Turkish Journal of Mathematics

Volume 40 | Number 3

Article 6

1-1-2016

\$h\$-Admissible Fourier integral operators

CHAFIKA AMEL AITEMRAR

ABDERRAHMANE SENOUSSAOUI

Follow this and additional works at: https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math

Part of the Mathematics Commons

Recommended Citation

AITEMRAR, CHAFIKA AMEL and SENOUSSAOUI, ABDERRAHMANE (2016) "\$h\$-Admissible Fourier integral operators," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*: Vol. 40: No. 3, Article 6. https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-1506-47

Available at: https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/vol40/iss3/6

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Mathematics by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.



Turkish Journal of Mathematics

http://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/

Turk J Math (2016) 40: 553 – 568 © TÜBİTAK doi:10.3906/mat-1506-47

Research Article

h-Admissible Fourier integral operators

Chafika Amel AITEMRAR¹, Abderrahmane SENOUSSAOUI^{2,*}

¹Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, University of Sciences and Technologies - Mohamed Boudiaf, Oran, Algeria

²Laboratory of Fundamental and Applied Mathematics of Oran (LMFAO), University of Oran 1, Oran, Algeria

Received: 11.06.2015	•	Accepted/Published Online: 07.09.2015	•	Final Version: 08.04.2016

Abstract: We study in this work a class of h-admissible Fourier integral operators. These operators are bounded (respectively compact) in L^2 if the weight of the amplitude is bounded (respectively tends to 0).

Key words: h-Admissible Fourier integral operators, symbol and phase, boundedness and compactness

1. Introduction

The theory of h-pseudodifferential operators is well suited for investigating various problems connected with semiclassical elliptic differential equations. However, this theory fails to be adequate for studying semiclassical equations of hyperbolic type, and one is then forced to examine a wider class of operators, the so-called h-Fourier integral operators.

Since 1970, many efforts have been made by several authors in order to study this type of operator (see, e.g., [1, 4-9, 11]). The first works on Fourier integral operators deal with local properties. We note that Asada and Fujiwara ([1]) have studied for the first time a class of Fourier integral operators defined on \mathbb{R}^n .

The h-Fourier integral operators are represented by formulas of the type

$$(I(a,\phi;h)f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{\frac{i}{h}\phi(x,\theta,y)} a(x,\theta,y) f(y) \, dy d\theta,$$
(1.1)

 $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (the Schwartz space). The function $a(x, \theta, y) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ is called the amplitude, the function $\phi(x, y, \theta) \ C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R})$ is called the phase function, and $h \in [0, h_0]$ is a semiclassical parameter.

The purpose of this work is to generalize the notion of h-admissible operators defined in [13] by studying the h-admissible Fourier integral operators of the form (2.2) (below). On some conditions on a and ϕ , we show that the h-admissible Fourier integral operators are well defined and they are continuous on $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and on $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (the space of tempered distributions). We give also a result where it is shown that these types of operators are stable by composition.

The natural question is how these operators will be bounded on L^2 or will be compact on L^2 . It has been proved in [1] with some hypothesis on the phase function ϕ and the amplitude *a* that all operators of the form

^{*}Correspondence: senoussaoui_abdou@yahoo.fr

²⁰¹⁰ AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 35S30, 35S05, 47G30.

(1.1) are bounded on L^2 . The technique used there is based on the fact that the operators $I(a, \phi; h) I^*(a, \phi; h)$, $I^*(a, \phi; h) I(a, \phi; h)$ are *h*-pseudodifferential and it uses the Calderòn–Vaillancourt theorem [3] (here $I(a, \phi; h)^*$ is the adjoint of $I(a, \phi; h)$).

In this work, we apply the same technique of [1] to establish the boundedness and the compactness of the operators of the type

$$(F_h\psi)(x) = (2\pi h)^{-n} \int \int e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(S(x,\theta) - y\theta)} a(x,y) \psi(y) \, dy d\theta.$$
(1.2)

To this end, we give a brief and simple proof of a result of [1] in our framework.

We mainly prove the continuity of the operator F_h on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ when the weight of the amplitude *a* is bounded. Moreover, F_h is compact on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if this weight tends to zero. Using the estimate given in [10, 13, 14] for *h*-pseudodifferential (*h*-admissible) operators, we also establish an L^2 -estimate of $||F_h||$.

We note that if the amplitude a is just bounded, the Fourier integral operator F_h is not necessarily bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In Hasanov [6] and [2, 15] a class of unbounded Fourier integral operators with an amplitude in the Hörmander's class $S_{1,1}^0$ and in $\bigcap_{0 \le \rho \le 1} S_{\rho,1}^0$ was constructed.

2. A general class of *h*-admissible Fourier integral operators

We are interesting in giving a sense of the integrals of type

$$(I(a,\phi;h)f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{\frac{i}{h}\phi(x,\theta,y)} a(x,\theta,y) f(y) \, dy d\theta,$$
(2.1)

with $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $h \in [0, h_0]$.

Suppose that the function ϕ satisfies the following conditions:

 $(H_1) \quad \phi : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \text{ is a } C^{\infty} \text{ application } (\phi \text{ is a real function})$

 $(H_2) \quad \forall (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathbb{N}^n \times \mathbb{N}^N \times \mathbb{N}^n, \exists C_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \ge 0;$

$$\left|\partial_x^{\alpha}\partial_y^{\beta}\partial_{\theta}^{\gamma}\phi\left(x,\theta,y\right)\right| \leq C_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}\lambda^{2-(|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\gamma|)}\left(x,\theta,y\right),$$

where $\lambda(x, \theta, y) = \left(1 + |x|^2 + |y|^2 + |\theta|^2\right)^{1/2}$.

 (H_3) There exist real numbers $K_1, K_2 > 0$ such that

$$K_1\lambda(x,\theta,y) \le \lambda\left(\partial_y\phi,\partial_\theta\phi,y\right) \le K_2\lambda(x,\theta,y), \forall (x,\theta,y) \in \mathbb{R}^n_x \times \mathbb{R}^N_\theta \times \mathbb{R}^n_y.$$

 $(H_3^\ast)~$ There exist real numbers $K_1^\ast, K_2^\ast > 0$ such that

$$K_{1}^{*}\lambda\left(x,\theta,y\right) \leq \lambda\left(x,\partial_{\theta}\phi,\partial_{x}\phi\right) \leq K_{2}^{*}\lambda\left(x,\theta,y\right), \forall\left(x,\theta,y\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{x}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}_{\theta}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}_{y}^{n}$$

For any open subset Ω of $\mathbb{R}^n_x \times \mathbb{R}^N_\theta \times \mathbb{R}^n_y$; $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\rho \in [0, 1]$, we set

$$\Gamma^{\mu}_{\rho}\left(\Omega\right) = \left\{ a \in C^{\infty}\left(\Omega\right) : \left|\partial^{\alpha}_{x}\partial^{\beta}_{y}\partial^{\gamma}_{\theta}a\right| \le C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\lambda^{\mu-\rho\left(|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\gamma|\right)}\left(x,\theta,y\right) \right\}.$$

When $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n_x \times \mathbb{R}^N_\theta \times \mathbb{R}^n_y$, we denote $\Gamma^{\mu}_{\rho}(\Omega) = \Gamma^{\mu}_{\rho}$.

In order to generalize the notion of h-admissible operators (cf.[13]), we give the following definitions

Definition 1 We call h-admissible symbol of weight (μ, ρ) , every C^{∞} application a(h) of $]0, h_0]$ in Γ^{μ}_{ρ} , such that

$$\forall N \in \mathbb{N}, \ a(h) = \sum_{j=0}^{N} h^{j} a_{j} + h^{N+1} r_{N+1}(h),$$

where $a_j \in \Gamma_{\rho}^{\mu-2\rho j}$, and $\{r_{N+1}(h), h \in [0, h_0]\}$ is bounded in $\Gamma_{\rho}^{\mu-2\rho(N+1)}$.

Definition 2 We call h-admissible Fourier integral operator, every C^{∞} application A of $]0, h_0]$ in $\mathcal{L}(S(\mathbb{R}^n), \mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ $(\mathcal{L}(E, F)$ is the space of bounded linear mapping from E to F), for which there exists a sequence $(a_j)_j \in \Gamma_0^{\mu}$ satisfying

$$A(h) = \sum_{j=0}^{N} h^{j} I(a_{j}, \phi; h) + h^{N+1} R_{N+1}(h), \quad \text{for } N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } N \text{ large enough},$$
(2.2)

where

$$(I(a_j,\phi;h)f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{\frac{i}{h}\phi(x,\theta,y)} a_j(x,\theta,y) f(y) \, dy d\theta,$$
$$\sup_{h \in]0,h_0]} \|R_{N+1}(h)\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} < \infty.$$

To give a meaning to the right-hand side of (2.1), we consider $g \in S\left(\mathbb{R}^n_x \times \mathbb{R}^N_\theta \times \mathbb{R}^n_y\right)$, g(0) = 1. If $a \in \Gamma^{\mu}_0$, we define

$$a_p(x,\theta,y) = g\left(\frac{x}{p},\frac{\theta}{p},\frac{y}{p}\right)a(x,\theta,y), \ p > 0.$$

Theorem 1 If ϕ satisfies $(H_1), (H_2), (H_3)$, and (H_3^*) , and if $a \in \Gamma_0^{\mu}$, then

1. For all $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\lim_{p\to\infty} [(I(a_p,\phi;h)f)](x)$ exists for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and is independent of the choice of the function g. We then set

$$\left(I\left(a,\phi;h\right)f\right):=\lim_{p\to\infty}\left(I\left(a_p,\phi;h\right)f\right).$$

2. $I(a, \phi; h) \in \mathcal{L}(S(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and $I(a, \phi; h) \in \mathcal{L}(S'(\mathbb{R}^n))$.

Proof Let $\delta \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $supp\delta \subseteq [-1,2]$ and $\delta \equiv 1$ on [0,1].

For all $\varepsilon > 0$, we set

$$\omega_{\varepsilon}(x,\theta,y) = \delta\left(\frac{\left|\partial_{y}\phi\right|^{2} + \left|\partial_{\theta}\phi\right|^{2}}{\varepsilon\lambda\left(x,\theta,y\right)^{2}}\right).$$

The hypothesis (H_3) implies that there exists $\gamma > 0$ such that we have on the support of ω_{ε}

$$\lambda(x,\theta,y) \le \gamma \left[\left(1 + |y|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda(x,\theta,y) \right].$$

Therefore, there exists ε_0 and a constant γ_0 , such that for all $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ we have the inequality

$$\lambda\left(x,\theta,y\right) \leq \gamma_0 \left(1+\left|y\right|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

on the support of ω_{ε} .

In the sequel, we fix $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0$. Then it is immediate that $I(\omega_{\varepsilon_0}a_p, \phi; h)f$ is an absolutely convergent integral and we have

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} I\left(\omega_{\varepsilon_0} a_p, \phi; h\right) f = I\left(\omega_{\varepsilon_0} a, \phi; h\right) f.$$
(2.3)

Using (H_2) we prove also that $I(\omega_{\varepsilon_0}a, \phi; h) f$ is a continuous operator from $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into itself. To study $\lim_{p\to\infty} I((1-\omega_{\varepsilon_0})a_p, \phi; h) f$, we introduce the operator

$$L = \frac{h}{i} \frac{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\partial_{y_{j}}\phi\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}} + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left(\partial_{\theta_{j}}\phi\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{j}}\right)}{\left|\partial_{y}\phi\right|^{2} + \left|\partial_{\theta}\phi\right|^{2}}.$$

Clearly we have

$$L\left(e^{i\phi}\right) = e^{i\phi}.\tag{2.4}$$

Let Ω_0 be the open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^n$ defined by

$$\Omega_{0} = \left\{ \left(x, \theta, y\right), \left|\partial_{y}\phi\right|^{2} + \left|\partial_{\theta}\phi\right|^{2} > \frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{2}\lambda\left(x, \theta, y\right)^{2} \right\}.$$

We need the following lemma.

Lemma 1 For all integer $q \ge 0$, and $b \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n_y \times \mathbb{R}^N_\theta)$, we have

$$({}^{t}L)^{q} \left(\left(1 - \omega_{\varepsilon_{0}}\right) b \right) = \sum_{|\alpha| + |\beta| \le q} g_{\alpha,\beta}^{q} \partial_{y}^{\beta} \partial_{\theta}^{\beta} \left(\left(1 - \omega_{\varepsilon_{0}}\right) b \right),$$

where the $g^q_{\alpha,\beta}$ are in $\Gamma_0^{-q}(\Omega_0)$ and depend only on ϕ . Recall that ^tL designates the transpose of L.

We prove the lemma by recurrence. It is obvious for q = 0. Now we see easily that

$${}^{t}L = \sum_{j} F_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}} + \sum_{j} G_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{j}} + H, \qquad (2.5)$$

where $F_j \in \Gamma_0^{-1}(\Omega_0)$, $G_j \in \Gamma_0^{-1}(\Omega_0)$, and $H \in \Gamma_0^{-2}(\Omega_0)$ (which results from the hypothesis (H_2)). Therefore, the recurrence is immediately proved.

For all integer $q \ge 0$, we have from (2.4)

$$I\left(\left(1-\omega_{\varepsilon_{0}}\right)a_{p},\phi;h\right)f\left(x\right)=\int\int e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\phi\left(x,\theta,y\right)}\left({}^{t}L\right)^{q}\left(\left(1-\omega_{\varepsilon_{0}}\right)a_{p},f\right)dyd\theta.$$
(2.6)

Now $({}^{t}L)^{q}((1-\omega_{\varepsilon_{0}})a_{p}f)$ described (when p varies) a bound of $\Gamma_{0}^{\mu-q}$, and

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} {\binom{t}{L}}^q \left((1 - \omega_{\varepsilon_0}) \, a_p f \right) (x, \theta, y) = {\binom{t}{L}}^q \left((1 - \omega_{\varepsilon_0}) \, a f \right) (x, \theta, y) \,, \tag{2.7}$$

for all $(x, \theta, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^n$.

Finally, for all s > n + N we have

$$\int \int \lambda^{-s} (x, \theta, y) \, d\theta dy \le \gamma_s \lambda^{n \neq N-s} (x) \,. \tag{2.8}$$

It results so from (2.6)-(2.8) and using Lebesgue's theorem we have

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} I\left(\left(1 - \omega_{\varepsilon_0}\right)a_p, \phi; h\right) f\left(x\right) = \int \int e^{\frac{i}{h}\phi(x,\theta,y)} \left({}^tL\right)^q \left(\left(1 - \omega_{\varepsilon_0}\right)a, f; h\right) dy d\theta,$$
(2.9)

where q satisfies $q > n + N + \mu$. From (2.3) and (2.9) we can prove the first part of the theorem.

Now let us show that $I((1 - \omega_{\varepsilon_0}) a, \phi)$ is continuous. Taking account of (2.5) and (2.9), we get

$$I\left(\left(1-\omega_{\varepsilon_{0}}\right)a,\phi;h\right)f\left(x\right) = \sum_{|\gamma| \le q} \int \int e^{\frac{i}{h}\phi(x,\theta,y)} b_{\gamma}^{(q)}\left(x,\theta,y\right) \partial_{y}^{\gamma}f\left(y\right) dy d\theta,$$
(2.10)

with $b_{\gamma}^{(q)} \in \Gamma_0^{\mu-q}$. On the other hand, we have

$$x^{\alpha}\partial_{x}^{\beta}\left(e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\phi}b_{\gamma}^{(q)}\left(x,\theta,y\right)\right)\in\Gamma_{0}^{\mu-q+|\alpha|+|\beta|}.$$
(2.11)

We deduce from (2.10) and (2.11) that, for all $q > n + N + \mu + |\alpha| + |\beta|$, there exists a constant $C_{\alpha,\beta,q}$ such that

$$\left|x^{\alpha}\partial_{x}^{\beta}I\left(\left(1-\omega_{\varepsilon_{0}}\right)a,\phi;h\right)f\left(x\right)\right| \leq C_{\alpha,\beta,q} \sup_{\substack{x\in\mathbb{R}^{n}\\|\gamma|\leq q}}\left|\partial_{x}^{\gamma}f\left(x\right)\right|,$$

which proves the continuity of $I((1 - \omega_{\varepsilon_0}) a, \phi)$.

3. Composition of two h-admissible Fourier integral operators

Theorem 2 Let ϕ_1, ϕ_2 be two phases satisfying $(H_1), (H_2)$, and (H_3) . Set

$$\phi(x,\theta,z) = \phi_1(x,\theta_1,y) + \phi_2(y,\theta_2,z), \qquad (3.1)$$

with $\theta_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{N_1}, \theta_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{N_2}, x \in \mathbb{R}^n, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, z \in \mathbb{R}^n, \theta = (\theta_1, y, \theta_2)$. Then ϕ verifies $(H_1), (H_2), (H_3)$ and, for all $a_1 \in \Gamma_0^{\mu_1}, a_2 \in \Gamma_0^{\mu_2}$, we have

$$I(a_1, \phi_1; h) I(a_2, \phi_2; h) = I(a_1 \times a_2, \phi; h), \qquad (3.2)$$

with

$$(a_1 \times a_2) (x, \theta, z) = a_1 (x, \theta_1, y) a_2 (y, \theta_2, z)$$

Proof (H_1) and (H_2) are immediate to verify for ϕ . Therefore, we will prove the hypothesis (H_3) .

The left side of the inequality (H_3) is evident; it suffices to show that ϕ satisfies the right side of the inequality:

there exists K > 0 such that

$$\lambda\left(x,\theta_{1},y,\theta_{2},z\right) \leq K\lambda\left(z,\partial_{z}\phi_{2},\partial_{y}\phi_{1}+\partial_{y}\phi_{2},\partial_{\theta_{1}}\phi_{1},\partial_{\theta_{2}}\phi_{2}\right).$$
(3.3)

557

Applying the property (H_3) to ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 we get that there exists C > 0 such that

$$\lambda\left(x,\theta_{1},y,\theta_{2},z\right) \leq C\lambda\left(\partial_{y}\phi_{1},\partial_{\theta_{1}}\phi_{1},y,\partial_{\theta_{2}}\phi_{2},\partial_{z}\phi_{2},z\right).$$
(3.4)

We have also

$$\lambda(y) \le C' \lambda(\partial_{\theta_2} \phi_2, \partial_z \phi_2, z), \qquad (3.5)$$

from (H_3) applied to ϕ_2 , and

$$|\partial_y \phi_2| \le C'' \lambda \left(y, \theta_2, z \right) \le C''' \left(\lambda \left(\partial_{\theta_2} \phi_2, \partial_z \phi_2, z \right) \right), \tag{3.6}$$

from (H_2) and (H_3) applied to ϕ_2 .

Finally, we note that

$$\left|\partial_{y}\phi_{1}\right| \leq \left|\partial_{y}\phi_{1} + \partial_{y}\phi_{2}\right| + \left|\partial_{y}\phi_{2}\right|.$$

$$(3.7)$$

The inequalities (3.4)-(3.7) imply (3.3).

Now let us show the composition formulas. For this, let us introduce the sequences of functions (i = 1, 2)

$$\chi_p^i\left(x,\theta_i,y\right) = \exp\left(-p^{-1}\left(\left|x\right|^2 + \left|\theta_i\right|^2 + \left|y\right|^2\right)\right); \left(x,\theta_i,y\right) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{N_i} \times \mathbb{R}^n$$

It is clear that (3.2) is satisfied for

$$a_p^1 = a_1 \chi_p^1, a_p^2 = a_2 \chi_p^2.$$

However,

$$\chi_p^1(x,\theta_1,y)\,\chi_p^2(y,\theta_2,z) = \exp\left(-p^{-1}\left(|x|^2 + 2\,|y|^2 + |\theta_1|^2 + |\theta_2|^2 + |z|^2\right)\right).$$

Hence, it results that

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} \left(I\left(a_p^1 a_p^2, \phi; h\right) f \right)(x) = \left(I\left(a_1 a_2, \phi\right) f; h \right)(x),$$
(3.8)

for all $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

On the other hand, the proof of theorem 1 shows that there exists, for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and j = 1, 2, an integer $M_{j,l}$ and a constant $C_{j,l} > 0$, such that, for all f in $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $p \ge 1$, we have

$$\left\| I\left(a_{p}^{j},\phi_{j};h\right)f\right\|_{B^{l}} \leq C_{l,j}\left\| f\right\|_{B^{M_{j,l}}},\tag{3.9}$$

where $B^{l}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) = \left\{ u \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), x^{\alpha}D_{x}^{\beta}u \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \left|\alpha\right| + \left|\beta\right| \leq l \right\}.$

We deduce first from (3.9) that, for all fixed f_0 in $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $g_p = I(a_p^2, \phi_2; h) f_0$ describes a bounded of $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ when p varies. $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ being Montel space, we can extract a subsequence, suppose that g_p converges in $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $g = I(a_1, \phi_2; h) f_0$, but we have

$$\left\| I\left(a_{p}^{1},\phi_{1};h\right)g_{p}-I\left(a_{1},\phi_{1};h\right)g\right\|_{B^{l}} \leq \left\| I\left(a_{p}^{1},\phi_{1};h\right)\left(g_{p}-g\right)\right\|_{B^{l}} + \left\| \left(I\left(a_{p}^{1},\phi_{1};h\right)-I\left(a_{1},\phi_{1};h\right)\right)g\right\|_{B^{l}}.$$

$$(3.10)$$

Even re-extracting a subsequence, we can suppose that

$$I\left(a_{p}^{1},\phi_{1};h\right)g \to I\left(a_{1},\phi_{1};h\right)g, \text{ in } S\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right).$$

$$(3.11)$$

It follows from (3.9)-(3.11) that, for all l, leaves to extract a subsequence, we have

$$I(a_{p}^{1},\phi_{1};h) I(a_{p}^{2},\phi_{2};h) f_{0} \to I(a_{1},\phi_{1};h) I(a_{2},\phi_{2};h) f_{0} \text{ in } B^{l}.$$
(3.12)

4. About the particular case

In this section we shall be interested in a particular case on the phase function ϕ , which is very important in applications for solving Cauchy problems [12]. Let

$$\phi(x, y, \theta) = S(x, y) - y\theta,$$

Suppose that S satisfies

 (G_1) $S \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n_x \times \mathbb{R}^n_0; \mathbb{R})$ (S is a real function)

 (G_2) For all $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{N}^n \times \mathbb{N}^n$, there exist $C_{\alpha,\beta} > 0$, such that

$$\left|\partial_x^{\alpha}\partial_{\theta}^{\beta}S(x,\theta)\right| \leq C_{\alpha,\beta}\lambda(x,\theta)^{(2-|\alpha|-|\beta|)}.$$

 (G_3) There exists $\delta_0 > 0$ such that

$$\inf_{x,\theta \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left| \det \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x \partial \theta} \left(x, \theta \right) \right| \ge \delta_0$$

Lemma 2 If S satisfies $(G_1), (G_2)$, and (G_3) , then S satisfies the following inequalities: There exist $C_1, C_2 > 0$, such that

$$\begin{cases} |x| \leq C_1 \lambda \left(\theta, \partial_{\theta} S\right), & \text{for all } (x, \theta) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}, \\ |\theta| \leq C_2 \lambda \left(x, \partial_x S\right), & \text{for all } (x, \theta) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}. \end{cases}$$
(4.1)

There also exists $C_3 > 0$ such that for all $(x, \theta), (x', \theta') \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$,

$$|x - x'| + |\theta - \theta'| \le C_3 \left[\left| \left(\partial_{\theta} S \right) \left(x, \theta \right) - \left(\partial_{\theta} S \right) \left(x', \theta' \right) \right| + |\theta - \theta'| \right].$$

$$\tag{4.2}$$

Proof The mappings $\mathbb{R}^n \ni \theta \to f_x(\theta) = \partial_x S(x,\theta)$, $\mathbb{R}^n \ni x \to g_\theta(x) = \partial_\theta S(x,\theta)$ and $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \ni (x,\theta) \to h_2(x,\theta) = (\theta, \partial_\theta S(x,\theta))$ are global diffeomorphisms of \mathbb{R}^n . From (G_2) and (G_3) , it follows that $\left\| \left(f_x^{-1} \right)' \right\|$ and $\left\| \left(g_{\theta}^{-1} \right)' \right\|$ are uniformly bounded on \mathbb{R}^n and $\left\| \left(h_2^{-1} \right)' \right\|$ is uniformly bounded on \mathbb{R}^{2n} . Thus (G_3) and Taylor's theorem lead to the following estimate:

There exist M, N > 0, such that for all $(x, \theta), (x', \theta') \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$,

$$\left|\theta\right| = \left|f_x^{-1}\left(f_x\left(\theta\right)\right) - f_x^{-1}\left(f_x\left(0\right)\right)\right| \le M \left|\partial_x S\left(x,\theta\right) - \partial_x S\left(x,0\right)\right| \le C_4 \lambda \left(x,\partial_x S\right),$$

with $C_4 > 0;$

$$|x| = \left| g_{\theta}^{-1} \left(g_{\theta} \left(\theta \right) \right) - g_{\theta}^{-1} \left(g_{\theta} \left(0 \right) \right) \right| \le N \left| \partial_{\theta} S \left(x, \theta \right) - \partial_{\theta} S \left(0, \theta \right) \right| \le C_{5} \lambda \left(\partial_{\theta} S, \theta \right),$$

with $C_5 > 0;$

$$|(x,\theta) - (x',\theta')| = |h_2^{-1}(h_2(x,\theta)) - h_2^{-1}(h_2(x',\theta'))| \le C_5 |(\theta,\partial_\theta S(x,\theta)) - (\theta',\partial_\theta S(x',\theta'))|.$$

Lemma 3 Let us assume that S satisfies $(G_1), (G_2), \text{ and } (G_3)$. Then the function $\phi(x, y, \theta) = S(x, \theta) - y\theta$ satisfies $(H_1), (H_2), (H_3), \text{ and } (H_3^*)$.

Proof (H_1) and (H_2) are trivially satisfied.

From (4.1) we have

$$\lambda(x, y, \theta) \le \lambda(x, \theta) + \lambda(y) \le C_6 \left(\lambda(\theta, \partial_{\theta}S) + \lambda(y)\right), \ C_6 > 0.$$

Moreover, we have $\partial_{y_j}\phi = -\theta_j$; and $\partial_{\theta_j}\phi = \partial_{\theta_j}S - y_j$, and so

$$\lambda\left(\theta,\partial_{\theta}S\right) = \lambda\left(\partial_{y}\phi,\partial_{\theta}\phi+y\right) \le 2\lambda\left(\partial_{y}\phi,\partial_{\theta}\phi,y\right),$$

which finally gives for some $C_7 > 0$,

$$\lambda(x,\theta,y) \le C_6 \left(2\lambda(\partial_y \phi, \partial_\theta \phi, y) \right) \le \frac{1}{C_7} \lambda(\partial_y \phi, \partial_\theta \phi, y) \,.$$

The second inequality in (H_3) is a consequence of (4.1).

By a similar argument we can show (H_3^*) .

When $\theta = \theta'$ in (4.2), there exists $C_3 > 0$, such that all $(x, x', \theta) \in \mathbb{R}^{3n}$,

$$|x - x'| \le C_3 \left| \left(\partial_\theta S \right) (x, \theta) - \left(\partial_\theta S \right) (x', \theta) \right|.$$

$$(4.3)$$

Proposition 1 If S satisfies (G_1) and (G_2) , then there exists a constant $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that the phase function ϕ given in (4.1) belongs to $\Gamma_1^2(\Omega_{\phi,\varepsilon_0})$ where

$$\Omega_{\phi,\varepsilon_{0}} = \left\{ (x,\theta,y) \in \mathbb{R}^{3n}; \left| \partial_{\theta} S\left(x,\theta\right) - y \right|^{2} < \varepsilon_{0} \left(\left|x\right|^{2} + \left|y\right|^{2} + \left|\theta\right|^{2} \right) \right\}$$

Proof We have to show that: there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, such that for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^n$, there exist $C_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} > 0$:

$$\left|\partial_x^{\alpha}\partial_{\theta}^{\beta}\partial_y^{\gamma}\phi\left(x,\theta,y\right)\right| \le C_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}\lambda\left(x,\theta,y\right)^{(2-|\alpha|-|\beta|-|\gamma|)}, \ (x,\theta,y)\in\Omega_{\phi,\varepsilon_0}.$$
(4.4)

If $|\gamma| = 1$, then

$$\left|\partial_x^{\alpha}\partial_{\theta}^{\beta}\partial_y^{\gamma}\phi\left(x,\theta,y\right)\right| = \left|\partial_x^{\alpha}\partial_{\theta}^{\beta}\left(-\theta\right)\right| = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |\alpha| \neq 0\\ \left|\partial_{\theta}^{\beta}\left(-\theta\right)\right| & \text{if } \alpha = 0 \end{cases};$$

If $|\gamma| > 1$, then

$$\left|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\theta^\beta \partial_y^\gamma \phi\left(x,\theta,y\right)\right|=0.$$

Hence, the estimate (4.4) is satisfied.

If $|\gamma| = 0$, then for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$; $|\alpha| + |\beta| \le 2$, there exists $C_{\alpha,\beta} > 0$ such that

$$\left|\partial_{x}^{\alpha}\partial_{\theta}^{\beta}\phi\left(x,\theta,y\right)\right| = \left|\partial_{x}^{\alpha}\partial_{\theta}^{\beta}S\left(x,\theta\right) - \partial_{x}^{\alpha}\partial_{\theta}^{\beta}\left(y\theta\right)\right| \le C_{\alpha,\beta}\lambda\left(x,\theta,y\right)^{(2-|\alpha|-|\beta|)}$$

If $|\alpha| + |\beta| > 2$, one has $\partial_x^{\alpha} \partial_{\theta}^{\beta} \phi(x, \theta, y) = \partial_x^{\alpha} \partial_{\theta}^{\beta} S(x, \theta)$. In $\Omega_{\phi, \varepsilon_0}$ we have

$$|y| = |\partial_{\theta} S(x,\theta) - y - \partial_{\theta} S(x,\theta)| \le \sqrt{\varepsilon_0} \left(|x|^2 + |y|^2 + |\theta|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + C_8 \lambda(x,\theta), \qquad (4.5)$$

with $C_8 > 0$. For ε_0 sufficiently small, we obtain a constant $C_9 > 0$ such that

$$|y| \leq C_9 \lambda(x, \theta), \ \forall (x, \theta, y) \in \Omega_{\phi, \varepsilon_0}$$

This inequality leads to the equivalence

$$\lambda(x,\theta,y) \simeq \lambda(x,\theta) \text{ in } \Omega_{\phi,\varepsilon_0}; \tag{4.6}$$

thus the assumption (G_2) and (4.6) give the estimate (4.4).

Using (4.6), we give the following result.

Proposition 2 If $(x, \theta) \to a(x, \theta)$ belongs to $\Gamma_k^m(\mathbb{R}^n_x \times \mathbb{R}^n_\theta)$, then $(x, \theta, y) \to a(x, \theta)$ belongs to $\Gamma_k^m(\mathbb{R}^n_x \times \mathbb{R}^n_\theta \times \mathbb{R}^n_\theta)$, $\mathbb{R}^n_y \cap \Gamma_k^m(\Omega_{\phi, \varepsilon_0})$, $k \in \{0, 1\}$.

5. L^2 -boundedness and L^2 -compactness of F_h

We have the following results concerning the L^2 -boundedness and L^2 -compactness of the *h*-admissible Fourier integral operator defined by

$$(F_h\psi)(x) = (2\pi h)^{-n} \int \int e^{\frac{i}{h}(S(x,\theta) - y\theta)} a(x,y) \psi(y) \, dy d\theta.$$

Theorem 3 Let F_h be the integral operator of distribution kernel

$$K(x,y;h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{\frac{i}{h}(S(x,y) - y\theta)} a(x,y) \,\widehat{d\theta},\tag{5.1}$$

where $\widehat{d\theta} = (2\pi h)^{-n} d\theta$, $a \in \Gamma_k^m \left(\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{x,\theta}\right)$, $k = 0, 1, h \in [0, h_0]$ and S satisfies $(G_1), (G_2)$, and (G_3) . Then $F_h F_h^*$ and $F_h^* F_h$ are h-admissible operators with symbol in $\Gamma_k^m \left(\mathbb{R}^{2n}\right)$, k = 0, 1, given by

$$\sigma \left(F_h F_h^* \right) \left(x, \partial_x S \left(x, \theta \right) \right) \equiv \left| a \left(x, \theta \right) \right|^2 \left| \left(\det \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial \theta \partial x} \right)^{-1} \left(x, \theta \right) \right|,$$
$$\sigma \left(F_h^* F_h \right) \left(\partial_\theta S \left(x, \theta \right), \theta \right) \equiv \left| a \left(x, \theta \right) \right|^2 \left| \left(\det \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial \theta \partial x} \right)^{-1} \left(x, \theta \right) \right|,$$

we denote here $a \equiv b$ for $a, b \in \Gamma_k^{2p}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ if $(a-b) \in \Gamma_k^{2p-2}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ and σ stands for the symbol.

561

Proof If $u \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $F_h u(x)$ is given by

$$(F_{h}u)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} K(x,y) u(y) dy.$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(S(x,\theta) - y\theta)} a(x,\theta) u(y) dy \widehat{d\theta}.$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S(x,\theta)} a(x,\theta) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}y\theta} u(y) dy \right) \widehat{d\theta}.$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S(x,\theta)} a(x,\theta) \mathcal{F}_{h}u(\theta) \widehat{d\theta},$$
(5.2)

where \mathcal{F}_h is the Fourier transformation.

Here F_h is a continuous linear mapping from $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (by Theorem 4). Let $v \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then

$$\langle F_h u, v \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{\frac{i}{h} S(x,\theta)} a(x,\theta) \mathcal{F}_h u(\theta) \widehat{d\theta} \right) \overline{v(x)} dx.$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{F}_h u(\theta) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \overline{e^{\frac{i}{h} S(x,\theta)} \overline{a(x,\theta)} v(x) dx} \right) \widehat{d\theta}.$$

Thus

$$\langle F_h u(x), v(x) \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = (2\pi)^{-n} \langle \mathcal{F}_h u(\theta), \mathcal{F}_h((F^*v))(\theta) \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_{h}\left(\left(F_{h}^{*}v\right)\right)\left(\theta\right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}S(\widetilde{x},\theta)}\overline{a}\left(\widetilde{x},\theta\right)v\left(\widetilde{x}\right)d\widetilde{x}.$$
(5.3)

Hence, for all $v \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$(F_h F_h^* v)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{\frac{i}{h} (S(x,\theta) - S(\widetilde{x},\theta))} \overline{a}(x,\theta) \overline{a}(\widetilde{x},\theta) v(\widetilde{x}) d\widetilde{x} d\theta.$$
(5.4)

The main idea to show that $F_h F_h^*$ is a *h*-pseudodifferential operator is to use the fact that $S(x,\theta) - S(\tilde{x},\theta)$ can be expressed by the scalar $\langle x - \tilde{x}, \xi(x, \tilde{x}, \theta) \rangle$, after considering the change of variables $(x, \tilde{x}, \theta) \rightarrow (x, \tilde{x}, \xi = \xi(x, \tilde{x}, \theta))$.

The distribution kernel of $F_h F_h^*$ is

$$K(x,\widetilde{x};h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{\frac{i}{h}(S(x,\theta) - S(\widetilde{x},\theta))} a(\widetilde{x},\theta) \,\overline{a}(x,\theta) \,\widehat{d\theta}.$$

we obtain from (4.3) that if

$$|x - \widetilde{x}| \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \lambda(x, \widetilde{x}, \theta)$$
, where $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small,

then

$$\left| \left(\partial_{\theta} S \right) \left(x, \theta \right) - \left(\partial_{\theta} S \right) \left(\widetilde{x}, \theta \right) \right| \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{2C_5} \lambda \left(x, \widetilde{x}, \theta \right).$$
(5.5)

Choosing $\omega \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\begin{split} &\omega\left(x\right)\geq0, \ \ \forall x\in\mathbb{R},\\ &\omega\left(x\right)=1, \ \text{if} \ x\in\left[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right]\\ &\text{supp} \ \omega\subset\left]-1,1\right[, \end{split}$$

and setting

$$b(x, \tilde{x}, \theta) := a(x, \theta) \,\overline{a}(\tilde{x}, \theta) = b_1^{\varepsilon}(x, \tilde{x}, \theta) + b_2^{\varepsilon}(x, \tilde{x}, \theta) \,.$$
$$b_1^{\varepsilon}(x, \tilde{x}, \theta) = \omega \left(\frac{|x - \tilde{x}|}{\varepsilon \lambda (x, \tilde{x}, \theta)}\right) b(x, \tilde{x}, \theta) \,.$$

$$b_{1}^{\varepsilon}(x,\widetilde{x},\theta) = \left[1 - \omega\left(\frac{|x - \widetilde{x}|}{\varepsilon\lambda(x,\widetilde{x},\theta)}\right)\right]b(x,\widetilde{x},\theta).$$

We have $K(x,\widetilde{x};h) = K_1^{\varepsilon}(x,\widetilde{x};h) + K_2^{\varepsilon}(x,\widetilde{x};h)$, where

$$K_{j}^{\varepsilon}(x,\widetilde{x};h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(S(x,\theta) - S(\widetilde{x},\theta))} b_{j}^{\varepsilon}(x,\widetilde{x},\theta) \,\widehat{d\theta}, \ j = 1, 2.$$

We will study separately the kernels K_1^{ε} and K_1^{ε} .

On the support of b_2^{ε} , inequality (5.5) is satisfied and we have

$$K_2^{\varepsilon}(x, \widetilde{x}; h) \in S\left(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n\right).$$

Indeed, using the oscillatory integral method, there is a linear partial differential operator L of order 1 such that

$$L\left(e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(S(x,\theta)-S(\widetilde{x},\theta))}\right) = e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(S(x,\theta)-S(\widetilde{x},\theta))},$$

where

$$L = \frac{h \sum_{l} \left[\left(\partial_{\theta_{l}} S \right) \left(x, \theta \right) - \left(\partial_{\theta_{l}} S \right) \left(\widetilde{x}, \theta \right) \right] \partial_{\theta_{l}}}{i \left| \left(\partial_{\theta} S \right) \left(x, \theta \right) - \left(\partial_{\theta} S \right) \left(\widetilde{x}, \theta \right) \right|^{2}}.$$

The transpose operator of L is

$${}^{t}L = \sum_{l=1}^{n} F_{h,l}\left(x, \widetilde{x}, \theta\right) \partial_{\theta_{l}} + G_{h}\left(x, \widetilde{x}, \theta\right),$$

where $F_{l}\left(x,\widetilde{x},\theta\right)\in\Gamma_{0}^{-1}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon}\right),G\left(x,\widetilde{x},\theta\right)\in\Gamma_{0}^{-2}\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon}\right),$

$$F_{h,l}(x,\tilde{x},\theta) = \frac{h\left[\left(\partial_{\theta_l}S\right)(x,\theta) - \left(\partial_{\theta_l}S\right)(\tilde{x},\theta)\right]}{i\left|\left(\partial_{\theta}S\right)(x,\theta) - \left(\partial_{\theta}S\right)(\tilde{x},\theta)\right|^2},$$

$$G_{h}(x,\tilde{x},\theta) = \frac{h}{i} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \partial_{\theta_{l}} \frac{(\partial_{\theta_{l}}S)(x,\theta) - (\partial_{\theta_{l}}S)(\tilde{x},\theta)}{|(\partial_{\theta}S)(x,\theta) - (\partial_{\theta}S)(\tilde{x},\theta)|^{2}},$$
$$\Omega_{\varepsilon} = \left\{ (x,\tilde{x},\theta) \in \mathbb{R}^{3n} : (\partial_{\theta}S)(x,\theta) - (\partial_{\theta}S)(\tilde{x},\theta) > \frac{\varepsilon}{2C_{5}}\lambda(x,\tilde{x},\theta) \right\}.$$

On the other hand, we prove by inducting on q that

$$({}^{t}L)^{q} b_{2}^{\varepsilon}(x,\widetilde{x},\theta) = \sum_{|\gamma| \leq q, \gamma \in \mathbb{N}} g_{\gamma,q}(x,\widetilde{x},\theta) \,\partial_{\theta}^{\gamma} b_{2}^{\varepsilon}(x,\widetilde{x},\theta) \,, \ g_{\gamma}^{(q)} \in \Gamma_{0}^{-q}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}) \,,$$

and so

$$K_{2}^{\varepsilon}(x,\widetilde{x};h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(S(x,\theta) - S(\widetilde{x},\theta))} \left({}^{t}L\right)^{q} b_{2}^{\varepsilon}(x,\widetilde{x},\theta) \widehat{d\theta}.$$

Using Leibenitz's formula, (G_2) , and the form $({}^tL)^q$, we can choose q large enough such that for all $\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta' \in \mathbb{N}^n, \exists C_{\alpha,\alpha',\beta,\beta'} > 0$,

$$\sup_{x,\widetilde{x}\in\mathbb{R}^n} \left| x^{\alpha} \widetilde{x}^{\alpha'} \partial_x^{\beta} \partial_{\widetilde{x}}^{\beta'} K_2^{\varepsilon}(x,\widetilde{x}) \right| \le C_{\alpha,\alpha',\beta,\beta'}.$$

Next, we study K_1^{ε} : this is more difficult and depends on the choice of the parameter ε . It follows from Taylor's formula that

$$S(x,\theta) - S(\widetilde{x},\theta) = \langle x - \widetilde{x}, \xi(x,\widetilde{x},\theta) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}$$
$$\xi(x,\widetilde{x},\theta) = \int_0^1 (\partial_x S)(\widetilde{x} + t(x - \widetilde{x}), \theta) dt$$

we define the vectorial function

$$\widetilde{\xi}^{\varepsilon}\left(x,\widetilde{x},\theta\right) = \omega\left(\frac{|x-\widetilde{x}|}{2\varepsilon\lambda\left(x,\widetilde{x},\theta\right)}\right)\xi\left(x,\widetilde{x},\theta\right) + \left(1-\omega\left(\frac{|x-\widetilde{x}|}{2\varepsilon\lambda\left(x,\widetilde{x},\theta\right)}\right)\right)\left(\partial_{x}S\right)\left(\widetilde{x},\theta\right).$$

We have

$$\widetilde{\xi}^{\varepsilon}(x,\widetilde{x},\theta) = \xi(x,\widetilde{x},\theta)$$
, on the supp b_1^{ε} .

Moreover, for ε sufficiently small,

$$\lambda(x,\theta) \simeq \lambda(\widetilde{x},\theta) \simeq \lambda(x,\widetilde{x},\theta)$$
, on the supp b_1^{ε} . (5.6)

Let us consider the mappings

$$\mathbb{R}^{3n} \ni (x, \widetilde{x}, \theta) \to \left(x, \widetilde{x}, \widetilde{\xi}^{\varepsilon} \left(x, \widetilde{x}, \theta\right)\right).$$
(5.7)

for which the Jacobian matrix is

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_n & 0 & 0\\ 0 & I_n & 0\\ \partial_x \widetilde{\xi}^\varepsilon & \partial_{\widetilde{x}} \widetilde{\xi}^\varepsilon & \partial_\theta \widetilde{\xi}^\varepsilon \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \widetilde{\xi}_{j}^{\varepsilon}}{\partial \theta_{i}}\left(x,\widetilde{x},\theta\right) &= \frac{\partial^{2}S}{\partial \theta_{i}\partial x_{j}}\left(\widetilde{x},\theta\right) + \omega \left(\frac{|x-\widetilde{x}|}{2\varepsilon\lambda\left(x,\widetilde{x},\theta\right)}\right) \left(\frac{\partial \xi_{j}}{\partial \theta_{i}}\left(x,\widetilde{x},\theta\right) - \frac{\partial^{2}S}{\partial \theta_{i}\partial x_{j}}\left(\widetilde{x},\theta\right)\right) \\ &- \frac{|x-\widetilde{x}|}{2\varepsilon\lambda\left(x,\widetilde{x},\theta\right)} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial \theta_{i}}\left(x,\widetilde{x},\theta\right)\lambda^{-1}\left(x,\widetilde{x},\theta\right)\omega'\left(\frac{|x-\widetilde{x}|}{2\varepsilon\lambda\left(x,\widetilde{x},\theta\right)}\right) \left(\xi_{j}\left(x,\widetilde{x},\theta\right) - \frac{\partial S}{\partial x_{j}}\left(\widetilde{x},\theta\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\left| \frac{\partial \widetilde{\xi}_{j}^{\varepsilon}}{\partial \theta_{i}} \left(x, \widetilde{x}, \theta \right) - \frac{\partial^{2} S}{\partial \theta_{i} \partial x_{j}} \left(\widetilde{x}, \theta \right) \right| \leq \left| \omega \left(\frac{|x - \widetilde{x}|}{2\varepsilon\lambda \left(x, \widetilde{x}, \theta \right)} \right) \right| \left| \frac{\partial \xi_{j}}{\partial \theta_{i}} \left(x, \widetilde{x}, \theta \right) - \frac{\partial^{2} S}{\partial \theta_{i} \partial x_{j}} \left(\widetilde{x}, \theta \right) \right|$$
$$+ \lambda^{-1} \left(x, \widetilde{x}, \theta \right) \left| \omega' \left(\frac{|x - \widetilde{x}|}{2\varepsilon\lambda \left(x, \widetilde{x}, \theta \right)} \right) \right| \left| \xi_{j} \left(x, \widetilde{x}, \theta \right) - \frac{\partial S}{\partial x_{j}} \left(\widetilde{x}, \theta \right) \right|.$$

Now it follows from $(G_2), (5.6)$, and Taylor's formula that

$$\left| \frac{\partial \xi_j}{\partial \theta_i} \left(x, \tilde{x}, \theta \right) - \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial \theta_i \partial x_j} \left(\tilde{x}, \theta \right) \right| \leq \int_0^1 \left| \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial \theta_i \partial x_j} \left(\tilde{x} + t \left(x - \tilde{x} \right), \theta \right) - \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial \theta_i \partial x_j} \left(\tilde{x}, \theta \right) \right| dt$$
$$\leq C_{10} \left| x - \tilde{x} \right| \lambda^{-1} \left(x, \tilde{x}, \theta \right), \ C_{10} > 0.$$
(5.8)

$$\left| \xi_{j}\left(x,\widetilde{x},\theta\right) - \frac{\partial S}{\partial x_{j}}\left(\widetilde{x},\theta\right) \right| \leq \int_{0}^{1} \left| \frac{\partial S}{\partial x_{j}}\left(\widetilde{x} + t\left(x - \widetilde{x}\right),\theta\right) - \frac{\partial S}{\partial x_{j}}\left(\widetilde{x},\theta\right) \right| dt \qquad (5.9)$$
$$\leq C_{11} \left|x - \widetilde{x}\right|, \ C_{10} > 0.$$

From (5.8) and (5.9), there exists a positive constant $C_{12} > 0$, such that

$$\left|\frac{\partial \widetilde{\xi}_{j}}{\partial \theta_{i}}\left(x,\widetilde{x},\theta\right) - \frac{\partial^{2}S}{\partial \theta_{i}\partial x_{j}}\left(\widetilde{x},\theta\right)\right| \leq C_{12}\varepsilon, \ \forall i,j \in \{1,\dots,n\}.$$
(5.10)

If $\varepsilon < \frac{\delta_0}{2C}$, then (5.10) and (G₃) yield the estimate

$$\frac{\delta_0}{2} \le -\widetilde{C}\varepsilon + \delta_0 \le -\widetilde{C}\varepsilon + \det \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial\theta\partial x} \le \det \partial_\theta \widetilde{\xi}^\varepsilon \left(x, \widetilde{x}, \theta\right).$$
(5.11)

with $\tilde{C} > 0$. If ε is such that (5.6) and (5.11) hold, then the mapping given in (5.7) is global diffeomorphism of \mathbb{R}^{3n} . Hence there exists a mapping

$$\theta: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \ni (x, \tilde{x}, \xi) \to \theta (x, \tilde{x}, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\xi}^{\varepsilon} \left(x, \widetilde{x}, \theta \left(x, \widetilde{x}, \xi \right) \right) &= \xi. \\ \theta \left(x, \widetilde{x}, \widetilde{\xi}^{\varepsilon} \left(x, \widetilde{x}, \theta \right) \right) &= x. \\ \partial^{\alpha} \theta \left(x, \widetilde{x}, \xi \right) &= o\left(1 \right), \ \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{3n} \setminus \{ 0 \}. \end{aligned}$$

$$(5.12)$$

If we change the variable ξ by $\theta(x, \tilde{x}, \xi)$ in $K_1^{\varepsilon}(x, \tilde{x}; h)$, we obtain

$$K_{1}^{\varepsilon}(x,\widetilde{x};h) = \int e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\langle x-\widetilde{x},\xi\rangle} b_{1}^{\varepsilon}(x,\widetilde{x},\theta(x,\widetilde{x},\xi)) \left| \det \frac{\partial\theta}{\partial\xi}(x,\widetilde{x},\xi) \right| \widehat{d\xi}.$$
(5.13)

From (5.12) we have, for k = 0, 1, that $b_1^{\varepsilon}(x, \tilde{x}, \theta(x, \tilde{x}, \xi)) \left| \det \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \xi}(x, \tilde{x}, \xi) \right|$ belongs to $\Gamma_k^{2m}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$.

Applying the stationary phase theorem to (5.13), we obtain the expression of the symbol of the *h*-admissible operator $F_h F_h^*$,

$$\sigma\left(F_{h}F_{h}^{*}\right) = b_{1}^{\varepsilon}\left(x,\widetilde{x},\theta\left(x,\widetilde{x},\xi\right)\right) \left|\det\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial\xi}\left(x,\widetilde{x},\xi\right)\right|_{x=\widetilde{x}} + R\left(x,\xi\right),$$

where $R(x,\xi)$ belongs to $\Gamma_k^{2m-2}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ if $a \in \Gamma_k^m(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$, k = 0, 1.

For $x = \tilde{x}$, we have

$$b_{1}^{\varepsilon}(x, x, \theta(x, x, \xi)) = |a(x, \theta(x, x, \xi))|^{2}$$

where $\theta(x, x, \xi)$ is the inverse of the mapping

$$\theta \to \partial_x S\left(x,\theta\right) = \xi$$

Thus

$$\sigma\left(F_{h}F_{h}^{*}\right)\left(x,\partial_{x}S\left(x,\theta\right)\right) \equiv \left|a\left(x,\theta\right)\right|^{2} \left|\det\frac{\partial^{2}S}{\partial\theta\partial x}\left(x,\theta\right)\right|^{-1}$$

From (5.2) and (5.3), we obtain the expression of $F_h^*F_h: \forall v \in S(\mathbb{R}^n):$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{F}_{h} \left(F_{h}^{*} F_{h} \right) \mathcal{F}_{h}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} v \left(\theta \right) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{-\frac{i}{h} S(x,\theta)} \overline{a} \left(x, \theta \right) \left(F_{h} \left(\mathcal{F}_{h}^{-1} v \right) \right) \left(x \right) dx.$$

$$&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{-\frac{i}{h} S(x,\theta)} \overline{a} \left(x, \theta \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{-\frac{i}{h} S\left(x, \widetilde{\theta} \right)} a \left(x, \widetilde{\theta} \right) \left(F_{h} \left(\mathcal{F}_{h}^{-1} v \right) \right) \left(\widetilde{\theta} \right) \widehat{d\widetilde{\theta}} \right) dx.$$

$$&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{-\frac{i}{h} \left(S(x,\theta) - S\left(x, \widetilde{\theta} \right) \right) \overline{a}} \left(x, \theta \right) a \left(x, \widetilde{\theta} \right) v \left(\widetilde{\theta} \right) \widehat{d\widetilde{\theta}} dx.$$

Hence the distribution kernel of the integral operator $\mathcal{F}_h(F_h^*F_h)\mathcal{F}_h^{-1}$ is

$$\widetilde{K}\left(\theta,\widetilde{\theta};h\right) = \int e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\left(S(x,\theta) - S\left(x,\widetilde{\theta}\right)\right)} \widetilde{a}\left(x,\theta\right) a\left(x,\widetilde{\theta}\right) \widehat{dx}.$$

We remark that we can deduce $\widetilde{K}(\theta, \widetilde{\theta}; h) = \widetilde{K}(x, \widetilde{x}; h)$ by replacing x by θ . On the other hand, all assumptions used here are symmetrical on x and θ ; therefore, $\mathcal{F}_h(F_h^*F_h)\mathcal{F}_h^{-1}$ is a nice h-admissible operator with symbol

$$\sigma\left(F_{h}^{*}F_{h}\right)\left(\partial_{\theta}S\left(x,\theta\right),\theta\right) = \left|a\left(x,\theta\right)\right|^{2} \left|\det\frac{\partial^{2}S}{\partial\theta\partial x}\left(x,\theta\right)\right|^{-1}.$$

Corollary 1 Let F_h be the integral operator with the distribution kernel

$$K(x,y;h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{\frac{i}{h}(S(x,y) - y\theta)} a(x,y) \,\widehat{d\theta},$$

where $a \in \Gamma_0^m\left(\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{x,\theta}\right)$ and S satisfies $(G_1), (G_2), and (G_3)$. Then we have

- (1) For any m such that $m \leq 0$, F_h can be extended as a bounded linear mapping on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
- (2) For any m such that m < 0, F_h can be extended as a compact operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof It follows from theorem (3) that $F_h^* F_h$ is a h-admissible operator with symbol in $\Gamma_0^{2m}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$.

(1) If $m \leq 0$, the weight $\lambda^{2m}(x,\theta)$ is bounded, and so we can apply the Calderòn–Vaillancourt theorem for $F_h^*F_h$ and obtain the existence of a positive constant $\gamma(n)$ and a integer k(n) such that

$$\| (F_{h}^{*}F_{h}) u \|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \leq \gamma (n) Q_{k(n)} (\sigma (F_{h}F_{h}^{*})) \| u \|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}, \ \forall u \in S (\mathbb{R}^{n}),$$

where

$$Q_{k(n)}\left(\sigma\left(F_{h}F_{h}^{*}\right)\right) = \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq k(n)} \sup_{(x,\theta) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \left|\partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{\theta}^{\beta} \sigma\left(F_{h}F_{h}^{*}\right) \left(\partial_{\theta}S\left(x,\theta\right),\theta\right)\right|.$$

Hence, for all $v \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|F_{h}u\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \leq \|F_{h}^{*}F_{h}\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n}))}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \leq \left(\gamma(n) Q_{k(n)}(\sigma(F_{h}F_{h}^{*}))\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}.$$

Thus, F_h is also a bounded linear operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(2) If m < 0, $\lim_{|x|+|\theta|\to\infty} \lambda^m(x,\theta) = 0$, and the compactness theorem shows that the operator $F_h^*F_h$ can be extended as a compact operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus, the Fourier integral operator F_h is compact on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Indeed, let $(\varphi_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ be an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then

$$\left\|F_h^*F_h - \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \varphi_j, . \rangle F_h^*F_h\varphi_j\right\| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Since F_h is bounded, for all $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\left\|F_h\psi - \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \varphi_j, \psi \rangle F_h\varphi_j\right\|^2 \le \left\|F_h^*F_h\psi - \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \varphi_j, \psi \rangle F_h^*F_h\varphi_j\right\| \left\|\psi - \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \varphi_j, \psi \rangle \varphi_j\right\|,$$

it follows that

$$\left\|F_h - \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \varphi_j, . \rangle F_h \varphi_j\right\| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

References

- [1] Asada K, Fujiwara D. On some oscillatory transformations in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Japanese J Math 1978; 4: 299-361.
- [2] Bekkara S, Messirdi B, Senoussaoui A. A class of generalized integral operators. Elec J Diff Equ 2009; 88: 1-7.
- [3] Calderón AP, Vaillancourt R. On the boundedness of pseudodifferential operators. J Math Soc Japan 1971; 23: 374-378.
- [4] Duistermaat JJ. Fourier Integral Operators. New York, NY, USA: Springer, 1973.
- [5] Egorov YuV. Microlocal analysis. In: Partial Differential Equations IV: Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag, 1993, pp. 1-147.

AITEMRAR and SENOUSSAOUI/Turk J Math

- [6] Hasanov M. A class of unbounded Fourier integral operators. J Math Anal Appl 1998; 225: 641-651.
- [7] Harrat C, Senoussaoui A. On a class of h-Fourier integral operators. Demonstr Math 2014; XLVII: 596-607.
- [8] Helffer B. Théorie spectrale pour des opérateurs globalement elliptiques. Société Mathématiques de France: Ast érisque 112, 1984.
- [9] Hörmander L. Fourier integral operators I. Acta Math 1971; 127: 79-183.
- [10] Hörmander L. The Weyl calculus of pseudodifferential operators. Comm Pure Appl Math 1979; 32: 359-443.
- [11] Messirdi B, Senoussaoui A. On the L^2 boundedness and L^2 compactness of a class of Fourier integral operators. Elec J Diff Equ 2006; 26: 1-12.
- [12] Messirdi B, Senoussaoui A.Parametrix du problème de Cauchy C[∞] muni d'un système d'ordres de Leray-Voleviĉ.
 Z. Anal. Anwendungen 2005; 24: 581-592.
- [13] Robert D. Autour de l'Approximation Semi-classique. Secaucus, NJ, USA: Birkhäuser, 1987.
- [14] Senoussaoui A. Opérateurs h-admissibles matriciels à symbole opérateur. African Diaspora J Math 2007; 4: 7-26.
- [15] Senoussaoui A. On the unboundedness of a class of Fourier integral operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. J Math Anal Appl 2013; 405: 700-705.