgment

The authors would like thank the referee(s) for his/her valuable suggestions. Furthermore, the work of the second-named author was supported by UGC under grant F.MRP-3977/11 (MRP/UGC-SERO).

References

- [1] Ali RM, Lee SK, Ravichandran V, Supramaniam S. The Fekete-Szegő coefficient functional for transforms of analytic functions. Bull Iranian Math Soc 2009; 35: 119-142.
- [2] Ali RM, Lee SK, Ravichandran V, Supramaniam S. Coefficient estimates for bi-univalent Ma-Minda starlike and convex functions. Appl Math Lett 2012; 25: 344-351.
- [3] Altinkaya Ş, Yalçın S. Fekete-Szegő inequalities for classes of bi-univalent functions defined by subordination. Adv Math Sci J 2014; 3: 63-71.
- [4] Çağlar M, Orhan H, Yağmur N. Coefficient bounds for new subclasses of bi-univalent functions. Filomat 2013; 27: 1165-1171.
- [5] Cantor DG. Power series with integral coefficients. B Am Math Soc 1963; 69: 362-366.
- [6] Deekonda VK, Thoutreeddy R. An upper bound to the second Hankel determinant for functions in Mocanu class. Vietnam J Math 2015; 43: 541-549.
- [7] Deniz E, Çağlar M, Orhan H. Second Hankel determinant for bi-starlike and bi-convex functions of order β . Appl Math Comp 2015; 271: 301-307.
- [8] Dienes P. The Taylor Series: An Introduction to the Theory of Functions of a Complex Variable. New York, NY, USA: Dover, 1957.
- [9] Fekete M, Szegő G. Eine Bemerkung uber ungerade Schlichte Funktionen. J London Math Soc 1933; S1: 85-89 (in German).
- [10] Frasin BA, Aouf MK. New subclasses of bi-univalent functions. Appl Math Lett 2011; 24: 1569-1573.
- [11] Grenander U, Szegő G. Toeplitz Forms and Their Applications. California Monographs in Mathematical Sciences. Berkeley, CA, USA: University of California Press, 1958.
- [12] Jahangiri JM, Magesh N, Yamini J. Fekete-Szegő inequalities for classes of bi-starlike and bi-convex functions. Elect J Math Anal Appl 2015; 3: 133-140.
- [13] Janteng A, Halim SA, Darus M. Hankel determinant for starlike and convex functions. Int J Math Anal 2007; 1: 619-625.

- [14] Keogh FR, Merkes EP. A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions. P Am Math Soc 1969; 20: 8-12.
- [15] Lee K, Ravichandran V, Supramaniam S. Bounds for the second Hankel determinant of certain univalent functions. J Ineq Appl 2013; 281: 1-17.
- [16] Li XF, Wang AP. Two new subclasses of bi-univalent functions. Int Math Forum 2012; 7: 1495-1504.
- [17] Miller SS, Mocanu P, Reade MO. All α -convex functions are univalent and starlike. P Am Math Soc 1973; 37: 553-554.
- [18] Mishra AK, Kund SN. The second Hankel determinant for a class of analytic functions associated with the Carlson-Shaffer Operator. Tamkang Journal of Mathematics 2013; 44: 73-82.
- [19] Mocanu P. Une propriété de convexité généralisée dans la théorie de la représentation conforme. Mathematica (Cluj) 1969; 11: 127-133 (in French).
- [20] Murugusundaramoorthy G, Magesh N. Coefficient inequalities for certain classes of analytic functions associated with Hankel determinant. Bull Math Anal Appl 2009; 1: 85-89.
- [21] Orhan H, Deniz E, Raducanu D. The Fekete-Szegő problem for subclasses of analytic functions defined by a differential operator related to conic domains. Comput Math Appl 2010; 59: 283-295.
- [22] Orhan H, Magesh N, Balaji VK. Initial coefficient bounds for a general class of bi-univalent functions. Filomat 2015; 29: 1259-1267.
- [23] Orhan H, Magesh N, Balaji VK. Fekete-Szegő problem for certain classes of Ma-Minda bi-univalent functions. Afrika Matematika (in press).
- [24] Peng Z, Han Q. On the coefficients of several classes of bi-univalent functions. Acta Math Sci 2014; 34B: 228-240.
- [25] Pommerenke CH. Univalent Functions. Gottingen, Germany: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1975.
- [26] Srivastava HM, Mishra AK, Gochhayat P. Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions. Appl Math Lett 2010; 23: 1188-1192.
- [27] Vein R, Dale P. Determinants and Their Applications in Mathematical Physics. New York, NY, USA: Springer, 1999.
- [28] Zaprawa P. On the Fekete-Szegő problem for classes of bi-univalent functions. Bull Belg Math Soc Simon Stevin 2014; 21: 169-178.
- [29] Zaprawa P. Estimates of initial coefficients for bi-univalent functions. Abstr Appl Anal 2014; 2014: 357480.