

1-1-2016

Point-wise slant submanifolds in almost contact geometry

MOHAMMAD BAGHER KAZEMI BALGESHIR

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

BALGESHIR, MOHAMMAD BAGHER KAZEMI (2016) "Point-wise slant submanifolds in almost contact geometry," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 40: No. 3, Article 16. <https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-1410-63>

Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol40/iss3/16>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.

Point-wise slant submanifolds in almost contact geometry

Mohammad Bagher KAZEMI BALGESHIR*

Department of Mathematics, University of Zanjan, Zanjan, Iran

Received: 28.10.2014

Accepted/Published Online: 12.10.2015

Final Version: 08.04.2016

Abstract: In this paper, we introduce point-wise slant submanifolds of almost contact and almost contact 3-structure manifolds. We characterize them, give some examples, and obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for a point-wise slant submanifold of a 3-Sasakian manifold to be a slant submanifold. Moreover, we show that there exist no proper Sasakian point-wise 3-slant submanifolds.

Key words: Sasakian manifold, point-wise slant submanifold

1. Introduction

Chen [4] generalized the notion of totally real and holomorphic submanifolds in complex geometry by introducing slant submanifolds. In [11], Lotta studied slant submanifolds of contact manifolds that were the generalization of invariant and anti-invariant submanifolds. Since then, many authors have obtained important and interesting results about slant submanifolds of complex [13, 14, 16, 17] and almost contact [1, 3, 8, 12] manifolds.

On the other hand, Etayo [6] has extended this type of submanifold by defining quasi-slant submanifolds. In such submanifolds, at any given point, the slant angle is independent of the choice of any nonzero vector field of submanifold. Later, Chen and Garay [5] studied and characterized these submanifolds under the name point-wise slant submanifolds. Recently, Sahin showed [15] the existence of warped product point-wise semislant submanifolds of Kaehler manifolds, contrary to the semislant case. He and Lee [10] also investigated point-wise slant submersion from almost Hermitian manifolds.

The importance of slant submanifolds in almost contact geometry motivated us to define point-wise slant submanifolds of an almost contact and an almost contact 3-structure manifold. We characterized them and obtained necessary and sufficient conditions for a point-wise slant submanifold of a 3-Sasakian to have constant slant function.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review some basic information about almost contact and 3-structure manifolds. In Section 3, we define and characterize point-wise slant submanifolds of almost contact and 3-structure manifolds. In Section 4, some properties of point-wise slant submanifolds of Sasakian and 3-Sasakian manifolds are studied. Moreover, it is shown that Sasakian point-wise 3-slant submanifolds are 3-slant.

*Correspondence: mbkazemi@znu.ac.ir

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C15, 53C40.

2. Almost contact metric 3-structure manifolds

Let \bar{M} be a $(2m + 1)$ dimensional manifold and ϕ , ξ , and η be a tensor field of type $(1, 1)$, a vector field, and a 1-form on \bar{M} , respectively. If ϕ , ξ , and η satisfy

$$\eta(\xi) = 1$$

$$\phi^2(X) = -X + \eta(X)\xi \tag{1}$$

for any vector field X on \bar{M} , then \bar{M} is said to have an almost contact structure (ϕ, ξ, η) .

If g is a compatible Riemannian metric on \bar{M} such that

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y), \tag{2}$$

then $(\bar{M}, \xi, \eta, \phi, g)$ is called an almost contact metric structure. Eqs. (1) and (2) imply $\phi\xi = 0$ and $\eta\phi = 0$ [2].

A Sasakian manifold is an important type of this structure and is defined as follows. Let $\bar{\nabla}$ be the Levi-Civita connection of \bar{M} . An almost contact metric manifold $(\bar{M}, \xi, \eta, \phi, g)$ is called a Sasakian manifold, if $\forall X, Y \in T\bar{M}$

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y = g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X \text{ and } \bar{\nabla}_X \xi = -\phi X. \tag{3}$$

Definition 1 [9] *Let there exist three almost contact metric structures*

$(\xi_i, \eta_i, \phi_i, g)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, on \bar{M} such that

$$\eta_i(\xi_j) = 0, \quad \phi_i \xi_j = -\phi_j \xi_i = \xi_k, \quad \eta_i \circ \phi_j = -\eta_j \circ \phi_i = \eta_k, \tag{4}$$

$$\phi_i \circ \phi_j - \eta_j \otimes \xi_i = -\phi_j \circ \phi_i + \eta_i \otimes \xi_j = \phi_k, \tag{5}$$

$$g(\phi_i X, \phi_i Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta_i(X)\eta_i(Y), \quad \forall X, Y \in T\bar{M}, \tag{6}$$

where (i, j, k) is a cyclic permutation of $(1, 2, 3)$. Then $(\bar{M}, \xi_i, \eta_i, \phi_i, g)_{i \in \{1, 2, 3\}}$ is said to be an almost contact metric 3-structure manifold.

In such manifolds we have

$$g(\phi_i X, Y) = -g(X, \phi_i Y). \tag{7}$$

Moreover, if

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi_i)Y = g(X, Y)\xi_i - \eta_i(Y)X, \quad \forall X, Y \in T\bar{M} \text{ and } \bar{\nabla}_X \xi_i = -\phi_i X, \tag{8}$$

then $(\bar{M}, \xi_i, \eta_i, \phi_i, g)_{i \in \{1, 2, 3\}}$ is called a 3-Sasakian manifold. It is well known that 3-Sasakian manifolds are Einstein manifolds [7] and so are important manifolds in mathematical physics.

Let M be an immersed submanifold of almost contact metric 3-structure manifold $(\overline{M}, \xi_i, \eta_i, \phi_i, g)_{i \in \{1,2,3\}}$. We denote its Levi-Civita connection and normal bundle by ∇ and $(TM)^\perp$, respectively. The Gauss and Weingarten formulas are given by

$$\overline{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + B(X, Y) \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\nabla}_X V = D_X V - A_V X, \tag{9}$$

for $X, Y \in TM$ and $V \in (TM)^\perp$, where D , B , and A are the connection in the normal bundle, the second fundamental form, and the shape operator, respectively.

Moreover, for any $X \in TM$ and $V \in (TM)^\perp$ we decompose the $\phi_i X$ and $\phi_i V$ as the following equations:

$$\phi_i X = T_i X + N_i X \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_i V = t_i V + n_i V, \tag{10}$$

where T_i and t_i are tangential components of ϕ_i , and N_i and n_i are normal components of ϕ_i . If M is a submanifold of an almost contact metric manifold $(\overline{M}, \xi, \eta, \phi, g)$, in such a way, the decomposition of ϕ to tangential components T and t , and normal components N and n implies

$$\phi X = TX + NX \quad \text{and} \quad \phi V = tV + nV. \tag{11}$$

3. Point-wise slant submanifolds of almost contact manifolds

Let M be a submanifold of an almost contact metric manifold $(\overline{M}, \xi, \eta, \phi, g)$. Then M is said to be a slant submanifold if the angle between ϕX and $T_p M$ is constant at any point $p \in M$ and for any X linearly independent of ξ [3, 11].

The author and Malek introduced 3-slant submanifolds of an almost contact metric 3-structure manifold $(\overline{M}, \xi_i, \eta_i, \phi_i, g)_{i \in \{1,2,3\}}$ [12]. On these submanifolds for all $i = 1, 2, 3$, at any point $p \in M$ the angle between $\phi_i X$ and $T_p M$ is constant for each $X \in T_p M$ linearly independent of ξ_i . In both previous definitions the angle is independent of the choice of p and X . Now we introduce the notion of point-wise slant submanifolds of almost contact manifolds by following the approach of [5] in almost Hermitian manifolds.

Definition 2 *Let M be a submanifold of an almost contact metric manifold \overline{M} . We say that M is a point-wise slant submanifold with slant angle $\Theta_p(X)$ if at any point $p \in M$ the Wirtinger angle between ϕX and $T_p M$ is constant for each nonzero $X \in T_p M$ linearly independent of ξ . It means that the function $\Theta_p(X)$ does not depend on the choice of X .*

Definition 3 *Let M be a submanifold of an almost contact metric 3-structure manifold $(\overline{M}, \xi_i, \eta_i, \phi_i, g)_{i \in \{1,2,3\}}$. M is a point-wise 3-slant submanifold if at any point $p \in M$ and for each nonzero $X \in T_p M$ linearly independent of ξ_i , the Wirtinger angle between $\phi_i X$ and $T_p M$ is constant for all $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. In fact, the angle $\Theta_p(X)$ between $\phi_i X$ and $T_j X$ only depends on the choice of p and it is independent of the choice of X and i, j .*

On these submanifolds $\Theta(X)$ can be considered a function called a slant function. If at a point $p \in M$, $\Theta_p = 0$ or $N_i = 0$ (resp. $\Theta_p = \frac{\pi}{2}$ or $T_i = 0$) then p is called invariant point (resp. anti-invariant point). M is an invariant submanifold if $\Theta_p = 0$ and an anti-invariant submanifold if $\Theta_p = \frac{\pi}{2}$ for any $p \in M$. Otherwise, M

is a proper point-wise 3-slant submanifold.

As trivial examples, the slant and 3-slant submanifolds are point-wise slant submanifolds and their slant angles are constant on all points of the submanifolds. In the next nontrivial examples we show the existence of point-wise slant and 3-slant submanifolds.

Example 1 Consider the following cosymplectic structure on $\overline{M} = \mathbb{R}^5$:

$$\eta = dt, \xi = \partial t, g = \sum_{i=1}^2 (dx_i \otimes dx_i + dy_i \otimes dy_i) + dt \otimes dt,$$

$$\phi(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, t) = (-y_1, -y_2, x_1, x_2, 0).$$

Let $M(u, v) = (u, u, v \cos f, v \sin f, t)$, where f is a real value function on \overline{M} . Then M is a point-wise slant submanifold with slant function $\Theta = \cos^{-1}(\frac{\cos f + \sin f}{\sqrt{2}})$.

Example 2 Let $\overline{M} = \mathbb{R}^{11}$ and $g = \sum_{i=1}^{11} dx_i \otimes dx_i$. Let

$$\phi_1((x_i)_{i=\overline{1,11}}) = (-x_3, x_4, x_1, -x_2, -x_7, x_8, x_5, -x_6, 0, -x_{11}, x_{10}),$$

$$\phi_2((x_i)_{i=\overline{1,11}}) = (-x_4, -x_3, x_2, x_1, -x_8, -x_7, x_6, x_5, x_{11}, 0, -x_9),$$

$$\phi_3((x_i)_{i=\overline{1,11}}) = (-x_2, x_1, -x_4, x_3, -x_6, x_5, -x_8, x_7, -x_{10}, x_9, 0),$$

$$\xi_1 = \partial x_9, \xi_2 = \partial x_{10}, \xi_3 = \partial x_{11} \text{ and } \eta_1 = dx_9, \eta_2 = dx_{10}, \eta_3 = dx_{11}.$$

It can be verified that $(\overline{M}, \xi_i, \eta_i, \phi_i, g)_{i \in \{1,2,3\}}$ is an almost contact metric 3-structure manifold. Now we consider a submanifold M of $(\overline{M}, \xi_i, \eta_i, \phi_i, g)_{i \in \{1,2,3\}}$ given by the following equations:

$$x_1 = v \sin f, \quad x_2 = x_3 = x_4 = x_9 = x_{10} = x_{11} = 0,$$

$$x_5 = x_6 = x_7 = ku \sin f, \quad x_8 = v \cos f,$$

for $k \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $f : \mathbb{R}^{11} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. By some computations, one can see that M is a point-wise 3-slant submanifold of \overline{M} with slant function $\Theta = \cos^{-1}(\frac{\cos f}{k\sqrt{3}})$.

Theorem 1 Let M be a submanifold of almost contact metric 3-structure $(\overline{M}, \xi_i, \eta_i, \phi_i, g)$ such that ξ_i 's are normal to M for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Then M is a point-wise 3-slant submanifold if and only if there exists a real function Θ on M such that

$$T_i T_j X = -\cos^2 \Theta X, \quad \forall X \in TM, \quad \forall i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}. \tag{12}$$

Proof Let M be a point-wise 3-slant submanifold and Θ be the angle between $\phi_i X$ and $T_p M$. Then from (6) and (7) we have

$$\cos \Theta = \frac{g(\phi_i X, T_j X)}{|\phi_i X| |T_j X|} = -\frac{g(X, \phi_i T_j X)}{|X| |T_j X|} = -\frac{g(X, T_i T_j X)}{|X| |T_j X|} \tag{13}$$

On the other hand,

$$\cos\Theta = \frac{|T_j X|}{|X|}, \tag{14}$$

and so (13) and (14) imply

$$\cos^2\Theta = -\frac{g(X, T_i T_j X)}{|X|^2}, \tag{15}$$

and it follows (12). Conversely, we suppose that α and β are the angles $\widehat{\phi_i X, T_i X}$ and $\widehat{\phi_i X, T_j X}$, respectively, in the point $p \in M$. Thus, $\cos\alpha = \frac{|T_i X|}{|X|}$ and $\cos\beta = \frac{|T_j X|}{|X|}$. Moreover,

$$\cos\alpha = \frac{g(\phi_i X, T_i X)}{|\phi_i X||T_i X|} = -\frac{g(X, T_i T_i X)}{|X||T_i X|} = -\frac{g(X, T_i T_i X)}{|X|^2 \cos\alpha}, \tag{16}$$

$$\cos\beta = \frac{g(\phi_i X, T_j X)}{|\phi_i X||T_j X|} = -\frac{g(X, T_i T_j X)}{|X||T_j X|} = -\frac{g(X, T_i T_j X)}{|X|^2 \cos\beta}. \tag{17}$$

In the account of (12), (16) and (17) imply that the angles are equal and do not depend on the choice of X . This means that M is a point-wise 3-slant submanifold. \square

The proof of the following proposition is the same as Theorem 1.

Proposition 1 *Let M be a point-wise 3-slant submanifold of almost contact metric 3-structure $(\overline{M}, \xi_i, \eta_i, \phi_i, g)$ with slant function Θ . Then for all $X \in TM \setminus \langle \xi_i \rangle$*

$$T_i T_j X = -\cos^2\Theta X, \quad \forall i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}. \tag{18}$$

By using (7) and Proposition 1 immediately we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2 *Let M be a point-wise 3-slant submanifold of almost contact metric 3-structure $(\overline{M}, \xi_i, \eta_i, \phi_i, g)$ with slant function Θ . Then $\forall X, Y \in TM \setminus \langle \xi_i \rangle$ and $\forall i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$*

$$g(T_i Y, T_j X) = \cos^2\Theta g(Y, X), \tag{19}$$

$$g(N_i Y, N_j X) = \sin^2\Theta g(Y, X). \tag{20}$$

Moreover, when the structure of \overline{M} is almost contact metric, Proposition 1 can be stated as follows.

Proposition 3 *Let M be a point-wise slant submanifold of almost contact metric manifold $(\overline{M}, \xi, \eta, \phi, g)$ with slant function Θ . Then*

$$T^2 X = -\cos^2\Theta X, \quad \forall X \in TM \setminus \langle \xi \rangle. \tag{21}$$

4. Point-wise slant submanifolds of 3-Sasakian manifolds

Lemma 1 *Let M be a point-wise 3-slant submanifold of $(\overline{M}, \xi_i, \eta_i, \phi_i, g)_{i \in \{1,2,3\}}$ with slant function Θ . Then, for any unit vector field $X \in TM \setminus \langle \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \rangle$, we have*

$$T_i X = \cos \Theta Z, \tag{22}$$

where Z is a unit vector field in TM and orthogonal to X .

Proof For any unit vector field $X \in TM \setminus \langle \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \rangle$, we have $|T_i X| = \cos \Theta |\phi_i X| = \cos \Theta |X| = \cos \Theta$. Now let $Z = \frac{T_i X}{|T_i X|}$ be the unit vector field in the direction of $T_i X$. Then $T_i X = \cos \Theta Z$. Moreover, since $g(\phi_i X, X) = 0$ and $g(\phi_i X, X) = g(T_i X + N_i X, X) = g(T_i X, X)$, we conclude that Z is orthogonal to X . \square

Theorem 2 *Let M be a point-wise 3-slant submanifold of a 3-Sasakian manifold $(\overline{M}, \xi_i, \eta_i, \phi_i, g)_{i \in \{1,2,3\}}$. Then, the slant function Θ is constant if and only if $A_{N_i X} T_i X = A_{N_i T_i X} X$.*

Proof Since \overline{M} is a 3-Sasakian manifold, from (8) and the Gauss formula, for any unit vector field $X \in TM \setminus \langle \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \rangle$ and $Y \in TM$, we have

$$g(X, Y) \xi_i = (\overline{\nabla}_Y \phi_i) X = \nabla_Y T_i X + B(T_i X, Y) + D_Y N_i X - A_{N_i X} Y - T_i \nabla_Y X - t_i B(X, Y) - N_i \nabla_Y X - n_i B(X, Y). \tag{23}$$

By taking the tangential part of (23), we get

$$g(X, Y) \xi_i = \nabla_Y T_i X - A_{N_i X} Y - T_i \nabla_Y X - t_i B(X, Y). \tag{24}$$

By using (22), Eq. (24) implies

$$g(X, Y) \xi_i = Y \cos \Theta Z + \cos \Theta \nabla_Y Z - A_{N_i X} Y - T_i \nabla_Y X - t_i B(X, Y) = -\sin \Theta Y(\Theta) Z + \cos \Theta \nabla_Y Z - A_{N_i X} Y - T_i \nabla_Y X - t_i B(X, Y). \tag{25}$$

We apply $g(Z, \cdot)$ to (25). Since

$$g(Z, \nabla_Y Z) = \frac{1}{2} \nabla_Y g(Z, Z) = 0,$$

and

$$g(Z, T_i \nabla_Y X) = -g(T_i Z, \nabla_Y X) = \cos^2 \Theta \frac{1}{2} \nabla_Y g(X, X) = 0,$$

we get

$$0 = -\sin \Theta Y(\Theta) - g(Z, A_{N_i X} Y) - g(Z, t_i B(X, Y)). \tag{26}$$

Thus, Θ is constant if and only if

$$-g(Z, A_{N_i X} Y) - g(Z, t_i B(X, Y)) = 0,$$

or

$$g(Y, A_{N_i X} Z) = g(N_i Z, B(X, Y)) = g(Y, A_{N_i Z} X).$$

Therefore, the slant function Θ is constant if and only if $A_{N_i X} Z = A_{N_i Z} X$. \square

Using the approach of the proof of Theorem 2, for a point-wise slant submanifold of a Sasakian manifold, implies the following theorem.

Theorem 3 *Let M be a point-wise slant submanifold of a Sasakian manifold $(\bar{M}, \xi_i, \eta_i, \phi_i, g)$. Then the slant function Θ is constant if and only if $A_{NX}Z = A_{NZ}X$.*

As an analogue of a Kaehlerian slant submanifold of quaternion manifolds, Sasakian 3-slant submanifolds of 3-structure manifolds have been defined in [12]. Let M be a point-wise 3-slant submanifold of an almost contact metric 3-structure manifold $(\bar{M}, \xi_i, \eta_i, \phi_i, g)$ which the vector structures are in TM . The submanifold M is called a Sasakian point-wise 3-slant submanifold if

$$(\nabla_Y T_i)X = g(X, Y)\xi_i - \eta_i(X)Y, \quad \forall X, Y \in TM. \tag{27}$$

Now we show that these submanifolds cannot be a proper point-wise slant submanifold.

Theorem 4 *Any Sasakian point-wise 3-slant submanifolds are 3-slant submanifolds.*

Proof Let M be a Sasakian point-wise 3-slant submanifold of $(\bar{M}, \xi_i, \eta_i, \phi_i, g)$ and X be a unit vector field in $TM \setminus \langle \xi_i \rangle$. From (22) and (27) for any $Y \in TM$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(X, Y)\xi_i &= (\nabla_Y T_i)X = \nabla_Y T_i X - T_i(\nabla_Y X) \\ &= \nabla_Y \cos\Theta Z - T_i(\nabla_Y X) \\ &= Y(\cos\Theta)Z + \cos\Theta \nabla_Y Z - T_i(\nabla_Y X) \\ &= \sin\Theta Y(\Theta)Z + \cos\Theta \nabla_Y Z - T_i(\nabla_Y X). \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Since Z is orthogonal to X and ξ_i , by applying $g(Z, \cdot)$ to (28), we obtain

$$0 = \sin\Theta Y(\Theta), \tag{29}$$

which means Θ is constant. □

Acknowledgment

The author is thankful to the referees for their valuable comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] Atçeken M. Warped product semi-slant submanifolds in Kenmotsu manifolds. Turk J Math 2010; 34: 425-433.
- [2] Blair DE. Riemannian geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds. Progress in Mathematics 203, Basel, Switzerland: Birkhäuser, 2002.
- [3] Cabrerizo JL, Carriazo A, Fernández LM, Fernández M. Slant submanifolds in Sasakian manifolds. Glasg Math J 2000; 42: 125–138.
- [4] Chen BY. Geometry of Slant Submanifolds. Leuven, Belgium: K.U. Leuven, 1990.
- [5] Chen BY, Garay OJ. Pointwise slant submanifolds in almost Hermitian manifolds. Turk J Math 2012; 36: 630-640.
- [6] Etayo F. On quasi-slant submanifolds of an almost Hermitian manifold. Publ Math Debrecen 1998; 53: 217-223.
- [7] Kashiwada T. A note on a Riemannian space with Sasakian 3-structure. Natur Sci Rep Ochanomizu Univ 1971; 22: 1-2.
- [8] Khan VA, Khan MA, Khan KA. Slant and semi-slant submanifolds of a Kenmotsu manifold. Math Slovaca 2007; 57: 483–494.

- [9] Kuo YY. On almost contact 3-structure. *Tóhoku Math J* 1970; 22: 325-332.
- [10] Lee JW, Sahin B. Pointwise slant submersions. *Bull Korean Math Soc* 2014; 51: 1115-1126.
- [11] Lotta A. Slant submanifolds in contact geometry. *Bull Math Soc Sci Math Roumanie (N.S.)* 1996; 39: 183-198.
- [12] Malek F, Kazemi Balgeshir MB. Slant submanifolds of almost contact metric 3-structure manifolds. *Mediterr J Math* 2013; 10: 1023-1033.
- [13] Papaghiuc N. Semi-slant submanifolds of a Kaehlerian manifold. *An Stiint Al I Cuza Univ Iasi* 1994; 40: 55-61.
- [14] Sahin B. Slant submanifolds of quaternion Kaehler manifolds. *Commun Korean Math Soc* 2007; 22: 123-135.
- [15] Sahin B. Warped product pointwise semi-slant submanifolds of Kaehler manifolds. *Port Math* 2013; 70: 251-268.
- [16] Shahid MH, Al-Solamy FR. Ricci tensor of slant submanifolds in a quaternion projective space. *C R Math* 2011; 349: 571-573.
- [17] Vilcu GE. Slant submanifolds of quaternionic space forms. *Publ Math Debrecen* 2012; 81: 397-413.